UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-KSB

þ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 001-16391

Taser International, Inc.

(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)
7860 E. McClain Drive, Suite 2,
Scottsdale, Arizona
(Address of principal executive offices)

86-0741227

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number) **85260** (Zip Code)

(480) 991-0797

(Issuer's telephone number)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$0.00001 par value per share

Warrant, right to purchase one share of Common Stock

Check whether the issuer: (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

The issuer's revenues for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 were \$9,842,777.

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the issuer, based on the average of the high and low sales prices of the issuer's Common Stock on February 28, 2003 as reported by Nasdaq, was \$4,145,082.

The number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of February 28, 2003 was 2,809,393.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Parts of registrant's proxy statement dated on or about March 25, 2003 prepared in connection with the annual meeting of stockholders to be held May 1, 2003 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format: Yes o No þ

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-KSB Year Ended December 31, 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	PART I	
Item 1.	Description of Business	2
Item 2.	Description of Property	12
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	12
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	13
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	13
Item 6.	Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation	15
Item 7.	Financial Statements	20
Item 8.	Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	20
	PART III	
Item 9.	Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act	20
	Executive Compensation	21
Item	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	21
Item 12.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	21
Item	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	21
Item 14.	Controls and Procedures	23
	tures and Certifications	24
-	it Index	

PART I

The statements contained in this report that are not historical are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), including statements, without limitation, regarding our expectations, beliefs, intentions or strategies regarding the future. We intend that such forward-looking statements be subject to the safe-harbor provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements relate to, among other things: (1) expected revenue and earnings growth; (2) estimates regarding the size of target markets; (3) our ability to successfully penetrate the law enforcement market; (4) growth expectations for existing accounts; (5) our ability to expand product s ales to the private security, military and consumer self-defense markets; and (6) our target business model. These statements are qualified by important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those reflected by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include but are not limited to: (1) market acceptance of our products; (2) our ability to establish and expand direct and indirect distribution channels; (3) our ability to attract and retain the endorsement of key opinion-leaders in the law enforcement community; (4) the level of product technology and price competition for our ADVANCED TASER product; (5) the degree and rate of growth of the markets in which we compete and the accompanying demand for our products; and (6) other factors detailed in our filings with the Security and Exchange Commission, including, without limitation, those factors detailed in Exhibit 99.1 to this report.

We own the following registered trademarks: TASER® and AIR TASER®. We also have the following unregistered trademarks: TASERWave TM, T-WAVE TM, AUTO TASER TM, ADVANCED TASER TM and AFID TM. Each other trademark, trade name or service mark appearing in this report belongs to its respective holder.

Item 1. Description of Business

Overview

TASER International, Inc. began operations in Arizona in 1993 for the purpose of developing and manufacturing less-lethal self-defense devices. From inception until the introduction in 1994 of our first product, the AIR TASER, we were in the developmental stage and focused our efforts on product development, raising capital, hiring key employees and developing marketing materials to promote our product line.

In 1995 and 1996, we concentrated our efforts on promoting retail sales and establishing distribution channels for the AIR TASER product line. However, our marketing efforts were limited by a non-compete agreement that prohibited the marketing or sale of our products to the U.S. law enforcement and military markets. Accordingly, initial sales of the AIR TASER were limited to the consumer market. While early sales in this market were promising, by the end of 1996, we were unable to establish consistent sales channels in the consumer marketplace and sales declined. In late 1996, we relocated our production facilities to Mexico to reduce production costs while we evaluated complementary product lines.

In 1997, we introduced our second product line, the AUTO TASER. The initial market response to the AUTO TASER suggested the demand for this product would more than compensate for the declining AIR TASER sales and provide working capital resources to develop the next generation of AIR TASER products for the law enforcement community. Because of strong pressure from pre-production orders, we accelerated the development of the AUTO TASER. As a result of this acceleration, production costs of the AUTO TASER far exceeded initial projections, and we experienced a substantial amount of AUTO TASER returns due to product defects.

In 1998, the non-compete agreement that had precluded sales to the law enforcement and military markets expired. In anticipation of its expiration, we focused our research and development efforts on the ADVANCED TASER product line. Our change in focus from the consumer market to the law enforcement market resulted from a market analysis that suggested the most effective method of penetrating the consumer and private security markets was through the de facto endorsement of the law enforcement community. In

order to enter the law enforcement market, we would have to make material changes to our existing technology and channel all of our resources toward success in this industry.

In August 1999, we discontinued the AUTO TASER product line and closed our production facility in Mexico. Following closure of our facility in Mexico, we out-sourced the production of the AIR TASER and certain non-proprietary assemblies to a third-party assembler in Mexico. Our primary objective was to complete development of the ADVANCED TASER. In December 1999, we introduced the ADVANCED TASER for sale in the law enforcement market.

