

# **Table of Contents**

P	Δ	R	т	ı
	$\boldsymbol{n}$	ı		

Item 1. Business 1

### **PARTI**

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Item 2. Properties

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

### **PART II**

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

### **PART III**

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

### PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

**SIGNATURES** 

**Signature** 

EX-10.23 (Material contracts)

EX-10.27 (Material contracts)

EX-10.28 (Material contracts)

EX-21.1 (Subsidiaries of the registrant)

EX-23 (Consents of experts and counsel)

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32 (Certifications required under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)

## **UNITED STATES** SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

	FORM 1	0–K
(Mark One)		
×	ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 1 1934	3 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
	For the Fiscal Year Ended	December 31, 2005
	OR	
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTI ACT OF 1934	ON 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
	For the Transition Period From	to
	Commission File Num	nber 1–15525
	EDWARDS LIFESCIENC (Exact name of registrant as s)	
	<b>Delaware</b> (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	36–4316614 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
	One Edwards Way, Irvine (Address of principal executiv	
	(949) 250–2 Registrant's telephone number	
	ties registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share es A Junior Participating Preferred Purchase Rights (currently traded with common stock)	Name of each exchange on which registered: New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange
	Securities registered pursuant to Se	ction 12(g) of the Act: None
Indicate by	check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defi	ned by Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ⊠ No □
Indicate by	check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to	Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes □ No 🗷
during the preced	check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to ding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was requested the past 90 days. Yes ⊠ No □	be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 aired to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing
•	trant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incor	Regulation S–K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the porated by reference in Part III of this Form 10–K or any amendment to this
	check whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):	d filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and
	Large accelerated filer 🗷 Accelerated fil	er
Indicate by	check whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 1	2b−2 of the Exchange Act). Yes □ No 🗷

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2005 (the last trading day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter): \$2,573,526,384 based on a closing price of \$43.02 of the registrant's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange. This calculation does not reflect a determination that persons are affiliates for any other purpose.

Documents Incorporated by Reference								
Portions of the registrant's proxy statement for the 2006 Annual Mereference into Part III, as indicated herein.	beting of Stockholders (to be filed on or before April 21, 2006) are incorporated by							

### EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION Form 10-K Annual Report—2005 Table of Contents

PART I		
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	10
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	20
Item 2.	Properties	20
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	21
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	21
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	22
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	22
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	43
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	46
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	89
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	89
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	90
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	90
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	90
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	90
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	90
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	91
	Signatures	95

#### PART I

#### Item 1. Business

This report contains forward—looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company (as defined below in "Corporate Background") intends the forward—looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for such statements contained in this report. All statements other than statements of historical fact in this report or referred to or incorporated by reference into this report are "forward—looking statements" for purposes of these sections. These statements include, among other things, any predictions of earnings, revenues, expenses or other financial items, any statements of plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations, any statements concerning the Company's future operations, financial conditions and prospects, and any statement of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. These statements can sometimes be identified by the use of the forward—looking words such as "may," "believe," "will," "expect," "project," "estimate," "anticipate," "plan," "continue," "seek," "pro forma," "forecast," or "intend" or other similar words or expressions of the negative thereof. Investors are cautioned not to unduly rely on such forward—looking statements. These forward—looking statements are subject to substantial risks and uncertainties that could cause the Company's future business, financial condition, results of operations, or performance to differ materially from the Company's historical results or those expressed in any forward—looking statements contained in this report. See "Risk Factors" below for a further discussion of these risks.

#### Overview

Edwards Lifesciences Corporation is a global leader in products and technologies designed to treat advanced cardiovascular disease. The Company focuses on specific cardiovascular opportunities including heart valve disease, peripheral vascular disease and critical care technologies.

Cardiovascular disease is the number—one cause of death in the world, and is among the top three diseases in terms of health care spending in nearly every country. Cardiovascular disease is progressive and pervasive in that it tends to worsen over time and because it often affects an individual's entire circulatory system. In its later stages, cardiovascular disease is frequently treated with surgery, including heart valve replacement or repair procedures and coronary artery bypass graft ("CABG") procedures.

The products and technologies provided by Edwards Lifesciences to treat cardiovascular disease are categorized into five main areas: Heart Valve Therapy; Critical Care; Cardiac Surgery Systems; Vascular; and Other Distributed Products.

Patients undergoing surgical treatment for cardiovascular disease are likely to be treated using a variety of Edwards Lifesciences' products and technologies. For example, an individual with a heart valve disorder may have a faulty valve re—shaped and repaired with an Edwards Lifesciences annuloplasty ring, or a surgeon may elect to remove the valve altogether and replace it with one of Edwards Lifesciences' bioprosthetic tissue heart valves, which are made of bovine pericardial or porcine tissue. Virtually all high—risk patients in the operating room or cardiac care unit are candidates for having their cardiac function monitored by Edwards Lifesciences' critical care products. If a patient undergoes other types of open—heart surgery, such as a CABG procedure, the functions of their heart and lungs may be managed through the use of Edwards Lifesciences' cardiac surgery systems disposable products and equipment. If the circulatory problems are in the limbs rather than in the heart, the patient's procedure may involve some of Edwards Lifesciences' vascular products, which include various types of balloon—tipped catheters that are used to remove blood clots, and stents that are used to prop open the diseased blood vessels of patients suffering from atherosclerotic vascular disease. Lastly, Edwards Lifesciences' other distributed products include sales of intra—aortic balloon pumps and other products sold primarily through the Company's distribution network in Japan.

#### **Corporate Background**

Edwards Lifesciences Corporation was incorporated in Delaware on September 10, 1999. Unless otherwise indicated or otherwise required by the context, the terms "it," "its," "Company" and "Edwards Lifesciences" refer to Edwards Lifesciences Corporation and its subsidiaries.

Edwards Lifesciences' principal executive offices are located at One Edwards Way, Irvine, California 92614. The telephone number at that address is (949) 250–2500. The Company makes available, free of charge on its Web site located at www.edwards.com, its annual report on Form 10–K, quarterly reports on Form 10–Q, current reports on Form 8–K, and any amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after filing such reports with the SEC. The Company's corporate governance guidelines, audit and public policy committee charter, compensation and governance committee charter, and code of business conduct are also posted on the Company's Web site and are each available in print to any shareholder upon request by writing to: Edwards Lifesciences Corporation, Investor Relations, One Edwards Way, Irvine, California 92614. The contents of the Company's Web site are not incorporated by reference into this report.

#### **Edwards Lifesciences' Product and Technology Offerings**

The following discussion summarizes the five main categories of products and technologies offered by Edwards Lifesciences to treat advanced cardiovascular disease. For more information on net sales from these five main categories, see "Net Sales by Product Line" under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

#### **Heart Valve Therapy**

Edwards Lifesciences is the world's leading manufacturer of tissue heart valves and repair products, which are used to replace or repair a patient's diseased or defective heart valve. The Company operates manufacturing facilities in Irvine, California, and Horw, Switzerland producing pericardial and porcine valves from biologically inert animal tissue sewn onto proprietary wireform stents.

The core of Edwards Lifesciences' tissue product line is the *Carpentier–Edwards PERIMOUNT* pericardial valve, including the *PERIMOUNT Magna aortic valve*, the newest generation pericardial valve approved for sale in the United States, Europe and Canada. The *PERIMOUNT* valve is the most widely prescribed tissue heart valve in the world due to its proven durability and performance. The Company's most recent addition to the *PERIMOUNT* product line is the *MAGNA* mitral valve, which is designed specifically to address the mitral valve's unique anatomical structure and rigorous conditions. The durability of Edwards Lifesciences' tissue valves is extended through the use of its proprietary *XenoLogiX* and *ThermaFix* tissue treatment processes. Edwards Lifesciences also sells porcine valves, stentless tissue valves and mechanical valves. In addition to its replacement valves, Edwards Lifesciences pioneered and is the worldwide leader in heart valve repair therapies, including annuloplasty rings and systems. The Company has continued to extend its leadership in this field with introduction of disease–specific valve repair products including the *GeoForm* annuloplasty ring.

Edwards Lifesciences is currently developing percutaneous heart valve repair and replacement technologies, designed to treat heart valve disease using a catheter–based approach as opposed to direct surgical techniques. In the area of percutaneous mitral valve repair, the Company is developing the *MOBIUS* edge–to–edge mitral repair system and *MONARC* mitral annuloplasty system. In the area of percutaneous aortic valve replacement, the Company is developing the *Cribier–Edwards* aortic valve replacement system. The Company also is leveraging the knowledge and technology from the *Cribier–Edwards* percutaneous valve platform to develop the *Ascendra* aortic valve replacement system, which is intended for use in minimal access beating heart surgical procedures. The Company believes the market opportunity for these less invasive heart valve therapies is substantial.

#### **Critical Care**

Edwards Lifesciences is a world leader in hemodynamic monitoring systems that are used to measure a patient's heart function in surgical and intensive care settings. Hemodynamic monitoring enables a clinician to balance the oxygen supply and demand of a critically ill patient and plays an important role in assuring that the heart function of millions of patients who have pre–existing cardiovascular conditions or other critical illnesses is optimized before they undergo a surgical procedure.

Edwards Lifesciences' hemodynamic monitoring technologies are often deployed before, during and after open–heart, major vascular, major abdominal, neurological and orthopedic surgical procedures. Edwards Lifesciences manufactures and markets the Swan–Ganz brand line of hemodynamic monitoring products, and the *PreSep* venous oximetry catheter for measuring central venous oxygen saturation. Edwards Lifesciences' newest addition to its hemodynamic monitoring product line is a minimally invasive cardiac monitoring technology, the *FloTrac* continuous cardiac output monitoring system, which was launched in 2005.

Edwards Lifesciences is a global leader in the broader field of disposable pressure monitoring devices and has a line of innovative products enabling closed—loop arterial blood sampling to protect both patients and clinicians from the risk of infection. Central venous catheters are the primary route for fluid and medication delivery to patients undergoing major surgical procedures and/or intensive care. The Company's advanced venous access products provide increased convenience, effectiveness and efficiency by integrating the capabilities of an introducer and multi—lumen central venous access catheter into a single device.

The Company also markets outside of the United States a range of products required to perform continuous hemofiltration therapies including access catheters, hemofilters, substitution fluids and pumps.

#### **Cardiac Surgery Systems**

The Company is a leading manufacturer of select disposable products used during cardiac surgery including cannula to facilitate venous drainage during perfusion, aortic dispersion cannula, and products to facilitate coronary artery bypass surgery when performed on a beating heart. Edwards Lifesciences also produces the *EMBOL-X* protection system, the only system of its kind designed to capture intra–aortic embolic material, such as blood clots or tissue fragments that might be generated during open–heart surgery procedures.

Edwards Lifesciences develops, manufactures and distributes to customers primarily in Latin America and Asia a line of disposable perfusion products used during the practice of bypassing the heart and lungs during open—heart surgical procedures. In January 2005, the Company divested its Japanese perfusion products business. Edwards Lifesciences distributes in the United States carbon—dioxide lasers and related disposables for use in transmyocardial revascularization, a procedure for treating severe angina. The Company also offers the *Optiwave 980* cardiac laser ablation system to create lesions in cardiac tissue.

#### Vascular

The pervasive nature of cardiovascular disease means that the circulatory conditions that occur inside the heart are often mirrored elsewhere in a patient's body. Atherosclerotic disease is one common condition that involves the thickening of blood–carrying vessels and the formation of circulation–restricting plaque, clots and other substances, and often occurs concurrently in the vascular system as well as in the heart. When the abdomen, arms or legs are impacted, the diagnosis is usually peripheral vascular disease ("PVD"), which occurs in millions of patients worldwide.

Edwards Lifesciences manufactures and sells a variety of products used to treat occlusive PVD, including a line of balloon-tipped, catheter-based products, as well as surgical clips and inserts. Edwards Lifesciences' Fogarty line of embolectomy catheters has been an industry standard for removing blood clots from peripheral blood vessels for more than 40 years. Edwards Lifesciences also manufactures and sells LifeStent balloon-expandable and self-expanding non-coronary stents that are used to prop open the diseased blood vessels of patients suffering from atherosclerotic vascular disease. The Company continued to expand its LifeStent product line during the year.

In late 2005, the Company divested its ePTFE manufacturing plant located in Laguna Hills, California but plans to continue distributing the LifeSpan ePTFE vascular graft product in Europe and other select geographies.

#### **Other Distributed Products**

Other distributed products primarily include sales of intra-aortic balloon pumps and other products sold though the Company's operations in Japan. During the first quarter of 2005, Edwards exited its pacemaker distribution business in Japan.

#### Competition

The medical devices industry is highly competitive. Edwards Lifesciences competes with many companies, ranging from small start—up enterprises to companies that are larger and more established than Edwards Lifesciences with access to significant financial resources. Furthermore, rapid product development and technological change characterize the market in which Edwards Lifesciences competes. The present or future products of Edwards Lifesciences could be rendered obsolete or uneconomical as a result of technological advances by one or more of Edwards Lifesciences' present or future competitors or by other therapies, including drug therapies. Edwards Lifesciences must continue to develop and acquire new products and technologies to remain competitive in the cardiovascular medical devices industry. Edwards Lifesciences believes that it competes primarily on the basis of product reliability and performance, product features that enhance patient benefit, customer and sales support, and cost—effectiveness.

The cardiovascular segment of the medical device industry is dynamic and currently undergoing significant change due to cost—of—care considerations, regulatory reform, industry and customer consolidation and evolving patient needs. The ability to provide cost—effective products and technologies that improve clinical outcomes is becoming increasingly important for medical device manufacturers.

Edwards Lifesciences' products and technologies face substantial competition from a number of companies. In heart valve therapy, the primary competitors include St. Jude Medical, Inc., Medtronic, Inc. and the Sorin Group. In critical care, Edwards Lifesciences' principal competitors include Hospira, Inc. and Arrow International, Inc. In cardiac surgery systems, Edwards Lifesciences competes with the Sorin Group, Medtronic, Inc. and Getinge AB. In vascular, Edwards Lifesciences' primary competitors for the traditional surgical segments of its business include W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc., LeMaitre Vascular Inc. and Applied Medical Resources Corporation. For emerging peripheral vascular disease products, Edwards Lifesciences' primary competitors are Johnson & Johnson, Boston Scientific Corporation, Medtronic, Inc. and Abbott Laboratories, Inc.

#### Sales and Marketing

Edwards Lifesciences has a number of broad product lines that require a sales and marketing strategy tailored to its customers in order to deliver high–quality, cost–effective products and technologies to all of its customers worldwide. Edwards Lifesciences' portfolio includes some of the most recognizable product brands in cardiovascular devices today, including *Carpentier–Edwards, Cosgrove–Edwards, Fogarty, PERIMOUNT, Research Medical* and *Swan–Ganz*.

Because of the diverse global needs of the population that Edwards Lifesciences serves, Edwards Lifesciences' distribution system includes a direct sales force and independent distributors. Edwards Lifesciences is not dependent on any single customer and no single customer accounted for more than 10% of Edwards Lifesciences' net sales in 2005.

Sales personnel work closely with the primary decision makers who purchase Edwards Lifesciences' products, which include physicians, material managers, nurses, biomedical staff, hospital administrators and purchasing managers. Also, for certain of its products and where appropriate, Edwards Lifesciences' sales force actively pursues approval of Edwards Lifesciences as a qualified supplier for hospital group purchasing organizations that negotiate contracts with suppliers of medical products. Edwards Lifesciences has contracts with a number of domestic national buying groups and is working with a growing number of regional buying groups that are emerging in response to cost containment pressures and health care reform in the United States.

*United States.* In the United States, Edwards Lifesciences sells substantially all of its products through its direct sales force. In 2005, 45.7% of Edwards Lifesciences' reported sales were derived from sales to customers in the United States.

International. In 2005, 54.3% of Edwards Lifesciences' reported sales were derived internationally through its direct sales force and independent distributors. Edwards Lifesciences sells its products in approximately 100 countries. Major international markets for Edwards Lifesciences' products are: Japan, Germany, France, United Kingdom, Italy, Brazil, Canada, Belgium, Spain, The Netherlands and Australia/New Zealand. The sales and marketing approach in international geographies varies depending on each country's size and state of development. See Note 17 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" contained herein for additional information.

#### **Raw Materials and Manufacturing**

Edwards Lifesciences uses a diverse and broad range of raw and organic materials in the design, development and manufacture of its products. Edwards Lifesciences' non-implantable products are manufactured from man-made raw materials including resins, chemicals, electronics and metal. Most of Edwards Lifesciences' heart valve therapy products are manufactured from natural tissues harvested from animal tissue, as well as man-made materials. Edwards Lifesciences purchases certain materials and components used in manufacturing its products from external suppliers. In addition, Edwards Lifesciences purchases certain supplies from single sources for reasons of quality assurance, sole source availability, cost effectiveness or constraints resulting from regulatory requirements.

Edwards Lifesciences works closely with its suppliers to assure continuity of supply while maintaining high quality and reliability. Alternative supplier options are generally considered and identified, although Edwards Lifesciences does not typically pursue regulatory qualification of alternative sources due to the strength of its existing supplier relationships and the time and expense associated with the regulatory validation process. Although a change in suppliers could require significant effort or investment by Edwards Lifesciences in circumstances where the items supplied are integral to the performance of Edwards Lifesciences' products or incorporate unique technology, management does not believe that the loss of any existing supply contract would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Edwards Lifesciences follows rigorous sourcing and manufacturing procedures intended to safeguard humans from potential risks associated with diseases such as bovine spongiform encephalopathy ("BSE"), commonly known as "mad cow disease." International health and regulatory authorities have given guidance identifying three factors contributing to the control of BSE: source of animals, nature of tissue used and manufacturing process controls. The Company complies with all current global guidelines regarding risks for products intended to be implanted in humans. The Company obtains bovine tissue used in its pericardial tissue valve products only from sources within the

United States and Australia, where strong control measures and surveillance programs exist. In addition, bovine tissue used in the Company's pericardial tissue valve products is from tissue types considered by global health and regulatory organizations to have shown no risk of infectibility. The Company's manufacturing and sterilization processes render tissue biologically safe from all known infectious agents and viruses, and exceed the worldwide standard for sterile medical products. See "Risk Factors" contained herein.

#### **Quality Assurance**

Edwards Lifesciences is committed to providing quality products to its customers. To meet this commitment, the Company has implemented modern quality systems and concepts throughout the organization. The quality system starts with the initial product specification and continues through the design of the product, component specification processes and the manufacturing, sales and servicing of the product. The quality system is designed to build in quality and to utilize continuous improvement concepts throughout the product lifecycle.

Edwards Lifesciences' operations are certified under applicable international quality systems standards, such as ISO 9001, ISO 9002 and ISO 13485. These standards require, among other items, quality system controls that are applied to product design, component material, suppliers and manufacturing operations. These ISO certifications can be obtained only after a complete audit of a company's quality system has been conducted by an independent outside auditor. Periodic reexamination by an independent outside auditor is required to maintain these certifications.

#### **Environmental Health and Safety**

Edwards Lifesciences is committed to a safe and healthy workplace and the promotion of environmental excellence in its own communities and worldwide. Through its Environmental Health and Safety function, Edwards Lifesciences facilitates and monitors performance against these objectives at all levels of its organization. Among the metrics monitored are the generation of both regulated and non-regulated waste, emissions of air toxins, energy usage and lost time incidents in the Company's production activities. Each of the Company's manufacturing sites is evaluated annually with respect to a broad range of Environmental Health and Safety criteria, and performance against these criteria is part of Edwards Lifesciences' annual awards program applicable to all manufacturing facilities.

#### Research and Development

Edwards Lifesciences is engaged in ongoing research and development to deliver clinically advanced new products, to enhance the effectiveness, ease of use, safety and reliability of its current leading products and to expand the applications of its products as appropriate. Edwards Lifesciences is dedicated to developing novel technologies that will furnish health care providers with a more complete line of products that address opportunities within the specific cardiovascular disease areas on which the Company focuses.

The Company invested \$99.0 million on research and development in 2005, \$87.0 million in 2004 and \$72.8 million in 2003 (9.9%, 9.3% and 8.5% of net sales, respectively). A significant portion of Edwards Lifesciences' research and development investment has been applied to extend and defend its core heart valve, critical care and vascular franchises, including research and development relating to next–generation pericardial tissue valves and enhanced tissue processing technologies.

In its critical care franchise, the Company is also pursuing the development of minimally invasive hemodynamic monitoring systems, which offer the promise of collecting critical data using less invasive methods than current technologies. In its vascular franchise, the Company plans to broaden its *LifeStent* balloon–expandable and self–expanding non–coronary stent product line. Additionally, the Company is

investing in additional growth opportunities, including alternative tissue valve materials, and angiogenesis gene therapy to treat peripheral vascular and coronary artery diseases.

Edwards Lifesciences also is investing in the development of percutaneous heart valve repair and replacement technologies, designed to treat heart valve disease using a catheter–based approach as opposed to direct surgical techniques. The Company believes the market opportunity for catheter–based heart valve therapies is substantial. In the area of percutaneous mitral valve repair, the Company is developing the *MOBIUS* edge–to–edge mitral repair system and *MONARC* mitral annuloplasty system. In the area of percutaneous aortic valve replacement, the Company is developing the *Cribier–Edwards* aortic valve replacement system. The Company also is leveraging the knowledge and technology from the *Cribier–Edwards* percutaneous valve platform to develop the *Ascendra* aortic valve replacement system, which is intended for use in minimal access beating heart surgical procedures.

Edwards Lifesciences' research and development activities are conducted primarily in facilities located in the United States and Israel. The Company's experienced research and development staff is focused on product design and development, quality, clinical research and regulatory compliance. To pursue primary research efforts, Edwards Lifesciences has developed alliances with several leading research institutions and universities, and also works with leading clinicians around the world in conducting scientific studies on Edwards Lifesciences' existing and developing products. These studies include clinical trials, which provide data for use in regulatory submissions, and post–market approval studies involving applications of Edwards Lifesciences' products.

#### **Proprietary Technology**

Patents and other proprietary rights are important to the success of Edwards Lifesciences' business. Edwards Lifesciences also relies upon trade secrets, know-how, continuing technological innovations and licensing opportunities to develop and maintain its competitive position.

Edwards Lifesciences owns approximately 540 issued United States patents, 250 pending United States patent applications, 960 issued foreign patents and 480 pending foreign patent applications, and has licensed numerous United States and foreign patents and patent applications that relate to aspects of the technology incorporated in many of Edwards Lifesciences' products.

Most of Edwards Lifesciences' products are protected in some respect by issued patents and/or pending patent applications. Edwards Lifesciences has a number of patents and pending patent applications in the United States, Europe, Australia, Japan and Canada on the *Carpentier–Edwards PERIMOUNT Magna* pericardial valves and the *Carpentier–Edwards PERIMOUNT Plus* pericardial valves. Edwards Lifesciences also has issued patents and pending patent applications directed to the *ThermaFix* tissue treatment process that is used on the *PERIMOUNT, PERIMOUNT Plus* and *PERIMOUNT Magna* pericardial valves.

Edwards Lifesciences has many United States and foreign patents and pending patent applications related to mitral valve repair and, in particular, patent coverage on the Cosgrove–Edwards annuloplasty system and the Carpentier–Edwards Physio annuloplasty ring, as well as the Edwards MC<sup>3</sup> tricuspid annuloplasty system, the IMR ETlogix annuloplasty ring and the GeoForm annuloplasty ring. Edwards Lifesciences also has a number of significant United States and foreign patents and patent applications in the field of percutaneous heart valve repair and replacement.

Edwards Lifesciences owns key United States and foreign patents and patent applications that cover catheters, systems and methods for hemodynamic monitoring and vascular access products. Edwards Lifesciences has pending patent applications that relate to aspects of the technology incorporated in the *FloTrac* system, used to measure cardiac output by minimally invasive methods. Edwards Lifesciences also owns a significant number of United States and foreign patents and patent applications relating to intra–aortic embolic management systems, including the *EMBOL–X* and

EMBOL-X Glide system. Edwards Lifesciences has also exclusively licensed and owns several important United States and foreign patents and patent applications relating to peripheral stents, including the LifeStent products. In addition, Edwards Lifesciences has a number of patents and patent applications relating to the Optiwave 980 cardiac laser ablation system. Edwards Lifesciences has also exclusively licensed a portfolio of United States and foreign patents and patent applications in the angiogenesis field.

Edwards Lifesciences is a party to several license agreements with unrelated third parties pursuant to which it has obtained, for varying terms, the exclusive or non-exclusive rights to certain patents held by such third parties in consideration for cross licensing rights or royalty payments. Edwards Lifesciences has also licensed certain patent rights to others.

Edwards Lifesciences actively monitors the products of its competitors for possible infringement of Edwards Lifesciences' owned and/or licensed patents. Litigation has been necessary to enforce certain patent rights held by Edwards Lifesciences, and the Company plans to continue to defend and prosecute its rights with respect to such patents.

The following table identifies some of the primary trademarks of Edwards Lifesciences that are registered in the United States Patent and Trademark Office:

Advanced Venous Access Edwards MIRA AnastaFloEdwards Prima Plus AVA 3Xi Edwards MC AVA HF EMBOL-XCarpentier-Edwards EverClip Carpentier-Edwards Classic **EverGrip** Carpentier-Edwards Physio Fogarty **CCOmbo** GeoForm Cosgrove-Edwards IMR ETlogix Edwards Lifesciences LifeStent

PERIMOUNT
PERIMOUNT Magna
PERIMOUNT Plus
PreSep
Starr Edwards

Starr–Edwards Swan–Ganz Tricentrix Vantex Vigilance

Other key trademarks owned by Edwards Lifesciences include:

AscendraFloTracResearch MedicalBioPhysioMOBIUSThermaFixCribier-EdwardsMONARCVigileoEdwardsOptiwave 980VisuFloEMBOL-X GlidePERIMOUNT TheonXenoLogiX

Many of these trademarks have also been registered for use in certain foreign countries where registration is available and Edwards Lifesciences has determined it is commercially advantageous to do so.

#### **Government Regulation and Other Matters**

Regulatory Environment. In the United States, the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") has responsibility for regulating the introduction of new medical devices. The FDA regulates laboratory and manufacturing practices, labeling and record–keeping for medical devices, and review of required manufacturers' reports of adverse experience to identify potential problems with marketed medical devices. Many of the devices that Edwards Lifesciences develops and markets are in a category for which the FDA has implemented stringent clinical investigation and pre–market approval requirements. The process of obtaining FDA approval to market a product can be resource–intensive, lengthy and costly. FDA review may involve substantial delays that adversely affect the marketing and sale of Edwards Lifesciences' products.

The FDA has the authority to halt the distribution of certain medical devices, detain or seize adulterated or misbranded medical devices, or order the repair, replacement or refund of the costs of such devices. The FDA also may require notification of health professionals and others with regard to medical devices that present unreasonable risks of substantial harm to the public health. The FDA may enjoin and restrain certain violations of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and the Safe Medical Devices Act pertaining to medical devices, or initiate action for criminal prosecution of such violations. Moreover, the FDA administers certain controls over the export of medical devices from the United States and the importation of devices into the United States.

Medical device laws are also in effect in Europe, Japan and many other countries where Edwards Lifesciences does business. Similar to the regulations imposed by the FDA, the regulations in these countries range from comprehensive device approval requirements for some or all of the Company's products to requests for product data, certifications or record–keeping. The process of obtaining approval to market a product and/or complying with product data requests can be resource–intensive, lengthy and costly, and such requirements may or may not be more stringent than those required by the FDA. Overall, the number and scope of government regulations and requirements are increasing.

Edwards Lifesciences also is governed by federal, state, local and foreign laws of general applicability, such as those regulating employee health and safety. In addition, Edwards Lifesciences is subject to various federal, state, local and foreign environmental protection laws and regulations, including those governing the adverse impact on the environment.

*Health Care Initiatives.* Government and private sector initiatives to limit the growth of health care costs, including price regulation and competitive pricing, are continuing in many countries where Edwards Lifesciences does business, including the United States and Japan. As a result of these changes, the marketplace has placed increased emphasis on the delivery of more cost–effective medical therapies.

Diagnostic—related groups' reimbursement schedules regulate the amount the United States government, through the Health and Human Services Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, will reimburse hospitals and doctors for the inpatient care of persons covered by Medicare. In response to rising Medicare and Medicaid costs, several legislative proposals in the United States have been advanced that would restrict future funding increases for these programs. While Edwards Lifesciences has been unaware of significant domestic price resistance directly as a result of the reimbursement policies of diagnostic—related groups, changes in these reimbursement levels and processes could have an adverse effect on Edwards Lifesciences' domestic pricing flexibility.

In keeping with the increased emphasis on cost–effectiveness in health care delivery, the current trend among domestic hospitals and other customers of medical device manufacturers is to consolidate into larger purchasing groups to enhance purchasing power. The medical device industry has also experienced some consolidation, partly in order to offer a broader range of products to large purchasers. As a result, transactions with customers are larger, more complex and tend to involve more

long-term contracts than in the past. The enhanced purchasing power of these larger customers may also increase the pressure on product pricing.

#### **Employees**

As of December 31, 2005, Edwards Lifesciences had approximately 5,400 employees worldwide, the majority of whom were located at the Company's headquarters in Irvine, California, and at its manufacturing facilities in Puerto Rico and the Dominican Republic. Other major concentrations of employees are located in Europe, Japan and Brazil. Edwards Lifesciences emphasizes competitive compensation, benefits, equity participation and work environment practices in its efforts to attract and retain qualified personnel. None of Edwards Lifesciences' North American employees are represented by a labor union. In various countries outside of North America, the Company interacts with trade unions and work councils that represent a limited number of employees.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investor should carefully consider the risks described below, as well as other information contained in Edwards Lifesciences' filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. If any of the events described below occurs, Edwards Lifesciences' business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially harmed. In that case, the value of Edwards Lifesciences' securities could decline and an investor may lose part or all of his or her investment.

If Edwards Lifesciences does not introduce new products in a timely manner, its products may become obsolete and its operating results may suffer.

The cardiovascular products industry is characterized by rapid technological changes, frequent new product introductions and evolving industry standards. Without the timely introduction of new products and enhancements, Edwards Lifesciences' products will likely become technologically obsolete over time, in which case its revenue and operating results would suffer. Even if Edwards Lifesciences is able to develop new technologies, these technologies may not be accepted quickly because of industry specific factors, such as the need for regulatory clearance, unanticipated restrictions imposed on approved indications, entrenched patterns of clinical practice and uncertainty over third party reimbursement.

Moreover, significant technical innovations generally will require a substantial investment before Edwards Lifesciences can determine the commercial viability of these innovations. Edwards Lifesciences may not have the financial resources necessary to fund these technical innovations. In addition, even if Edwards Lifesciences is able to successfully develop enhancements or new generations of its products, these enhancements or new generations of products may not produce revenue in excess of the costs of development, and they may be quickly rendered obsolete by changing customer preferences or the introduction by Edwards Lifesciences' competitors of products embodying new technologies or features.

Edwards Lifesciences may incur product liability losses that could adversely affect its operating results.

Edwards Lifesciences' business exposes it to potential product liability risks that are inherent in the design, manufacture and marketing of medical devices. Edwards Lifesciences' products are often used in surgical and intensive care settings with seriously ill patients. In addition, some of the medical devices manufactured and sold by Edwards Lifesciences are designed to be implanted in the human body for long periods of time. Edwards Lifesciences could be the subject of product liability suits alleging that component failures, manufacturing flaws, design defects or inadequate disclosure of product related risks or product related information could result in an unsafe condition or injury to patients. Product liability lawsuits and claims, safety alerts or product recalls in the future, regardless of their ultimate outcome, could have a material adverse effect on Edwards Lifesciences' business and reputation and on its ability to attract and retain customers.

Edwards Lifesciences may experience supply interruptions that could harm its ability to manufacture products.

Edwards Lifesciences uses a diverse and broad range of raw and organic materials and other items in the design and manufacture of its products. Edwards Lifesciences' heart valve therapy products are manufactured from treated natural animal tissue and man—made materials. Edwards Lifesciences' non—implantable products are manufactured from man—made raw materials including resins, chemicals, electronics and metals. Edwards Lifesciences purchases certain of the materials and components used in the manufacture of its products from external suppliers. In addition, Edwards Lifesciences purchases certain supplies from single sources for reasons of quality assurance, cost—effectiveness or constraints resulting from regulatory requirements. Edwards Lifesciences works closely with its suppliers to assure continuity of supply while maintaining high quality and reliability. Alternative supplier options are generally considered and identified, although Edwards Lifesciences does not typically pursue regulatory qualification of alternative sources due to the strength of its existing supplier relationships and the time and expense associated with this regulatory process. Although a change in suppliers could require significant effort or investment by Edwards Lifesciences in circumstances where the items supplied are integral to the performance of its products or incorporate unique technology, management does not believe that the loss of any existing supply contract would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

In an effort to reduce potential product liability exposure, in the past certain suppliers have announced that they might limit or terminate sales of certain materials and parts to companies that manufacture implantable medical devices. If Edwards Lifesciences is unable to obtain these raw materials or there is a significant increase in the price of materials or components, its business could be harmed.

Edwards Lifesciences may be required to recognize additional charges in connection with the write—down of some of its investments, the disposition of some of its businesses, the termination of its interest rate swap agreements or for other reasons.

Edwards Lifesciences has made investments in the equity instruments of other companies, and may make further such investments in the future. To the extent that the value of any such investment declines, Edwards Lifesciences may be required to recognize charges to write down the value of that investment. See "Asset Impairments" under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included herein.

In the case of some of the companies in which Edwards Lifesciences has invested, the value of its equity securities has declined since the time of its original investment. As a result, Edwards Lifesciences may be required to recognize additional charges, which could be substantial, to write down its investments. At December 31, 2005, Edwards Lifesciences had \$10.7 million of investments in equity instruments of other companies and had recorded unrealized losses of \$0.6 million on these investments on its balance sheet in "Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)," net of tax.

As part of the ongoing evaluation of its various businesses and products, Edwards Lifesciences from time to time identifies businesses or products that are not performing at a level commensurate with the rest of its business. Edwards Lifesciences may from time to time seek to dispose of these under-performing businesses or product lines, and may also seek to dispose of businesses or product lines from time to time for strategic or other business reasons. If Edwards Lifesciences is unable to dispose of a business or product line on terms it considers acceptable, Edwards Lifesciences may voluntarily terminate that business or cease providing that product. Any of these events may result in charges, which could be substantial and which could adversely affect its results of operations.

Historically, Edwards Lifesciences has entered into interest rate swap agreements in connection with some of its indebtedness, and expects that it will continue to do so from time to time in the

future. In the event that Edwards Lifesciences elects to terminate a swap agreement prior to its maturity, it may be required to make cash payments to the counterparty and to recognize a charge in connection with that termination, which could adversely affect its results of operations.

Edwards Lifesciences may not successfully identify and complete acquisitions or strategic alliances on favorable terms or achieve anticipated synergies relating to any acquisitions or alliances, and such acquisitions could result in unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures, require significant management resources and require significant charges or write—downs.

As part of Edwards Lifesciences' growth strategy, Edwards Lifesciences regularly reviews potential acquisitions of complementary businesses, technologies, services or products, as well as potential strategic alliances. Edwards Lifesciences may be unable to find suitable acquisition candidates or appropriate partners with which to form partnerships or strategic alliances. Even if Edwards Lifesciences identifies appropriate acquisition or alliance candidates, it may be unable to complete such acquisitions or alliances on favorable terms, if at all. In addition, the process of integrating an acquired business, technology, service or product into Edwards Lifesciences' existing business and operations may result in unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures. Integration of an acquired company also may require significant management resources that otherwise would be available for ongoing development of Edwards Lifesciences' business. Moreover, Edwards Lifesciences may not realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisition or strategic alliance, and such transactions may not generate anticipated financial results. In addition, Edwards Lifesciences may be required to take charges or write downs in connection with acquisitions it has made or may make in the future. In particular, acquisitions of businesses engaged in the development of new products may give rise to in—process research and development charges, which could be significant. Edwards Lifesciences has taken in—process research and development charges in connection with past acquisitions and may take similar charges in connection with acquisitions the Company makes in the future, which charges could adversely affect its results of operations. Future acquisitions could also require issuances of equity securities, the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities or amortization expenses related to other intangible assets, any of which could harm Edwards Lifesciences' business.

Edwards Lifesciences' business is subject to economic, political and other risks associated with international sales and operations.

Because Edwards Lifesciences sells its products in a number of foreign countries, its business is subject to risks associated with doing business internationally. Edwards Lifesciences' net sales originating outside of the United States, as a percentage of total net sales, were 54.3% in 2005. Edwards Lifesciences anticipates that sales from international operations will continue to represent a substantial portion of its total sales. In addition, many of Edwards Lifesciences' manufacturing facilities and suppliers are located outside of the United States. Management expects to increase Edwards Lifesciences' international sales, which could expose it to greater risks associated with international sales and operations. Accordingly, Edwards Lifesciences future results could be harmed by a variety of factors, including:

- changes in foreign medical reimbursement policies and programs;
- unexpected changes in foreign regulatory requirements;
- changes in foreign currency exchange rates;
- changes in a specific country's or region's political or economic conditions, particularly in emerging regions;
- trade protection measures and import or export licensing requirements;
- potentially negative consequences from changes in tax laws;

- difficulty in staffing and managing foreign operations;
- an outbreak of any life threatening communicable disease;
- changes in the international political situation;
- differing labor regulations; and
- differing protection of intellectual property.

Edwards Lifesciences is subject to risks arising from currency exchange rate fluctuations.

Edwards Lifesciences generated 54.3% of net sales in 2005 outside of the United States. Substantially all of Edwards Lifesciences' sales outside of the United States are denominated in local currencies. Measured in local currency, a substantial portion of Edwards Lifesciences' foreign generated sales was generated in Europe (and primarily denominated in the Euro) and in Japan. The United States dollar value of Edwards Lifesciences' foreign generated sales varies with currency exchange rate fluctuations. Significant decreases in the value of the United States dollar to the Euro or the Japanese yen have had the effect of increasing Edwards Lifesciences' earnings even when the volume of foreign sales has remained constant. Significant increases in the value of the United States dollar relative to the Euro or the Japanese yen, as well as other currencies, could have a material adverse effect on Edwards Lifesciences' results of operations. Edwards Lifesciences has a hedging program that attempts to manage currency exchange rate risks to an acceptable level based on management's judgment of the appropriate trade—off between risk, opportunity and cost; however, this hedging program does not completely eliminate the effects of currency exchange rate fluctuations.

Increased interest rates could increase Edwards Lifesciences' borrowing costs and make it more difficult to access the capital markets.

From time to time Edwards Lifesciences may issue securities to finance acquisitions, capital expenditures, working capital and other general corporate purposes. An increase in interest rates in the general economy could result in an increase in Edwards Lifesciences' borrowing costs for these financings, as well as under any existing debt that bears interest at a floating rate and for which interest rate swaps are not in place, and could otherwise restrict the ability of Edwards Lifesciences to access the capital markets.

Fluctuations in Edwards Lifesciences' quarterly operating results may cause its stock price to decline.

Edwards Lifesciences' sales and operating results may vary significantly from quarter to quarter. A high proportion of Edwards Lifesciences' costs are fixed, due in part to significant sales, research and development and manufacturing costs. Thus, small declines in revenue could disproportionately affect operating results in a quarter, and the price of Edwards Lifesciences' common stock may fall. Other factors that could affect quarterly operating results include:

- demand for and clinical acceptance of products;
- the timing and execution of customer contracts, particularly large contracts that would materially affect Edwards Lifesciences' operating results in a given quarter;
- the timing of sales of products and of the introduction of new products;
- changes in foreign currency exchange rates;

- unanticipated delays or problems in introducing new products;
- competitors' announcements of new products, services or technological innovations;
- changes in Edwards Lifesciences' pricing policies or the pricing policies of its competitors;
- increased expenses, whether related to sales and marketing, raw materials or supplies, product development or administration;
- adverse changes in the level of economic activity in the United States and other major regions in which Edwards Lifesciences does business:
- costs related to possible acquisitions of technologies or businesses;
- Edwards Lifesciences' ability to expand its operations; and
- the amount and timing of expenditures related to expansion of Edwards Lifesciences' operations.

Edwards Lifesciences' inability to protect its intellectual property could have a material adverse effect on its business.

Edwards Lifesciences' success and competitive position are dependent, in part, upon its proprietary intellectual property. Edwards Lifesciences relies on a combination of patents, trade secrets and nondisclosure agreements to protect its proprietary intellectual property, and will continue to do so. Although Edwards Lifesciences seeks to protect its proprietary rights through a variety of means, Edwards Lifesciences cannot guarantee that the protective steps it has taken are adequate to protect these rights. Patents issued to or licensed by Edwards Lifesciences in the past or in the future may be challenged and held invalid. In addition, certain of Edwards Lifesciences' patents are due to expire within the next five years and the Company may be unsuccessful in its efforts to extend its protection through improvement patents, modifications or line extensions. The failure to maintain Edwards Lifesciences' patents could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Edwards Lifesciences also relies on confidentiality agreements with certain employees, consultants and other parties to protect, in part, trade secrets and other proprietary information. These agreements could be breached and Edwards Lifesciences may not have adequate remedies for any breach. In addition, others may independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information or gain access to Edwards Lifesciences' trade secrets or proprietary information. Edwards Lifesciences spends significant resources to monitor and enforce its intellectual property rights. However, the Company's efforts in this regard may not be successful. Edwards Lifesciences may not be able to detect infringement and may lose its competitive position in the industry. In addition, competitors may design around Edwards Lifesciences' technology or develop competing technologies. Patent litigation could result in substantial cost and diversion of effort. Intellectual property rights may also be unavailable or limited in some foreign countries, which could make it easier for competitors to capture increased market position.

Third parties may claim Edwards Lifesciences is infringing their intellectual property, and Edwards Lifesciences could suffer significant litigation or licensing expenses or be prevented from selling products.

During recent years, Edwards Lifesciences' competitors have been involved in substantial litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights in the medical device industry generally. From time to time, Edwards Lifesciences may be forced to defend itself against other claims and legal actions alleging infringement of the intellectual property rights of others. Because intellectual property litigation can be costly and time consuming, Edwards Lifesciences' intellectual property litigation expenses could be significant. Adverse determinations in any such litigation could subject Edwards Lifesciences to significant liabilities to third parties, or could require Edwards Lifesciences to seek licenses from third parties and could, if such licenses are not available, prevent the Company from

manufacturing, selling or using certain of its products, any one of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Third parties could also obtain patents that may require Edwards Lifesciences to either redesign its products or, if possible, negotiate licenses to conduct its business. If Edwards Lifesciences is unable to redesign its products or obtain a license, Edwards Lifesciences may have to exit a particular product offering.

Edwards Lifesciences faces intense competition and consolidation within its industry, and if Edwards Lifesciences does not compete effectively, its business will be harmed.

The cardiovascular medical device industry is highly competitive. Edwards Lifesciences competes with many companies, some of which have longer operating histories, better brand or name recognition and greater access to financial and other resources than Edwards Lifesciences. Furthermore, the industry is characterized by intensive development efforts and rapidly advancing technology. Edwards Lifesciences' present and future products could be rendered obsolete or uneconomical by technological advances made by one or more of its current or future competitors or by alternative therapies, including drug therapies. See "Business—Competition" included herein. Edwards Lifesciences' future success will depend, in large part, on its ability to develop and acquire new products and technologies, anticipate technology advances and keep pace with other developers of cardiovascular therapies and technologies.

The medical device industry has been consolidating and, as a result, transactions with customers are larger, more complex and tend to involve more long—term contracts. The enhanced purchasing power of these larger customers may also increase downward pressure on product pricing. In addition, many existing and potential domestic customers for Edwards Lifesciences' products have combined to form group purchasing organizations, or "GPOs." GPOs negotiate pricing arrangements with medical supply manufacturers and distributors and these negotiated prices are made available to members of GPOs. If Edwards Lifesciences is not one of the providers selected by a GPO, it may be precluded from making sales to members of a GPO. Even if Edwards Lifesciences is one of the selected providers, it may be at a disadvantage relative to other selected providers that are able to offer volume discounts based on purchases of a broader range of medical equipment and supplies. Further, Edwards Lifesciences may be required to commit to pricing that has a material adverse effect on its sales and profit margins, business, financial condition and results of operations.

Edwards Lifesciences and its customers are subject to various governmental regulations and Edwards Lifesciences may incur significant expenses to comply with these regulations and develop its products to be compatible with these regulations.

The medical devices manufactured and marketed by Edwards Lifesciences are subject to rigorous regulation by the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") and numerous other federal, state and foreign governmental authorities. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals to market a medical device, particularly from the FDA and certain foreign governmental authorities, can be costly and time consuming, and approvals might not be granted for future products on a timely basis, if at all. Delays in receipt of, or failure to obtain, approvals for future products could result in delayed realization of product revenues or in substantial additional costs, which could have material adverse effects on Edwards Lifesciences' business or results of operations. In addition, there can be no assurance that Edwards Lifesciences will be or will continue to be in compliance with applicable FDA and other material regulatory requirements. If the FDA or some other foreign governmental authority were to conclude that Edwards Lifesciences was not in compliance with applicable laws or regulations, the FDA or such other foreign governmental authority, as applicable, could institute proceedings to detain or seize Edwards Lifesciences' products, issue a recall, impose operating restrictions, enjoin future violations and assess civil penalties against Edwards Lifesciences, its officers or its employees

and could recommend criminal prosecution to the Department of Justice. Moreover, the FDA or some other foreign governmental authority could proceed to ban, or request recall, repair, replacement or refund of the cost of, any device or product manufactured or distributed by Edwards Lifesciences. Furthermore, both the FDA and foreign government regulators have become increasingly stringent, and Edwards Lifesciences may be subject to more rigorous regulation by governmental authorities in the future.

Failure of any of Edwards Lifesciences' products to meet applicable quality standards could result in recalls or other serious consequences.

Edwards Lifesciences products must conform with exacting quality standards, many of which are imposed by regulations of the FDA and other applicable regulatory agencies. Failure to manufacture products to the required quality standards could result in voluntary or mandatory recalls of the products, field corrective actions or other remedial action, as well as fines and penalties or the ban of the affected product. These actions could result in significant monetary expenditures by Edwards Lifesciences as well as the commitment of substantial amounts of management time and could have a material adverse impact on the financial condition and results of operations of Edwards Lifesciences.

Unsuccessful clinical trials or developmental procedures relating to products and development could have a material adverse effect on Edwards Lifesciences' prospects.

The development of new products by Edwards Lifesciences requires extensive clinical trials and procedures. There can be no assurance that these trials or procedures will be successful or completed in a timely or cost effective manner. Failure to successfully complete these trials or procedures in a timely and cost effective manner could have a material adverse effect on the Company's prospects. In addition, current results from the Company's clinical trials or procedures may not be supported by actual long—term studies or clinical experience. If current results for a Company product cannot be supported by actual long—term studies or clinical experience, the Company's business could be adversely affected.

Edwards Lifesciences is subject to risks arising from concerns and/or regulatory actions relating to "mad cow disease."

Certain of Edwards Lifesciences' products, including pericardial tissue valves, are manufactured using bovine tissue. Concerns relating to the potential transmission of bovine spongiform encephalopathy, or "BSE," commonly known as "mad cow disease," from cows to humans may result in reduced acceptance of bovine products. Edwards Lifesciences obtains its bovine tissue only from closely controlled sources within the United States and Australia. To date, there have been few reported cases in the United States. The bovine tissue used in Edwards Lifesciences' pericardial tissue valves is from tissue types considered by global health and regulatory organizations to have shown no risk of infectibility for the suspected BSE infectious agent. Edwards Lifesciences has not experienced any significant adverse impact on its sales as a result of concerns regarding BSE, but no assurance can be given that such an impact may not occur in the future.

If third party payors decline to reimburse Edwards Lifesciences' customers for its products or reduce reimbursement levels, Edwards Lifesciences' ability to profitably sell its products will be harmed.

Edwards Lifesciences sells its products and technologies to hospitals, doctors and other health care providers, all of which receive reimbursement for the health care services provided to its patients from third party payors, such as government programs (both domestic and international), private insurance plans and managed care programs. These third party payors may deny reimbursement if they determine that a device used in a procedure was not used in accordance with cost—effective treatment methods as determined by such third party payors, or was used for an unapproved indication. Third party payors

may also decline to reimburse for experimental procedures and devices. Edwards Lifesciences believes that many of its existing and future products are cost—effective because they are intended to reduce overall health care costs over a long period of time. Edwards Lifesciences cannot be certain whether these third party payors will recognize these cost savings or will merely focus on the lower initial costs associated with competing therapies. If Edwards Lifesciences' products are not considered cost—effective by third party payors, Edwards Lifesciences' customers may not be reimbursed for the Company's products.

In addition, third party payors are increasingly attempting to contain health care costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement for medical products and services. There can be no assurance that levels of reimbursement, if any, will not be decreased in the future, or that future legislation, regulation or reimbursement policies of third party payors will not otherwise adversely affect the demand for and price levels of Edwards Lifesciences' products. In Japan, customers are reimbursed for Edwards Lifesciences' products under a government—operated insurance system. Under this system, the Japanese government annually reviews the reimbursement levels for products. The Japanese government is also considering other reimbursement regulation. If the Japanese government decides to reduce reimbursement levels for Edwards Lifesciences products, its product pricing may be adversely affected.

Edwards Lifesciences is, or may be, subject to lawsuits related to products or services manufactured or performed by the Company.

Edwards Lifesciences is, or may be, a party to, or may be otherwise responsible for, pending or threatened lawsuits or other claims related to products and services currently or formerly manufactured or performed, as applicable, by the Company. Such cases and claims may raise difficult and complex factual and legal issues and may be subject to many uncertainties and complexities, including, but not limited to, the facts and circumstances of each particular case or claim, the jurisdiction in which each suit is brought, and differences in applicable law. Upon resolution of any such legal matters or other claims, Edwards Lifesciences may incur charges in excess of established reserves. While any such charge could have a material adverse impact on Edwards Lifesciences' net income or net cash flows in the period in which it is recorded or paid, management believes that no such charge relating to any currently pending lawsuit would have a material adverse effect on Edwards Lifesciences' financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Edwards Lifesciences may incur increased costs as a result of recent changes in laws and regulations affecting public companies.

Compliance with changes in laws and regulations affecting public companies such as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requiring an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting may result in increased accounting, legal and administrative costs. The cost of complying with these requirements is substantial and could increase in the future.

The market price for Edwards Lifesciences' common stock may be volatile.

The market price of Edwards Lifesciences' common stock could fluctuate substantially in the future in response to any of the other risk factors set out above and below as well as a number of other factors, including the following:

quarterly variations in operating results, as discussed above under "—Fluctuations in Edwards Lifesciences' quarterly operating results may cause its stock price to decline;"

announcements of innovations, new products, strategic developments or business combinations by Edwards Lifesciences or its competitors;

- changes in Edwards Lifesciences expected operating expense levels or income and losses;
- changes in financial estimates and recommendations of securities analysts;
- the operating and securities price performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable to Edwards Lifesciences; and
- changes in general conditions in the economy, the financial markets, the domestic or international political situation or the medical device industry.

In addition, in recent years the stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. This volatility has had a significant effect on the market prices of securities issued by many companies for reasons unrelated to their operating performance. These broad market fluctuations may materially adversely affect Edwards Lifesciences' stock price, regardless of its operating results.

Edwards Lifesciences' stockholder rights plan, charter and bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, the change in control provisions of the 3.875% convertible senior debentures issued by Edwards Lifesciences and the change in control provisions of other agreements to which Edwards Lifesciences is a party, could make it difficult for a third party to acquire the Company.

Edwards Lifesciences has a stockholder rights plan that may have the effect of discouraging unsolicited takeover proposals. The rights issued under the stockholder rights plan would cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire Edwards Lifesciences on terms not approved in advance by its board of directors. In addition, Delaware corporate law and Edwards Lifesciences' charter and bylaws contain provisions that could delay, deter or prevent a change in control of the Company or its management. These provisions could also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for Edwards Lifesciences' stockholders to elect directors and take other corporate actions without the concurrence of its management or board of directors. These provisions:

- authorize Edwards Lifesciences' board of directors to issue "blank check" preferred stock, which is preferred stock that can be created and issued by its board of directors, without stockholder approval, with rights senior to those of common stock;
- provide for a staggered board of directors and three—year terms for directors, so that no more than a minority of Edwards Lifesciences' directors could be replaced at any annual meeting;
- provide that directors may be removed only for cause;
- provide that stockholder action may be taken only at a special or regular meeting and not by written consent;
- provide for super majority voting requirements for some provisions of Edwards Lifesciences' charter; and
- establish advance notice requirements for submitting nominations for election to the board of directors and for proposing matters to be acted upon by stockholders at a meeting.

Edwards Lifesciences is also subject to anti-takeover provisions under Delaware law, which could also delay or prevent a change of control. Together, these provisions of Edwards Lifesciences' charter and bylaws, Delaware law and its stockholder rights plan may discourage transactions that otherwise could provide for the payment of a premium over prevailing market prices of Edwards Lifesciences' common stock, and also could limit the price that investors are willing to pay in the future for shares of its common stock.

In addition, if Edwards Lifesciences undergoes a change in control (as defined in the indenture relating to Edwards Lifesciences' 3.875% convertible senior debentures) prior to May 15, 2008, the holders of the 3.875% convertible senior debentures have the right, at their option, to require Edwards

Lifesciences to purchase all or a portion of the debentures they hold. In addition, certain change in control events relating to the Company may constitute or otherwise result in events of default under the Company's other debt instruments or its receivables facilities, which could result in borrowings outstanding and other amounts due under those debt instruments and receivables facilities becoming immediately due and payable. These features of Edwards Lifesciences' 3.875% convertible senior debentures and other debt instruments and receivables facilities, and features of Edwards Lifesciences' employee equity programs and change in control severance agreements with the Company's executive officers which have the effect of accelerating vesting of equity awards or causing severance payments to become due and payable, may also discourage a person or a group from attempting to acquire Edwards Lifesciences.

Edwards Lifesciences' issuance of preferred stock could adversely affect holders of its common stock and discourage a takeover.

Edwards Lifesciences' board of directors is authorized to issue up to 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock without any action on the part of its stockholders. Edwards Lifesciences' board of directors also has the power, without stockholder approval, to set the terms of any series of preferred stock that may be issued, including voting rights, dividend rights, preferences over its common stock with respect to dividends or in the event of a dissolution, liquidation or winding up and other terms. In the event that Edwards Lifesciences issues preferred stock in the future that has preference over its common stock with respect to payment of dividends or upon its liquidation, dissolution or winding up, or if Edwards Lifesciences issues preferred stock with voting rights that dilute the voting power of its common stock, the rights of the holders of its common stock or the market price of its common stock could be adversely affected. In addition, the ability of Edwards Lifesciences' board of directors to issue shares of preferred stock without any action on the part of its stockholders may impede a takeover of Edwards Lifesciences and prevent a transaction favorable to the holders of its common stock.

Recent changes related to equity compensation could adversely affect Edwards Lifesciences' ability to attract and retain key personnel.

Since inception, Edwards Lifesciences has used stock options and other long-term equity based incentives as a fundamental component of its employee compensation packages. Management believes that stock options and other long-term equity based incentives directly motivate employees to maximize long-term stockholder value and, through the use of vesting, encourage employees to remain with the Company. The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued changes to the United States generally accepted accounting principles that require Edwards Lifesciences to record a charge to earnings for new and unvested stock options beginning January 1, 2006. In addition, increased investor interest in equity-based compensation and New York Stock Exchange rules prohibiting NYSE member organizations from voting on equity-based compensation plans unless the beneficial owner of the shares has given express voting instructions could make it more difficult for the Company to obtain approval of future stock option grants to employees. To the extent that these changes make it more difficult or expensive to grant stock options to employees, Edwards Lifesciences may incur increased compensation costs, change its equity compensation strategy or find it difficult to attract, retain and motivate employees, each of which could materially adversely affect the Company.

Future sales of common stock in the public market could adversely affect the trading price of Edwards Lifesciences' common stock and its ability to raise funds in new securities offerings.

Future sales of substantial amounts of the common stock of Edwards Lifesciences in the public market, or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect prevailing trading prices of the common stock of Edwards Lifesciences that it may issue and could impair its ability to raise capital

through future offerings of equity or equity-related securities. As of December 31, 2005, Edwards Lifesciences had:

- 59,524,866 shares of common stock outstanding;
- 10,253,574 shares of common stock reserved for issuance upon exercise of options outstanding under Edwards Lifesciences' stock option plans with a weighted average exercise price of \$27.62 per share;
- 2,088,850 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under incentive compensation programs and employee stock purchase plans; and
- 2,744,238 shares of common stock reserved for issuance upon conversion of Edwards Lifesciences' outstanding 3.875% convertible senior debentures

No prediction can be made as to the effect, if any, that future issuances of shares of common stock or the availability of shares of common stock for future sale, will have on the trading price of our common stock. Issuances of substantial amounts of common stock, or the perception that such issuances could occur, may adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock.

### Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

#### Item 2. Properties

The locations and uses of the major properties of Edwards Lifesciences are as follows:

) Corporate Headquarters, Research and Development, Regulatory and Clinical Affairs and Manufacturing
) Administration, Research and Development and Manufacturing
Manufacturing
Manufacturing
Administration and Marketing
Administration, Distribution and Manufacturing
Administration, Distribution and Manufacturing
Japan Headquarters, Distribution
Manufacturing, Distribution

(1) Owned property.

(2) Leased property.

The Dominican Republic lease expires in 2009; the Puerto Rico lease expires in 2008; the Horw, Switzerland lease expires in 2007; the Saint Prex, Switzerland lease expires in 2007; the São Paulo, Brazil lease expires in 2009; the Tokyo, Japan lease expires in 2009; and the Miyazaki, Japan lease expires in 2007. The Company's properties have been well maintained, are in good operating condition and are adequate for current needs.

#### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

On June 29, 2000, Edwards Lifesciences filed a lawsuit against St. Jude Medical, Inc. alleging infringement of several Edwards Lifesciences United States patents. This lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief. Pursuant to the terms of a January 7, 2005 settlement agreement, Edwards Lifesciences was paid \$5.5 million by St. Jude, Edwards Lifesciences granted St. Jude a paid—up license for certain of its heart valve therapy products and the lawsuit was dismissed. This settlement resulted in a net gain of \$0.2 million for the amount of the license payment received from St. Jude net of capitalized patent enforcement costs.

On August 18, 2003, Edwards Lifesciences filed a lawsuit against Medtronic, Inc. and its affiliate, Medtronic Vascular, Inc. (collectively, "Medtronic"), Cook, Inc. and W.L. Gore & Associates alleging infringement of a patent exclusively licensed to the Company. The lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief. On September 2, 2003, a second patent exclusively licensed to the Company was added to the lawsuit. As announced on January 23, 2006, Edwards Lifesciences settled this litigation with Medtronic. In exchange for a cash payment of \$37.5 million from Medtronic to Edwards Lifesciences and Australian—based Endogad Research Pty. Ltd. (the company formed by the clinician—inventors of the patents), Medtronic was granted nonexclusive licenses to the patents involved in the litigation, as well as to certain other related patents. The Company recorded a gain of \$20.2 million in January 2006, which consists of the \$37.5 million cash offset by the settlement paid to Endogad, capitalized patent enforcement costs of \$2.9 million and current legal fees. Edwards Lifesciences remains in litigation with Cook, Inc. and W. L. Gore & Associates, each of which has answered and asserted various affirmative defenses and counterclaims.

In addition, Edwards Lifesciences is or may be a party to, or may be otherwise responsible for, pending or threatened lawsuits related primarily to products and services currently or formerly manufactured or performed, as applicable, by Edwards Lifesciences. Such cases and claims raise difficult and complex factual and legal issues and are subject to many uncertainties and complexities, including, but not limited to, the facts and circumstances of each particular case or claim, the jurisdiction in which each suit is brought, and differences in applicable law. Upon resolution of any such legal matters or other claims, Edwards Lifesciences may incur charges in excess of established reserves. While any such charge could have a material adverse impact on Edwards Lifesciences' net income or net cash flows in the period in which it is recorded or paid, management does not believe that any such charge relating to any currently pending lawsuit would have a material adverse effect on Edwards Lifesciences' financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Edwards Lifesciences is also subject to various environmental laws and regulations both within and outside of the United States. The operations of Edwards Lifesciences, like those of other medical device companies, involve the use of substances regulated under environmental laws, primarily in manufacturing and sterilization processes. While it is difficult to quantify the potential impact of compliance with environmental protection laws, management believes that such compliance will not have a material impact on Edwards Lifesciences' financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

#### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

#### **PART II**

#### Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

#### (a) Market Price

The principal market for Edwards Lifesciences' common stock is the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE"). The table below sets forth, for the calendar quarters indicated, the high and low sales prices of Edwards Lifesciences' common stock as reported by the NYSE.

	2005			2004			
	High	Low		High		Low	
Calendar Quarter Ended:							
March 31	44.28	39.47	\$	35.52	\$	29.61	
June 30	46.76	41.85		36.58		31.88	
September 30	46.25	40.65		36.52		32.77	
December 31	44.32	39.85		42.26		32.60	

#### Number of Stockholders

On February 28, 2006, there were 31,185 stockholders of record of Edwards Lifesciences' common stock.

#### Dividends

Edwards Lifesciences has never paid any cash dividends on its capital stock and has no current plans to pay any cash dividends. The current policy of Edwards Lifesciences is to retain any future earnings for use in the business of the Company.

#### (b) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Calendar Month Ended	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share		Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(a)	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(a)		
October 31, 2005	300,000	\$	42.48	300,000	2,065,000		
November 30, 2005	65,000		41.90	65,000	2,000,000		
December 31, 2005	37,900		41.80	37,900	1,962,100		
Total	402,900	\$	42.32	402,900	1,962,100		

On May 12, 2004, the Company announced that the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company to purchase on the open market and in privately negotiated transactions up to 2.0 million shares of the Company's common stock through December 31, 2006. This program was completed in November 2005. On September 14, 2005, the Company announced that the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company to purchase on the open market and in privately negotiated transactions up to an additional 2.0 million shares of the Company's common stock through December 31, 2007.

#### Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected financial information with respect to Edwards Lifesciences. The information set forth below should be read in conjunction with Edwards Lifesciences' "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and

"Consolidated Financial Statements" found elsewhere in this Form 10–K. See Note 4 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for discussions of the effect of certain transactions on Edwards Lifesciences' operations.

As of or for the years ended December 31,

		 2005		2004		2003		2002	2001	
		(in millions except per share data)								
OPERATING RESULTS	Net sales	\$ 997.9	\$	931.5	\$	860.5	\$	704.0 \$	692.1	
	Gross profit	623.3		561.3		501.1		404.9	368.4	
	Net income (loss)(a)	79.3		1.7		79.0		55.7	(11.4)	
BALANCE SHEET DATA	Total assets	\$ 1,229.1	\$	1,112.7	\$	1,101.4	\$	1,004.4 \$	982.9	
	Long-term debt and lease obligations	316.1		267.1		255.8		245.5	309.8	
COMMON STOCK INFORMATION	Net income (loss) per common share(a):									
	Basic	\$ 1.33	\$	0.03	\$	1.34	\$	0.94 \$	(0.19)	
	Diluted	1.27		0.03		1.29		0.91	(0.19)	
	Cash dividends declared per common share	_		_		_		_	_	

(a)

See Notes 3 and 4 to the "Consolidated Financial Statements" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for additional information regarding in–process research and development and other special charges, net of \$49.4 million, \$110.5 million, \$37.1 million during 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. During 2002, the Company recorded a \$67.4 million charge related to the impairment of one of its investments in an unconsolidated affiliate. During 2001, the Company recorded a loss of \$68.2 million related to the sale of Edwards Lifesciences Cardiovascular Resources, Inc. to Fresenius Medical Care AG and an impairment of the long–lived assets and investments related to certain products that the Company decided to discontinue selling.

#### Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis presents the factors that had a material effect on the results of operations of Edwards Lifesciences during the three years ended December 31, 2005. Also discussed is Edwards Lifesciences' financial position as of December 31, 2005. You should read this discussion in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10–K.

#### Overview

Edwards Lifesciences is a global provider of products and technologies that are designed to treat advanced cardiovascular disease. Edwards Lifesciences focuses on providing products and technologies to address specific cardiovascular opportunities: heart valve disease; critical care technologies; and peripheral vascular disease.

The products and services provided by Edwards Lifesciences to treat cardiovascular disease are categorized into five main areas: Heart Valve Therapy; Critical Care; Cardiac Surgery Systems; Vascular; and Other Distributed Products.

Edwards Lifesciences' heart valve therapy portfolio is comprised of tissue heart valves and heart valve repair products. A pioneer in the development and commercialization of heart valve products,

Edwards Lifesciences is the world's leading manufacturer of tissue heart valves and repair products used to replace or repair a patient's diseased or defective heart valve. In the **critical care** area, Edwards Lifesciences is a world leader in hemodynamic monitoring systems used to measure a patient's heart function and in disposable pressure transducers, and also provides central venous access products for fluid and drug delivery. The Company's **cardiac surgery systems** portfolio comprises a diverse line of products for use during cardiac surgery including cannula, transmyocardial revascularization ("TMR") technology, oxygenators, blood containers, filters and other disposable products used during cardiopulmonary bypass procedures. Edwards Lifesciences' **vascular** portfolio includes a line of balloon catheter—based products, surgical clips and inserts, artificial implantable grafts, and stents used in the treatment of peripheral vascular disease. Lastly, **other distributed products** include sales of intra—aortic balloon pumps and other products sold primarily though the Company's distribution network in Japan.

The healthcare marketplace continues to be competitive with strong local and global competitors. Global demand for healthcare is increasing as the population ages. There is mounting pressure to contain healthcare costs in the face of this increasing demand, which has resulted in pricing and market share pressures. Management expects these trends to continue.

#### **Results of Operations**

#### Net Sales Trends

The following is a summary of United States and international net sales (dollars in millions):

	Years Ended December 31,						Cha	nge		Percent Change		
		2005		2004		2003		2005		2004	2005	2004
United States	\$	455.9	\$	416.5	\$	384.3	\$	39.4	\$	32.2	9.5%	8.4%
Europe		241.3		221.2		193.5		20.1		27.7	9.1%	14.3%
Japan Intercontinental		186.4 114.3		197.2 96.6		197.9 84.8		(10.8) 17.7		(0.7) 11.8	(5.5)% 18.3%	(0.4)% 13.9%
	_	<b>7.10</b> .0	_	515.0	_	17.60	_	25.0	_	20.0	5.004	0.10/
International	_	542.0		515.0	_	476.2		27.0	_	38.8	5.2%	8.1%
Total net sales	\$	997.9	\$	931.5	\$	860.5	\$	66.4	\$	71.0	7.1%	8.3%

The increase in net sales in the United States in 2005 was due primarily to increased sales in heart valve therapy products driven by the continuing penetration of the Company's *Carpentier–Edwards PERIMOUNT Magna* valve and its *Carpentier–Edwards PERIMOUNT Magna* valve with *ThermaFix* and resulting in market share gains.

The increase in international net sales in 2005 was due primarily to:

- heart valve therapy products, which increased net sales by \$17.6 million driven by strong Carpentier-Edwards PERIMOUNT valve sales in Europe;
- critical care products, which increased net sales by \$11.4 million;
  - foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, which increased net sales by \$6.9 million (primarily the strengthening of the Euro and Brazilian real against the United States dollar, offset by the weakening of the Japanese yen against the United States dollar); and
- vascular and cardiac surgery products, which increased net sales by \$6.3 million.

The 2005 increases were partially offset by a decrease in net sales of \$22.6 million due to the impact of discontinued businesses, primarily in Japan.

In 2004, the increase in net sales in the United States was due primarily to increased sales in heart valve therapy products, which was driven by sales of the Company's Carpentier–Edwards PERIMOUNT and PERIMOUNT Magna arotic valves and the PERIMOUNT valves with Tricentrix holder.

The increase in international net sales in 2004 was due primarily to:

foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, which increased net sales by \$38.5 million (primarily the strengthening of the Euro and the Japanese yen against the United States dollar); and

heart valve therapy products, which increased net sales by \$15.5 million driven primarily by strong PERIMOUNT valve sales in Europe.