The first full year of ADVANCED TASER product line sales was 2000. Although we had limited financial resources, we spent the year building the distribution channel for marketing the product line and developing a nationwide training campaign to introduce the product line to law enforcement agencies, primarily in North America. We also began evaluating the prospect of returning manufacturing to the United States.

In 2001, we made significant changes to support the growing demand for the ADVANCED TASER product line. We discontinued outsourcing of the final assembly of our products and moved these operations back into our Scottsdale facility. In addition, we developed a manufacturing infrastructure inclusive of direct assembly and material management to support product demand. We also completed in 2001 an initial public offering of 800,000 units, at \$13.00 per unit, each consisting of one and one half shares of common stock and one and one half warrants to purchase one share of common stock with net proceeds to us of approximately \$8.4 million. Proceeds from the offering were used to retire debt, increase inventory and working capital and fund future sales and marketing programs.

We relocated our corporate headquarters to a larger, more modern facility in January 2001. Our new Scottsdale facility provided sufficient space for our manufacturing, warehousing, and office needs. We also established a new support infrastructure in connection with bringing our manufacturing operations back to Scottsdale. We hired a full-time production manager and a warehouse manager to control the flow of materials and our use of labor, and we hired and trained 21 additional employees.

During 2001, we expanded our sales and marketing efforts, and we sold or provided ADVANCED TASERs to more than 1,000 police agencies worldwide. 2001 also marked the introduction of our products to the airline industry. Immediately following the tragic events of September 11, 2001, leading commercial airlines contacted us with regard to using the ADVANCED TASER on board commercial aircraft. In December 2001, United Airlines became the first U.S. airline to purchase our products. Unfortunately, with the U.S. Government facing new challenges in providing homeland defense, United Airlines and other commercial carriers interested in using our weapons onboard their aircraft were precluded from deploying the ADVANCED TASERs until additional government sponsored safety studies could be conducted.

In 2002, Company management worked with officials from United Airlines, and a Washington lobbying firm to assist with safety studies and to initiate legislative changes which would allow the ADVANCED TASER to be deployed on board commercial aircraft. On November 25, 2002 Congress approved The Homeland Security Act of 2002 allowing individual carriers to apply to the Under Secretary of Transportation for Security, on a case by case basis, to deploy the weapon system. As of January 2003, two commercial carriers, United Airlines and Mesa Airlines, had submitted these applications to the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) for approval.

During 2002, we also began our pursuit of the consumer market for the ADVANCED TASER. We initiated this launch with the introduction of our M18 weapons at the Consumer Electronics Show in January 2002, and followed by introducing the product in a test market in California. The initial response did not meet our original expectations. Further, as we worked with commercial distributors, we found that in order to support a national consumer marketing campaign, significant resources, both human and financial, would be required. Additionally, management believed commercial distribution would defocus the efforts of the sales team from its primary objective of further penetrating the U.S. law enforcement market.

In April, 2002, the Company was notified that it had received a grant from the Office of Naval Research to aid the U.S. Government with the development of less-than-lethal weapons for the military. This grant not

only provided us with added funding for our research and development efforts, but also validated our position as a leader in less-lethal technologies. In September 2002, our grant funding was augmented with an additional \$349,000 to pursue the concepts developed in Phase I of the award.

At the close of 2002, three years following our initial introduction of the ADVANCED TASER weapon system, we had more than 2000 law enforcement agencies worldwide testing and deploying our M26 weapon system. This number of agencies includes 134 departments have either purchased, or are in the process of purchasing one unit for each patrol or line level officer. This list of 134 departments includes two major departments, the City of Phoenix, a top-ten law enforcement agency in the U.S., and the Ohio State Troopers, a leader in the highway patrol community.

In 2002, our focus was to build an infrastructure capable of meeting the growing demand for our products, and to develop a research and development team that would assist in keeping the Company a leader in conducted energy weapon technology. We targeted Board of Director additions that could augment our expertise with strong backgrounds in medical research and law enforcement networks. We also hired leaders in electronic engineering and manufacturing to reduce our time from development to manufacture, and we added a general counsel to our executive team, not only to reduce litigation costs but also to better understand and manage potential risk.

Products

Our weapons use compressed nitrogen to shoot two small, electrified probes up to a maximum distance of 21 feet. The probes and compressed nitrogen are stored in a replaceable cartridge attached to the base of the weapon. Our proprietary replacement cartridges are sold separately.

After firing, the probes discharged from our cartridges remain connected to the weapon by high-voltage insulated wires that transmit electrical pulses into the target. These electrical pulses, which we call TASER-Waves or T-Waves, are transmitted through the body's nerves in a manner similar to the transmission of signals used by the brain to communicate with the body. The T-Waves temporarily overwhelm the normal electrical signals within the body's nerve fibers, impairing subjects' ability to control their bodies or perform coordinated actions. T-Waves can penetrate up to two inches of clothing and up to a class 3 bullet resistant vest, the second most protective of seven classes of bullet resistant vests. The initial effect lasts up to five seconds and the charge can be repeated for up to approximately ten minutes by repeatedly firing the weapon.