The 2004 increases were partially offset by (1) a decrease in net sales of \$14.9 million due to the sale of the Company's German and Italian perfusion services businesses and the discontinuation of the *Lifepath AAA* program and (2) a decrease in net sales in Japan resulting primarily from reimbursement changes.

The impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on net sales would not necessarily be indicative of the impact on net income due to the corresponding effect of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on international manufacturing and operating costs and the Company's hedging activities. For more information see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk."

#### Net Sales by Product Line

The following is a summary of net sales by product line (dollars in millions):

	Years Ended December 31,						Cha	nge		Percent Change		
	2005		2004		2003		2005		2004	2005	2004	
Heart Valve Therapy	\$ 469.3	\$	419.2	\$	366.4	\$	50.1	\$	52.8	12.0%	14.4%	
Critical Care	324.1		302.3		278.8		21.8		23.5	7.2%	8.4%	
Cardiac Surgery Systems	104.6		107.3		115.0		(2.7)		(7.7)	(2.5)%	(6.7)%	
Vascular	66.1		60.1		55.9		6.0		4.2	10.0%	7.5%	
Other Distributed Products	33.8		42.6		44.4		(8.8)		(1.8)	(20.7)%	(4.1)%	
		_		_				_				
Total net sales	\$ 997.9	\$	931.5	\$	860.5	\$	66.4	\$	71.0	7.1%	8.3%	

#### Heart Valve Therapy

The \$50.1 million net sales growth of heart valve therapy products in 2005 was due primarily to:

- pericardial tissue valves, which increased net sales by \$37.0 million, primarily as a result of market share gains globally of the Company's Carpentier-Edwards PERIMOUNT Magna valve, particularly in the United States;
- heart valve repair products, which increased net sales by \$10.1 million, primarily driven by the continuing adoption of the Company's new disease—specific therapies; and
- foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, which increased heart valve therapy net sales by \$1.8 million (primarily the strengthening of the Euro and Brazilian real against the United States dollar).

The \$52.8 million net sales growth of heart valve therapy products in 2004 was due primarily to:

pericardial tissue valves, which increased net sales by \$37.9 million, primarily as a result of strong market adoption of the Company's *Carpentier–Edwards PERIMOUNT Magna* valve in the United States and Europe;

- foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, which increased net sales by \$14.3 million (primarily the strengthening of the Euro and Japanese yen against the United States dollar); and
- heart valve repair products, which increased net sales by \$5.6 million.

The 2004 increases were partially offset by decreased sales of porcine tissue valves of \$5.1 million.

Sales of heart valve therapy products continued to be strong in 2005 driven by market share gains. During the year, the Company expanded the availability of its *Carpentier–Edwards PERIMOUNT Magna* valve and its *Carpentier–Edwards PERIMOUNT Magna* valve with *ThermaFix*, an advanced tissue treatment process. The features and performance of these products continue to command a price premium. During the second quarter 2005, the Company launched in the United States its *PERIMOUNT Theon* mitral pericardial valve system, which is based on its existing mitral pericardial technology and includes enhancements and additional accessories along with its new *ThermaFix* advanced tissue process. This system has been well received by clinicians and was a notable contributor to heart valve therapy sales growth. The Company anticipates further penetration of its *PERIMOUNT Magna* aortic valves in the United States with the addition of *ThermaFix* and expects further geographic expansion of the *PERIMOUNT Magna* product line.

In September 2005, the Company introduced its new *PERIMOUNT Magna* mitral valve in Europe and expects to launch this product in the United States following FDA approval, which is anticipated in 2006. Unlike most competitive porcine tissue valves, *PERIMOUNT Magna* mitral is designed specifically for the requirements of the mitral position.

Global heart valve repair sales demonstrated strong growth in 2005 and the Company expects to introduce another new mitral repair system in 2006.

In the fourth quarter 2005, the Company completed the first minimal access beating heart surgical procedures with its *Ascendra* aortic valve replacement system. The Company plans to continue patient cases at multiple sites in Canada and Europe as part of an initial feasibility study. The results of the feasibility study will determine the timelines for a future pivotal study intended to achieve commercial clearance in key global markets.

#### Critical Care

The \$21.8 million net sales growth of critical care products in 2005 was due primarily to:

- hemodynamic monitoring products, which increased net sales by \$12.7 million, driven primarily by market share gains;
- an expanded hemofiltration product line, which increased net sales by \$5.8 million; and
- currency exchange rate fluctuations, which increased net sales by \$2.2 million (primarily the strengthening of the Euro and Brazilian real against the United States dollar, offset by the weakening of the Japanese yen against the United States dollar).

The \$23.5 million net sales growth of critical care products in 2004 was due primarily to:

- foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, which increased net sales by \$13.4 million (primarily the strengthening of the Euro and the Japanese yen against the United States dollar);
- pressure monitoring products, which increased net sales by \$8.4 million, driven primarily by market share gains; and
- overall strong sales demand in markets outside of Europe and Japan.

The 2004 increases were partially offset by decreased sales of base catheter products, which decreased net sales by \$6.1 million, and recent reimbursement decreases in Japan.

Minimally invasive monitoring systems, featuring the Company's *FloTrac* system, represent a new and market–expanding opportunity for the Company. The Company launched its *FloTrac* system in Europe in the first quarter of 2005 and in the United States in the second quarter of 2005. In January 2006, the Company announced that it received regulatory and reimbursement approval for its *FloTrac* system in Japan. The Company anticipates the Japan launch in the first half of 2006.

#### Cardiac Surgery Systems

The \$2.7 million net sales decrease of cardiac surgery systems in 2005 was due primarily to the sale of the Company's perfusion products business in Japan in January 2005 and the sale of the Company's Italian perfusion services businesses in June 2004, which together decreased net sales by \$9.6 million. The decrease was partially offset by:

- cannula products, which increased net sales by \$2.8 million, driven primarily by market share gains and a shift to specialty products; and
- currency exchange rate fluctuations, which increased net sales by \$2.2 million (primarily the strengthening of the Euro and Brazilian real against the United States dollar, offset by the weakening of the Japanese yen against the United States dollar).

The \$7.7 million net sales decrease of cardiac surgery systems in 2004 was due primarily to:

- the sales of the Company's German and Italian perfusion services businesses in July 2003 and June 2004, respectively, which decreased net sales by \$11.4 million; and
- perfusion products in Japan, which decreased net sales by \$3.8 million.

The 2004 decreases were partially offset by:

- foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, which increased net sales by \$5.0 million (primarily the strengthening of the Euro and the Japanese yen against the United States dollar); and
- cannula products, which increased net sales by \$3.3 million.

In January 2005, the Company sold its Japan perfusion products business and expects to complete transitioning the business to the buyer in 2006. Throughout the transition period, the Company will continue to act as supplier and expects sales to the buyer of approximately \$3.4 million in 2006.

In January 2006, the Company launched its *Optiwave 980* cardiac ablation system. Management believes this product will be a strong adjunct to the Company's current surgical heart valve business.

#### Vascular

The \$6.0 million net sales growth of vascular products in 2005 was due primarily to:

- LifeStent products, which increased net sales by \$5.7 million; and
- currency exchange rate fluctuations, which increased net sales by \$0.8 million (primarily the strengthening of the Euro and Brazilian real against the United States dollar, offset by the weakening of the Japanese yen against the United States dollar).

The 2005 increases were partially offset by the discontinuation of the *Lifepath* AAA program in June 2004.

The \$4.2 million net sales growth of vascular products for 2004 was due primarily to:

- currency exchange rate fluctuations, which increased net sales by \$2.6 million (primarily the strengthening of the Euro and the Japanese yen against the United States dollar); and
- sales of interventional products, which increased net sales by \$2.4 million.

The 2004 increases were partially offset by the discontinuation of the *Lifepath* AAA program in June 2004.

The Company expects stent sales to grow in 2006. In the fourth quarter 2005, the Company received 510(k) approval for its new *LifeStent FlexStar* self–expanding stent delivery system and expects introduction in the United States in the first half of 2006.

#### Other Distributed Products

The \$8.8 million decrease in net sales of other distributed products in 2005 was due primarily to the discontinuation of sales in Japan of certain lower–margin distributed cardiology products in September 2004 and the exit from the Japan pacemaker business during the first quarter of 2005.

The \$1.8 million decrease in net sales of other distributed products in 2004 was due primarily to the Company's de-emphasis of certain lower-margin distributed cardiology products in Japan.

#### Gross Profit

Year End	led Decembe	Percentage Point Increase		
2005	2004	2003	2005	2004
62.5%	60.3%	58.2%	2.2 pts.	2.1 pts.

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales for 2005 increased compared to the prior year due primarily to (1) a 1.3 percentage point increase from the favorable impact of foreign currency, including the expiration of currency hedging contracts and (2) sales of higher margin heart valve products.

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales for 2004 increased compared to the prior year due primarily to (1) a 1.3 percentage point increase from the favorable impact of foreign currency, including the expiration of currency hedging contracts, (2) an increase of 0.6 percentage points due to the elimination of certain lower margin businesses, and (3) increased sales of higher margin heart valve products, partially offset by reimbursement decreases in Japan.

#### Selling, General and Administrative ("SG&A") Expenses

		Years Ended December 31,						Change			
	2005		2004		2003		2005		2004		
SG&A expenses	\$	348.7	\$	319.9	\$	289.9	\$	28.8	\$	30.0	
SG&A expenses as a percentage of net sales	Ψ	34.9%	6	34.3%	Ψ	33.7%	ά	0.6 pts.	Ψ	0.6 pts.	

The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses in 2005 resulted primarily from higher sales and marketing expenses primarily related to the Company's United States peripheral stent and heart valve therapy products (\$19.0 million), higher international expenses due to foreign exchange rates (\$2.3 million), and higher legal and consulting expenses.

The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales for 2005 was due primarily to the increased investment in United States sales and marketing expenses related to peripheral stents.

The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses in 2004 was due primarily to higher international expenses due to changes in foreign exchange rates (\$12.6 million) and higher sales and marketing expenses in the peripheral stent and heart valve therapy product lines in the United States.

The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales for 2004 was due primarily to the increased investment in United States sales and marketing expenses in the peripheral stent and heart valve therapy product lines, partially offset by the cost reductions made in the third quarter of 2003.

#### Research and Development Expenses

	Years Ended December 31,						Change			
	2005		2004		2003		2005		2004	
Research and development expenses	\$	99.0	\$	87.0	\$	72.8	\$	12.0	\$	14.2
Research and development expenses as a percentage of net sales		9.9%		9.3%		8.5%	ó	0.6 pts.		0.8 pts.

The increase in research and development expenses and research and development expenses as a percentage of net sales for 2005 resulted primarily from additional investment in the Company's percutaneous heart valve programs.

The increase in research and development expenses for 2004 resulted primarily from additional investment in the Company's percutaneous valve programs and the amortization of Percutaneous Valve Technologies, Inc. ("PVT") intangible assets, partially offset by reduced spending in the *Lifepath AAA* program.

Research and development expenses as a percentage of net sales for 2004 increased due primarily to the amortization and additional research and development spending related to PVT.

In June 2005, the Company announced that it was delaying enrollment in its percutaneous aortic heart valve clinical feasibility trials in the United States, pending approval by the FDA, to incorporate a larger valve and new retrograde delivery system, which had been successfully used in Canada. In December 2005, the Company received FDA approval to resume the United States clinical feasibility trial using the new retrograde delivery system and the larger valve. In January 2006, the Company began performing feasibility cases under the United States Investigational Device Exemption ("IDE") at one site and is actively training more physicians. Upon successful completion of the clinical feasibility trial, the Company will work closely with the FDA to determine next steps. As previously reported, the Humanitarian Device Exemption ("HDE") filing in the United States has been postponed.

Outside the United States, the percutaneous aortic heart valve multi-center CE mark study is on-going, and cases are continuing in Europe and Canada using the retrograde approach and the larger valve. As the Company continues to train physicians and add new sites, it is targeting enrollment completion by early 2007, which would allow the Company to receive a CE mark as early as the end of 2007, absent adverse results.

In the third quarter 2005, the Company completed the transition of valve manufacturing capability from the former PVT supplier to Edwards Lifesciences. Additionally, the Company received FDA approval for Edwards Lifesciences as a manufacturing site, and is currently producing percutaneous valves in Irvine, California.

The Company received regulatory approvals in the third quarter 2005 to resume feasibility studies for the *Edwards MONARC* Mitral Annuloplasty System, the Company's coronary sinus mitral repair technology, in Canada and Europe with an enhanced device design. Patient cases are continuing at multiple sites in Canada and Europe and the Company anticipates completion of these studies in the first half of 2006, at which point the Company will assess and develop plans for the pivotal trial necessary to gain regulatory approval for commercial sale.

Cases have begun in the feasibility study for the *Edwards MOBIUS* Mitral Repair System, the Company's edge-to-edge mitral repair program, at sites in Europe and Canada. In early patient

experience, the Company identified two enhancements, which included the ability to deploy multiple stitches during the procedure and device modifications to accommodate thicker mitral leaflets, to enable the technology to treat a broader group of patients and achieve improved efficacy. As a result, the Company now expects to complete the feasibility trial in 2006, at which point the Company will assess and develop plans for the pivotal trial necessary to gain regulatory approval for commercial sale.

#### Purchased in-process Research and Development Expenses

The information in "Purchased in-process Research and Development Expenses," related to regulatory milestones describes the Company's expectations with respect to the applicable programs at the time of the respective acquisitions and does not reflect subsequent activities or expectations. Refer to "Research and Development Expenses", above, for the current status of these programs and the Company's expectations.

#### <u>2005</u>

In September 2005, the Company recorded a \$1.2 million pretax charge for in–process research and development related to the acquisition of technology and intellectual property. The acquired assets are expected to be utilized in the Company's existing mitral valve repair research and development efforts. Additional design developments, bench testing, pre–clinical studies and human clinical studies must be successfully completed prior to selling any product.

#### **2004**

On September 29, 2004, the Company acquired all technology and intellectual property associated with ev3, Inc.'s ("ev3") percutaneous mitral valve repair program for total consideration of \$15.0 million. The acquired assets were expected to be utilized in the Company's existing percutaneous mitral valve repair research and development efforts. At the time of the purchase, ev3 had been unsuccessful in developing a viable prototype and had discontinued the program. Completion of successful design developments, bench testing, pre-clinical studies and human clinical studies were required prior to selling any product. The risks and uncertainties associated with completing development within a reasonable period of time include those related to the design, development and manufacturability of the product, the success of pre-clinical and clinical studies, and the timing of European and United States regulatory approvals. Approximately \$12.3 million of the purchase price was charged to in-process research and development. The value of the in-process research and development was calculated using cash flow projections discounted for the risk inherent in such projects. The discount rate used was 30%. The valuation assumed approximately \$39.0 million of additional research and development expenditures would be incurred prior to the date of product introduction. In the valuation, the Company estimated completion of the mitral valve repair program utilizing the intellectual property acquired from ev3 in 2009, and commencement of net cash inflows in 2010. The remaining fair market value of the assets purchased consisted primarily of patents unrelated to ev3's core mitral valve repair technology, which are being amortized over their estimated economic life of 19 years.

On January 27, 2004, the Company acquired PVT, a development stage company, for \$125.0 million in cash, net of cash acquired, plus up to an additional \$30.0 million upon the achievement of key milestones through 2007. Included in PVT's technology is a catheter–based (percutaneous) approach for replacing aortic heart valves, comprised of a proprietary percutaneously–delivered balloon–expandable stent technology integrated with a tissue heart valve. Unlike conventional open–heart valve replacement surgery, this less–invasive procedure can be performed under local anesthesia and could potentially be a breakthrough for patients seeking an alternative to open–heart surgery.

At the time of acquisition, the PVT aortic heart valve was being used in compassionate cases in Europe, and these clinical results had generated valuable feasibility data. It had been demonstrated that a heart valve could be successfully deployed and anchored using a catheter–based system. Also at that time, the Company was expecting to obtain a CE mark in Europe by the end of 2005 and to file for an HDE in the United States. Upon approval of the HDE, the Company would be able to offer this device to as many as 4,000 patients per year. Broader commercialization in the United States was expected to begin with the submission of an IDE by the end of the second quarter of 2004 followed by the commencement of a pivotal trial in 2005 and possible pre—market approval by the end of 2007. The risks and uncertainties associated with completing development within a reasonable period of time included those related to the design, development and manufacturability of the product, the success of pre—clinical and clinical studies and the timing of European and United States regulatory approvals.

Approximately \$81.0 million of the purchase price was charged to in–process research and development. The value of the in–process research and development was calculated using cash flow projections discounted for the risk inherent in such projects. The discount rate used was 25%. The valuation assumed approximately \$20.9 million of additional research and development expenditures would be incurred prior to the date of product introduction. In the valuation, net cash inflows were forecasted to commence in 2007. The remaining fair market value of the net assets acquired consisted primarily of patents of \$72.4 million that are being amortized over their estimated economic life of 11 years, and a deferred tax liability related to the patents of \$28.1 million.

### 2003

On December 5, 2003, the Company acquired the stock of Whitland Research Limited ("Whitland") for \$3.2 million in cash, although achievement of future milestones through 2006 could increase the total price to \$5.6 million. Whitland was focused on the development of critical care monitoring technologies. The \$3.2 million purchase price was allocated to acquired in–process research and development (\$1.8 million) and patents (\$1.4 million) based upon their estimated fair values. The patents are being amortized over their estimated useful life of 10 years.

On February 18, 2003, the Company acquired the percutaneous mitral valve repair program of Jomed N.V. ("Jomed"), a European-based provider of products for minimally invasive cardiovascular intervention, for \$20.0 million in cash. The acquisition included all technology and intellectual property associated with the program. At the acquisition date, the program, which was less than 50% complete, was involved in testing proprietary prototypes prior to initiating required pre-clinical studies and human clinicals. Completion of successful design improvements, bench testing, pre-clinical studies and human clinical studies were required prior to commercially selling the product in Europe and the United States, which at the time of the transaction was expected in 2005 and 2006, respectively. The risks and uncertainties associated with completing development within a reasonable period of time included those related to the design, development and manufacturability of the product, the success of pre-clinical and clinical studies and the timing of European and United States regulatory approvals. Approximately \$11.8 million of the purchase price was charged to in-process research and development. The value of the in-process research and development was calculated using cash flow projections discounted for the risk inherent in such projects. The discount rate used was 30%. The valuation assumed approximately \$20.0 million of additional research and development expenditures would be incurred prior to the date of product introduction. In the valuation, material net cash inflows were forecasted to commence in 2008. The remaining fair market value of the assets acquired consisted primarily of patents that are being amortized over their estimated economic life of 17 years.

# Special Charges, net

	2	2005	005 2		2	2003
	_		_		_	
Restructure 3F agreements	\$	22.8	\$	_	\$	
Investment impairments		16.3		9.0		_
Charitable fund		15.0		5.0		
Net (gain) loss on sale of businesses		(14.1)		_		3.3
Severance expenses		3.9		_		13.0
Litigation gain and royalty settlements, net		2.9		_		_
Discontinued products		1.4		10.6		_
Gain on sale of property development rights		_		(7.4)		_
Resolution of Baxter arbitration		_		_		5.3
Puerto Rico pension curtailment		_		_		1.9
			_		_	
Total special charges, net	\$	48.2	\$	17.2	\$	23.5
			_		_	

# Restructure 3F Agreements

In June 2005, the Company recorded a special charge of \$22.8 million related to the restructuring of development and supply agreements between 3F Therapeutics, Inc. and PVT that were established prior to the Company's acquisition of PVT in early 2004. Under the terms of the new agreements, the Company paid \$23.0 million in cash, with an additional payment of \$2.0 million to be paid if certain conditions are met, and obtained the rights to self–manufacture all components of its percutaneous heart valves and certain pre–approved technology licenses.

# **Investment Impairments**

In September 2005, the Company recorded an \$8.9 million charge related to the other–than–temporary impairment of its investment in Sangamo Biosciences, Inc. ("Sangamo"). The investment was written down to \$3.7 million, which represented the quoted market price of Sangamo's common stock at September 30, 2005.

The Company considered numerous facts, including those described below, to conclude that any impairment of the Sangamo investment was temporary in nature as of the end of each of the quarters in 2003 and 2004, and the first two quarters of 2005:

Sangamo's key internally established development milestones were progressing and/or remained on track at each quarter—end throughout 2003 and 2004, and the first two quarters of 2005. There were no changes in technology that could impair Sangamo's earnings potential of the investment and the technological progress supported a positive outlook. The Company believed that the number and scope of Sangamo's programs and the range of its third party collaborations and the continued success in the Company's Sangamo—related programs would significantly drive the value of Sangamo. Moreover, the clinical momentum was building at the end of 2004 with the anticipation of three to four Phase I human trials, the likely completion of one or more Phase I trials with positive data and the planned announcements at major medical meetings.

Management of the Company believed that declines in Sangamo's stock price were a result of certain external events and general investor sentiment of the biotechnology sector, and not Sangamo-specific activities. In addition, the Company recognized that, historically, reports of significant positive clinical outcomes had frequently resulted in a significant increase in the stock price of a biotechnology company over a relatively short time period. Management believed this would be the case for Sangamo.

Throughout all periods in which the Company concluded that the impairment of this investment was temporary, Sangamo maintained cash and liquid investment reserves sufficient to continue to fund the ongoing development efforts for the technology for periods well in excess of one year.

Throughout all periods in which the Company concluded that the impairment of this investment was temporary, the Company had the financial ability and intent to retain this investment indefinitely. Sangamo's technology was considered important to the development of certain of the Company's next generation products, and required a long–term horizon for ongoing development of new technology.

Sangamo is a multi-technology (human therapeutics, drug discovery and plant agriculture) biotechnology company and has the ability to attract many different investors. In addition, the diversity of technology applications served to dilute the risk related to any one application failure.

The Company expected the market price of Sangamo's stock to increase not only as a result of announcements of positive clinical trial results, but also other operational events. During the second half of 2005, Sangamo announced five significant key developments regarding collaborative agreements, additional funding and breakthrough technology. The Company expected that this concentration of positive developments could have generated a considerable increase in the stock price, better recognizing the underlying value of Sangamo. Based upon (1) the significant developments in the third quarter of 2005 which, individually and in the aggregate, failed to have a material impact on the quoted market price of Sangamo's stock, (2) the continuing duration and severity of the impairment, and (3) Sangamo's declining cash position, the Company concluded in September 2005 that the impairment on its investment in Sangamo was other—than—temporary and, therefore, recognized \$8.9 million in earnings.

In 2005, the Company recorded additional charges totaling \$7.4 million related to other—than—temporary impairment of technology investments in five other unconsolidated affiliates. Of the total additional charge, \$1.9 million related to declines in the stock prices of two available—for—sale investments. The remaining charges were due to increased potential risk of certain private investees' uncertain future liquidity.

In 2004, the Company recorded charges totaling \$9.0 million related to the other—than—temporary impairment of technology investments in four unconsolidated affiliates. One of the impairments resulted from the decline in the stock price of an affiliate. Two of the affiliates had announced they were discontinuing their development efforts and the book value of those investments was reduced to the residual distribution Edwards Lifesciences expected to receive from those companies. The remaining affiliate performed a reset financing that reduced the net value per share for all existing investors. This investment is recorded at the reduced value.

#### Charitable Fund

In December 2004, the Company made an initial contribution of \$5.0 million to establish the Edwards Lifesciences Fund, a donor—advised fund intended to provide philanthropic support to cardio—vascular disease charitable causes. In September 2005, the Company completed its funding goal and made an additional \$15.0 million contribution. Both of these contributions were irrevocable contributions to a third party and were recorded as charges at time of payment.

Net (Gain) Loss on Sale of Businesses

In January 2005, the Company announced that it was realigning its business in Japan as part of the Company's continued efforts to focus on its core cardiovascular businesses. The Company

(1) restructured its operations, (2) exited its pacemaker distribution business and (3) sold its perfusion products business in Japan to Terumo Corporation for cash consideration of between \$10 million and \$20 million based upon the achievement of certain milestones, of which \$9.2 million was received in January 2005. These transactions resulted in a \$1.0 million net gain, consisting of a gain on the sale of the Company's Japan perfusion products business of \$7.7 million, offset by a \$5.7 million charge relating to the realignment of its operations, primarily related to severance costs due to headcount reductions and a \$1.0 million charge related to settlement and curtailment impacting its defined benefit pension plan and for termination benefits.

In November 2005, the Company sold its vascular graft business to Angiotech Pharmaceuticals Inc. for \$14.0 million in cash. Under the agreement, the Company will continue to market and sell its existing *Lifespan* product line. The sale of the business resulted in a \$13.1 million net gain, consisting of cash proceeds of \$14.0 million offset by the \$0.9 million net book value of inventory and fixed assets that were sold.

In July 2003, the Company sold its German perfusion services subsidiary to WKK GmbH, a German–based provider of hospital services, for a nominal amount. Sales generated by the German perfusion services subsidiary were approximately \$3.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2003. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long—Lived Assets," and Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 100, "Restructuring and Impairment Charges," the Company recorded a pre—tax impairment charge of \$3.3 million in the second quarter of 2003 to reduce the carrying value of the subsidiary's assets to fair value based upon the proceeds from the sale.

### Severance Expenses

In December 2005, the Company recorded a charge of \$3.9 million related to severance resulting from a resource realignment. The charge was related primarily to the severance costs associated with reducing the Company's workforce by 52 employees, primarily in Puerto Rico, Europe and North America. As of December 31, 2005, the Company had paid \$1.3 million related to severance with the remaining \$2.6 million expected to be paid in 2006.

In July 2003, the Company recorded a charge of \$13.0 million associated with a decision to streamline operations. The charge was related primarily to the severance costs associated with reducing the Company's worldwide workforce by 136 employees, primarily in the United States and Europe. As of December 31, 2005, all of the severance costs had been paid.

Litigation Gain and Royalty Settlements, net

In September 2005, the Company recorded a gain of \$2.5 million related to the resolution of intellectual property litigation. In the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company recorded a \$5.4 million charge related to two royalty dispute settlements.

### Discontinued Products

In the fourth quarter 2005, the Company recorded a charge of \$1.4 million resulting from the payment of an early termination fee to discontinue certain firm non–cancelable product purchase commitments related to a discontinued product line in Europe.

In March 2004, due to a re–prioritization of the Company's investment initiatives, the Company discontinued its sales effort of its *Lifepath* AAA endovascular graft program. The Company recorded a special charge of \$8.4 million primarily related to inventory and contractual clinical obligations. In addition, the Company decided to discontinue certain lower margin cardiology products in Japan later that year and recorded a \$2.2 million charge in 2004 primarily related to other non–productive assets.

# Gain on Sale of Property Development Rights

In November 2004, the Company recorded income of \$7.4 million for the sale of property development rights in Irvine, California, that had no book value at the time of the sale.

# Resolution of Baxter Arbitration

In January 2004, the Company concluded a dispute resolution proceeding with Baxter International Inc. ("Baxter"). Each company sought reimbursement from the other for a variety of claims arising from the Company's spin-off from Baxter in April 2000. The resolution resulted in a \$5.3 million charge related primarily to the valuation of receivables at the date of spin-off, and a \$5.4 million increase to Additional Contributed Capital related to the true-up of the beginning balance of equity.

### Puerto Rico Pension Curtailment

In November 2003, the Company suspended its defined benefit pension plan in Puerto Rico (the "Plan"). Effective December 31, 2003, employees ceased earning additional defined benefits for future services. To mitigate the Puerto Rico employees' reduced benefits from the Plan's suspension, effective January 1, 2004, the Company increased its contributions to the Puerto Rico 1165(e) defined contribution plan. In accordance with SFAS No. 88, "Employers' Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits," the Company recorded a curtailment loss of \$1.9 million during the fourth quarter 2003. As of December 31, 2005, the Plan's accumulated benefit obligation exceeded the fair value of its assets by \$5.3 million.

### Interest Expense, net

	 Years	Ended	(1.0) (1		
	 2005	2	004	2	003
Interest expense Interest income	\$ 12.3 (2.6)	\$		\$	14.2 (1.0)
	\$ 9.7	\$	14.2	\$	13.2

The decrease in interest expense for 2005 resulted primarily from lower average interest rates, including the effect of interest rate swaps. The increase in interest income resulted primarily from a higher cash and cash equivalent balance.

The increase in interest expense for 2004 resulted primarily from a higher average debt balance, due largely to the financing of the PVT acquisition.

# Other (Income) Expense, net

The following is a summary of other (income) expense, net (in millions):

		Ye	ars Ende	d Decembe	er 31,	
	2005		2	2004		2003
Foreign exchange gain	\$	(2.1)	\$	(0.2)	\$	(10.6)
Accounts receivable securitization costs		1.7		1.0		0.8
Asset dispositions and write-downs		_		_		3.6
Other		0.2		(1.2)		1.5
			_			
	\$	(0.2)	\$	(0.4)	\$	(4.7)
					_	

Foreign exchange gains relate to global trade and intercompany receivable and payable balances.

# **Provision for Income Taxes**

The effective income tax rates for 2005, 2004 and 2003 were impacted as follows (in millions):

		Years	Ende	ed Decemb	er 31,	
		2005		2004		2003
Income tax expense at U.S. federal statutory rate	\$	40.9	\$	10.5	\$	32.5
Foreign income tax at different rates		(14.3)		(22.5)		(11.9)
Deemed dividends, net of foreign tax credit		3.6		2.5		6.2
Tax credits, federal and state		(2.0)		(2.1)		(2.1)
(Benefit) from Brazil reorganization		_		_		(13.7)
State and local taxes, net of federal tax benefit and transactions listed separately		(1.0)		0.8		1.0
Valuation allowance for loss on investments		(6.2)		6.6		_
Nondeductible in-process research and development expenses		_		27.8		_
Taxes on repatriation under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004		15.0		_		_
Other		1.4		4.8		1.8
	_		_		_	
Income tax expense	\$	37.4	\$	28.4	\$	13.8
			_			

The Company expects its effective income tax rate for recurring operations to be 26% for 2006.

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the "Act") was signed into law in October 2004 and allowed companies to repatriate cash during 2004 and 2005 into the United States at a special, temporary effective tax rate of 5.25 percent. On September 13, 2005, the Board of Directors approved a plan for reinvestment and repatriation of specific foreign earnings under the Act. The Company repatriated \$263.1 million in cash in 2005. The Company accrued \$15.0 million for federal, state and foreign taxes attributable to the distribution from its foreign affiliates in 2005.

Beginning in 2002 and through 2005, the Company recorded other—than—temporary impairments and unrealized losses related to certain of its investments in unconsolidated affiliates. The tax benefits that result from reductions in the value of these investments are subject to the Company realizing sufficient capital gains with which to offset these capital losses. Due to the uncertainty of the Company realizing future capital gains, the Company has consistently recorded valuation allowances against these deferred tax assets as they have accumulated. As of the fourth quarter of 2005, deferred tax assets and corresponding valuation allowances of approximately \$26.9 million had accumulated. During the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company realized a capital gain related to the sale of its vascular graft business and anticipated a capital gain in January 2006 related to the settlement of the Medtronic litigation (see "Legal Proceedings"). These capital gains have allowed or will allow the Company to utilize the same amounts of the accumulated losses related to the depreciated investments. As a result, valuation allowances of \$13.3 million were reversed, reducing income tax expense during the quarter.

Of the \$81.0 million charge for acquired in–process research and development related to the PVT acquisition in 2004, as discussed in "*Purchased in–process Research and Development Expenses*," \$1.7 million related to tax deductible payments to exercise certain licensing options pursuant to the stock purchase agreement. The remaining \$79.3 million charge is non–deductible for income tax purposes.

During 2003, the Company commenced a legal reorganization of its Brazil subsidiary to enhance its ability to conduct business in Brazil. Since being acquired a number of years ago, this subsidiary had incurred net operating losses due primarily to the devaluation of the local currency and interest expense incurred on inter–company debt. In addition, the reorganization allowed the Company to recognize the accumulated losses and inter–company debt write–off under United States tax law, resulting in federal and state tax benefits of \$13.7 million.

During 2003, the Company recapitalized its Japan subsidiary. As a result, a deemed dividend occurred for United States tax purposes resulting in an incremental tax provision of \$6.2 million, net of foreign tax credits.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company's sources of cash liquidity include cash on hand and cash equivalents, amounts available under credit facilities, accounts receivable securitization facilities and cash from operations. The Company believes that these sources are sufficient to fund the current requirements of working capital, capital expenditures and other financial commitments. The Company further believes that it has the financial flexibility to attract long-term capital to fund short-term and long-term growth objectives. However, no assurances can be given that such long-term capital will be available to Edwards Lifesciences on favorable terms, or at all.

On June 28, 2004, the Company replaced an unsecured revolving credit agreement with an unsecured five—year revolving credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement"), which will expire on June 26, 2009. The Credit Agreement provides up to an aggregate of \$500.0 million in one—to six—month borrowings in multiple currencies. Borrowings currently bear interest at the London interbank offering rate ("LIBOR") plus 0.5%, which includes a facility fee and is subject to adjustment in the event of a change in the Company's leverage ratio, as defined by the Credit Agreement. The Company pays a facility fee regardless of available or outstanding borrowings, currently at an annual rate of 0.1%. All amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement have been classified as long—term obligations, as these borrowings will continue to be refinanced pursuant to the Credit Agreement. As of December 31, 2005, borrowings of \$166.1 million were outstanding under the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement contains various financial and other covenants, all of which the Company was in compliance with as of December 31, 2005.

As further discussed in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has two securitization programs whereby certain subsidiaries in the United States and Japan sell, without recourse, on a continuous basis, an undivided interest in certain eligible pools of accounts receivable. The significant benefits of the securitizations are lower cost of funds and differentiated sources of liquidity. The Company has been able to effectively lower its overall cost of funds as a result of the interest rate spreads it pays on these advances as opposed to borrowings under the current LIBOR—based credit facility. Additionally, the Company believes that in diversifying its funding sources, the Company's funding availability in the capital markets is strengthened. As of December 31, 2005, the Company had sold a total of \$86.6 million of trade accounts receivable and received funding of \$74.1 million. The securitization program in the United States expires on September 19, 2006, and the Japan securitization program expires on December 3, 2008.