Since all our weapons use the same cartridges, we can support multiple platforms and still achieve economies of scale in cartridge production. Our cartridges contain numerous colored, confetti-like tags bearing the cartridge's serial number. These tags, referred to as Anti-Felon Identification tags, or AFIDs, are scattered when one of our weapons is fired. We require sellers of our products to participate in the AFID program by registering buyers of our cartridges. In many cases, we can use AFIDs to identify the registered owner of cartridges fired.

We introduced our initial product, the AIR TASER, in 1994. We designed the AIR TASER to look like a cellular telephone rather than a weapon to target the consumer electronics market. Currently, the AIR TASER product line consists of the AIR TASER, a cartridge that shoots two small, electrified probes up to 15 feet, an optional laser sight, and a number of holstering accessories. We target the AIR TASER line to the consumer market; however, in 2003, we plan to discontinue the manufacture of this model.

We developed the ADVANCED TASER product line, launched in December 1999, primarily for the law enforcement and corrections market. The ADVANCED TASER is our primary product. We sell the M26 version of this weapon exclusively to law enforcement, corrections agencies the commercial airline industry. Currently, the ADVANCED TASER M26 product line consists of the ADVANCED TASER, a cartridge that shoots two small, electrified probes up to 21 feet, rechargeable batteries, a battery recharging system, data download package, and a number of holstering accessories.

In addition to the law enforcement line of ADVANCED TASER products, we also developed a less powerful consumer version of the ADVANCED TASER M26 for the consumer market. This line includes the ADVANCED TASER M18L, with integrated laser sight, the ADVANCED TASER M18 without an

integrated laser sight, a cartridge that shoots two small, electrified probes up to 15 feet, and a number of holstering accessories.

Our products are sold primarily through our network of distributors at a wide range of prices. Our most inexpensive consumer product is the entry-level consumer AIR TASER product, with a retail price of \$120. Our high-end consumer model, the ADVANCED TASER M18L with integrated laser sight, retails for \$600. THE ADVANCED TASER M26 is currently our best selling item. Law enforcement distributors sell the M26 to police and corrections agencies for \$400. Retail cartridge prices range from \$16 for law enforcement to \$30 per unit for consumer purchases. The M26 sales to the commercial airline industry, after they are approved for on-board use, will be sold directly by our direct sales personnel at law enforcement prices.

We offer a lifetime warranty on the AIR TASER. Under this warranty, we will replace any AIR TASER that fails to operate properly for a \$25 fee. The AIR TASER is designed to disable an attacker for up to 30 seconds, and we encourage consumers to leave the unit and flee after firing it. As a result, we also provide free replacement units to consumers who follow this suggested procedure. To qualify for the replacement unit, users must file a police report that describes the incident and confirms the use of the AIR TASER. Warranty costs under the AIR TASER replacement policy have been minimal to date. Historically, approximately 2% of the AIR TASERs sold by us were returned by end users in connection with a warranty claim.

We offer a one year no-questions-asked replacement policy on the ADVANCED TASER. After the warranty expires, if the weapon fails to operate properly for any reason, we will replace it for a fee of \$75. This fee is intended to help defray the handling and repair costs associated with product returns. This policy is attractive to our law enforcement and corrections agency customers. In particular, it avoids disputes regarding the source or cause of any defect. Based upon our 2002 warranty return information, we have created a reserve for ADVANCED TASER product returns equivalent to the sales volume shipped in 2001, multiplied by a factor representing the historical scrap cost associated with each returned unit. Warranty costs under the ADVANCED TASER replacement policy totaled \$79,000 in 2002, and \$11,500 in 2001. The amount for 2002 includes \$25,000 recorded in connection with a series of ADVANCED TASERS that we recalled in the second quarter of 2002 due to a defective electronic component.

Markets

Law Enforcement and Corrections

Federal, state and local law enforcement agencies in the United States currently represent the primary target market for the ADVANCED TASER. In the law enforcement market, over 2,000 law enforcement agencies have made initial purchases of the ADVANCED TASER for testing or deployment. These agencies include the Unites States Secret Service, Los Angeles Police Department, Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, New York Police Department, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Miami Police Department, Denver Police Department, Fort Worth (TX) Police Department, Orange County (FL) Sheriff's Department, Chandler (AZ) Police Department, Philadelphia Police Department, Seattle Police Department, and Minneapolis Police Department. In addition, 159 police departments, including Ohio State Troopers, Phoenix (AZ) Police Department, San Diego, Reno, Sacramento, Albuquerque, Citrus County (FL) Sheriff's Office and Clay County (FL) Sheriff's Office have purchased one ADVANCED TASER M26 to issue to each of their on duty patrol officers.