In May 2004, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company to purchase on the open market and in privately negotiated transactions up to 2.0 million shares of the Company's common stock through December 31, 2006. In September 2005, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program allowing the repurchase of an additional 2.0 million shares of outstanding common stock through December 31, 2007. Stock repurchased under these programs will be used primarily to offset obligations under the Company's employee stock option programs. During 2005, the Company repurchased 1.2 million shares at an aggregate cost of \$53.5 million and has remaining authority under the September 2005 program to purchase 1.9 million shares.

As announced on January 23, 2006, the Company settled litigation with Medtronic. As a result, in January 2006, the Company recorded a gain of \$20.2 million, which consists of the \$37.5 million cash offset by the settlement paid to Endogad, capitalized patent enforcement costs of \$2.9 million and current legal fees. See Item 3 for additional information.

Net cash flows provided by **operating activities** of \$136.8 million for 2005 decreased \$43.8 million from 2004 primarily due to lower earnings adjusted for non-operating and non-cash items in 2005. The lower earnings adjusted for non-operating and non-cash items was primarily a result of a \$23.0 million payment related to restructuring of development and supply agreements and a \$15.0 million contribution to the charitable fund in 2005. Operating cash flow was also impacted by net cash used to fund working capital requirements, which consisted of decreased net cash flows from receivables due to higher days sales outstanding in the United States, reduced cash flows from increases in inventories to build new product lines and support increased sales levels, partially offset by increased net cash flows from accounts payable and accrued liabilities, from increased taxes payable.

Net cash provided by operating activities of \$180.6 million in 2004 increased \$38.5 million from 2003 due primarily to higher earnings in 2004 adjusted for non-operating and non-cash items, increased net cash flows from accounts payable and accrued liabilities, from increased taxes payable, and increased net cash flows from receivables from improved days sales outstanding, offsetting increased sales levels, partially offset by reduced cash flows from increases in inventories to build new product lines and support increased sales levels.

Net cash used by **investing activities** of \$27.2 million in 2005 consisted primarily of capital expenditures of \$48.5 million, partially offset by proceeds from the sales of the Company's vascular graft business of \$14.0 million and the Japan perfusion products business of \$9.2 million.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$177.1 million in 2004 consisted primarily of the \$137.7 million for the acquisition of PVT and the purchase of ev3's technology, plus capital expenditures of \$42.5 million.

Net cash provided by **financing activities** of \$29.0 million in the 2005 consisted primarily of net proceeds from issuance of long term debt of \$59.1 million and the proceeds from stock plans of \$26.2 million, partially offset by purchases of treasury stock of \$53.5 million.

Net cash used in financing activities of \$20.5 million in 2004 consisted primarily of purchases of treasury stock of \$59.1 million, partially offset by proceeds from stock plans of \$30.5 million and net proceeds from issuance of long-term debt of \$7.1 million.

A summary of all of the Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2005 were as follows (in millions):

				Payme	ents I	Oue By Perio	d			
Contractual Obligations		Total		Less Than 1 Year		1-3 Years		4–5 Years		After 5 Years
Long-term debt	\$	316.1	\$	_	\$	150.0	\$	166.1	\$	
Interest on long-term debt		19.7		7.7		12.0		_		_
Operating leases		34.1		10.1		14.5		8.5		1.0
Unconditional purchase obligations(a)		7.7		7.6		0.1		_		_
Contractual development obligations(b)		18.5		0.9		13.6		4.0		_
	_		_		_		_		_	
Total contractual cash obligations	\$	396.1	\$	26.3	\$	190.2	\$	178.6	\$	1.0

- (a)
   Unconditional purchase obligations consist primarily of minimum purchase commitments of inventory.
- (b)

  Contractual development obligations consist primarily of cash that Edwards Lifesciences is obligated to pay to unconsolidated affiliates upon their achievement of product development milestones. While it is not certain if and/or when these payments will be made, the amounts and maturity dates included in this table reflect the Company's best estimates.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The Company's results of operations and financial position are determined based upon the application of the Company's accounting policies, as discussed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. Certain of the Company's accounting policies represent a selection among acceptable alternatives under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States ("GAAP"). In evaluating the Company's transactions, management assesses all relevant GAAP and chooses the accounting policy that most accurately reflects the nature of the transactions. Management has not determined how reported amounts would differ based on the application of different accounting policies. Management has also not determined the likelihood that materially different amounts could be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions.

The application of accounting policies requires the use of judgment and estimates. As it relates to the Company, estimates and forecasts are required to determine sales returns and reserves, rebate reserves, allowances for doubtful accounts, reserves for excess and obsolete inventory, investments in unconsolidated affiliates, workers' compensation liabilities, employee benefit related liabilities, income taxes, any impairments of assets, forecasted transactions to be hedged, litigation reserves and contingencies.

These matters that are subject to judgments and estimation are inherently uncertain, and different amounts could be reported using different assumptions and estimates. Management uses its best estimates and judgments in determining the appropriate amount to reflect in the financial statements, using historical experience and all available information. The Company also uses outside experts where appropriate. The Company applies estimation methodologies consistently from year to year.

The Company believes the following are the critical accounting policies which could have the most significant effect on the Company's reported results and require subjective or complex judgments by management.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue for sales when all of the following have occurred: an agreement of sale exists, product delivery and acceptance has occurred or services have been rendered, and collection is reasonably assured. In the case of certain products where the Company maintains consigned inventory at customer locations, revenue is recognized at the time the Company is notified that the customer has used the inventory. The Company enters into certain arrangements in which it commits to provide multiple elements to its customers. Revenue related to an individual element is deferred unless delivery of the element represents a separate earnings process. Total revenue for these arrangements is allocated among the elements based on the fair value of the individual elements, with the relative fair values determined based on objective evidence (generally based on sales of the individual element to other third parties). Management is required to make judgments about whether or not collectibility is reasonably assured.

When the Company recognizes revenue from the sale of its products, an estimate of various sales returns and allowances is recorded which reduces product sales and accounts receivable. These adjustments include estimates for charge backs, rebates, returns, and other sales allowances. These provisions are estimated based upon historical payment experience, historical relationship to revenues, estimated customer inventory levels and current contract sales terms with wholesale and indirect customers. If the historical data and inventory estimates used to calculate these provisions do not approximate future activity, the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows could be impacted. The Company's estimates are subject to inherent limitations of estimates that rely on third–party data, as certain third–party information was itself in the form of estimates, and reflect other limitations.

# Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company records allowances for doubtful accounts based on customer–specific analysis and general matters such as current assessments of past due balances and economic conditions. Additional allowances for doubtful accounts may be required if there is deterioration in past due balances, if economic conditions are less favorable than the Company has anticipated or for customer–specific circumstances, such as financial difficulty. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$5.4 million and \$5.2 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

### Excess and Obsolete Inventory

The Company records allowances for excess and obsolete inventory based on historical and estimated future demand and market conditions. Additional inventory allowances may be required if future demand or market conditions are less favorable than the Company has estimated. Inventory reserves result from inventory which is obsolete, nearing its expiration date (generally triggered at six months prior to expiration), or damaged or slow moving (defined as quantities in excess of a two year supply). The allowance for excess and obsolete inventory was \$12.3 million and \$15.5 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

#### Patent Costs

The Company expenses legal costs incurred for patent preparation and applications. The Company capitalizes legal costs related to the defense and enforcement of issued patents for which success is deemed probable. The related costs are amortized over the remaining useful lives of the patents using the straight–line method. Such deferred costs are periodically reviewed for impairment and recoverability. To the extent the Company is successful in its defense and enforcement of its patents and receives compensation for past infringement, costs capitalized in connection with the specific defense or enforcement are expensed as an offset against any gain received.

# Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates the carrying value of goodwill in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year. In evaluating goodwill, the Company completes the two–step goodwill impairment test as required by SFAS no. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." The Company identifies its reporting units and determines the carrying value of each reporting unit by assigning the assets and liabilities, including existing goodwill, to those reporting units. The fair value of the reporting unit is estimated based on the market capitalization and revenue multiple. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the Company will perform the second step of the impairment test to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of a reporting unit's goodwill with its carrying value. Since the adoption of SFAS No. 142, the Company has not performed the second step of the impairment test as the fair value of each reporting unit has exceeded its respective carrying value.

Additionally, management reviews the carrying amounts of goodwill and other intangible and long—lived tangible assets whenever events and circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of an asset may not be recoverable. Impairment indicators include, among other conditions, cash flow deficits, historic or anticipated declines in revenue or operating profit and adverse legal or regulatory developments. If it is determined that such indicators are present and the review indicates that the assets will not be fully recoverable, based on undiscounted estimated cash flows over the remaining amortization periods, their carrying values are reduced to estimated fair market value. Estimated fair market value is determined primarily using the anticipated cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risk involved. For the purposes of identifying and measuring impairment,

long-lived assets are grouped with other assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities.

#### Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates are long-term, strategic equity investments in companies that are in varied stages of development. Certain of these investments are designated as available—for—sale in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities." These investments are carried at fair market value, with unrealized gains and losses reported in stockholders' equity as Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss). Gains or losses on investments sold are based on the specific identification method. Other investments in unconsolidated affiliates are accounted for under the cost or the equity method of accounting, as appropriate. Various methods are used to estimate fair value, including discounted cash flows. Investments accounted for under the equity method are recorded at the amount of the Company's investment and adjusted each period for the Company's share of the investee's income or loss and dividends paid.

When the fair value of any investment declines below cost, management uses the following criteria to determine if such a decline should be considered other—than—temporary and result in a realized loss:

- the duration and extent to which the market value has been less than cost;
- the financial condition and near term prospects of the investee;
- the reasons for the decline in market value;
- the investee's performance against product development milestones; and
- the Company's ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

### **Income Taxes**

The Company records a liability for potential tax assessments based on its estimate of the potential exposure. New laws and new interpretations of laws and rulings by tax authorities may affect the liability for potential tax assessments. Due to the subjectivity and complex nature of the underlying issues, actual payments or assessments may differ from estimates. To the extent the Company's estimates differ from actual payments or assessments, income tax expense is adjusted. Additional information regarding income taxes is included in Note 15 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. At December 31, 2005, the Company had deferred tax assets of \$120.5 million, partially offset by deferred tax liabilities of \$56.2 million. The valuation allowance of \$25.2 million as of December 31, 2005, reduces certain deferred tax assets to amounts that are more likely than not to be realized. This allowance primarily relates to the deferred tax assets established for certain investments and the net operating loss carryforwards of certain United States and non—United States subsidiaries. The Company evaluates annually the realizability of its deferred tax assets by assessing its valuation allowance and by adjusting the amount of such allowance, if necessary. The factors used to assess the likelihood of realization are the Company's forecast of future taxable income and available tax planning strategies that could be implemented to realize the net deferred tax assets. Failure to achieve forecasted taxable income in the applicable taxing jurisdictions could affect the ultimate realization of deferred tax assets and could result in an increase in the Company's effective tax rate on future earnings.

# Employee Stock Option and Stock Purchase Plans

The Company applies the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," in accounting for its fixed stock option and employee stock purchase plans. In accordance with this intrinsic value method, no compensation expense is recognized for these plans.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation," (in millions, except per share amounts):

	 Year Ended December 31,					
	2005	_	2004		2003	
Net income, as reported	\$ 79.3	\$	1.7	\$	79.0	
Add: Total stock-based employee compensation included in reported net income, net of related taxes	1.5		_		_	
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of tax	(15.8)		(15.7)		(16.0)	
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$ 65.0	\$	(14.0)	\$	63.0	
Earnings per basic share:						
Reported net income	\$ 1.33	\$	0.03	\$	1.34	
Pro forma net income (loss)	1.09		(0.23)		1.07	
Earnings per diluted share:						
Reported net income	1.27		0.03		1.29	
Pro forma net income (loss)	1.04		(0.23)		1.03	

The per share weighted—average fair value for options granted during 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$13.36, \$11.96, and \$10.93, respectively. The fair value of each option was estimated on the date of grant using the Black—Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted—average assumptions:

	2005	2004	2003
Average risk-free interest rate	3.8%	3.5%	2.5%
Expected dividend yield	None	None	None
Expected volatility	30%	41%	42%
Expected life (years)	4	4	4

The pro forma expense for employee stock purchase subscriptions was calculated with the following weighted-average assumptions for grants during the following periods:

	2005	2004	2003
Average risk-free interest rate	4.1%	2.2%	1.3%
Expected dividend yield	None	None	None
Expected volatility	21%	40%	42%
Expected life (years)	1	1	1

The average risk-free interest rate, expected volatility and expected life assumptions for the stock option and stock purchase plans used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model are estimated on the date of each grant.

# **Effects of Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections," ("SFAS No. 154") a replacement of Accounting

Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 20, "Accounting Changes," and FASB Statement No. 3, "Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements." SFAS No. 154 changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. Previously, most voluntary changes in accounting principles required recognition by recording a cumulative effect adjustment within net income in the period of change. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period–specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Company does not believe SFAS No. 154 will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision of Statement No. 123, "Accounting for Stock—Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123R"). This Statement supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and its related implementation guidance. SFAS No. 123R eliminates the alternative to use APB Opinion No. 25's intrinsic value method of accounting that was provided in FASB Statement No. 123 as originally issued. Under APB Opinion No. 25, issuing stock options to employees generally resulted in recognition of no compensation cost. SFAS No. 123R requires entities to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant—date fair value of those awards (with limited exceptions). Although SFAS No. 123R was to be effective for the first interim or annual reporting period that began after June 15, 2005, on April 15, 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") extended the date for compliance. The Company is not required to prepare financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 123R until the first quarter of 2006. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 ("SAB 107"), which provides the Staff's view regarding interactions between SFAS No. 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations, and provides interpretations of the valuation of share—based payments for public companies. SAB 107 covers key topics related to the implementation of SFAS No. 123R which include the valuation models, expected option term, income tax effects of SFAS No. 123R, classification of stock—based compensation cost, capitalization of compensation cost, and disclosure requirements.

The Company has selected the Black–Scholes option–pricing model as the most appropriate fair–value method for the awards and will recognize compensation cost on a straight–line basis over the awards' vesting periods. The Company expects that the adoption of SFAS No. 123R will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements. However, uncertainties, including the Company's future stock–based compensation strategy, stock price volatility, estimated forfeitures and employee stock option exercise behavior, make it difficult to determine whether the stock–based compensation expense that the Company will incur in future periods will be similar to the SFAS No. 123 pro forma expense disclosed at "Employee Stock Option and Stock Purchase Plans."

In November 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 151, "Inventory Costs—an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4." This Statement amends the guidance in Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing," to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). This Statement is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company does not expect adoption of this standard to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

# Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

The Company's business and financial results are affected by fluctuations in world financial markets, including currency exchange rates and interest rates. The Company's hedging policy attempts to manage these risks to an acceptable level based on management's judgment of the appropriate trade-off between risk, opportunity and costs.

Edwards Lifesciences maintains an overall risk management strategy that utilizes a variety of interest rate and currency derivative financial instruments to mitigate its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and currency exchange rates. The derivative instruments used include interest rate swaps, option—based products and forward currency contracts. The Company does not use any of these instruments for trading or speculative purposes. The total notional amounts of the Company's derivative financial instruments at December 31, 2005 and 2004 were \$198.0 million and \$448.3 million, respectively. The notional amounts of interest rate swap agreements, option—based products, and forward currency contracts do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and are not a measure of the Company's exposure through its use of derivatives.

# **Interest Rate Risk**

The Company utilizes interest rate swap agreements in managing its exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Interest rate swap agreements are executed as an integral part of specific debt transactions or on a portfolio basis. The Company's interest rate swap agreements involve agreements to pay a fixed rate and receive a floating rate, at specified intervals, calculated on an agreed—upon notional amount. There were no interest rate swaps in effect as of December 31, 2005.

As part of its overall risk—management program the Company performs sensitivity analyses to assess potential gains and losses in earnings and changes in fair values to hypothetical movements in interest rates. A 54 basis—point increase in interest rates (approximately 10 percent of the Company's weighted average interest rate) affecting the Company's financial instruments, including debt obligations and related derivatives and investments, would have an immaterial effect on the Company's annual interest expense.

### **Currency Risk**

The Company is primarily exposed to currency exchange—rate risk with respect to its transactions and net assets denominated in Japanese yen and the Euro. Business activities in various currencies expose the Company to the risk that the eventual net United States dollar cash inflows resulting from transactions with foreign customers and suppliers denominated in foreign currencies may be adversely affected by changes in currency exchange rates. The Company manages these risks utilizing various types of foreign exchange contracts. The Company also enters into foreign exchange contracts to hedge anticipated, but not yet committed, sales expected to be denominated in foreign currencies. In addition, the Company hedges certain of its net investments in international affiliates. Such contracts hedge the United States dollar value of foreign currency denominated net assets from the effects of volatility in currency exchange rates by creating debt denominated in the respective currencies of the underlying net assets. Any changes in the carrying value of these net investments that are a result of fluctuations in currency exchange rates are offset by changes in the carrying value of the foreign currency denominated debt that are a result of the same fluctuations in currency exchange rates.

As part of the strategy to manage risk while minimizing hedging costs, the Company utilizes both foreign currency forward exchange contracts and option—based products in managing its exposure to currency rate fluctuations. Option—based products consist of purchased put options and, at times, written (sold) call options to create collars. Option—based products are agreements that either grant the Company the right to receive, or require the Company to make payments at, specified currency rate levels.

As part of its risk—management process, the Company uses a value—at—risk ("VAR") methodology in connection with other management tools to assess and manage its foreign currency financial instruments and measure any potential loss in earnings as a result of adverse movements in currency exchange rates. The Company utilizes a Monte Carlo simulation, with a 95 percent confidence level and a 14—day holding period, to estimate this potential loss. The Company's calculated VAR at

December 31, 2005 and 2004, with a maturity of up to one year, is \$4.3 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. This amount excludes the potential effects of any changes in the value of the underlying transactions or balances. The Company's calculated VAR exposure represents an estimate of reasonably possible net losses that would be recognized on its portfolio of financial instruments assuming hypothetical movements in future market rates and is not necessarily indicative of actual results which may occur. It does not represent the maximum possible loss or any expected loss that may occur. Actual future gains or losses may differ from (and could be significantly greater than) these estimates based upon actual fluctuations in market rates, operating exposures and the timing thereof, and changes in the Company's portfolio of derivatives during the measured periods. In addition, the assumption within the VAR model is that changes in currency exchange rates are adverse, which may not be the case. Any loss incurred on the financial instruments is expected to be offset by the effects of currency movements on the hedging of all exposures; there may be currency exchange—rate gains or losses in the future.

#### Credit Risk

Derivative financial instruments used by the Company involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in the event a counter–party should default and market risk as the instruments are subject to rate and price fluctuations. Credit risk is managed through the use of credit standard guidelines, counter–party diversification, monitoring of counter–party financial condition and master–netting agreements in place with all derivative counter–parties. Credit exposure of derivative financial instruments is represented by the fair value effects of contracts with a positive fair value at December 31, 2005 reduced by the effects of master netting agreements. Additionally, at December 31, 2005, all derivative financial instruments were with commercial banks and investment banking firms assigned investment grade ratings of "AA" or better by national rating agencies. The Company does not anticipate non–performance by its counter–parties and has no reserves related to non–performance as of December 31, 2005; the Company has not experienced any counterparty default during the five years ended December 31, 2005.

#### Concentrations of Credit Risk

In the normal course of business, Edwards Lifesciences provides credit to customers in the healthcare industry, performs credit evaluations of these customers and maintains allowances for potential credit losses which have historically been adequate compared to actual losses.

In 2005, the Company had no customers that represent greater than 10% of its total net sales or accounts receivable, net.

### **Investment Risk**

Edwards Lifesciences is exposed to investment risks related to changes in the fair values of its investments. The Company invests in equity instruments of public and private companies. These investments are classified in "Investments in unconsolidated affiliates" on the consolidated balance sheets.

As of December 31, 2005, Edwards Lifesciences had approximately \$10.7 million of investments in equity instruments of other companies and had recorded unrealized losses of \$0.6 million on these investments in "Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)," net of tax. Management considers these declines temporary in nature based upon the individual companies' operating results, financial condition and achievement of product development milestones. Should these companies experience a decline in financial condition or fail to meet certain development milestones, the decline in the investments' values may be considered other than temporary and impairment charges may be necessary.

# Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

# Report of Management

The management of Edwards Lifesciences is responsible for the integrity of the financial information presented in this Form 10–K. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Where necessary, they reflect estimates based on management's judgment.

Management relies upon established accounting procedures and related systems of internal control for meeting its responsibilities to maintain reliable financial records. These systems are designed to provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded and that transactions are properly recorded and executed in accordance with management's intentions. Internal auditors periodically review the accounting and control systems, and these systems are revised if and when weaknesses or deficiencies are found.

The Audit and Public Policy Committee of the Board of Directors, composed of directors from outside the Company, meets regularly with management, the Company's internal auditors and its independent registered public accounting firm to discuss audit scope and results, internal control evaluations, and other accounting, reporting and financial matters. The independent registered public accounting firm and internal auditors have access to the Audit and Public Policy Committee without management's presence.

/s/ MICHAEL A. MUSSALLEM

Michael A. Mussallem Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ THOMAS M. ABATE

Thomas M. Abate Corporate Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

# INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULE DECEMBER 31, 2005

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	48
Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2005 and 2004	50
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2003 and 2004	50
For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003:	
Consolidated Statements of Operations	51
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	52
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss)	53
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	54
Financial statement schedule for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003:	
Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	89
Other schedules are not applicable and have not been submitted	
47	

# REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation:

We have completed integrated audits of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation's (the "Company") 2005 and 2004 consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 and an audit of its 2003 consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

Consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management's assessment, included in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Orange County, California March 9, 2006

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in millions, except share data)

December 31,

	Decem	per 31,	r 31,		
	2005		2004		
ASSETS					
Current assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 178.6	\$	48.9		
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$5.4 and \$5.2 at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively	101.1		104.1		
Other receivables	17.4		15.3		
Inventories, net	131.5		127.7		
Deferred income taxes	27.6		21.1		
Prepaid expenses	47.5		42.2		
Other current assets	10.5		8.2		
Total current assets	514.2		367.5		
Departs plant and agricument not	201.9		201.7		
Property, plant and equipment, net Goodwill	337.7		201.7 337.7		
Other intangible assets, net  nvestments in unconsolidated affiliates	137.7 10.7		152.6 20.6		
Deferred income taxes	11.5		22.3		
Other assets	15.4		10.3		
Total assets	\$ 1,229.1	\$	1,112.7		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Current liabilities					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 194.2	\$	195.4		
Long-term debt	316.1		267.1		
Other long-term liabilities	28.8		22.1		
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Notes 8 and 16)					
Staal-haldand aguite					
Stockholders' equity  Preferred stock \$ 0.1 per value, authorized 50 000 000 shares, no shares outstanding					
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 50,000,000 shares, no shares outstanding Common stock, \$1.00 par value, 350,0000,000 shares authorized, 65,562,766 and 64,242,836 shares issued,	_		_		
	65.6		64.2		
59,524,866 and 59,438,236 shares outstanding at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively	65.6		64.2		
Additional contributed capital	536.7		500.6		
Retained earnings	303.4		224.1		
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(22.2)		(20.8		
Treasury stock, at cost, 6,037,900 and 4,804,600 shares at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively	(193.5)		(140.0		
Total stockholders' equity	690.0		628.1		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,229.1	\$	1,112.7		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in millions, except per share information)

Years Ended December 31,

		2005		2004		2003
Net sales	\$	997.9	\$	931.5	\$	860.5
Cost of goods sold		374.6		370.2		359.4
Gross profit		623.3		561.3		501.1
Selling, general and administrative expenses		348.7		319.9		289.9
Research and development expenses		99.0		87.0		72.8
Purchased in–process research and development expenses (Note 3)		1.2		93.3		13.6
Special charges, net (Note 4)		48.2		17.2		23.5
Interest expense, net		9.7		14.2		13.2
Other income, net (Note 14)		(0.2)		(0.4)		(4.7)
Income before provision for income taxes		116.7		30.1		92.8
Provision for income taxes		37.4		28.4		13.8
Net income	\$	79.3	\$	1.7	\$	79.0
Share information (Note 2):						
Earnings per share:	Ф	1 22	Φ	0.02	Φ	1.24
Basic Diluted	\$ \$	1.33	\$	0.03	\$	1.34
	\$	1.27	\$	0.03	\$	1.29
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: Basic		59.6		59.6		59.1
Diluted		62.3		62.0		61.1
Diluted		02.3		02.0		01.1

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in millions)

(III IIIIIIIOIIS)						
		Yea	rs Ende	l December	31,	
	·	2005	2	2004	_	2003
Cash flows from operating activities						
Net income	\$	79.3	\$	1.7	\$	79.0
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:		a				15.5
Depreciation and amortization		56.2		55.7		45.6
Deferred income taxes		(13.8)		0.8 93.3		5.3 13.6
Purchased in–process research and development Non–cash special charges, net		(0.8)		19.6		7.1
Other		18.3		6.7		5.2
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		10.5		0.7		3.2
Accounts and other receivables		(12.6)		7.1		(11.0)
Accounts receivable securitization		(2.6)		2.5		6.2
Inventories		(12.9)		(7.1)		4.2
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		25.1		13.0		(8.4)
Prepaid expenses		0.3		(7.2)		3.1
Other		(0.9)		(5.5)		(7.8)
Net cash provided by operating activities		136.8		180.6		142.1
Cash flows from investing activities						
Capital expenditures		(48.5)		(42.5)		(37.9)
Investments in intangible assets		(2.5)		(11.0)		(6.6)
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates		(1.5)		(1.0)		(4.4)
Proceeds from sale of businesses		23.2		4.1		
Proceeds from asset dispositions		1.4		11.0		6.0
Acquisitions		_		(137.7)		(33.2)
Other		0.7		_		(0.8)
Net cash used in investing activities		(27.2)		(177.1)		(76.9)
Cash flows from financing activities						
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		337.3		285.7		333.4
Payments on long-term debt		(278.2)		(278.6)		(337.4)
Purchases of treasury stock		(53.5)		(59.1)		(49.4)
Proceeds from stock plans		26.2		30.5		36.6
Other		(2.8)		1.0		(4.4)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		29.0		(20.5)		(21.2)
Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		(8.9)		4.8		(17.1)
		120.5		(10.0)		250
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents  Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		129.7 48.9		(12.2) 61.1		26.9 34.2
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	178.6	\$	48.9	\$	61.1
Supplemental disclosures:						
Cash paid during the year for:						
Interest	\$	12.3	\$	12.9	\$	11.9
Income taxes	\$	37.2	\$	11.4	\$	14.1
Non-cash transactions:						
Purchase of intangible assets in exchange for stock		_		_	\$	3.0

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(in millions)

		Years Ended December 31,						
		2005	2004			2003		
COMMON STOCK								
Beginning of year	\$	64.2	\$	62.6	\$	60.2		
Common stock issued under employee benefit plans		1.4		1.6		2.4		
End of year	\$	65.6	\$	64.2	\$	62.6		
ADDITIONAL CONTRIBUTED CAPITAL								
Beginning of year	\$	500.6	\$	463.2	\$	412.0		
Common stock issued under employee benefit plans	· · · · · ·	27.2		28.9	-	35.1		
Tax benefit from exercise of non-qualified stock options		7.9		8.0		10.6		
Equity issued to non-employees		1.0		0.5		0.1		
Resolution of Baxter arbitration (Note 4)		1.0		-		5.4		
Resolution of Basici aronalition (Note 4)						3.4		
End of year	\$	536.7	\$	500.6	\$	463.2		
RETAINED EARNINGS								
Beginning of year	\$	224.1	\$	222.4	\$	143.4		
Net income		79.3		1.7		79.0		
End of year	\$	303.4	\$	224.1	\$	222.4		
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)								
Beginning of year	\$	(20.8)	\$	(32.2)	\$	(44.7)		
Other comprehensive income (loss)		(1.4)		11.4		12.5		
•								
End of year	\$	(22.2)	\$	(20.8)	\$	(32.2)		
TREASURY STOCK								
Beginning of year	\$	(140.0)	\$	(80.9)	\$	(31.5)		
Purchases of stock		(53.5)		(59.1)		(49.4)		
End of year	\$	(193.5)	\$	(140.0)	¢	(80.9)		
End of year	<b></b>	(173.3)	Ψ	(140.0)	Ψ	(80.2)		
Total stockholders' equity	\$	690.0	\$	628.1	\$	635.1		
• •								
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME								
Net income	\$	79.3	\$	1.7	\$	79.0		
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:								
Currency translation adjustments		(21.0)		16.6		6.5		
Net unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges		15.3		2.4		(1.5)		
Reclassification adjustment for other–than–temporary impairments		10.9		4.7		(1.5)		
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments in unconsolidated affiliates		(6.9)		(8.8)		6.3		
Minimum pension liability adjustments		0.3		(3.5)		1.2		
wining pension hability adjustments		0.3		(3.3)		1.4		
Other comprehensive income (loss)		(1.4)		11.4		12.5		
Total comprehensive income	\$	77.9	\$	13.1	\$	91.5		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Edwards Lifesciences is a global provider of products and technologies that are designed to treat advanced cardiovascular disease. Edwards Lifesciences focuses on providing products and technologies to address specific cardiovascular opportunities: heart valve disease; peripheral vascular disease; and critical care technologies.

The products and services provided by Edwards Lifesciences to treat cardiovascular disease are categorized into five main areas: Heart Valve Therapy, Critical Care, Cardiac Surgery Systems, Vascular, and Other Distributed Products.

Edwards Lifesciences Corporation was incorporated under the original name of CVG Controlled Inc. in Delaware on September 10, 1999. Unless the context indicates otherwise, references to the "Company" and "Edwards Lifesciences" refer to Edwards Lifesciences Corporation and its subsidiaries.

### 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### **Principles of Consolidation**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Edwards Lifesciences and its majority—owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The principles of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation ("FIN") No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" and Accounting Research Bulletin ("ARB") No. 51, "Consolidated Financial Statements" are considered when determining whether an entity is subject to consolidation. Certain reclassifications of previously reported amounts have been made to conform to classifications used in the current year.

### **Use of Estimates**

The consolidated financial statements of Edwards Lifesciences have been prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States ("GAAP") which have been applied consistently in all material respects. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Estimates are used in accounting for, among other items, sales returns and reserves, rebate reserves, allowances for doubtful accounts, reserves for excess and obsolete inventory, investments in unconsolidated affiliates, workers compensation liabilities, employee benefit related liabilities, income taxes, asset impairments, forecasted transactions to be hedged, litigation reserves and contingencies.

# **Foreign Currency Translation**

The Company follows the principles of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 52, "Foreign Currency Translation." Accordingly, when the local currency of its foreign entities is the functional currency, all assets and liabilities are translated into United States dollars at the rate of exchange in effect at the balance sheet date. Income and expense items are translated at the weighted average exchange rate prevailing during the period. The effects of foreign currency translation adjustments for these entities are deferred and reported in stockholders' equity as Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss). The effects of foreign currency transactions denominated in a currency other than an entities' functional currency are included in Other Income, net.

# **Revenue Recognition**

The Company recognizes revenue for sales when all of the following have occurred: an agreement of sale exists, product delivery and acceptance has occurred or services have been rendered, and collection is reasonably assured. In the case of certain products where the Company maintains consigned inventory at customer locations, revenue is recognized at the time the Company is notified that the customer has used the inventory. The Company enters into certain arrangements in which it commits to provide multiple elements to its customers. Revenue related to an individual element is deferred unless delivery of the element represents a separate earnings process. Total revenue for these arrangements is allocated among the elements based on the fair value of the individual elements, with the relative fair values determined based on objective evidence (generally based on sales of the individual element to other third parties).

When the Company recognizes revenue from the sale of its products, an estimate of various sales returns and allowances is recorded which reduces product sales and accounts receivable. These adjustments include estimates for charge—backs, rebates, returns, and other sales allowances. These provisions are estimated based upon historical payment experience, historical relationship to revenues, estimated customer inventory levels and current contract sales terms with wholesale and indirect customers. If the historical data and inventory estimates used to calculate these provisions do not approximate future activity, the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows could be impacted. The Company's estimates are subject to inherent limitations of estimates that rely on third—party data, as certain third—party information was itself in the form of estimates, and reflect other limitations.

# **Cash Equivalents**

The Company considers highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. These investments are valued at cost, which approximates fair value.

### **Accounts Receivable Securitization**

The Company accounts for the securitization of accounts receivable in accordance with SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities." When the Company sells accounts receivable in securitizations, a subordinated residual interest in the securitized portfolio is retained by the Company and recorded in Other Current Assets. Loss on sale of the accounts receivable depends in part on the previous carrying amount of the financial assets involved in the transfer, allocated between the assets sold and the residual interests based on their relative fair value at the date of transfer. Because quoted market prices are generally not available to determine the Company's fair value of the residual interest, the Company estimates the fair value of the residual interest by estimating future expected credit losses to determine the future expected cash flows, which generally approximate fair value given the securitized portfolio's short—term weighted average life. At the time the receivables are sold, the balances are removed from the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Costs associated with the sale of receivables, primarily related to the discount and loss on sale, are included in Other Income, net.

# **Inventories**

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market value. Market value for raw materials is based on replacement costs, and for other inventory classifications is based on net realizable value.

	 December 31,				
	2005		2004		
	(in millions)				
Raw materials	\$ 25.6	\$	22.2		
Work in process	17.8		18.9		
Finished products	88.1		86.6		
	\$ 131.5	\$	127.7		

Inventory reserves result from inventory which is obsolete, is nearing its expiration date (generally triggered at six months prior to expiration), is damaged or slow moving (defined as quantities in excess of a two year supply). Reserves for excess and obsolete inventory were approximately \$12.3 million and \$15.5 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, the Company allocated \$10.0 million, \$9.8 million and \$9.8 million, respectively, of general and administrative costs to inventory. General and administrative costs included in both the December 31, 2005 and 2004 inventory balances were \$3.6 million and \$3.5 million, respectively.

# **Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation and amortization are principally calculated for financial reporting purposes on the straight–line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which range from 15 to 40 years for buildings and improvements and from 4 to 15 years for machinery and equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the life of the related facility leases or the asset, whichever is shorter. Straight–line and accelerated methods of depreciation are used for income tax purposes.

D. . . . . . . . 21

		December 31,				
	2	2005		2004		
		(in millions)				
Land	\$	19.6	\$	17.9		
Buildings and leasehold improvements		79.4		78.7		
Machinery and equipment		183.2		179.1		
Equipment with customers		92.8		108.5		
Software		42.4		40.6		
Construction in progress		19.0		13.5		
		436.4		438.3		
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(234.5)		(236.6)		
	\$	201.9	\$	201.7		

Depreciation and amortization expense for plant and equipment was \$38.7 million, \$36.8 million and \$34.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Repairs and

maintenance expense was \$12.3 million, \$12.1 million and \$12.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

# Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates the carrying value of goodwill in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year. In evaluating goodwill, the Company completes the two–step goodwill impairment test as required by SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." The Company identifies its reporting units and determines the carrying value of each reporting unit by assigning the assets and liabilities, including existing goodwill, to those reporting units. The fair value of the reporting unit is estimated based on the market capitalization and a market revenue multiple. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the Company will perform the second step of the impairment test to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of a reporting unit's goodwill with its carrying value. Since the adoption of SFAS No. 142, the Company has not performed the second step of the impairment test as the fair value of each reporting unit has exceeded its respective carrying value.

Additionally, management reviews the carrying amounts of other intangible and long-lived tangible assets whenever events and circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of an asset may not be recoverable. Impairment indicators include, among other conditions, cash flow deficits, historic or anticipated declines in revenue or operating profit and adverse legal or regulatory developments. If it is determined that such indicators are present and the review indicates that the assets will not be fully recoverable, based on undiscounted estimated cash flows over the remaining amortization periods, their carrying values are reduced to estimated fair market value. Estimated fair market value is determined primarily using the anticipated cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risk involved. For the purposes of identifying and measuring impairment, long-lived assets are grouped with other assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities.

### **Patent Costs**

The Company expenses legal costs incurred for patent preparation and applications. The Company capitalizes legal costs related to the defense and enforcement of issued patents for which success is deemed probable. Such legal costs are periodically reviewed for impairment and recoverability. To the extent the Company is successful in its defense and enforcement of its patents and receives compensation for past infringement, costs capitalized in connection with the specific defense or enforcement are expensed as an offset against any gain received.

#### **Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates**

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates are long-term, strategic equity investments in companies that are in various stages of development. Certain of these investments are designated as available—for—sale in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities." These investments are carried at fair market value, with unrealized gains and losses reported in stockholders' equity as Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss). Gains or losses on investments sold are based on the specific identification method. Other investments in unconsolidated affiliates are accounted for under the cost or the equity method of

accounting, as appropriate. Various methods are used to estimate fair value, including discounted cash flows. Investments accounted for under the equity method are recorded at the amount of the Company's investment and adjusted each period for the Company's share of the investee's income or loss and dividends paid.

When the fair value of a certain investment declines below cost, management uses the following criteria to determine if such a decline should be considered other—than—temporary and result in a realized loss:

- the duration and extent to which the market value has been less than cost;
- the financial condition and near term prospects of the investee;
- the reasons for the decline in market value;
- the investee's performance against product development milestones; and
- the Company's ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

As of December 31, 2005, the Company had \$0.6 million of unrealized losses related to two available—for—sale investments. The trading value of the Company's investments have been less than the Company's original cost for less than six months and the Company has the ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value. Accordingly, the Company believes that the unrealized losses are temporary in nature.

# **Income Taxes**

The Company records a liability for potential tax assessments based on its estimate of the potential exposure. New laws and new interpretations of laws and rulings by tax authorities may affect the liability for potential tax assessments. Due to the subjectivity and complex nature of the underlying issues, actual payments or assessments may differ from estimates. To the extent the Company's estimates differ from actual payments or assessments, income tax expense is adjusted. Additional information regarding income taxes is included in Note 15.

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. The Company evaluates annually the realizability of its deferred tax assets by assessing its valuation allowance and by adjusting the amount of such allowance, if necessary. The factors used to assess the likelihood of realization are the Company's forecast of future taxable income and available tax planning strategies that could be implemented to realize the net deferred tax assets. Failure to achieve forecasted taxable income in the applicable taxing jurisdictions could affect the ultimate realization of deferred tax assets and could result in an increase in the Company's effective tax rate on future earnings.

# **Research and Development Costs**

Research and development costs are charged to expense when incurred.

# **Earnings per Share**

Earnings per share are calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share," which requires the Company to report both basic earnings per share, based on the weighted—average number of common shares outstanding, and diluted earnings per share, based on the weighted—average number of common shares outstanding adjusted to include the potentially dilutive effect of certain common stock equivalents, such as stock options.

As of December 31, 2004, the Company adopted Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Consensus 04–8, "*The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share*." In accordance with this standard, the contingently convertible senior debentures issued by the Company in May 2003 are included in the diluted earnings per share calculations, if dilutive, regardless of whether the contingencies have been met. This standard has not had an impact on the Company's diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 or 2003 as the effect of 2.7 million potential common shares has been anti–dilutive. This standard may have an impact on the Company's financial statements in the future.

A reconciliation of the shares used in the basic and diluted per share computations is as follows (in millions):

	Years	Years Ended December 31,					
	2005	2004	2003				
Basic shares outstanding Dilutive effect of employee stock plans	59.6 2.7	59.6 2.4	59.1 2.0				
Diluted shares outstanding	62.3	62.0	61.1				

Diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 excludes 1.1 million shares, zero shares and 3.2 million shares, respectively, related to options for which the exercise price per share was greater than the average market price, resulting in an anti–dilutive effect.

# **Employee Stock Option and Stock Purchase Plans**

The Company applies the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 ("APB No. 25"), "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," in accounting for its fixed stock option and employee stock purchase plans. In accordance with this intrinsic value method, no compensation expense is recognized for these plans. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and

earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation" (in millions, except per share amounts):

	Year Ended December 31,					
		2005		2004	2003	
Net income, as reported	\$	79.3	\$	1.7	\$	79.0
Add: Total stock-based employee compensation included in reported net income, net of related taxes		1.5		_		_
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of tax		(15.8)		(15.7)		(16.0)
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$	65.0	\$	(14.0)	\$	63.0
Earnings per basic share:						
Reported net income	\$	1.33	\$	0.03	\$	1.34
Pro forma net income (loss)		1.09		(0.23)		1.07
Earnings per diluted share:						
Reported net income		1.27		0.03		1.29
Pro forma net income (loss)		1.04		(0.23)		1.03

The per share weighted—average fair value for options granted during 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$13.36, \$11.96, and \$10.93, respectively. The fair value of each option was estimated on the date of grant using the Black—Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted—average assumptions:

	2005	2004	2003
Average risk–free interest rate	3.8%	3.5%	2.5%
Expected dividend yield	None	None	None
Expected volatility	30%	41%	42%
Expected life (years)	4	4	4

The pro forma expense for employee stock purchase plans subscriptions was calculated with the following weighted-average assumptions for grants during the following periods:

	2005	2004	2003
Average risk-free interest rate	4.1%	2.2%	1.3%
Expected dividend yield	None	None	None
Expected volatility	21%	40%	42%
Expected life (years)	1	1	1

The average risk-free interest rate, expected volatility and expected life assumptions for the stock option and employee stock purchase plans used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model are estimated on the date of each grant.

# Derivatives

Edwards Lifesciences maintains an overall risk management strategy that incorporates the use of a variety of interest rate and currency derivative financial instruments to mitigate its exposure to significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings caused by volatility in interest rates and currency

exchange rates. Derivative instruments that are used as part of the Company's interest and foreign exchange rate management strategy include interest rate swaps, option—based products and forward exchange contracts. As of December 31, 2005, all of these derivative instruments are designated as hedges of underlying exposures. Edwards Lifesciences does not use any of these instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

The Company utilizes forward exchange contracts and option contracts to hedge a portion of its exposure to forecasted intercompany and third party foreign currency transactions. These contracts provide for the purchase or sale of foreign currencies at specified future dates at specified exchange rates. These contracts are entered into to reduce the risk that the Company's earnings and cash flows resulting from certain forecasted transactions will be adversely affected by changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

The Company uses interest rate swaps to convert floating—rate debt to fixed—rate debt. The Company's interest rate swaps expired in the second quarter 2005. The Company's interest rate swap agreements involved agreements to pay a fixed rate and receive a floating rate, at specified intervals, calculated on an agreed—upon notional amount. The debt and amounts that the Company hedged were determined based on prevailing market conditions and the current shape of the yield curve. Interest rate swap agreements were executed as an integral part of specific debt transactions.

Derivative instruments used by Edwards Lifesciences involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk, in the event a counterparty should default, and market risk, as the instruments are subject to rate and price fluctuations. Credit risk is managed through the use of credit standard guidelines, counterparty diversification, monitoring of counterparty financial condition and International Swap Dealers Association master netting agreements in place with all derivative counterparties. All derivative financial instruments are with commercial banks and investment banking firms assigned investment grade ratings of "AA" or better with national rating agencies.

All derivatives are recognized on the balance sheet at their fair value. On the date that the Company enters into a derivative contract, it designates the derivative as either (a) a hedge of a forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flows that are to be received or paid in connection with a recognized asset or liability (a "cash flow" hedge), or (b) a hedge of an exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or an unrecognized firm commitment (a "fair value" hedge). Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective, and that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge to the extent that the hedge is effective, are recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) until earnings are affected by the variability of cash flows of the hedged transaction (e.g., until periodic settlements of a variable asset or liability are recorded in earnings). Any hedge ineffectiveness (which represents the amount by which the changes in the fair value of the derivative exceed the variability in the cash flows of the forecasted transaction) is recorded in current—period earnings. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective, and that is designated and qualifies as a fair value hedge, are recorded in current—period earnings.

The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges of specific firm commitments or forecasted transactions. The Company also formally assesses (both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis) whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions have been highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of hedged items and whether those

derivatives may be expected to remain highly effective in future periods. All components of each derivative's gain or loss are included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

When it is determined that a derivative is not, or has ceased to be, highly effective as a hedge, the Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively. A derivative ceases to be highly effective when (a) the Company determines that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of a hedged item such as firm commitments or forecasted transactions, (b) it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur, (c) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised, or (d) management determines that designating the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate.

When the Company discontinues hedge accounting because it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur in the originally expected period or within an additional two-month period of time thereafter, the gain or loss is reclassified into earnings. In a situation in which hedge accounting is discontinued and the derivative remains outstanding, the Company will carry the derivative at its fair value on the balance sheet, recognizing changes in the fair value in current-period earnings.

# **Comprehensive Income**

Comprehensive income encompasses all changes in equity other than those arising from transactions with stockholders, and consists of net income, currency translation adjustments, minimum pension liability adjustments, unrealized net gains and losses on cash flow hedges and investments in unconsolidated affiliates classified as available–for–sale.

Presented below is a summary of activity for each component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003:

	Tra	Currency Translation Adjustments		Unrealized Gain/ (Loss) on Cash Flow Hedges				Minimum Pension Liability		Accumulated Other prehensive Income
December 31, 2002	\$	(26.0)	\$	(10.3)	\$	(6.8)	\$	(1.6)	\$	(44.7)
Period Change	·	6.5		(1.5)		6.3		1.2		12.5
December 31, 2003		(19.5)		(11.8)		(0.5)		(0.4)		(32.2)
Period Change		16.6		2.4		(4.1)		(3.5)		11.4
December 31, 2004		(2.9)		(9.4)		(4.6)		(3.9)		(20.8)
Period Change		(21.0)		15.3		4.0		0.3		(1.4)
December 31, 2005	\$	(23.9)	\$	5.9	\$	(0.6)	\$	(3.6)	\$	(22.2)

### **Effects of Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2005, the FASB issued Statement No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections," ("SFAS No. 154") a replacement of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 20, "Accounting Changes," and FASB Statement No. 3, "Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements." SFAS No. 154 changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting

principle. Previously, most voluntary changes in accounting principles required recognition by recording a cumulative effect adjustment within net income in the period of change. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period–specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Company does not believe SFAS No. 154 will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision of FASB Statement No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123R"). This Statement supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and its related implementation guidance. SFAS No. 123R eliminates the alternative to use APB Opinion No. 25's intrinsic value method of accounting that was provided in FASB Statement No. 123 as originally issued. Under APB Opinion No. 25, issuing stock options to employees generally resulted in recognition of no compensation cost. SFAS No. 123R requires entities to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of those awards (with limited exceptions). SFAS No. 123R also requires excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options to be presented in the consolidated statement of cash flows as a financing activity rather than an operating activity, as currently presented. Although SFAS No. 123R was to be effective for the first interim or annual reporting period that began after June 15, 2005, on April 15, 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") extended the date for compliance. The Company is not required to prepare financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 123R until the first quarter of 2006. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 ("SAB 107"), which provides the Staff's view regarding interactions between SFAS No. 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations, and provides interpretations of the valuation of share—based payments for public companies. SAB 107 covers key topics related to the implementation of stock—based compensation cost, capitalization of compensation cost, and disclosure requirements.

The Company has selected the Black–Scholes option–pricing model as the most appropriate fair–value method for our awards and will recognize compensation cost on a straight–line basis over our awards' vesting periods. The Company expects that the adoption of SFAS No. 123R will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements. However, uncertainties, including our future stock–based compensation strategy, stock price volatility, estimated forfeitures and employee stock option exercise behavior, make it difficult to determine whether the stock–based compensation expense that we will incur in future periods will be similar to the SFAS No. 123 pro forma expense disclosed herein.

In November 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 151, "Inventory Costs—an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4." This Statement amends the guidance in Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing," to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). This Statement is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company does not expect adoption of this standard to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

### 3. PURCHASED IN-PROCESS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSE

The information herein related to regulatory milestones reflects the Company's expectations at the time of the respective acquisitions and has not been updated to reflect subsequent activities or expectations. Refer to "Research and Development Expenses" in Management's Discussion and Analysis for updates to the Company's expectations.

# **2005**

In September 2005, the Company recorded a \$1.2 million pretax charge for in–process research and development related to the acquisition of technology and intellectual property. The acquired assets are expected to be utilized in the Company's existing mitral valve repair research and development efforts. Additional design developments, bench testing, pre–clinical studies and human clinical studies must be successfully completed prior to selling any product.

### 2004

On September 29, 2004, the Company acquired all technology and intellectual property associated with ev3, Inc.'s ("ev3") percutaneous mitral valve repair program for total consideration of \$15.0 million. The acquired assets from this acquisition were utilized in the Company's existing percutaneous mitral valve repair research and development efforts. At the time of the purchase, ev3 had been unsuccessful in developing a viable prototype and had discontinued the program. Completion of successful design developments, bench testing, pre–clinical studies and human clinical studies were required prior to selling any product. The risks and uncertainties associated with completing development within a reasonable period of time include those related to the design, development and manufacturability of the product, the success of pre–clinical and clinical studies, and the timing of European and United States regulatory approvals. Approximately \$12.3 million of the purchase price was charged to in–process research and development. The value of the in–process research and development was calculated using cash flow projections discounted for the risk inherent in such projects. The discount rate used was 30%. The valuation assumed approximately \$39.0 million of additional research and development expenditures would be incurred prior to the date of product introduction. In the valuation, the Company estimated completion of the mitral valve repair program utilizing the intellectual property acquired from ev3 in 2009, and commencement of net cash inflows in 2010. The remaining fair market value of the assets purchased consisted primarily of patents unrelated to ev3's core mitral valve repair technology, which are being amortized over their estimated economic life of 19 years.

On January 27, 2004, the Company acquired Percutaneous Valve Technologies, Inc. ("PVT"), a development stage company, for \$125.0 million in cash, net of cash acquired, plus up to an additional \$30.0 million upon the achievement of key milestones through 2007. Included in PVT's technology is a catheter–based (percutaneous) approach for replacing aortic heart valves, comprised of a proprietary percutaneously–delivered balloon–expandable stent technology integrated with a tissue heart valve. Unlike conventional open–heart valve replacement surgery, this less–invasive procedure can be performed under local anesthesia and could potentially be a breakthrough for patients seeking an alternative to open–heart surgery.

At the time of acquisition, the PVT aortic heart valve was being used in compassionate cases in Europe, and these clinical results had generated valuable feasibility data. It had been demonstrated that a heart valve could be successfully deployed and anchored using a catheter–based system. Also at that

time, the Company was expecting to obtain a CE mark in Europe by the end of 2005 and to file for an HDE in the United States. Upon approval of the HDE, the Company would be able to offer this device to as many as 4,000 patients per year. Broader commercialization in the United States was expected to begin with the submission of an IDE by the end of the second quarter of 2004 followed by the commencement of a pivotal trial in 2005 and possible pre—market approval by the end of 2007. The risks and uncertainties associated with completing development within a reasonable period of time included those related to the design, development and manufacturability of the product, the success of pre—clinical and clinical studies and the timing of European and United States regulatory approvals.

Approximately \$81.0 million of the purchase price was charged to in–process research and development. The value of the in–process research and development was calculated using cash flow projections discounted for the risk inherent in such projects. The discount rate used was 25%. The valuation assumed approximately \$20.9 million of additional research and development expenditures would be incurred prior to the date of product introduction. In the valuation, net cash inflows were forecasted to commence in 2007. The remaining fair market value of the net assets acquired consisted primarily of patents of \$72.4 million that are being amortized over their estimated economic life of 11 years, and a deferred tax liability related to the patents of \$28.1 million.

### 2003

On December 5, 2003, the Company acquired the stock of Whitland Research Limited ("Whitland") for \$3.2 million in cash, although achievement of future milestones through 2006 could increase the total price to \$5.6 million. Whitland was focused on the development of critical care monitoring technologies. The \$3.2 million purchase price was allocated to acquired in–process research and development (\$1.8 million) and patents (\$1.4 million) based upon their estimated fair values. The patents are being amortized over their estimated useful life of 10 years.

On February 18, 2003, the Company acquired the percutaneous mitral valve repair program of Jomed N.V. ("Jomed"), a European-based provider of products for minimally invasive cardiovascular intervention, for \$20.0 million in cash. The acquisition included all technology and intellectual property associated with the program. At the acquisition date, the program, which was less than 50% complete, was involved in testing proprietary prototypes prior to initiating required pre-clinical studies and human clinicals. Completion of successful design improvements, bench testing, pre-clinical studies and human clinical studies were required prior to commercially selling the product in Europe and the United States, which at the time of the transaction was expected in 2005 and 2006, respectively. The risks and uncertainties associated with completing development within a reasonable period of time included those related to the design, development and manufacturability of the product, the success of pre-clinical and clinical studies and the timing of European and United States regulatory approvals. Approximately \$11.8 million of the purchase price was charged to in-process research and development. The value of the in-process research and development was calculated using cash flow projections discounted for the risk inherent in such projects. The discount rate used was 30%. The valuation assumed approximately \$20.0 million of additional research and development expenditures would be incurred prior to the date of product introduction. In the valuation, material net cash inflows were forecasted to commence in 2008. The remaining fair market value of the assets acquired consisted primarily of patents that are being amortized over their estimated economic life of 17 years.

# 4. SPECIAL CHARGES, NET

		Years ended December 31,					
	20	05	2004	2004 20			
Restructure 3F agreements	\$	22.8	\$ —	\$	_		
Investment impairments		16.3	9.0		_		
Charitable fund		15.0	5.0				
Net (gain) loss on sale of businesses		(14.1)	_		3.3		
Severance expenses		3.9	_		13.0		
Litigation gain and royalty settlements, net		2.9	_		_		
Discontinued products		1.4	10.6				
Gain on sale of property development rights		_	(7.4)	J	_		
Resolution of Baxter arbitration		_			5.3		
Pension curtailment		_	_		1.9		
				_			
Total special charges, net	\$	48.2	\$ 17.2	\$	23.5		
				_			

### Restructure 3F Agreements

In June 2005, the Company recorded a special charge of \$22.8 million related to the restructuring of development and supply agreements between 3F Therapeutics, Inc. and PVT that were established prior to the Company's acquisition of PVT in early 2004. Under the terms of the new agreements, the Company paid \$23.0 million in cash, with an additional payment of \$2.0 million to be paid if certain conditions are met, and obtained the rights to self-manufacture all components of its percutaneous heart valves and certain pre-approved technology licenses.

# Investment Impairments

In September 2005, the Company recorded an \$8.9 million charge related to the other—than—temporary impairment of its investment in Sangamo Biosciences, Inc. ("Sangamo"). The investment was written down to \$3.7 million, which represented the quoted market price of Sangamo's common stock at September 30, 2005.

The Company considered numerous facts, including those described below, to conclude that any impairment of the Sangamo investment was temporary in nature as of the end of each of the quarters in 2003 and 2004, and the first two quarters of 2005:

Sangamo's key internally established development milestones were progressing and/or remained on track at each quarter—end throughout 2003 and 2004, and the first two quarters of 2005. There were no changes in technology that could impair Sangamo's earnings potential of the investment and the technological progress supported a positive outlook. The Company believed that the number and scope of Sangamo's programs and the range of its third party collaborations and the continued success in the Company's Sangamo—related programs would significantly drive the value of Sangamo. Moreover, the clinical momentum was building at the end of 2004 with the anticipation of three to four Phase I human trials, the likely completion of one or more Phase I trials with positive data and the planned announcements at major medical meetings.

Management of the Company believed that declines in Sangamo's stock price were a result of certain external events and general investor sentiment of the biotechnology sector, and not Sangamo-specific activities. In addition, the Company recognized that, historically, reports of significant positive clinical outcomes had frequently resulted in a significant increase in the stock price of a biotechnology company over a relatively short time period. Management believed this would be the case for Sangamo.

Throughout all periods in which the Company concluded that the impairment of this investment was temporary, Sangamo maintained cash and liquid investment reserves sufficient to continue to fund the ongoing development efforts for the technology for periods well in excess of one year.

Throughout all periods in which the Company concluded that the impairment of this investment was temporary, the Company had the financial ability and intent to retain this investment indefinitely. Sangamo's technology was considered important to the development of certain of the Company's next generation products, and required a long–term horizon for ongoing development of new technology.

Sangamo is a multi-technology (human therapeutics, drug discovery and plant agriculture) biotechnology company and has the ability to attract many different investors. In addition, the diversity of technology applications served to dilute the risk related to any one application failure.

The Company expected the market price of Sangamo's stock to increase not only as a result of announcements of positive clinical trial results, but also other operational events. During the second half of 2005, Sangamo announced five significant key developments regarding collaborative agreements, additional funding and breakthrough technology. The Company expected that this concentration of positive developments could have generated a considerable increase in the stock price, better recognizing the underlying value of Sangamo. Based upon (1) the significant developments in the third quarter of 2005 which, individually and in the aggregate, failed to have a material impact on the quoted market price of Sangamo's stock, (2) the continuing duration and severity of the impairment, and (3) Sangamo's declining cash position, the Company concluded in September 2005 that the impairment on its investment in Sangamo was other—than—temporary and, therefore, recognized \$8.9 million in earnings.

In 2005, the Company recorded additional charges totaling \$7.4 million related to other—than—temporary impairment of technology investments in five other unconsolidated affiliates. Of the total additional charge, \$1.9 million related to declines in the stock prices of two available—for—sale investments. The remaining charges were due to increased potential risk of certain private investees' uncertain future liquidity.

In 2004, the Company recorded charges totaling \$9.0 million related to the other-than-temporary impairment of technology investments in four unconsolidated affiliates. One of the impairments resulted from the decline in the stock price of an affiliate. Two of the affiliates had announced they were discontinuing their development efforts and the book value of those investments was reduced to the residual distribution Edwards Lifesciences expected to receive from those companies. The remaining affiliate performed a reset financing that reduced the net value per share for all existing investors. This investment is recorded at the reduced value.

#### Charitable Fund

In December 2004, the Company made an initial contribution of \$5.0 million to establish the Edwards Lifesciences Fund, a donor—advised fund intended to provide philanthropic support to cardiovascular disease charitable causes. In September 2005, the Company completed its funding goal and made an additional \$15.0 million contribution. Both of these contributions were irrevocable contributions to a third party and were recorded as charges at time of payment.

## Net (Gain) Loss on Sale of Businesses

In January 2005, the Company announced that it was realigning its business in Japan as part of the Company's continued efforts to focus on its core cardiovascular businesses. The Company (1) restructured its operations, (2) exited its pacemaker distribution business and (3) sold its perfusion products business in Japan to Terumo Corporation for cash consideration of between \$10 million and \$20 million based upon the achievement of certain milestones, of which \$9.2 million was received in January 2005. These transactions resulted in a \$1.0 million net gain, consisting of a gain on the sale of the Company's Japan perfusion products business of \$7.7 million, offset by a \$5.7 million charge relating to the realignment of its operations, primarily related to severance costs due to headcount reductions and a \$1.0 million charge related to settlement and curtailment impacting its defined benefit pension plan and for termination benefits.

In November 2005, the Company sold its vascular graft business to Angiotech Pharmaceuticals Inc. for \$14.0 million in cash. Under the agreement, the Company will continue to market and sell its existing *Lifespan* product line. The sale of the business resulted in a \$13.1 million net gain, consisting of cash proceeds of \$14.0 million offset by the \$0.9 million net book value of inventory and fixed assets that were sold.

In July 2003, the Company sold its German perfusion services subsidiary to WKK GmbH, a German–based provider of hospital services, for a nominal amount. Sales generated by the German perfusion services subsidiary were approximately \$3.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2003. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long–Lived Assets," and Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 100, "Restructuring and Impairment Charges," the Company recorded a pre–tax impairment charge of \$3.3 million in the second quarter of 2003 to reduce the carrying value of the subsidiary's assets to fair value based upon the proceeds from the sale.

#### Severance Expenses

In December 2005, the Company recorded a charge of \$3.9 million related to severance resulting from a resource realignment. The charge was related primarily to the severance costs associated with reducing the Company's workforce by 52 employees, primarily in Puerto Rico, Europe and North America. As of December 31, 2005, the Company had paid \$1.3 million related to severance with the remaining \$2.6 million expected to be paid in 2006.

In July 2003, the Company recorded a charge of \$13.0 million associated with a decision to streamline operations. The charge was related primarily to the severance costs associated with reducing the Company's worldwide workforce by 136 employees, primarily in the United States and Europe. As of December 31, 2005, all of the severance costs had been paid.

Litigation Gain and Royalty Settlements, net

In September 2005, the Company recorded a gain of \$2.5 million related to the resolution of intellectual property litigation. In the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company recorded a \$5.4 million charge related to two royalty dispute settlements.

Discontinued Products

In the fourth quarter 2005, the Company recorded a charge of \$1.4 million resulting from the payment of an early termination fee to discontinue certain firm, non-cancelable product purchase commitments related to a discontinued product line in Europe.

In March 2004, due to a re–prioritization of the Company's investment initiatives, the Company discontinued its sales effort of its *Lifepath* AAA endovascular graft program. The Company recorded a special charge of \$8.4 million primarily related to inventory and contractual clinical obligations. In addition, the Company decided to discontinue certain lower margin cardiology products in Japan later that year and recorded a \$2.2 million charge in 2004 primarily related to other non–productive assets.

Gain on Sale of Property Development Rights

In November 2004, the Company recorded income of \$7.4 million for the sale of property development rights in Irvine, California, that had no book value at the time of the sale.

Resolution of Baxter Arbitration

In January 2004, the Company concluded a dispute resolution proceeding with Baxter International Inc. ("Baxter"). Each company sought reimbursement from the other for a variety of claims arising from the Company's spin-off from Baxter in April 2000. The resolution resulted in a \$5.3 million charge related primarily to the valuation of receivables at the date of spin-off, and a \$5.4 million increase to Additional Contributed Capital related to the true-up of the beginning balance of equity.

Puerto Rico Pension Curtailment

In November 2003, the Company suspended its defined benefit pension plan in Puerto Rico ("the Plan"). Effective December 31, 2003, employees ceased earning additional defined benefits for future services. To mitigate the Puerto Rico employees' reduced benefits from the Plan's suspension, effective January 1, 2004, the Company increased its contributions to the Puerto Rico 1165(e) defined contribution plan. In accordance with SFAS No. 88, "Employers' Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits," the Company recorded a curtailment loss of \$1.9 million during the fourth quarter 2003. As of December 31, 2005, the Plan's accumulated benefit obligation exceeded the fair value of its assets by \$5.3 million.

#### 5. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE SECURITIZATION

Edwards Lifesciences has two agreements (the "Japan Receivables Facility" and the "U.S. Receivables Facility," or the "Facilities") with financial institutions whereby it securitizes, on a continuous basis, an undivided interest in certain eligible trade accounts receivable. Under the Japan Receivables Facility, the Company's Japanese subsidiary (Edwards Lifesciences Japan Limited) sells

eligible accounts receivable directly to a financial institution. Under the U.S. Receivables Facility, the Company sells eligible accounts receivable to a wholly-owned, bankruptcy-remote entity formed for the purpose of buying and selling these receivables, which then sells the undivided interests in the receivables to a financial institution.

The transactions under both Facilities are accounted for as sales of accounts receivable. The Company retained servicing responsibilities and subordinated residual interests in the accounts receivables. The Company receives annual servicing fees approximating one percent of the outstanding balance and rights to future cash flows arising after the investors in the securitization trust have received their contractual return. No servicing asset or liability has been recorded due to the immateriality of the balances. The investors and the securitization trust have no recourse to the Company's other assets for failure of debtors to pay when due. The Company's residual interests are subordinate to the investors' interests. The U.S. Receivables Facility is renewable for one—year periods at the Company's option and will expire on September 19, 2006. The Japan Receivables Facility will expire on December 3, 2008.

Sales of receivables under these programs result in a reduction of accounts receivable on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Residual interests of \$12.2 million and \$9.8 million as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, are included in Other Current Assets. The interests are carried at their fair value, estimated as the net realizable value, which considers the relatively short liquidation period and includes an estimated provision for credit losses. Pursuant to the terms of the Facilities, the Company had sold \$86.6 million and \$90.4 million of trade accounts receivable as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, resulting in a reduction of accounts receivable on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets, and received funding of \$74.1 million and \$80.4 million, respectively. Costs associated with the sale of receivables, primarily related to the discount and loss on sale, were \$1.7 million, \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, and are included in Other (Income), net.

## 6. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," goodwill resulting from purchase business combinations is not subject to amortization. Other acquired intangible assets are amortized on a straight–line basis over their expected useful lives, unless determined to have an indefinite life. Goodwill recorded on the Company's balance sheet is largely the result of acquisitions completed prior to the spin–off of the Company from Baxter International, Inc. in 2000.

In April 2003, the Company purchased the technology and intellectual property associated with Embol-X Inc.'s surgically placed, intra-aortic embolic management system. The transaction was accounted for as a purchase business combination. The total consideration for Embol-X Inc. was \$13.6 million of which \$4.4 million was allocated to goodwill, which was subsequently reduced by \$0.5 million in 2004.

If prior to April 16, 2008, the Company's sales of medical devices from the transferred technology are at least \$20.0 million in any consecutive 12–month period, the Company will pay an additional \$5.0 million to Embol–X Inc. This contingent obligation has not been recorded in the Company's balance sheet as of December 31, 2005. Sales of medical devices from the transferred technology were \$1.9 million for 2005.

Other intangible assets subject to amortization consist of the following (in millions):

December 31, 2005	Patents		Unpatented Technology		Other		Total
Cost Accumulated amortization	\$	195.7 (90.1)	\$	36.4 (23.1)	\$	22.2 (3.4)	\$ 254.3 (116.6)
Net carrying value	\$	105.6	\$	13.3	\$	18.8	\$ 137.7
December 31, 2004	I	atents		Unpatented Technology	_ (	Other	Total
December 31, 2004  Cost	\$		\$	Technology	\$	Other	\$ Total 256.5
	_		\$	Technology	_		\$ 

Patents includes \$2.6 million and \$6.6 million of capitalized legal costs related to the defense and enforcement of issued patents for which success is deemed probable as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively (see Note 2). During 2005, in connection with the favorable settlement of patent disputes, the Company wrote–off \$5.3 million of capitalized legal costs against a cash recovery of \$5.5 million. In addition, the Company wrote–off \$2.3 million of fully amortized other intangibles.

Amortization expense related to other intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$17.5 million, \$17.5 million and \$9.5 million, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for each of the years ending December 31 is as follows (in millions):

2006	\$ 17.7
2006 2007	17.8
2008	17.8
2009	17.8
2010	17.8

# 7. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

	De	cember 31,
	2005	2004
	(i	n millions)
Accounts payable	\$ 60	0.7 \$ 62.0
Employee compensation and withholdings	53	3.0 51.3
Income taxes payable	25	5.2 20.4
Property, payroll and other taxes	14	1.9 14.8
Fair value of derivatives (Note 9)		<b>—</b> 10.3
Other accrued liabilities	40	).4 36.6
	\$ 194	1.2 \$ 195.4

## 8. LONG-TERM DEBT, CREDIT FACILITIES AND LEASE OBLIGATIONS

As of December 31, 2005, the Company had an unsecured revolving credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") providing for up to an aggregate of \$500.0 million in one— to six—month borrowings in multiple currencies. Borrowings currently bear interest at the London interbank offering rate plus 0.5%, which includes a facility fee and is subject to adjustment in the event of a change in the Company's leverage ratio, as defined by the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement expires on June 26, 2009. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, borrowings of \$166.1 million and \$117.1 million, respectively, were outstanding under the Credit Agreement. Edwards Lifesciences pays a facility fee, regardless of available or outstanding borrowings, currently at an annual rate of 0.1% for the Credit Agreement. All amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement have been classified as long—term obligations, as these borrowings will continue to be refinanced pursuant to the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement contains various financial and other covenants, all of which the Company was in compliance with at December 31, 2005.

In May 2003, the Company issued \$150.0 million of convertible senior debentures, issued at par, bearing an interest rate of 3.875% per annum due May 15, 2033 (the "Notes"). Interest is payable semi–annually in May and November. Issuance costs of approximately \$4.4 million are being amortized to interest expense over 5 years. The Notes are convertible into 18.29 shares of the Company's common stock for each \$1,000 principal amount of Notes (conversion price of \$54.66 per share), subject to adjustment. The Notes may be converted, at the option of the holders, on or prior to the final maturity date under any of the following circumstances:

- during any fiscal quarter, if the closing sale price per share of the Company's common stock exceeds 120% of the conversion price;
- if the Notes have been called for redemption; or
- upon the occurrence of specified corporate events.

Holders of the Notes have the right to require the Company to purchase all or a portion of their Notes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest on May 15, 2008, 2013, and 2018. The Company will pay cash for all Notes so purchased on May 15, 2008. For any Notes purchased by the Company on May 15, 2013 or 2018, the Company may, at its option, choose to pay the purchase price in cash, in shares of the Company's common stock, or any combination thereof. The Company must pay all accrued and unpaid interest in cash.

The Company may redeem for cash all or part of the Notes at any time on or after May 15, 2008, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be redeemed, plus any accrued and unpaid interest.

Beginning with the six-month interest period commencing May 15, 2008, holders of the Notes will receive contingent interest at a rate of 0.25% if the trading price of the Notes equals or exceeds 120% of the principal amounts of the Notes. This contingent interest payment feature represents an embedded derivative. Based on the immaterial value associated with this feature, no value has been assigned to the derivative at issuance or at December 31, 2005.

Edwards Lifesciences utilizes interest rate swap agreements in managing its exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Interest rate swap agreements are executed as an integral part of specific debt transactions. Edwards Lifesciences' interest rate swap agreements involve agreements to receive a floating rate and pay a fixed rate, at specified intervals, calculated on an agreed–upon notional amount.

As of December 31, 2004, Edwards Lifesciences had in place three interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$157.5 million to swap floating rate United States dollar and Yen denominated debt obtained under the Company's revolving credit facilities for fixed rates. The original maturities of the interest rate swap agreements are between three and five years. These interest rate swap agreements in place as of December 31, 2004 expired in May and July of 2005.

The weighted average interest rate under all debt obligations was 3.6% and 5.1% at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, including the effect of interest rate swap agreements.

Included in debt at December 31, 2005 were unsecured notes denominated in Japanese Yen of ¥8.8 billion (US\$75.3 million) and in Euro of €76.0 million (US\$90.8 million). Included in debt at December 31, 2004 were unsecured notes denominated in Japanese Yen of ¥7.0 billion (US\$67.1 million).

Future minimum lease payments (including interest) under non-cancelable operating leases and aggregate debt maturities at December 31, 2005 were as follows (in millions):

	Operating Leases	_	Aggregate Debt Maturities
2006	\$ 10.1	\$	_
2007	8.0		_
2008	6.5		150.0
2009	5.0		166.1
2010	3.5		_
Thereafter	1.0		_
		_	
Total obligations and commitments	\$ 34.1	\$	316.1
		_	

Certain facilities and equipment are leased under operating leases expiring at various dates. Most of the operating leases contain renewal options. Total expense for all operating leases was \$11.6 million, \$14.0 million and \$12.3 million for the years 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

#### 9. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISK MANAGEMENT

#### **Fair Values of Financial Instruments**

The consolidated financial statements include financial instruments for which the fair market value of such instruments may differ from amounts reflected on an historical cost basis. Financial instruments of the Company consist of cash deposits, accounts and other receivables, investments in unconsolidated affiliates, accounts payable, certain accrued liabilities and debt. The fair values of certain investments in unconsolidated affiliates are estimated based on quoted market prices. The Company estimates the fair value of its convertible debenture based on market prices. Carrying amounts of floating rate debt approximate their fair value. For other investments, various methods are used to estimate fair value, including discounted cash flows. The Company's other financial instruments generally approximate their fair values based on the short–term nature of these instruments. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the estimated fair value of Company's convertible debenture was \$149.2 million and \$157.6 million, respectively.

#### **Derivative Financial Instruments**

The Company utilizes a variety of derivative financial instruments to manage its currency exchange rate and interest rate risk as summarized below. Notional amounts are stated in United States dollar equivalents at spot exchange rates at the respective dates. The Company does not enter into these arrangements for trading or speculation purposes.

			Decem	ber 3.	ι,			
	2005				2004			
	Fair Notional Value Asset Amount (Liability)		alue Asset	Notional Amount			Fair lue Asset iability)	
			(in mi	llions	)			
\$	140.1	\$	2.8	\$	236.6	\$	(2.9)	
	57.9		6.0		54.2		(5.2)	
	_		_		157.5		(2.2)	

The fair value of financial instruments was estimated by discounting expected cash flows using quoted market interest rates and foreign exchange rates as of December 31, 2005 and 2004. Considerable judgment was employed in interpreting market data to develop estimates of fair value; accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions or valuation methodologies could have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the fair value of currency option contracts, forward currency and interest rate swap agreements is recorded in Prepaid Expenses and Accrued Liabilities, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) a net loss of \$0.9 million and \$8.4 million, respectively, to Cost of Goods Sold, and a net loss of \$1.8 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, to Interest Expense, Net. The Company expects that during the next 12 months it will reclassify to earnings a \$3.1 million gain currently recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss). For the year ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company expensed \$3.4 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, related to the time value of option-based products.

# 10. COMMON STOCK

On May 12, 2005, an amendment and restatement of the Edwards Lifesciences Corporation Long-Term Stock Incentive Compensation Program (the "Program") was approved by the Company's stockholders. The Program provides for the grant of incentive and non-qualified stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units for eligible employees and contractors of the Company. Under the Program, these grants are generally awarded at a price equal to the fair market value at the date of grant based upon the closing price on the date immediately preceding the grant date. Options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock granted under the Program generally vest over predetermined periods and expire 7 years after the date of grant. Restricted stock units of the Company's common stock granted under the Program generally vest over predetermined periods ranging from 2 to 5 years after the date of grant. Among other items the amendment and restatement of the Program increased the number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the Program by 1.4 million shares, from 15.5 million shares to 16.9 million shares and increased the

number of shares of common stock that may be issued in the form of restricted stock or restricted stock units from 500,000 shares to 1,000,000 shares. Under the Program, 0.3 million shares of restricted stock units were granted in 2005 with a weighted average fair value at date of grant of \$44.61.

The Company also maintains the Nonemployee Directors Stock Incentive Compensation Program (the "Nonemployee Directors Program"). Under the Nonemployee Directors Program, each nonemployee director annually receives 10,000 stock options or 4,000 restricted stock units of the Company's common stock, or a combination thereof. Additionally, each nonemployee director may elect to receive all or a portion of the cash retainer to which the director is otherwise entitled through the issuance of stock options, or restricted stock units. Upon a director's initial election to the Board, the director receives an initial grant of 5,000 shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units. These grants vest 50% after one year and the balance vests after two years from the date of grant. Under the amended Nonemployee Directors Program, an aggregate of 600,000 shares of the Company's common stock has been authorized for issuance. As of December 31, 2005, 309,297 options, restricted shares or restricted stock units have been issued under the Nonemployee Directors Program. Grants of restricted stock and restricted stock units to nonemployee directors are charged to unearned compensation in Additional Contributed Capital at their intrinsic value and recognized as expense over the vesting period. Compensation expense recognized for such grants was approximately \$1.0 million for 2005 and \$0.1 million for each of 2004 and 2003.

Stock option activity under the Program and the Nonemployee Directors Program was as follows (number of options in thousands):

	2	2005 2004					20	003	
	Number of Options		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Number of Exercise Options Price		Number of Options		Weighted Average Exercise Price	
Outstanding, beginning of year	9,883	\$	23.90	10,992	\$	22.65	9,794	\$	17.97
Options granted	1,612		45.44	786		33.69	3,884		30.35
Options exercised	(1,025)		18.83	(1,373)		17.61	(2,085)		14.73
Options cancelled	(216)		32.27	(522)		28.68	(601)		24.89
Outstanding, end of year	10,254		27.62	9,883		23.90	10,992		22.65
Exercisable, end of year	6,701		22.42	6,000		19.82	5,346		14.52

The following table summarizes stock options outstanding at December 31, 2005 (number of options in thousands):

		Outstanding	Exercisable					
Range of Exercise Prices	Average Remaining Number of Contractual Options Life (Years)		Weighted Average Exercise Price		Number of Options		Weighted Average Exercise Price	
\$13.88	1,670	4.25	\$	13.88	1,670	\$	13.88	
\$10.20-\$15.71	659	2.48		12.42	659		12.42	
\$15.44-\$45.90	7,925	5.48		31.79	4,372		27.19	
	10,254	5.08		27.62	6,701		22.42	

#### **Employee Stock Purchase Plan**

The Company has two employee stock purchase plans ("ESPP") for eligible employees to purchase shares of the Company's common stock at 85% of the lower of the fair market value of Edwards Lifesciences common stock on the effective date of subscription or the date of purchase. Under the ESPP, employees can authorize the Company to withhold up to 12% of their compensation for common stock purchases, subject to certain limitations. The ESPP is available to all active employees of the Company paid from the United States payroll and to eligible employees of the Company outside the United States to the extent permitted by local law. The ESPP for United States employees is qualified under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Board of Directors authorized an aggregate of 2,150,000 shares of the Company's common stock for issuance under the ESPP. As of December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, 1,275,175 shares, 1,002,718 shares and 731,606 shares, respectively, have been issued under the plans. In accordance with APB No. 25, the ESPP are considered non-compensatory, and therefore do not result in any compensation cost in the consolidated financial statements.

#### Stockholder Rights Plan

The Company has adopted a Stockholder Rights Plan to protect stockholders' rights in the event of a proposed or actual acquisition of 15% or more of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock. As part of this plan, each share of the Company's common stock carries a right to purchase one one—hundredth (1/100) of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (the "Rights"), par value \$0.01 per share, subject to adjustment, which becomes exercisable only upon the occurrence of certain events. The Rights are subject to redemption at the option of the Board of Directors at a price of \$0.01 per right until the occurrence of certain events. The Rights expire on March 31, 2010, unless earlier redeemed or exchanged by the Company.

#### **Treasury Stock**

In each of the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company to purchase on the open market and in privately negotiated transactions up to an additional 2.0 million shares of the Company's common stock for a total of 6.0 million shares. During 2005 and 2004, the Company repurchased 1,233,300 and 1,713,200 shares at an aggregate cost of \$53.5 million and \$59.1 million, respectively. The timing and size of any future stock repurchases are subject to a variety of factors, including market conditions, stock prices and other cash requirements.

# 11. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

## **Defined Benefit Plans**

Edwards Lifesciences maintains defined benefit pension plans in Japan and in certain European countries. The Company suspended its defined benefit pension plan in Puerto Rico (the "Plan") such that effective December 31, 2003, employees ceased earning additional defined benefits for future services. To mitigate the Puerto Rico employees' reduced benefits from the Plan's suspension, the Company has increased its contributions to the Puerto Rico 1165(e) defined contribution plan.

The Company uses a November 1 measurement date for its plans. Information regarding the Company's defined benefit pension plans in Puerto Rico, Japan and certain European countries is as follows (in millions):

	Yea	Years Ended Dec				
	_	2005				
Change in Benefit Obligations:						
Beginning of year	\$	55.9	\$ 45.9			
Service cost		2.8	2.6			
Interest cost		2.2	1.9			
Participant contributions		0.2	0.2			
Actuarial loss		1.0	4.8			
Curtailment gain		(0.5)	_			
Special termination benefits loss		0.7	_			
Settlement		(2.9)	_			
Benefits paid		(1.5)	(1.4)			
Currency exchange rate changes and other		(4.1)	1.9			
Currency chemange rate changes and onter		( )	1.7			
	Φ.	<b>52.0</b>	o 55 o			
End of year	\$	53.8	\$ 55.9			
Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets:						
Beginning of year	\$	34.6	\$ 29.8			
Actual return on plan assets		3.8	1.5			
Employer contributions		2.9	3.6			
Participant contributions		0.5	0.5			
Settlement		(2.9)	_			
Benefits paid		(1.5)	(1.4)			
Currency exchange rate changes and other		(2.1)	1.0			
End of year	\$	35.3	\$ 35.0			
End of year	Ф	33.3	\$ 33.0			
Funded Status of Plans:						
Funded status of plans	\$	(18.5)	\$ (20.9)			
Unrecognized net transition obligation		0.4	0.6			
Unrecognized net actuarial losses		9.5	12.3			
Unrecognized prior service cost		(0.8)	(0.8)			
Net liability on balance sheet	\$	(9.4)	\$ (8.8)			
Net hability on balance sheet	<u> </u>	(2.7)	Ψ (0.0)			
Net Liability on Balance Sheet Consists of:						
Accrued benefit liability	\$	` /	\$ (13.1)			
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax		3.6	3.9			
Deferred tax asset		0.2	0.4			
Net liability on balance sheet	\$	(9.4)	\$ (8.8)			
The mainty of calabor brook	Ψ	(2.1)	(0.0)			

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$47.4 million and \$48.0 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company included \$0.3 million in other comprehensive income arising from a decrease in the additional minimum pension liability and \$3.3 million in other comprehensive income arising from an increase in the additional minimum pension liability, respectively.

The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation, and fair values of plan assets for pension plans with a projected benefit obligation in excess of plan assets and pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets at December 31, 2005 and 2004 were as follows:

					Excess		
2	2005		2004		2005		2004
\$	53.8	\$	55.9	\$	42.6	\$	55.9
	47.4		48.0		39.8		48.0
	35.3		35.0		27.1		35.0
	in	2005 \$ 53.8 47.4	2005  \$ 53.8 \$ 47.4	\$ 53.8 \$ 55.9 47.4 48.0	Projected Benefit Obligation in Excess of Plan Assets   2005   2004   \$ 53.8	Projected Benefit Obligation in Excess of Plan Assets	in Excess of Plan Assets         Assets           2005         2004         2005         2           \$ 53.8         \$ 55.9         \$ 42.6         \$ 47.4         48.0         39.8

The components of net periodic benefit cost are as follows (in millions):

Years Ended December 31,						
2	2005		2004	2	003	
\$	2.8	\$	2.6	\$	3.3	
	(0.2)		(0.2)		(0.2)	
	2.2		1.9		2.2	
	(2.0)		(1.9)		(1.6)	
	0.4		_		1.9	
	0.7		_		_	
	0.4		0.3		0.8	
		_		_		
\$	4.3	\$	2.7	\$	6.4	
	\$	\$ 2.8 (0.2) 2.2 (2.0) 0.4 0.7 0.4	\$ 2.8 \$ (0.2) 2.2 (2.0) 0.4 0.7 0.4	2005         2004           \$ 2.8         \$ 2.6           (0.2)         (0.2)           2.2         1.9           (2.0)         (1.9)           0.4         —           0.7         —           0.4         0.3	2005     2004     2       \$ 2.8 \$ 2.6 \$     \$ (0.2)       \$ (0.2) \$ (0.2)     2.2 1.9       \$ (2.0) \$ (1.9)     0.4 —       \$ 0.7 —     0.4 0.3	

The assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation as of the end of the year are used to determine the net periodic benefit costs for the following year. Significant assumptions used in determining the benefit obligations are summarized as follows (in weighted averages):

	Years E	Years Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003			
Discount Rate	4.07%	4.07%	4.24%			
Expected return on plan assets	6.17%	5.94%	6.19%			
Rate of compensation increase	2.79%	2.97%	3.05%			

Through consultation with investment advisors, expected long-term returns for each of the plans' strategic asset classes were developed. Several factors were considered, including survey of investment managers' expectations, current market data, minimum guaranteed returns in certain insurance contracts and historical market returns over long periods. Using policy target allocation percentages and the asset class expected returns, a weighted-average expected return was calculated.

The actual weighted-average asset allocations at December 31, 2005 and 2004, by asset category, are as follows:

	Years Er Decembe	
	2005	2004
Equity securities	48.3%	47.9%
Debt securities	23.3%	23.3%
Other	28.4%	28.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

The Company's investment strategy for plan assets is to seek a competitive rate of return relative to an appropriate level of risk and to earn performance rates of return in accordance with the benchmarks adopted for each asset class. Risk management practices include diversification across asset classes and investment styles, and periodic rebalancing toward asset allocation targets.

The Company's corporate Administrative and Investment Committee decides the target allocation for the Puerto Rico defined benefit plan. The Administrative and Investment Committee decides on the defined benefit plan provider in all other locations and that provider decides the target allocation. The target asset allocation selected reflects a risk/return profile the Company feels is appropriate relative to the plans' liability structure and return goals. In certain plans, asset allocations may be governed by local requirements.

Target weighted-average asset allocations at December 31, 2005, by asset category, are as follows:

	2005
Equity securities	47.1%
Debt securities	23.3% 29.6%
Other	29.6%
Total	100.0%

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, at December 31, 2005, are expected to be paid (in millions):

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	\$ 1.1
2007	1.1
2008	1.2
2009	1.3
2010	1.5
2011–2015	10.8

As of December 31, 2005, expected employer contributions for fiscal 2006 are \$2.3 million.

## **Defined Contribution Plans**

The Company's employees in the United States and Puerto Rico are eligible to participate in a qualified 401(k) and 1165(e) plan, respectively. In the United States, participants may contribute up to

25% of their annual compensation (subject to tax code limitation) to the plan. Edwards Lifesciences matches the first 3% of the participant's annual eligible compensation contributed to the plan on a dollar–for–dollar basis. Edwards Lifesciences matches the next 2% of the participant's annual eligible compensation to the plan on a 50% basis. In Puerto Rico, participants may contribute up to 10% of their annual compensation (subject to tax code limitation) to the plan. Edwards Lifesciences matches the first 6% of the participant's annual eligible compensation contributed to the plan on a 50% basis. Matching contributions relating to Edwards Lifesciences employees were \$5.7 million, \$5.2 million and \$4.4 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

The Company has a nonqualified deferred compensation plan for a select group of employees that provides the opportunity to defer a specified percentage of their cash compensation. Participants may elect to defer up to 100% of bonus and 25% of total annual compensation. The Company's obligations under this plan are unfunded. The amount accrued under this plan was \$2.0 million and \$1.5 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

In 2001, the Company adopted a nonqualified option plan ("Executive Option Plan") for the benefit of the executive officers and other key employees. The Executive Option Plan permitted participants to receive options to purchase shares of mutual funds or common stock of the Company in lieu of all or a portion of their compensation (base salary and bonus) earned prior to January 1, 2005. The Company discontinued option grants under the Executive Option Plan and has adopted the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan to provide officers and other key employees the opportunity to defer compensation earned after December 31, 2004 to future dates specified by the participant with a return based on investment alternatives selected by the participant. The amounts accrued under these plans were \$8.3 million at December 31, 2005 related to the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan and \$5.8 million at December 31, 2004 related to the Executive Option Plan.

## 12. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In December 2001, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company received a \$2.5 million loan pursuant to his employment agreement with the Company as approved by the Board of Directors. The loan was used for the purchase of his primary residence in connection with his relocation. The loan is non-interest bearing and is due in December 2006 or upon resignation or the termination of employment. The loan is collateralized by the Chief Executive Officer's primary residence.

# 13. INTEREST EXPENSE, NET

	_	Years Ended December 31,									
	_	2005 2004		2005 2004		2005 2004		2005		2003	
Interest expense	\$	12.3	\$ 15.2	\$ 1	4.2						
Interest income	_	(2.6)	(1.0	) (	(1.0)						
	<u> </u>	9.7	\$ 14.2	\$ 1	3.2						
	Ψ	7.1	Ψ 17.2	Ψ	5.2						

# 14. OTHER (INCOME), NET

		Years Ended December 31,						
	_	2005	2004		2003			
Foreign exchange gain	\$	(2.1)	\$ (0.2)	\$	(10.6)			
Accounts receivable securitization costs		1.7	1.0		0.8			
Asset dispositions and write-downs		_	_		3.6			
Other		0.2	(1.2)		1.5			
	_			_				
	\$	(0.2)	\$ (0.4)	\$	(4.7)			
				_				

# 15. INCOME TAXES

The Company's income (loss) before provision for income taxes was generated from United States and international operations as follows (in millions):

	 Years Ended December 31,						
	 2005	2004	2003				
United States International	\$ (4.2) 120.9	\$ (74.2) 104.3	\$ 0.7 92.1				
	\$ 116.7	\$ 30.1	\$ 92.8				

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following (in millions):

		Years Ended December 31,						
	_	2005	005 20		2004		)4 2	
Current								
United States:								
Federal	\$	28.3	\$	11.3	\$	_		
State and local		3.8		1.3		0.2		
International, including Puerto Rico		19.1		12.3		6.3		
Current income tax expense	_	51.2	_	24.9	_	6.5		
Deferred								
United States:								
Federal		(14.2)		(1.4)		4.4		
State and local		(5.7)		(1.8)		(1.4)		
International, including Puerto Rico		6.1		6.7		4.3		
	_		_		_			
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)		(13.8)		3.5		7.3		
	_		_					
Total income tax expense	\$	37.4	\$	28.4	\$	13.8		
			_		_			

The components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in millions):

	December 31,			
	2005	:	2004	
Deferred tax assets				
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 27.4	\$	25.3	
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	21.9		21.0	
Compensation and benefits	18.6		14.3	
Other intangible assets	18.9		22.8	
Accrued liabilities	12.6		8.0	
Tax credit carryforwards	9.9		16.3	
Inventories	3.6		2.6	
Allowance for doubtful accounts	2.8		3.7	
Other	 4.8		16.5	
Total deferred tax assets	 120.5		130.5	
Deferred tax liabilities				
Other intangible assets	(41.2)		(47.5)	
Property, plant and equipment	(10.2)		(10.8)	
Other	(4.8)		(2.6)	
Total deferred tax liabilities	(56.2)		(60.9)	
Valuation allowance	(25.2)		(26.2)	
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 39.1	\$	43.4	

At December 31, 2005, the Company had deferred tax assets of \$120.5 million, partially offset by deferred tax liabilities of \$56.2 million. The valuation allowance of \$25.2 million as of December 31, 2005 reduces certain deferred tax assets to amounts that are more likely than not to be realized. This allowance primarily relates to the deferred tax assets established for impairment losses on certain investments and the net operating loss carryforwards of certain United States and non–United States subsidiaries.

Dogombon 21

Deferred income taxes have not been provided on the undistributed earnings of the Company's foreign subsidiaries of approximately \$116.4 million as of December 31, 2005, since these amounts are intended to be permanently reinvested in foreign operations. It is not practicable to calculate the deferred taxes associated with these earnings; however, foreign tax credits would likely be available to reduce federal income taxes in the event of distribution.

As of December 31, 2005, the Company has approximately \$8.2 million of United States federal and state net operating loss carryforwards that will begin to expire in 2010 if not utilized and

\$65.8 million of non–United States tax net operating losses. Net operating loss carryforwards, and the related carryforward periods, at December 31, 2005, are summarized as follows (in millions):

	Net Operating Loss			Tax Benefit Amount	Carryforward Period Ends
United States federal and state net operating loss	\$	8.2	\$	3.5	2010-2023
Non-United States net operating losses		33.2		13.4	2006-2015
Non-United States net operating losses		32.6		10.5	Indefinite
			_		
Total	\$	74.0	\$	27.4	

A valuation allowance of \$11.3 million has been provided for certain of the above carryforwards. This valuation allowance reduces the deferred tax asset related to net operating loss carryforwards of \$27.4 million to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

As part of the PVT acquisition in 2004, as discussed in Note 3, the Company acquired \$7.5 million of federal and state net operating losses that the Company established a valuation allowance against. Upon future realization of this net operating loss, \$3.0 million of the deferred tax asset will be credited directly to goodwill.

A reconciliation of the United States federal statutory income tax rate to the Company's effective income tax rate is as follows (in millions):

	Years Ended December 31,					
		2005		2004		2003
Income tax expense at U.S. federal statutory rate	\$	40.9	\$	10.5	\$	32.5
Foreign income tax at different rates		(14.3)		(22.5)		(11.9)
Deemed dividends, net of foreign tax credit		3.6		2.5		6.2
Tax credits, federal and state		(2.0)		(2.1)		(2.1)
(Benefit) from Brazil reorganization		_		_		(13.7)
State and local taxes, net of federal tax benefit		(1.0)		0.8		1.0
Valuation allowance for loss on investment		(6.2)		6.6		_
Nondeductible in–process research and development expenses		_		27.8		_
Taxes on repatriation under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004		15.0		_		_
Other		1.4		4.8		1.8
			_		_	
Income tax expense	\$	37.4	\$	28.4	\$	13.8
					_	

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the "Act") was signed into law in October 2004, and allowed companies to repatriate cash during 2004 and 2005 into the United States at a special, temporary effective tax rate of 5.25 percent. On September 13, 2005, the Board of Directors approved a plan for reinvestment and repatriation of specific foreign earnings under the Act. The Company repatriated \$263.1 million in cash in 2005. The Company accrued \$15.0 million for federal, state and foreign taxes attributable to the distribution from its foreign affiliates in 2005.

Beginning in 2002 through 2005, the Company recorded other—than—temporary impairments and unrealized losses related to certain of its investments in unconsolidated affiliates. The tax benefits that result from reductions in the value of these investments are subject to the Company realizing sufficient

capital gains with which to offset these capital losses. Due to the uncertainty of the Company realizing future capital gains, the Company has consistently recorded valuation allowances against these deferred tax assets as they have accumulated. As of the fourth quarter of 2005, deferred tax assets and corresponding valuation allowances of approximately \$26.9 million had accumulated. During the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company realized a capital gain related to the sale of its vascular graft business (see Note 4) and anticipated a capital gain in January 2006 related to the settlement of the Medtronic litigation (see Note 16). These capital gains have allowed or will allow the Company to utilize the same amounts of the accumulated losses related to the depreciated investments. As a result, valuation allowances of \$13.3 million were reversed, reducing income tax expense during the quarter.

Of the \$81.0 million charge for acquired in–process research and development related to the PVT acquisition in 2004, as discussed in Note 3, \$1.7 million related to tax deductible payments to exercise certain licensing options pursuant to the stock purchase agreement. The remaining \$79.3 million charge is non–deductible for income tax purposes.

During 2003, the Company commenced a legal reorganization of its Brazil subsidiary to enhance its ability to conduct business in Brazil. Since being acquired a number of years ago, this subsidiary had incurred net operating losses due primarily to the devaluation of the local currency and interest expense incurred on inter–company debt. In addition, the reorganization allowed the Company to recognize the accumulated losses and inter–company debt write–off under United States tax law, resulting in federal and state tax benefits of \$13.7 million.

During 2003, the Company recapitalized its Japan subsidiary. As a result, a deemed dividend occurred for United States tax purposes resulting in an incremental tax provision of \$6.2 million, net of foreign tax credits.

The Company's income tax returns in several locations are being examined by the local taxation authorities. Management believes that adequate amounts of tax and related interest, if any, have been provided for any adjustments that may result from these examinations.

#### 16. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On June 29, 2000, Edwards Lifesciences filed a lawsuit against St. Jude Medical, Inc. alleging infringement of several Edwards Lifesciences United States patents. This lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief. Pursuant to the terms of a January 7, 2005 settlement agreement, Edwards Lifesciences was paid \$5.5 million by St. Jude, Edwards Lifesciences granted St. Jude a paid—up license for certain of its heart valve therapy products and the lawsuit was dismissed. This settlement resulted in a net gain of \$0.2 million for the amount of the license payment received from St. Jude net of capitalized patent enforcement costs.

On August 18, 2003, Edwards Lifesciences filed a lawsuit against Medtronic, Inc. and its affiliate, Medtronic Vascular, Inc. (collectively, "Medtronic"), Cook, Inc. and W.L. Gore & Associates alleging infringement of a patent exclusively licensed to the Company. The lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief. On September 2, 2003, a second patent exclusively licensed to the Company was added to the lawsuit. As announced on January 23, 2006, Edwards Lifesciences settled this litigation with Medtronic. In exchange for a cash payment of \$37.5 million from Medtronic to Edwards Lifesciences and

Australian—based Endogad Research Pty. Ltd. (the company formed by the clinician—inventors of the patents), Medtronic was granted nonexclusive licenses to the patents involved in the litigation, as well as to certain other related patents. The Company recorded a gain of \$20.2 million in January 2006, which consists of the \$37.5 million cash offset by the settlement paid to Endogad, capitalized patent enforcement costs of \$2.9 million and current legal fees. Edwards Lifesciences remains in litigation with Cook, Inc. and W. L. Gore & Associates, each of which has answered and asserted various affirmative defenses and counterclaims.

In addition, Edwards Lifesciences is or may be a party to, or may be otherwise responsible for, pending or threatened lawsuits related primarily to products and services currently or formerly manufactured or performed, as applicable, by Edwards Lifesciences. Such cases and claims raise difficult and complex factual and legal issues and are subject to many uncertainties and complexities, including, but not limited to, the facts and circumstances of each particular case or claim, the jurisdiction in which each suit is brought, and differences in applicable law. Upon resolution of any such legal matter or other claims, Edwards Lifesciences may incur charges in excess of established reserves. While any such charge could have a material adverse impact on Edwards Lifesciences' net income or cash flows in the period in which it is recorded or paid, management does not believe that any such charge relating to any currently pending lawsuit would have a material adverse effect on Edwards Lifesciences' financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Edwards Lifesciences is also subject to various environmental laws and regulations both within and outside of the United States. The operations of Edwards Lifesciences, like those of other medical device companies, involve the use of substances regulated under environmental laws, primarily in manufacturing and sterilization processes. While it is difficult to quantify the potential impact of compliance with environmental protection laws, management believes that such compliance will not have a material impact on Edwards Lifesciences' financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

#### 17. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Edwards Lifesciences conducts operations worldwide and is managed in four geographical regions: North America, Europe, Japan and Intercontinental. The North America region includes the United States and Canada. The Intercontinental region covers primarily Latin America, Asia and the rest of the world (excluding North America, Europe and Japan). All regions sell products that are used to treat advanced cardiovascular disease. In December 2005, based on continuing changes in how certain financial information is used to assess performance and allocated resources, Edwards Lifesciences determined that its four geographic regions are reportable segments as defined by SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." To facilitate the comparison of current year segment results to that of prior years, segment disclosures for fiscal years 2004 and 2003 have been included to reflect these changes.

The Company evaluates the performance of its segments based on net sales and income before provision for income taxes ("pre-tax income"). The accounting policies of the segments are substantially the same as those described in Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. Net sales and pre-tax income of reportable segments are based on internally derived standard foreign exchange rates, which may differ from year to year, and do not include inter-segment profits. Because of the interdependence of the reportable segments, the operating profit as presented may not be

representative of the geographical distribution that would occur if the segments were not interdependent.

Certain items are maintained at the corporate level and are not allocated to the segments. The non-allocated items include most of the Company's amortization expense, net interest expense, global marketing expenses, corporate research and development expenses, United States manufacturing variances, corporate headquarters costs, special charges (such as in-process research and development and special charges, net) foreign currency and interest rate hedging activities and certain litigation costs. Although most of the Company's depreciation expense is included in segment pre-tax income, due to the Company's methodology for cost build-up it is impractical to determine the amount of depreciation expense included in each segment. The Company neither discretely allocates assets to its operating segments, nor evaluates the operating segments using discrete asset information.

The table below presents information about Edwards Lifesciences' reportable segments (in millions):

Years Ended December 31,							
	2005	005		2004		004	
\$	472.9	\$	430.7	\$	396.5		
			184.2		176.8		
			194.2		209.4		
	93.0		75.5		67.3		
\$	950.5	\$	884.6	\$	850.0		
\$	252.4	\$	214.3	\$	198.3		
	45.3		38.7		27.7		
	65.4		66.9		75.1		
	12.7		9.7		9.0		
\$	375.8	\$	329.6	\$	310.1		
	\$	\$ 472.9 199.2 185.4 93.0 \$ 950.5 \$ 252.4 45.3 65.4 12.7	\$ 472.9 \$ 199.2 185.4 93.0 \$ 950.5 \$ \$ \$ 252.4 \$ 45.3 65.4 12.7	\$ 472.9 \$ 430.7 199.2 184.2 185.4 194.2 93.0 75.5 \$ 950.5 \$ 884.6 \$ 252.4 \$ 214.3 45.3 38.7 65.4 66.9 12.7 9.7	\$ 472.9 \$ 430.7 \$ 199.2 184.2 185.4 194.2 93.0 75.5 \$ 884.6 \$ \$ 252.4 \$ 214.3 \$ 45.3 38.7 65.4 66.9 12.7 9.7		

The table below presents reconciliations of segment net sales to consolidated net sales and segment pre-tax income to consolidated pre-tax income (in millions):

		Years Ended December 31,																										
	_	2005 20		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2003
Net Sales Reconciliation																												
Segment net sales	\$	950.5	\$	884.6	\$	850.0																						
Foreign currency		47.4		46.9		10.5																						
Consolidated net sales	\$	997.9	\$	931.5	\$	860.5																						
Pre-tax Income Reconciliation	\$	375.8	\$	329.6	\$	310.1																						
Segment pre-tax income Unallocated amounts:	Φ	373.8	Ф	329.0	Ф	310.1																						
Corporate items		(220.8)		(180.7)		(161.6)																						
Special charges		(49.4)		(110.5)		(37.1)																						
Interest expense, net		(9.7)		(14.2)		(13.2)																						
Foreign currency		20.8		5.9		(5.4)																						
Consolidated pre-tax income	\$	116.7	\$	30.1	\$	92.8																						

# **Enterprise-Wide Information**

Enterprise—wide information is based on foreign exchange rates used in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

		As of or for the years ended December 31,																																																
		2005		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2004		2003
			(in	millions)																																														
Net Sales by Geographic Area																																																		
United States	\$	455.9	\$	416.5	\$	384.3																																												
Other countries		542.0		515.0		476.2																																												
	_		_		_																																													
	\$	997.9	\$	931.5	\$	860.5																																												
			_		_																																													
Net Sales by Major Product and Service Area																																																		
Heart Valve Therapy	\$	469.3	\$	419.2	\$	366.4																																												
Critical Care		324.1		302.3		278.8																																												
Cardiac Surgery Systems		104.6		107.3		115.0																																												
Vascular		66.1		60.1		55.9																																												
Other Distributed Products		33.8		42.6		44.4																																												
	_				_																																													
	\$	997.9	\$	931.5	\$	860.5																																												
	_		_		_																																													
Long-Lived Tangible Assets by Geographic Area																																																		
United States	\$	158.2	\$	172.8																																														
Other countries		69.8		59.8																																														
	_		_																																															
	\$	228.0	\$	232.6																																														

# 18. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL RESULTS AND MARKET FOR THE COMPANY'S STOCK (UNAUDITED)

Years ended December 31,	First quarter	Second quarter		Third quarter	Fourth quarter	_	Total year
	 	(in millio	ons, ex	cept per shar	e data)		
2005							
Net sales	\$ 249.1	\$ 258.2	\$	240.9	\$ 249.7	\$	997.9
Gross profit	152.9	160.3		150.0	160.1		623.3
Net income (loss)(a)	31.2	13.9		(4.4)	38.6		79.3
(Loss) earnings per common share(a):							
Basic	0.52	0.23		(0.07)	0.65		1.33
Diluted	0.50	0.22		(0.07)	0.61		1.27
Market price:							
High	44.28	46.76		46.25	44.32		46.76
Low	39.47	41.85		40.65	39.85		39.47
2004							
Net sales	\$ 235.0	\$ 234.6	\$	224.8	\$ 237.1		931.5
Gross profit	136.3	142.2		136.9	145.9		561.3
Net income (loss)(b)	(62.1)	25.5		12.4	25.9		1.7
(Loss) earnings per common share(b):							
Basic	(1.04)	0.43		0.21	0.44		0.03
Diluted	(1.04)	0.41		0.20	0.42		0.03
Market price							
High	35.52	36.58		36.52	42.26		42.26
Low	29.61	31.88		32.77	32.60		29.61

<sup>(</sup>a)
The second quarter 2005 includes a \$22.8 million charge related to the restructuring of development and supply agreements with 3F Therapeutics, Inc.
The third quarter 2005 includes a \$15.0 million charitable fund contribution and an \$8.9 million investment impairment.

<sup>(</sup>b)

The first quarter 2004 includes an \$81.0 million pretax purchased in–process research and development charge related to the Percutaneous Valve Technologies, Inc. acquisition. The third quarter 2004 includes a \$12.3 million pretax purchased in–process research and development charge related to the acquisition of technology and intellectual property from ev3, Inc.

# EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS (in millions)

Additions	

			_						
	begi	ance at nning of eriod		Charged to costs and expenses	_	Charged to other accounts	Deductions from reserves	a	Balance at end of period
Year ended December 31, 2005									
Allowance for doubtful accounts(b)	\$	5.2	\$	1.6	\$	(0.2)	\$ (1.2)	\$	5.4
Inventory reserves(a)		15.5		7.1		(0.2)	(10.1)		12.3
Litigation reserves(c)		2.0		2.4			(1.7)		2.7
Year ended December 31, 2004									
Allowance for doubtful accounts(b)	\$	6.5	\$	5.0	\$	0.3	\$ (6.6)	\$	5.2
Inventory reserves(a)		8.5		10.5		0.1	(3.6)		15.5
Litigation reserves(c)		2.0		1.0		_	(1.0)		2.0
Year ended December 31, 2003									
Allowance for doubtful accounts(b)	\$	6.8	\$	2.4	\$	1.0	\$ (3.7)	\$	6.5
Inventory reserves(a)		9.6		3.9		_	(5.0)		8.5
Litigation reserves(c)		4.1		1.1		_	(3.2)		2.0

- (a) Inventory reserves result from inventory which is obsolete, is nearing its expiration date (generally triggered at six months prior to expiration), or is damaged or slow moving (defined as quantities in excess of a two year supply).
- (b)

  The deductions related to allowances for doubtful accounts and returns represent accounts receivable which are written off and product which is returned from customers. The deductions related to inventory reserves represent inventory that is disposed of or sold as part of a business transaction.
- (c)

  The deductions related to litigation reserves represent settlements of litigation and reduced estimates of anticipated settlements.

## Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

## Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**—The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, performed an evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a–15(e) and 15d–15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of December 31, 2005.

Based on their evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in providing reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting—The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company

conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework*, the Company's management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2005. Management's assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting—There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that were identified during the evaluation that occurred during the Company's fourth fiscal quarter of 2005 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART III

## Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

This information required by this Item is set forth under the headings "Election of Directors," "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and "Executive Officers of Edwards Lifesciences" in the definitive proxy materials to be filed in connection with its 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Proxy Statement") (which Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 21, 2006). The information required by this Item to be contained in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

The Company has adopted a code of ethics, Edwards' *Global Business Practice Standards*, that applies to its principal executive officer, principal financial and accounting officer and controller. The *Global Business Practice Standards* are posted on the Company's Web site, the address of which is www.edwards.com. The Company intends to include on its Web site any amendments to, or waivers from, a provision of its *Global Business Practice Standards* that applies to the Company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer or controller, and that relates to any element of the code of ethics definition enumerated in Item 406(b) of Regulation S–K.

## Item 11. Executive Compensation

Except for information referred to in Item 402(a)(8) of Regulation S–K, the information contained under the headings "Election of Directors" and "Executive Compensation and Other Information" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

## Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information contained under the headings "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" and "Equity Compensation Plan Information" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

# Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information contained under the heading "Related Party Transactions" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

# Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information contained under the heading "Fees Paid to Principal Accountants" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

# PART IV

# Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

# EXHIBITS FILED WITH SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
3.3	Form of Certificate of Designation for Edwards Lifesciences Corporation Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.4)
4.1	Specimen form of certificate representing Edwards Lifesciences Corporation common stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 in Edwards Lifesciences' Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 001–15525))
4.2	Indenture, dated as of May 9, 2003, by and between Edwards Lifesciences Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank including the form of 3.875% Convertible Senior Debenture due 2033 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 in Edwards Lifesciences' Registration Statement on Form S–3 (File No. 333–107405))
4.3	Form of Debenture (Exhibit A to the Indenture listed above as Exhibit 4.2)
4.4	Rights Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
10.1	Form of Tax Sharing Agreement between Edwards Lifesciences Corporation and Baxter International Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 in Edwards Lifesciences' Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 001–15525))
10.2	Supplemental Reorganization Agreement and Amendment to Tax Sharing Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2002, by and between Baxter International Inc. and Edwards Lifesciences Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2002, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
*10.3	Form of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation Change in Control Severance Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
*10.4	Employment Agreement for Michael A. Mussallem (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
*10.5	Promissory Note Secured by Deed of Trust for Michael A. Mussallem dated December 11, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)

- \*10.6 Form of Employment Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- 10.7 Five Year Credit Agreement dated as of June 28, 2004, among Edwards Lifesciences Corporation, as Borrower; the lenders party thereto; JP Morgan Chase Bank as Administrative Agent; J.P. Morgan Europe Limited as London Agent; Mizuho Corporate Bank, Limited as Tokyo Agent; Bank of America, N.A. as Syndication Agent; and The Bank of Tokyo–Mitsubishi, Ltd., Mizuho Corporate Bank, Limited, Suntrust Bank, Wachovia Bank, N.A., as Documentation Agents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2004, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- \*10.8 First Amendment dated as of September 29, 2005, among Edwards Lifesciences Corporation, the Lenders described therein, and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent for the Lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 8–K filed on September 30, 2005, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- \*10.9 Edwards Lifesciences Corporation Severance Pay Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- \*10.10 Edwards Lifesciences Corporation Executive Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- \*10.11 Edwards Lifesciences Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 8–K filed on December 27, 2004, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- \*10.12 Edwards Lifesciences Corporation of Puerto Rico Savings and Investment Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 in Edwards Lifesciences' Registration Statement on Form S–8 (File No. 333–40434))
- 10.13 Edwards Lifesciences Corporation 401(k) Savings and Investment Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 in Edwards Lifesciences' Registration Statement on Form S–8 (File No. 333–33056))
- 10.14 Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 21, 2000, by and among Edwards Lifesciences Financing LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Edwards Lifesciences LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and Wachovia Bank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10– K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- Amendment No. 1 to Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2001, by and among Edwards Lifesciences Financing LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Edwards Lifesciences LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and Wachovia Bank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)

- 10.16 Second Amendment to Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 20, 2001, by and among Edwards Lifesciences Financing LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Edwards Lifesciences LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, a Delaware corporation, the Liquidity Banks and Wachovia Bank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- 10.17 Third Amendment to Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 8, 2002, by and among Edwards Lifesciences Financing LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Edwards Lifesciences LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, a Delaware corporation, the Liquidity Banks and Wachovia Bank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- 10.18 Fourth Amendment to Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2002, by and among Edwards Lifesciences Financing LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Edwards Lifesciences LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, a Delaware corporation, the Liquidity Banks and Wachovia Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- 10.19 Forbearance Agreement and Fifth Amendment to Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 3, 2004, by and among Edwards Lifesciences Financing LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Edwards Lifesciences LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, a Delaware corporation, the Liquidity Banks and Wachovia Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- 10.20 Sixth Amendment to Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 20, 2004, by and among Edwards Lifesciences Financing LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Edwards Lifesciences LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, a Delaware corporation, the Liquidity Banks and Wachovia Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- 10.21 Seventh Amendment to Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 17, 2005, by and among Edwards Lifesciences Financing LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Edwards Lifesciences LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, a Delaware corporation, The Liquidity Banks and Wachovia Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 8–K filed on September 30, 2005 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- \*10.22 Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated December 4, 2002, by and among Edwards Lifesciences Limited, a Japanese corporation, Apreco, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and Citilease Company Limited, a Japanese corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)

10.23	Memorandum, dated December 3, 2005, by and among Edwards Lifesciences Limited, a Japanese corporation, Apreco, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and Citilease Company Limited, a Japanese corporation
*10.24	Long-Term Stock Incentive Compensation Program (as amended and restated as of February 20, 2003) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
10.25	Nonemployee Directors Stock Incentive Program (amended and restated as of March 4, 2005) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
*10.26	Agreement between Edwards Lifesciences Corporation and J. Randall Nelson, dated December 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
*10.27	2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan for United States Employees (as amended and restated on September 13, 2005)
*10.28	2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan for International Employees (as amended and restated on September 13, 2005)
*10.29	Edwards Lifesciences Corporation Incentive Plan Guidelines (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
*10.30	Edwards Lifesciences Corporation Officer Perquisite Program Guidelines (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 in Edwards Lifesciences' report on Form 10–K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
21.1	Subsidiaries of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Represents management contract or compensatory plan

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION

March 6, 2006 By: /s/ MICHAEL A. MUSSALLEM

Michael A. Mussallem Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

We, the undersigned officers and directors of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation, hereby severally constitute and appoint Jay P. Wertheim and Bruce P. Garren, and each of them singly, our true and lawful attorneys, with full power to them and each of them singly, to sign for us in our names in the capacities indicated below, all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10–K, and generally to do all things in our names and on our behalf in such capacities to enable Edwards Lifesciences Corporation to comply with the provisions of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended, and all requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date			
/s/ MICHAEL A. MUSSALLEM	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 6, 2006			
Michael A. Mussallem /s/ THOMAS M. ABATE	Corporate Vice President,  Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial	March 6, 2006			
Thomas M. Abate /s/ MIKE R. BOWLIN	Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)  Director	March 6, 2006			
Mike R. Bowlin /s/ JOHN T. CARDIS	Director	March 6, 2006			
John T. Cardis /s/ ROBERT A. INGRAM	Director	March 3, 2006			
Robert A. Ingram /s/ VERNON R. LOUCKS JR.	Director	March 6, 2006			
Vernon R. Loucks Jr. /s/ BARBARA J. MCNEIL	Director	March 2, 2006			
Barbara J. McNeil, M.D., Ph.D.	Director	March 3, 2006			
Philip M. Neal /s/ DAVID E.I. PYOTT	Director	March 2, 2006			
David E.I. Pyott	95				

#### **OuickLinks**

# EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION Form 10-K Annual Report—2005 Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Item 2. Properties

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

**Overview** 

**Results of Operations** 

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULE DECEMBER 31, 2005

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in millions, except share data)

EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (in millions, except per share information)

EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in millions)

EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(LOSS) (in millions)

EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS (in millions)

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

**SIGNATURES** 

## **MEMORANDUM**

This MEMORANDUM, dated December 3, 2005, is made by and among EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES LIMITED, a Japanese corporation (the "Seller"), APRECO, LLC, a Delaware corporation (the "Purchaser"), and CITILEASE COMPANY LTD., a Japanese corporation (the "Agent") with respect to amendments to the Receivables Purchase Agreement dated December 4, 2002 made by and among the parties hereto, as amended thereafter by the memorandum dated April 22, 2005 (all together collectively, the "Agreement"), in accordance with Article 20 of Exhibit A of the Agreement.

#### Article 1. Definition

Any capitalized term used herein without definition shall have the meaning ascribed thereto in the Agreement.

## Article 2. Amendment

The Agreement shall be amended as follows:

- Termination Date defined in Paragraph 2.A. (ix) in the Agreement shall be extended to December 3, 2008.
- (ii) Paragraph 2. G. in the Agreement shall be amended to read as follows:

The Discount shall be equal to the Purchaser's cost of funds plus (a) forty-five (45) basis points of the Capital on the Settlement Date which corresponds to the amount up to \(\frac{4}{2}\) billion, (b) forty (40) basis points of the Capital on the Settlement Date which corresponds to the amount over \(\frac{4}{2}\) billion and up to \(\frac{4}{2}\) billion and (c) thirty-five (35) basis points of the Capital on the Settlement Date which corresponds to the amount over \(\frac{4}{2}\) dillion (the "Program and Liquidity Fee"). Such cost of funds shall be comprised of each of the following actual documented costs of the Purchaser that are directly related to the relevant Purchase: (i) the Purchaser's cost of commercial paper or senior debt (or the Purchaser's backstop bank liquidity funding cost if the commercial paper market is not available on the relevant date), (ii) the dealer fee relating to the placement of such commercial paper, (iii) the foreign exchange swap cost, and (iv) Purchaser's administrative costs including, but not limited to, the credit enhancement cost, rating agency fees, and any reasonable professional fees. The Program and Liquidity Fee shall be determined on an annual basis depending on the liquidity market conditions, subject to mutual agreement between the Seller and the Agent.

#### Article 3. Effective Date

This Memorandum shall become effective on the date of execution thereof.

# Article 4. Integrity

- A.

  This Memorandum shall constitute an integral part of the Agreement.
- B.
   Except as expressly provided herein, the provisions of the Agreement remain in full force.
- C.
  If there is any inconsistency or controversy between this Memorandum and the Agreement or any agreements to which the Seller is a party or regarded as a party, this Memorandum shall prevail between the parties hereto.

# Article 5. Validity etc.

In case any provision in or obligation under this Memorandum shall be held invalid, illegal or unenforceable, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions of or obligations under this Memorandum shall not be affected or impaired thereby.

## Article 6. Jurisdiction and Governing Law

- A.

  The parties hereto agree that the Tokyo District Court shall have the exclusive first–instance jurisdiction over any and all disputes relating to this Memorandum.
- B.

  This Memorandum shall be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of Japan.

[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Memorandum to be executed by their respective duly authorized representatives.

EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES LIMITED

/s/

Name:
Title:

APRECO, LLC
Citilease Company Ltd., attorney-in-fact for ARECO, LLC
/s/

Name:
Title:
CITILEASE COMPANY LTD.
/s/
Name:

Title:

3

# QuickLinks

**MEMORANDUM** 

# EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION 2001 EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN FOR UNITED STATES EMPLOYEES

(As Amended and Restated on September 13, 2005)

## Edwards Lifesciences Corporation 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan For United States Employees (As Amended and Restated on September 13, 2005)

#### ARTICLE I—PURPOSE

## 1.01. Purpose

The Edwards Lifesciences Corporation 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan for United States Employees is intended to provide a method whereby employees of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation (the "Company") and its participating subsidiary companies authorized by the Committee (or an officer designated by the Committee pursuant to Section 9.02) to extend the benefits of the Plan to their Eligible Employees will have an opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company through the purchase of shares of the Company's common stock. It is the intention of the Company to have the Plan qualify as an "employee stock purchase plan" under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The provisions of the Plan shall be construed so as to extend and limit participation in a manner consistent with the requirements of Code Section 423.

The Plan was initially adopted by the Board on February 8, 2001, and subsequently approved by the stockholders on May 10, 2001. The Plan was subsequently amended and restated by the Board on February 20, 2003 and on September 13, 2005.

#### ARTICLE II—DEFINITIONS

#### 2.01. Base Pay

"Base Pay" shall mean regular straight—time earnings plus commissions and payments in lieu of regular earnings (such as vacation, sick pay and holiday pay). In the case of a part—time hourly employee, such employee's base pay during an Offering shall be determined by multiplying such employee's hourly rate of pay by the number of regularly scheduled hours of work for such employee during such Offering.

#### 2.02. Change in Control

"Change in Control" of the Company shall mean the occurrence of any one of the following events:

- Any "Person", as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act (other than the Company, any corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by the stockholders of the Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of stock of the Company, and any trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under an employee benefit plan of the Company or such proportionately owned corporation), is or becomes the "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d–3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing thirty percent (30%) or more of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding securities; or
- During any period of not more than twenty–four (24) months, individuals who at the beginning of such period constitute the Board of Directors of the Company, and any new director (other than a director designated by a Person who has entered into an agreement with the Company to effect a transaction described in Sections 2.02(a), 2.02(c), or 2.02(d) of this Section 2.02) whose election by the Board or nomination for election by the Company's stockholders was approved by a vote of at least two–thirds (2/3) of the directors then still in office who either were directors at the beginning of the period or whose election or nomination for election was previously so approved, cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority thereof; or
- (c)
  The consummation of a merger or consolidation of the Company with any other entity, other than: (i) a merger or consolidation which would result in the voting securities of the Company

outstanding immediately prior thereto continuing to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into voting securities of the surviving entity) more than sixty percent (60%) of the combined voting power of the voting securities of the Company or such surviving entity outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation; or (ii) a merger or consolidation effected to implement a recapitalization of the Company (or similar transaction) in which no Person acquires more than thirty percent (30%) of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding securities; or

(d)

The Company's stockholders approve a plan of complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company, or an agreement for the sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets (or any transaction having a similar effect).

# 2.03. Code

"Code" shall mean the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

### 2.04. Committee

"Committee" shall mean the individuals appointed by the Company to administer the Plan as described in Article IX.

### 2.05. Company

"Company" shall mean Edwards Lifesciences Corporation.

# 2.06. Corporate Affiliate

"Corporate Affiliate" shall mean any parent or subsidiary corporation or limited liability company of the Company (as determined in accordance with Code section 424), whether now existing or subsequently established.

# 2.07. Eligible Employee

"Eligible Employee" means, unless local laws prohibit such employee's participation in the Plan, any regular employee of a Participating Company who is scheduled to work 20 or more hours per week.

### 2.08. Enrollment Period

"Enrollment Period" shall mean with respect to any Offering, the period designated by the Committee prior to such Offering during which Eligible Employees may authorize payroll deductions through a Subscription. Unless the Committee determines otherwise, the Enrollment Period with respect to any Offering shall end on the twenty–fifth day of the month immediately preceding the Offering Commencement Date and any Subscription received after such date shall be deemed to be an enrollment in the next following Offering.

# 2.09. Exchange Act

"Exchange Act" shall mean the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended from time to time, or any successor thereto.

# 2.10. Fair Market Value

The "Fair Market Value" of a share of Stock on a given day shall be determined as follows: (i) if the Stock is listed on any established stock exchange or a national market system (a) for any date of

determination except the Purchase Date, Fair Market Value shall be the closing sales price for such stock (or the closing bid, if no sale is reported) as quoted on such exchange or system for the last market trading day prior to the time of determination, as reported in *The Wall Street Journal* or such other source as the Committee deems reliable; (b) for the Purchase Date, Fair Market Value shall be the closing sales price for such stock (or the closing bid, if no sale is reported) as quoted on such exchange or system on the Purchase Date, as reported in *The Wall Street Journal* or such other source as the Committee deems reliable, or (ii) in the absence of an established market for the Stock, the Fair Market Value thereof shall be determined in good faith by the Committee.

### 2.11. Offering

"Offering" shall mean the quarterly offering of the Company's Stock, the duration of which shall not exceed twenty seven (27) months.

# 2.12. Offering Commencement Date

"Offering Commencement Date" shall mean June 1, 2001 and, unless determined otherwise by the Committee, the first day of each calendar quarter thereafter.

# 2.13. Offering End Date

"Offering End Date" shall mean, with respect to each Offering beginning prior to July 1, 2007, the first to occur of the day preceding the second annual anniversary of the Offering Commencement Date or the day preceding July 1, 2007, unless determined otherwise by the Committee prior to the Offering Commencement Date or such date as determined pursuant to Section 6.04. "Offering End Date" shall mean, with respect to each Offering beginning on or after July 1, 2007, the day preceding the first annual anniversary of the Offering Commencement Date, unless determined otherwise by the Committee prior to the Offering Commencement Date or such date as determined pursuant to Section 6.04.

# 2.14. Participant

"Participant" shall mean an Eligible Employee who has elected to participate in an Offering by entering a Subscription during the Enrollment Period for such Offering.

# 2.15. Participating Company

"Participating Company" shall mean the Company and each Corporate Affiliate as may be authorized from time to time by the Committee to extend the benefits of the Plan to their Eligible Employees.

# 2.16. Plan

"Plan" shall mean the Edwards Lifesciences Corporation 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan for United States Employees, as amended from time to time.

# 2.17. Purchase Date

"Purchase Date" shall mean with respect to any Offering, the last day of each calendar quarter (or such other dates determined by the Committee prior to the Offering Commencement Date or pursuant to Section 6.04) during the period beginning with the Offering Commencement Date for such Offering and ending with the Offering End Date; provided, however, if any such day is not a business day, the Purchase Date shall be the next preceding business date on which shares of Stock are traded.

# 2.18. Stock

"Stock" shall mean the common stock, par value \$1.00, of the Company.

# 2.19. Subscription

"Subscription" shall mean an Eligible Employee's authorization for payroll deductions made in the form and manner specified by the Committee (which may include enrollment by submitting forms, by voice response, internet access or other electronic means). Unless withdrawn earlier in accordance with Section 6.02, each Subscription shall be in effect for the duration of the Offering to which it applies. No more than one Subscription may be in effect for an Eligible Employee during any calendar quarter.

# ARTICLE III—ELIGIBILITY AND PARTICIPATION

# 3.01. Initial Eligibility

Any individual who is an Eligible Employee on an Offering Commencement Date shall be eligible to participate in the Offering commencing on such date, subject to the terms and conditions of the Plan.

### 3.02. Leave of Absence

For purposes of participation in the Plan, a Participant on a leave of absence shall be deemed to be an employee for a period of up to 90 days or, if longer, during the period the Participant's right to reemployment is guaranteed by statute or contract. If the leave of absence is paid, deductions authorized under any Subscription in effect at the time the leave began will continue. If the leave of absence is unpaid, no deductions or contributions will be permitted during the leave. If such a Participant returns to active status within 90 days or the guaranteed reemployment period, as applicable, payroll deductions under the Subscription in effect at the time the leave began will automatically begin again upon the Participant's return to active status, unless the Subscription has expired. If the Participant does not return to active status within 90 days or the guaranteed reemployment period, as applicable, the Participant shall be treated as having terminated employment for all purposes of the Plan. If such terminated Participant later returns to active employment as an Eligible Employee after the Subscription has expired, such individual will be treated as a new employee and will be eligible to participate in Offerings commencing after his or her reemployment date by filing a Subscription during the applicable Enrollment Period for such Offering.

# 3.03. Restrictions on Participation

Notwithstanding any provisions of the Plan to the contrary, no Eligible Employee shall be granted a right to purchase Stock:

- (a)

  if, immediately after the grant, such employee would own Stock, and/or hold outstanding options to purchase Stock, possessing 5% or more of the total combined voting power or value of all classes of the Company's stock (for purposes of this paragraph, the rules of Section 424(d) of the Code shall apply in determining stock ownership of any employee); or
- (b) which permits the employee's rights to purchase Stock under all employee stock purchase plans of the Company to accrue at a rate which exceeds \$25,000 in Fair Market Value of the Stock (determined at the time such right to purchase Stock is granted) for each calendar year in which such right is outstanding.

Further, with respect to any Offering, in no event shall an employee be granted a right to purchase in excess of 10,000 shares of Stock, subject to adjustment pursuant to Section 10.03.

# 3.04. Commencement of Participation

An Eligible Employee may become a Participant in any Offering by entering a Subscription during the Enrollment Period for such Offering. Payroll deductions for such Offering shall commence on the applicable Offering Commencement Date and shall end on the applicable Offering End Date unless withdrawn by the Participant or sooner terminated in accordance with Article VII. Only one Subscription may be in effect with respect to any Participant at any one time.

# 3.05. Participation After Rehire

An Eligible Employee's Subscription will automatically terminate on the date he or she is no longer an employee of any Participating Company. If the Eligible Employee terminates employment with a Subscription in effect with respect to an Offering and is rehired prior to the Offering End Date for that Offering, the Subscription will not be reinstated and the Eligible Employee will not be allowed to again make payroll deductions under such Offering. The Eligible Employee may elect to participate in Offerings commencing after his or her reemployment date by entering a Subscription during the applicable Enrollment Period for such Offering. Notwithstanding the foregoing, an Eligible Employee's transfer from one Participating Company to another shall not terminate such Eligible Employee's Subscription.

# 3.06. International Employees/International Transfers

Eligible Employees who transfer to a Participating Company from a subsidiary of the Company participating in the Company's stock purchase plan for international employees may not participate in Offerings which had an Offering Commencement Date prior to such transfer. Such Eligible Employee may participate in Offerings commencing after such transfer by entering a Subscription during the applicable Enrollment Period for such Offering.

A Participant who transfers from a Participating Company to either a Corporate Affiliate that is not a Participating Company or a location that, by local law, prohibits participation in any of the Company's stock purchase plans will be treated as a terminated Participant under this Plan.

# ARTICLE IV—OFFERINGS

# 4.01. Quarterly Offerings

The Plan commenced with an Offering beginning on June 1, 2001 and, unless determined otherwise by the Committee, will continue in operation with a new Offering commencing on the first day of each calendar quarter thereafter. Eligible Employees may not have in effect more than one Subscription at a time.

Participants may subscribe to any Offering by entering a Subscription during the Enrollment Period for such Offering in such manner as the Committee may prescribe (which may include enrollment by submitting forms, by voice response, internet access or other electronic means).

A Subscription that is in effect on an Offering End Date will automatically be deemed to be a Subscription for the Offering that commences immediately following such Offering End Date, provided that the Participant is still an Eligible Employee and has not withdrawn the Subscription. Under the foregoing automatic enrollment provisions, payroll deductions will continue at the level in effect immediately prior to the new Offering Commencement Date, unless changed in advance by the Participant in accordance with Section 5.03.

# 4.02. Purchase Price

The purchase price per share of Stock under each Offering shall be the lower of:

- (a) 85% of the Fair Market Value of the Stock on the Offering Commencement Date; or
- (b) 85% of the Fair Market Value of the Stock on the Purchase Date.

Such purchase price may only be paid with accumulated payroll deductions in accordance with Article V.

# ARTICLE V—PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS

# 5.01. Amount of Deduction

An Eligible Employee's Subscription shall authorize payroll deductions at a rate, in whole percentages, of no less than 1% and no more than 12% of Base Pay on each payday that the Subscription is in effect.

# 5.02. Participant's Account

All payroll deductions made with respect to a Participant shall be credited to his or her recordkeeping account under the Plan. A Participant may not make any separate cash payment into such account. No interest will accrue or be paid on any amount withheld from a Participant's pay under the Plan or credited to the Participant's account. Except as otherwise provided in this Section 5.02, all amounts in a Participant's account will be used to purchase whole shares of Stock and no cash refunds shall be made from such account. Any amounts that are insufficient to purchase whole shares shall be credited to the Participant's account, and added to any fractional amounts resulting on subsequent Purchase Dates. Upon liquidation or other closing of a Participant's account, any fractional amounts shall be paid in cash to the Participant based on the ten current Fair Market Value of the Stock. In addition, any amounts that are withheld but unable to be applied to the purchase of Stock because of the limitations of Section 3.03 shall be returned to the Participant without interest and will not be used to purchase shares with respect to any other Offering under the Plan.

# 5.03. Changes in Payroll Deductions

During an Offering, a Participant may change his or her level of payroll deduction with respect to such Offering within the limits described in Section 5.01 in accordance with procedures established by the Committee (including, without limitation, rules relating to the frequency of such changes); provided, however, if the Participant reduces his or her payroll deductions to zero, it shall be deemed to be a withdrawal of the Subscription and the Participant may not thereafter participate in such Offering but must wait until the Offering following the second Purchase Date following the withdrawal to resubscribe to the Plan. Any such discontinuance or change in level shall be effective as soon as administratively practicable.

# ARTICLE VI—EXERCISE OF RIGHTS TO PURCHASE STOCK

# 6.01. Automatic Exercise

A Participant's right to purchase Stock with respect to any Offering will be automatically exercised on each Purchase Date for the Offering. The right to purchase Stock will be exercised by using the accumulated payroll deductions in the Participant's account as of each such Purchase Date to purchase the number of whole shares of Stock that may be purchased at the purchase price on such date, determined in accordance with Section 4.02.

# 6.02. Withdrawal From Offering

A Participant may not withdraw the accumulated payroll deductions in his or her account during an Offering. If the Participant withdraws his or her Subscription with respect to any Offering, the accumulated payroll deductions in the Participant's account at the time the Subscription is withdrawn will be used to purchase shares of Stock at the next Purchase Date for the Offering to which the Subscription related, in accordance with Section 6.01.

# 6.03. Delivery of Stock

Stock purchases under the Plan will be held in an account in the Participant's name in uncertificated form unless certification is requested by the Participant. Furthermore, Stock to be delivered to a Participant under the Plan will be registered in the name of the Participant.

# 6.04. Change in Control

If pursuant to a Change in Control rights to purchase Stock are not assumed or otherwise continued in full force and effect, then each right to purchase Stock under each Offering in effect at the time of the Change in Control shall automatically be exercised, immediately prior to the effective date of any Change in Control, by applying the payroll deductions of each Participant for the Offering in which such Change in Control occurs to the purchase of whole shares of Stock at a purchase price per share equal to eighty—five percent (85%) of the lower of (i) the Fair Market Value per share of Stock on the start date of the applicable Offering or (ii) the Fair Market Value per share of Stock immediately prior to the effective date of such Change in Control.

# ARTICLE VII—WITHDRAWAL

# 7.01. Effect on Subsequent Participation

The Committee shall have the authority to decide the Participant's eligibility to participate in any succeeding Offering if Participant withdraws from any Offering.

# 7.02. Termination of Employment

Subject to the following provisions of this Section 7.02, upon termination of the Participant's employment for any reason that results in the Participant not qualifying as an Eligible Employee, any Subscription then in effect will be deemed to have been withdrawn and any payroll deductions credited to the Participant's account will be used to purchase Stock on the next Purchase Date for the Offering with respect to which such deductions relate. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the Participant has a Subscription in effect on the Participant's termination of employment, payroll deductions (at the rate in effect on the termination date) shall continue to be made from Base Pay earned prior to termination of employment, if any, that is paid to the Participant after such termination of employment and before the earlier of (i) the three–month anniversary of such termination of employment, or (ii) the Offering End Date of such Offering. Any such payroll deduction shall be used to purchase Stock on the next Purchase Date for the Offering after the deduction is made.

# ARTICLE VIII—STOCK

# 8.01. Maximum Shares

The maximum number of shares which may be issued under the Plan, subject to adjustment upon changes in capitalization of the Company as provided in Section 10.03, shall be 1,500,000 shares. If the total number of shares for which rights to purchase Stock are exercised on any Purchase Date exceeds the maximum number of shares available for issuance, the Company shall make a pro rata allocation of the shares available for delivery and distribution in as nearly a uniform manner as shall be practicable

and as it shall determine to be equitable, and the balance of payroll deductions credited to the account of each Participant under the Plan shall be returned to him as promptly as possible.

# 8.02. Participant's Interest in Rights to Purchase Stock

The Participant will have no interest in Stock covered by a right to purchase Stock under the Plan until such right has been exercised.

# ARTICLE IX—ADMINISTRATION

# 9.01. Appointment of Committee

The Company's Board of Directors shall appoint a Committee to administer the Plan. No member of the Committee who is not an Eligible Employee shall be eligible to purchase Stock under the Plan.

# 9.02. Authority of Committee

Subject to the express provisions of the Plan, the Committee shall have plenary authority in its discretion to interpret and construe any and all provisions of the Plan, to adopt rules and regulations for administering the Plan, and to make all other determinations deemed necessary or advisable for administering the Plan. The Committee's determination on the foregoing matters shall be conclusive. The Committee shall also have the authority to determine if and when the employees of Corporate Affiliates organized or acquired after the Effective Date shall be eligible for participation in the Plan. The Committee may delegate to an officer its authority under this Section 9.02 to determine if and when the employees of a Corporate Affiliate shall be eligible or ineligible for participation in the Plan.

# 9.03. Rules Governing the Administration of the Committee

The Company's Board of Directors may from time to time appoint members of the Committee in substitution for or in addition to members previously appointed and may fill vacancies, however caused, in the Committee. The Committee may select one of its members as its Chairman and shall hold its meetings at such times and places as it shall deem advisable and may hold telephonic meetings. A majority of its members shall constitute a quorum. All determinations of the Committee shall be made by a majority of its members. The Committee may correct any defect or omission or reconcile any inconsistency in the Plan, in the manner and to the extent it shall deem desirable. Any decision or determination reduced to writing and signed by a majority of the members of the Committee shall be as fully effective as if it had been made by a majority vote at a meeting duly called and held. The Committee may appoint a secretary and shall make such rules and regulations for the conduct of its business as it shall deem advisable.

# 9.04. Statements

Each Participant shall receive a statement of his account showing the number of shares of Stock held and the amount of cash credited to such account. Such statements will be provided as soon as administratively feasible following the end of each calendar quarter.

# ARTICLE X-MISCELLANEOUS

# 10.01. Transferability

Neither payroll deductions credited to a Participant's account nor any rights with regard to the exercise of a right to purchase Stock or to receive Stock under the Plan may be assigned, transferred, pledged, or otherwise disposed of in any way by the Participant other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution. Any such attempted assignment, transfer, pledge or other disposition shall be

without effect. During a Participant's lifetime, rights to purchase Stock that are held by such Participant shall be exercisable only by that Participant.

# 10.02. Use of Funds

All payroll deductions received or held by the Participating Company under this Plan may be used by the Participating Company for any corporate purpose and the Participating Company shall not be obligated to segregate such payroll deductions.

# 10.03. Adjustment Upon Changes in Capitalization

In the event of a stock split, stock dividend, recapitalization, reclassification or combination of shares, merger, spin-off or similar event, the Committee shall adjust equitably (a) the number and class of shares or other securities that are reserved for sale under the Plan, (b) the number and class of shares or other securities that are subject to outstanding rights to purchase Stock, (c) the maximum number of shares of Stock that can be purchased by a Participant with respect to any Offering and (d) the appropriate market value and other price determinations applicable to rights to purchase Stock. The Committee shall make all determinations under this Section 10.03, and all such determinations shall be conclusive and binding.

# 10.04. Amendment and Termination

The Company's Board of Directors shall have complete power and authority to terminate or amend the Plan at any time and for any reason; provided, however, that the Company's Board of Directors shall not, without the approval of the stockholders of the Company in accordance with Section 423 of the Code, (i) increase the maximum number of shares which may be issued under any Offering (except pursuant to Section 10.03); (ii) amend the requirements as to the class of employees eligible to purchase stock under the Plan; or (iii) permit members of the Committee who are not Eligible Employees to purchase stock under the Plan.

Upon termination of the Plan, the date of termination shall be considered a Purchase Date, and any cash remaining in Participant accounts will be applied to the purchase of Stock, unless determined otherwise by the Company's Board of Directors. Upon termination of the Plan, the Company's Board of Directors shall have authority to establish administrative procedures regarding the exercise of outstanding rights to purchase Stock or to determine that such rights shall not be exercised.

# 10.05. Effective Date

This Plan became effective as of June 1, 2001.

# 10.06. No Employment Rights

The Plan does not, directly or indirectly, create in any employee or class of employees any right with respect to continuation of employment with the Company or any Corporate Affiliate, and it shall not be deemed to interfere in any way with the right of the Company or any Corporate Affiliate employing such person to terminate, or otherwise modify, an employee's employment at any time.

# 10.07. Effect of Plan

The provisions of the Plan shall, in accordance with its terms, be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, all successors of each employee participating in the Plan, including, without limitation, such employee's estate and the executors, administrators or trustees thereof, heirs and legatees, and any receiver, trustee in bankruptcy or representative of creditors of such employee.

# 10.08. Governing Law

The law of the State of California will govern all matters relating to this Plan except to the extent it is superseded by the laws of the United States.

# APPENDIX A LIST OF PARTICIPATING COMPANIES

Following is a list of Participating Companies as of September 13, 2005:

Edwards Lifesciences Corporation
Edwards Lifesciences International Assignments Inc.
Edwards Lifesciences LLC
Edwards Lifesciences (U.S.) Inc.
Edwards Lifesciences Research Medical, Inc.

Edwards Lifesciences Sub Inc. Edwards Lifesciences World Trade Corporation

# QuickLinks

EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION 2001 EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN FOR UNITED STATES EMPLOYEES (As Amended and

Restated on September 13, 2005)

Edwards Lifesciences Corporation 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan For United States Employees (As Amended and Restated on September 13, 2005)

ARTICLE I—PURPOSE

ARTICLE II—DEFINITIONS

ARTICLE III—ELIGIBILITY AND PARTICIPATION

ARTICLE IV—OFFERINGS

ARTICLE V—PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS

ARTICLE VI—EXERCISE OF RIGHTS TO PURCHASE STOCK

ARTICLE VII—WITHDRAWAL

ARTICLE VIII—STOCK

ARTICLE IX—ADMINISTRATION

ARTICLE X—MISCELLANEOUS

APPENDIX A LIST OF PARTICIPATING COMPANIES

Edwards Lifesciences Corporation 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan For International Employees

(As Amended and Restated on September 13, 2005)

# Edwards Lifesciences Corporation 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan For International Employees

(As Amended and Restated on September 13, 2005)

# ARTICLE I—PURPOSE

# 1.01. Purpose

The Edwards Lifesciences Corporation 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan for International Employees is intended to provide a method whereby certain employees of participating subsidiary companies of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation (the "Company") authorized by the Committee (or an officer designated by the Committee pursuant to Section 9.02) to extend the benefits of the Plan to their Eligible Employees will have an opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company through the purchase of shares of the Company's common stock.

The Plan was initially adopted by the Board on February 8, 2001, and subsequently amended and restated by the Board on February 20, 2003 and on September 13, 2005.

# ARTICLE II—DEFINITIONS

# 2.01. Base Pay

"Base Pay" shall mean regular straight—time earnings plus commissions (where legally permissible and administratively feasible) and payments in lieu of regular earnings and any legally mandated bonus or other pay. In the case of a part—time hourly employee, such employee's base pay during an Offering shall be determined by multiplying such employee's hourly rate of pay by the number of regularly scheduled hours of work for such employee during such Offering.

# 2.02. Change in Control

"Change in Control" of the Company shall mean the occurrence of any one of the following events:

- Any "Person", as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act (other than the Company, any corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by the stockholders of the Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of stock of the Company, and any trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under an employee benefit plan of the Company or such proportionately owned corporation), is or becomes the "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d–3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing thirty percent (30%) or more of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding securities; or
- During any period of not more than twenty–four (24) months, individuals who at the beginning of such period constitute the Board of Directors of the Company, and any new director (other than a director designated by a Person who has entered into an agreement with the Company to effect a transaction described in Sections 2.02(a), 2.02(c), or 2.02(d) of this Section 2.02) whose election by the Board or nomination for election by the Company's stockholders was approved by a vote of at least two–thirds (<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>) of the directors then still in office who either were directors at the beginning of the period or whose election or nomination for election was previously so approved, cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority thereof; or
- (c)

  The consummation of a merger or consolidation of the Company with any other entity, other than: (i) a merger or consolidation which would result in the voting securities of the Company

outstanding immediately prior thereto continuing to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into voting securities of the surviving entity) more than sixty percent (60%) of the combined voting power of the voting securities of the Company or such surviving entity outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation; or (ii) a merger or consolidation effected to implement a recapitalization of the Company (or similar transaction) in which no Person acquires more than thirty percent (30%) of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding securities; or

(d)

The Company's stockholders approve a plan of complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company, or an agreement for the sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets (or any transaction having a similar effect).

# 2.03. Code

"Code" shall mean the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

### 2.04. Committee

"Committee" shall mean the individuals appointed by the Company to administer the Plan as described in Article IX.

### 2.05. Company

"Company" shall mean Edwards Lifesciences Corporation.

# 2.06. Corporate Affiliate

"Corporate Affiliate" shall mean any parent or subsidiary corporation or limited liability company of the Company (as determined in accordance with Code section 424) whether now existing or subsequently established.

### 2.07. Conversion Rate

"Conversion Rate" shall mean with respect to any non-United States currency, the rate established by the Company's Corporate Treasury Department for purposes of converting such currency to United States dollars.

# 2.08. Eligible Employee

"Eligible Employee" means, unless local laws prohibit or require such employee's participation in the Plan, any regular employee of a Participating Company who is scheduled to work 20 or more hours per week. Eligible Employee shall also mean any other employee of a Participating Company to the extent that local law requires the Plan to be extended to such employee. The Committee shall designate the subsidiaries that shall be eligible to participate in the Plan.

# 2.09. Enrollment Period

"Enrollment Period" shall mean with respect to any Offering, the period designated by the Committee prior to such Offering during which Eligible Employees may authorize payroll deductions through a Subscription. Unless the Committee determines otherwise, the Enrollment Period with respect to any Offering shall end on the twenty—fifth day of the month immediately preceding the Offering Commencement Date and any Subscription received after such date shall be deemed to be an enrollment in the next following Offering.

# 2.10. Exchange Act

"Exchange Act" shall mean the United States Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended from time to time, or any successor thereto.

### 2.11. Fair Market Value

The "Fair Market Value" of a share of Stock on a given day shall be determined as follows: (i) if the Stock is listed on any established stock exchange or a national market system, (a) for any date of determination except the Purchase Date, Fair Market Value shall be the closing sales price for such stock (or the closing bid, if no sale is reported) as quoted on such exchange or system for the last market trading day prior to the time of determination, as reported in *The Wall Street Journal* or such other source as the Committee deems reliable; (b) for the Purchase Date, Fair Market Value shall be the closing sales price for such stock (or the closing bid, if no sale is reported) as quoted on such exchange or system on the Purchase Date, as reported in *The Wall Street Journal* or such other source as the Committee deems reliable, or (ii) in the absence of an established market for the Stock, the Fair Market Value thereof shall be determined in good faith by the Committee.

# 2.12. Offering

"Offering" shall mean the quarterly offering of the Company's Stock, the duration of which shall not exceed twenty seven (27) months.

# 2.13. Offering Commencement Date

"Offering Commencement Date" shall mean June 1, 2001 and, unless determined otherwise by the Committee, the first day of each calendar quarter thereafter.

# 2.14. Offering End Date

"Offering End Date" shall mean, with respect to each Offering beginning prior to July 1, 2007, the first to occur of the day preceding the second annual anniversary of the Offering Commencement Date or the day preceding July 1, 2007, unless determined otherwise by the Committee prior to the Offering Commencement Date or such date as determined pursuant to Section 6.04. "Offering End Date" shall mean, with respect to each Offering beginning on or after July 1, 2007, the day preceding the first annual anniversary of the Offering Commencement Date, unless determined otherwise by the Committee prior to the Offering Commencement Date or such date as determined pursuant to Section 6.04.

# 2.15. Participant

"Participant" shall mean an Eligible Employee who has elected to participate in an Offering by entering a Subscription during the Enrollment Period for such Offering.

# 2.16. Participating Company

"Participating Company" shall mean each Corporate Affiliate as may be authorized from time to time by the Committee to extend the benefits of the Plan to their Eligible Employees.

# 2.17. Plan

"Plan" shall mean the Edwards Lifesciences Corporation 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan for International Employees, as amended from time to time.

# 2.18. Purchase Date

"Purchase Date" shall mean with respect to any Offering, the last day of each calendar quarter (or such other dates determined by the Committee prior to the Offering Commencement Date or pursuant to Section 6.04) during the period beginning with the Offering Commencement Date for such Offering and ending with the Offering End Date; provided, however, if any such day is not a business day, the Purchase Date shall be the next preceding business date on which shares of Stock are traded.

# 2.19. Stock

"Stock" shall mean the common stock, par value \$1.00, of the Company.

# 2.20. Subscription

"Subscription" shall mean an Eligible Employee's authorization for payroll deductions made in the form and manner specified by the Committee (which may include enrollment by submitting forms, by voice response, internet access or other electronic means). Unless withdrawn earlier in accordance with Section 6.02, each Subscription shall be in effect for the duration of the Offering to which it applies. No more than one Subscription may be in effect for an Eligible Employee during any calendar quarter.

# ARTICLE III—ELIGIBILITY AND PARTICIPATION

# 3.01. Initial Eligibility

Any individual who is an Eligible Employee on an Offering Commencement Date shall be eligible to participate in the Offering commencing on such date, subject to the terms and conditions of the Plan.

# 3.02. Leave of Absence

For purposes of participation in the Plan, a Participant on a leave of absence shall be deemed to be an employee for a period of up to 90 days or, if longer, during the period the Participant's right to reemployment is guaranteed by statute or contract. If the leave of absence is paid, deductions authorized under any Subscription in effect at the time the leave began will continue. If the leave of absence is unpaid, no deductions or contributions will be permitted during the leave. If such a Participant returns to active status within 90 days or the guaranteed reemployment period, as applicable, payroll deductions under the Subscription in effect at the time the leave began will automatically begin again upon the Participant's return to active status, unless the Subscription has expired. If the Participant does not return to active status within 90 days or the guaranteed reemployment period, as applicable, the Participant shall be treated as having terminated employment for all purposes of the Plan. If such terminated Participant later returns to active employment as an Eligible Employee or if a Participant returns to active employment as an Eligible Employee after the Subscription has expired, such individual will be treated as a new employee and will be eligible to participate in Offerings commencing after his or her reemployment date by filing a Subscription during the applicable Enrollment Period for such Offerings.

# 3.03. Restrictions on Participation

Notwithstanding any provisions of the Plan to the contrary, no Eligible Employee shall be granted a right to purchase Stock: (a) if, immediately after the grant, such employee would own Stock, and/or hold outstanding options to purchase Stock, possessing 5% or more of the total combined voting power or value of all classes of the Company's stock (for purposes of this paragraph, the rules of Section 424(d) of the Code shall apply in determining stock ownership of any employee); or (b) which permits the employee's rights to purchase Stock under all employee stock purchase plans of the

Company to accrue at a rate which exceeds \$25,000 in Fair Market Value of the Stock (determined at the time such right to purchase Stock is granted) for each calendar year in which such right is outstanding.

Further, with respect to any Offering, in no event shall an employee be granted a right to purchase in excess of 10,000 shares of Stock, subject to adjustment pursuant to Section 10.03.

# 3.04. Commencement of Participation

An Eligible Employee may become a Participant in any Offering by entering a Subscription during the Enrollment Period for such Offering. Payroll deductions for such Offering shall commence on the applicable Offering Commencement Date and shall end on the applicable Offering End Date unless withdrawn by the Participant or sooner terminated in accordance with Article VII. Only one Subscription may be in effect with respect to any Participant at any one time.

# 3.05. Participation After Rehire

An Eligible Employee's Subscription will automatically terminate on the date he or she is no longer an employee of any Participating Company. If the Eligible Employee terminates employment with a Subscription in effect with respect to an Offering and is rehired prior to the Offering End Date for that Offering, the Subscription will not be reinstated and the Eligible Employee will not be allowed to again make payroll deductions under such Offering. The Eligible Employee may elect to participate in Offerings commencing after his or her reemployment date by entering a Subscription during the applicable Enrollment Period for such Offering. Notwithstanding the foregoing, an Eligible Employee's transfer from one Participating Company to another shall not terminate such Eligible Employee's Subscription.

# 3.06. United States Employees/United States Transfers

Eligible Employees who transfer to a Participating Company from a subsidiary of the Company participating in the Company's stock purchase plan for United States employees may not participate in Offerings which had an Offering Commencement Date prior to such transfer. Such Eligible Employee may participate in Offerings commencing after such transfer by entering a Subscription during the applicable Enrollment Period for such Offering.

A Participant who transfers from a Participating Company to either the Company, a Corporate Affiliate that is not a Participating Company, or a location that, by local law, prohibits participation in any of the Company's stock purchase plans will be treated as a terminated Participant under this Plan.

# ARTICLE IV—OFFERINGS

# 4.01. Quarterly Offerings

The Plan commenced with an Offering beginning on June 1, 2001 and, unless determined otherwise by the Committee, will continue in operation with a new Offering commencing on the first day of each calendar quarter thereafter. Eligible Employees may not have in effect more than one Subscription at a time.

Participants may subscribe to any Offering by entering a Subscription during the Enrollment Period for such Offering in such manner as the Committee may prescribe (which may include enrollment by submitting forms, by voice response, internet access or other electronic means).

A Subscription that is in effect on an Offering End Date will automatically be deemed to be a Subscription for the Offering that commences immediately following such Offering End Date, provided that the Participant is still an Eligible Employee and has not withdrawn the Subscription. Under the

foregoing automatic enrollment provisions, payroll deductions will continue at the level in effect immediately prior to the new Offering Commencement Date, unless changed in advance by the Participant in accordance with Section 5.03.

### 4.02. Purchase Price

The purchase price per share of Stock under each Offering shall be the lower of:

- (a) 85% of the Fair Market Value of the Stock on the Offering Commencement Date; or
- (b) 85% of the Fair Market Value of the Stock on the Purchase Date.

Such purchase price may only be paid with accumulated payroll deductions in accordance with Article V.

# ARTICLE V—PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS

# 5.01. Amount of Deduction

An Eligible Employee's Subscription shall authorize payroll deductions at a rate, in whole percentages, of no less than 1% and no more than 12% of Base Pay on each payday that the Subscription is in effect.

# 5.02. Participant's Account

All payroll deductions made with respect to a Participant shall be credited to his or her recordkeeping account under the Plan. A Participant may not make any separate cash payment into such account. Unless required by local law, no interest will accrue or be paid on any amount withheld from a Participant's pay under the Plan or credited to the Participant's account. Except as otherwise provided in this Section 5.02, all amounts in a Participant's account will be used to purchase whole shares of Stock and no cash refunds shall be made from such account. Any amounts that are insufficient to purchase whole shares shall be credited to the Participant's account, and added to any fractional amounts resulting on subsequent Purchase Dates. Upon liquidation or other closing of a Participant's account, any fractional amounts shall be paid in cash to the Participant based on the then current Fair Market Value of the Stock. In addition, any amounts that are withheld but unable to be applied to the purchase of Stock because of the limitations of Section 3.03 shall be returned to the Participant without interest and will not be used to purchase shares with respect to any other Offering under the Plan.

# 5.03. Changes in Payroll Deductions

During an Offering, a Participant may change his or her level of payroll deduction with respect to such Offering within the limits described in Section 5.01 in accordance with procedures established by the Committee (including, without limitation, rules relating to the frequency of such changes); provided, however, if the Participant reduces his or her payroll deductions to zero, it shall be deemed to be a withdrawal of the Subscription and the Participant may not thereafter participate in such Offering but must wait until the Offering following the second Purchase Date following the withdrawal to resubscribe to the Plan. Any such discontinuance or change in level shall be effective as soon as administratively practicable.

# ARTICLE VI-EXERCISE OF RIGHTS TO PURCHASE STOCK

# 6.01. Automatic Exercise

A Participant's right to purchase Stock with respect to any Offering will be automatically exercised on each Purchase Date for the Offering. The right to purchase Stock will be exercised by using the

accumulated payroll deductions in the Participant's account as of each such Purchase Date to purchase the number of whole shares of Stock that may be purchased at the purchase price on such date, determined in accordance with Section 4.02. If the Participant is paid in a non–United States currency, the Participant's accumulated payroll deductions shall be converted into United States dollars using the Conversion Rate in effect on the Purchase Date.

# 6.02. Withdrawal From Offering

A Participant may not withdraw the accumulated payroll deductions in his or her account during an Offering (unless otherwise required under local law). If the Participant withdraws his or her Subscription with respect to any Offering, the accumulated payroll deductions in the Participant's account at the time the Subscription is withdrawn will be used to purchase shares of Stock at the next Purchase Date for the Offering to which the Subscription related, in accordance with Section 6.01 (unless otherwise required under local law). Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event a Participant withdraws his or her Subscription with respect to an Offering and terminates his or her employment prior to the next Purchase Date for which the Participant's accumulated payroll deductions would be used to purchase shares of Stock, then Participant's accumulated payroll deductions shall be refunded to Participant in accordance with Section 7.02.

# 6.03. Delivery of Stock

Stock purchases under the Plan will be held in an account in the Participant's name in uncertificated form unless certification is requested by the Participant. Furthermore, Stock to be delivered to a Participant under the Plan will be registered in the name of the Participant.

# 6.04. Change in Control

If pursuant to a Change in Control rights to purchase Stock are not assumed or otherwise continued in full force and effect, then each right to purchase Stock under each Offering in effect at the time of the Change in Control shall automatically be exercised, immediately prior to the effective date of any Change in Control, by applying the payroll deductions of each Participant for the Offering in which such Change in Control occurs to the purchase of whole shares of Stock at a purchase price per share equal to eighty—five percent (85%) of the lower of (i) the Fair Market Value per share of Stock on the start date of the applicable Offering or (ii) the Fair Market Value per share of Stock immediately prior to the effective date of such Change in Control.

# ARTICLE VII—WITHDRAWAL

# 7.01. Effect on Subsequent Participation

The Committee shall have the authority to decide the Participant's eligibility to participate in any succeeding Offering if Participant withdraws from an Offering.

# 7.02. Termination of Employment

Upon termination of the Participant's employment for any reason that results in the Participant not qualifying as an Eligible Employee, any Subscription then in effect will be deemed to have been withdrawn and any payroll deductions credited to the Participant's account will be promptly refunded to such Participant in the currency in which such Participant is paid by his or her Participating Company.

# ARTICLE VIII—STOCK

# 8.01. Maximum Shares

The maximum number of shares which may be issued under the Plan, subject to adjustment upon changes in capitalization of the Company as provided in Section 10.03, shall be 650,000 shares. If the total number of shares for which rights to purchase Stock are exercised on any Purchase Date exceeds the maximum number of shares available for issuance, the Company shall make a pro rata allocation of the shares available for delivery and distribution in as nearly a uniform manner as shall be practicable and as it shall determine to be equitable, and the balance of payroll deductions credited to the account of each Participant under the Plan shall be returned to him as promptly as possible.

# 8.02. Participant's Interest in Rights to Purchase Stock

The Participant will have no interest in Stock covered by a right to purchase Stock under the Plan until such right has been exercised.

# ARTICLE IX—ADMINISTRATION

# 9.01. Appointment of Committee

The Company's Board of Directors shall appoint a Committee to administer the Plan. No member of the Committee who is not an Eligible Employee shall be eligible to purchase Stock under the Plan.

# 9.02. Authority of Committee

Subject to the express provisions of the Plan, the Committee shall have plenary authority in its discretion to interpret and construe any and all provisions of the Plan, to adopt rules and regulations for administering the Plan, and to make all other determinations deemed necessary or advisable for administering the Plan. The Committee's determination on the foregoing matters shall be conclusive. The Committee shall also have the authority to determine if and when the employees of Corporate Affiliates organized or acquired after the Effective Date shall be eligible for participation in the Plan. The Committee may delegate to an officer its authority under this Section 9.02 to determine if and when the employees of a Corporate Affiliate shall be eligible or ineligible for participation in the Plan.

# 9.03. Rules Governing the Administration of the Committee

The Company's Board of Directors may from time to time appoint members of the Committee in substitution for or in addition to members previously appointed and may fill vacancies, however caused, in the Committee. The Committee may select one of its members as its Chairman and shall hold its meetings at such times and places as it shall deem advisable and may hold telephonic meetings. A majority of its members shall constitute a quorum. All determinations of the Committee shall be made by a majority of its members. The Committee may correct any defect or omission or reconcile any inconsistency in the Plan, in the manner and to the extent it shall deem desirable. Any decision or determination reduced to writing and signed by a majority of the members of the Committee shall be as fully effective as if it had been made by a majority vote at a meeting duly called and held. The Committee may appoint a secretary and shall make such rules and regulations for the conduct of its business as it shall deem advisable.

# 9.04. Statements

Each Participant shall receive a statement of his account showing the number of shares of Stock held and the amount of cash credited to such account. Such statements will be provided as soon as administratively feasible following the end of each calendar quarter.

# ARTICLE X—MISCELLANEOUS

# 10.01. Transferability

Neither payroll deductions credited to a Participant's account nor any rights with regard to the exercise of a right to purchase Stock or to receive Stock under the Plan may be assigned, transferred, pledged, or otherwise disposed of in any way by the Participant other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution. Any such attempted assignment, transfer, pledge or other disposition shall be without effect. During a Participant's lifetime, rights to purchase Stock that are held by such Participant shall be exercisable only by that Participant.

# 10.02. Use of Funds

All payroll deductions received or held by the Participating Company under this Plan may be used by the Participating Company for any corporate purpose and the Participating Company shall not be obligated to segregate such payroll deductions; provided, however, such amounts shall be held in trust or otherwise segregated from the Participating Company's general assets to the extent required under local law.

# 10.03. Adjustment Upon Changes in Capitalization

In the event of a stock split, stock dividend, recapitalization, reclassification or combination of shares, merger, spin-off, or similar event, the Committee shall adjust equitably (a) the number and class of shares or other securities that are reserved for sale under the Plan, (b) the number and class of shares or other securities that are subject to outstanding rights to purchase Stock, (c) the maximum number of shares of Stock that can be purchased by a Participant with respect to any Offering and (d) the appropriate market value and other price determinations applicable to rights to purchase Stock. The Committee shall make all determinations under this Section 10.03, and all such determinations shall be conclusive and binding.

# 10.04. Amendment and Termination

The Company's Board of Directors shall have complete power and authority to terminate or amend the Plan at any time and for any reason. Upon termination of the Plan, the date of termination shall be considered a Purchase Date, and any cash remaining in Participant accounts will be applied to the purchase of Stock, unless determined otherwise by the Company's Board of Directors. Upon termination of the Plan, the Company's Board of Directors shall have authority to establish administrative procedures regarding the exercise of outstanding rights to purchase Stock or to determine that such rights shall not be exercised.

# 10.05. Effective Date

This Plan became effective as of June 1, 2001.

# 10.06. No Employment Rights

The Plan does not, directly or indirectly, create in any employee or class of employees any right with respect to continuation of employment with the Company or any Corporate Affiliate, and it shall not be deemed to interfere in any way with the right of the Company or any Corporate Affiliate employing such person to terminate, or otherwise modify, an employee's employment at any time.

# 10.07. Effect of Plan

The provisions of the Plan shall, in accordance with its terms, be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, all successors of each employee participating in the Plan, including, without limitation, such

employee's estate and the executors, administrators or trustees thereof, heirs and legatees, and any receiver, trustee in bankruptcy or representative of creditors of such employee.

# 10.08. Governing Law

The law of the State of California will govern all matters relating to this Plan except to the extent it is superseded by the laws of the United States.

# APPENDIX A LIST OF PARTICIPATING COMPANIES

Following is a list of Participating Companies as of September 13, 2005:

Name	Country
Edwards Lifesciences Pty. Ltd.	Australia
Edwards Lifesciences Austria GmbH	Austria
Edwards Lifesciences S.P.R.L.	Belgium
Edwards Lifesciences (Canada) Inc.	Canada
Edwards Lifesciences World Trade (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.	China
Edwards Lifesciences Nordic AB	Finland, Norway, Sweden
Edwards Lifesciences SAS	France
Edwards Lifesciences Germany GmbH	Germany
Edwards Lifesciences Holding Germany GmbH	Germany
Edwards Lifesciences Services GmbH	Germany
Edwards Lifesciences Hellas, EPE	Greece
Edwards Lifesciences AG	Switzerland, Czech Republic,
Edwards Lifesciences P.V.T. Ltd	Israel
Edwards Lifesciences Italia SpA	Italy
Edwards Lifesciences Limited	Japan
Edwards Lifesciences Korea Co. LTD	Korea
Edwards Lifesciences Mexico, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico
Edwards Lifesciences Corporation of Puerto Rico	Puerto Rico
Edwards Lifesciences Export (Puerto Rico) Corporation	Puerto Rico
Edwards Lifesciences Sales Corporation	Puerto Rico
Edwards Lifesciences World Trade Corporation	Singapore, Taiwan
Edwards Lifesciences (Singapore) Pte Ltd.	Singapore
Edwards Lifesciences South Africa Pty. LTD	South Africa
Edwards Lifesciences, S.L.	Spain
Edwards Lifesciences (Thailand) Ltd.	Thailand
Edwards Lifesciences B.V.	The Netherlands
Edwards Lifesciences Services B.V.	The Netherlands
Edwards Lifesciences Limited	United Kingdom

# ADDENDUM FOR PARTICIPANTS IN JAPAN EMPLOYED BY EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES LIMITED

# Effective February 20, 2003

For purposes of Eligible Employees of Edwards Lifesciences Limited, the Company's subsidiary in Japan, the following terms shall apply and replace any similar provisions in the Plan document. To the extent there is a conflict between the terms of the Plan document and this Addendum, this Addendum shall govern. Otherwise, the terms of the Plan document shall control.

# 2.12 Offering

"Offering" shall mean the annual offering on July 1 of each year of the Company's Stock, the duration of which shall not exceed twenty seven (27) months.

# 2.13 Offering Commencement Date

"Offering Commencement Date" shall mean July 1 of each year, unless determined otherwise by the Committee.

# 4.01 Annual Offerings

The Plan shall consist of annual Offering commencing on July 1 of each calendar year. Eligible Employees may not have in effect more than one Subscription at a time.

Participants may subscribe to any Offering by entering a Subscription during the Enrollment Period for such Offering in such manner as the Committee may prescribe (which may include enrollment by submitting forms, by voice response, internet access or other electronic means).

A Subscription that is in effect on an Offering End Date will automatically be deemed to be a Subscription for the Offering that commences immediately following such Offering End Date, provided that the Participant is still an Eligible Employee and has not withdrawn the Subscription. Under the foregoing automatic enrollment provisions, payroll deductions will continue at the level in effect immediately prior to the new Offering Commencement Date, unless changed in advance by the Participant in accordance with Section 5.03.

# QuickLinks

Edwards Lifesciences Corporation 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan For International Employees

Edwards Lifesciences Corporation 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan For International Employees

ARTICLE I—PURPOSE

ARTICLE II—DEFINITIONS

ARTICLE III—ELIGIBILITY AND PARTICIPATION

ARTICLE IV—OFFERINGS

ARTICLE V—PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS

ARTICLE VI—EXERCISE OF RIGHTS TO PURCHASE STOCK

ARTICLE VII—WITHDRAWAL

ARTICLE VIII—STOCK

ARTICLE IX—ADMINISTRATION

ARTICLE X—MISCELLANEOUS

APPENDIX A LIST OF PARTICIPATING COMPANIES

ADDENDUM FOR PARTICIPANTS IN JAPAN EMPLOYED BY EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES LIMITED

Effective February 20, 2003

The following corporations are wholly–owned subsidiaries of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation:

Legal Entity	State of Incorporation/ Formation	Country of Incorporation/ Formation
Benchmark, Inc.	Utah	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences Asset Management Corporation	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences Corporation of Puerto Rico	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences Finance LLC	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences International Assignments Inc.	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences International Holdings LLC	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences LLC	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences Research Medical, Inc.	Utah	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences Sales Corporation	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences Sapien Therapeutics, Inc.	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences Sub Inc.	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences (U.S.) Inc.	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences World Trade Corporation	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences PVT, Inc.	Delaware	U.S.
Edwards Lifesciences Pty. Ltd	Belaware	Australia
Edwards Lifesciences Austria GmbH		Austria
Edwards Lifesciences S.P.R.L.		Belgium
Edwards Lifesciences Macchi Ltda.		Brazil
Edwards Lifesciences Participacoes e Comercial Ltda.		Brazil
Edwards Lifesciences Ltda.		Brazil
Edwards Lifesciences Comercio e Industria de Produtos Medico–Cirurgicos Ltda.		Brazil
Edwards Lifesciences (Canada) Inc.		Canada
Edwards Lifesciences A/S		Denmark
Edwards Lifesciences World Trade (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.		China
Edwards Lifesciences SAS		France
Edwards Lifesciences Holding Germany GmbH		Germany
Edwards Lifesciences Germany GmbH		Germany
Edwards Lifesciences Germany GmbH		Germany
Edwards Lifesciences Hellas, EPE		Greece
Edwards Lifesciences (India) Private Limited		India
Edwards Lifesciences (India) 1 Trade Ediffica		Israel
Edwards Lifesciences I 1 V 11 Edd Edwards Lifesciences Italia SpA		Italy
Edwards Lifesciences (Japan) Limited		Japan
Edwards Lifesciences Korea Co., Ltd.		Korea
Edwards Lifesciences Mexico, S.A. de C.V.		Mexico
Edwards Lifesciences B.V.		The Netherlands
Edwards Lifesciences B.V.		The Netherlands
Edwards Lifesciences Uden B.V.		The Netherlands
Edwards Lifesciences Export (Puerto Rico) Corporation		Puerto Rico
Edwards Lifesciences (Singapore) Pte Ltd		Singapore
Edwards Lifesciences South Africa Pty. LTD		South Africa
Edwards Lifesciences S.L.		Spain
Edwards Lifesciences Nordic AB		Sweden
Edwards Lifesciences AG		Switzerland
Edwards Lifesciences Technology S.A.R.L.		Switzerland
Edwards Lifesciences (Thailand) Ltd.		Thailand
Edwards Lifesciences (Thanand) Etd.  Edwards Lifesciences Limited		United Kingdom
Whitland Research Limited		United Kingdom
windand research Limited		Chica Kinguoni

Exhibit 23

# CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S–8 (Nos. 333–33054, 333–33056, 333–40434, 333–52332, 333–52334, 333–52346, 333–60670, 333–98219, 333–105961 and 333–127260) and the Registration Statements on Form S–3 (Nos. 333–107405 and 333–116634) of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation of our report dated March 9, 2006 relating to the financial statements, financial statement schedule, management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Form 10–K.

/s/ PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Orange County, California March 9, 2006

# QuickLinks

 $\frac{\text{Exhibit 23}}{\text{CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM}}$ 

# EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

# CERTIFICATION

T	Michael	Λ	Muccallam	certify that:	
Ι.	whenaer	A.	wiussanem.	certify that:	

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation; 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report; 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report; 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(f) and 15d–15(f)) for the registrant and have: (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; (b) Designed such internal controls over financial reporting, or caused such internal controls over financial reporting to be designed under their supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions): (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 6, 2006

By: /s/ MICHAEL A. MUSSALLEM

Michael A. Mussallem Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

# QuickLinks

Exhibit 31.1
EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES—OXLEY ACT OF 2002
CERTIFICATION

# EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

# CERTIFICATION

т	Thomas	N/I	Aboto	acutif.	· that
1,	THOIHas	IVI.	Abate,	ceruity	unat.

(b)

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation; 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report; 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report; 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(f) and 15d–15(f)) for the registrant and have: (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; (b) Designed such internal controls over financial reporting, or caused such internal controls over financial reporting to be designed under their supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions): (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information;

Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's

Date: March 6, 2006 By: /s/ THOMAS M. ABATE

internal control over financial reporting.

Thomas M. Abate Corporate Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Chief Accounting Officer)

# QuickLinks

Exhibit 31.2
EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES—OXLEY ACT OF 2002
CERTIFICATION

# EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10–K for the year ended December 31, 2005 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), we, Michael A. Mussallem, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Thomas M. Abate, Corporate Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes–Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1)	The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and  The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.		
March 6, 2006	/s/ MICHAEL A. MUSSALLEM		
	Michael A. Mussallem Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer		
March 6, 2006	/s/ THOMAS M. ABATE		
	Thomas M. Abate  Corporate Vice President,  Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  (Chief Accounting Officer)		

# QuickLinks

Exhibit 32
EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION

1350, AS ADOPTED PUR 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Created by 10KWizard <u>www.10KWizard.com</u>