We believe the ADVANCED TASER could prove equally suitable for use in correctional facilities and have begun to see ADVANCED TASER deployments in correctional facilities such as those operated by the Los Angeles Custody Division and the State of Wisconsin.

Commercial Airlines

The commercial airlines became a new market for us in 2001. Following the events of September 11, 2001, the commercial airline industry implemented added security measures to protect its passengers and crew. These measures included reinforcement of cockpit doors, increased airport security, and the testing of

effective weapons for storage and use on airlines. The ADVANCED TASER is one of the weapons being considered by the Transportation Security Administration (TSA).

Initially, management believed approval of less-than-lethal weapons for airline use would occur in 2002. However, because of the large backlog of legislative issues before the 107th Congress, this item was delayed. Two commercial carriers, United Airlines and Mesa Airlines continue to support the use of our ADVANCED TASER product for on board security. And both have now, in accordance with the Homeland Security Act of 2002, applied to the TSA for formal approval to deploy the ADVANCED TASER M26 weapon platform. Without this approval, neither carrier will be allowed to use or store these weapons on board their aircraft, and future orders from other U.S. carriers may not be received or may be delayed.

Private Security Firms and Guard Services

We are still in the early stage of pursuing additional opportunities for sales of the ADVANCES TASER in private security markets, and have made only limited sales to date. However, a report of the Security Industry Association for 1999-2000 estimated that there were over 1.7 million privately employed security guards or personnel in the United States. They represent a broad range of individuals, including bodyguards, commercial and government building security guards, commercial money carrier employees and many others, and represent a large potential market.

Consumer/Personal Protection

Prior to the introduction of the ADVANCED TASER in late 1999, the majority of our annual revenue was derived from consumer sales. However, since the introduction of the ADVANCED TASER in 2000, our annual revenue from consumer sales has dropped to a range of \$1.0 to \$1.5 million per year. We expect consumer sales to remain in the \$1.0 million range in 2003, with growth beginning in 2004, as a result of a new consumer initiative by the Comp any in mid 2003. We believe consumer sales could contribute a substantial portion of our revenues beyond 2003, particularly if the ADVANCED TASER becomes more established in the law enforcement and airline industries, resulting in an implied endorsement for consumer use.

Military

Military police forces may use the ADVANCED TASER for purposes similar to those of civilian police units and to reduce the possibility of civilian casualties resulting from combat operations in populated environments. We have not formally pursued sales opportunities in the military market, but are consulting with military experts regarding use of our products. In the last two years, we have sold fewer than 100 ADVANCED TASERS to the United States Air Force and the U.S. Marine Corps for their evaluation of the weapon. We have been advised that the M26's were deployed on a few strategic initiatives, and that an undisclosed quantity has been recommended for deployment.

In April of 2002, we were awarded the first phase of a four phase cost-plus-profit grant from the Office of Naval Research. The grant was to fund the development of less-than-lethal weapons systems for the U.S. military, and provided approximately \$130,000 of working capital for our research and development efforts. In September of 2002, our funding was increased by an additional grant of \$349,000, which provided total funding of \$479,000. We recognized \$180,000 as revenue during the year ended December 31, 2002. We believe our continued efforts in developing technologies for the military will provide us future revenue opportunities, as it is positioning the Company as a technology partner with the Office of Naval Research.

Sales and Marketing

Law enforcement and corrections agencies represent our primary target market. In this market, the decision to purchase the ADVANCED TASER is normally made by a group of people including the agency head, his training staff, and weapons experts. Depending on the size and cost of the weapon deployment, the decision may involve political decision-makers such as city council members. The decision-making process can take as little as a few weeks or as long as several years.

In 2002, the Company developed and implemented two distinct media campaigns. The first was a series of print and cable advertisements developed to test the consumer response to our new M18 product line. The second was the development of a CD/ DVD package geared toward the law enforcement community. This package was sent to more than 10,000 individuals working in the largest police departments in the United States and abroad. These two campaigns, coupled with other advertisements in law enforcement publications resulted in an annual increase of \$273,000 in advertising expenditures.

In 2002, the Company also targeted key regional and national law enforcement trade shows where it could demonstrate the ADVANCED TASER weapon system to leading departments. In addition, the Company sponsored it's third annual U.S. tactical conference for the trained master instructors and law enforcement training officers, and initiated its first European tactical conference to reach law enforcement officials in more than 11 countries, including the United Kingdom, Germany, Israel, Greece, Romania and the Netherlands, who expressed an interest in or are deploying the M26. In total, the costs associated with conducting these trade shows and conferences increased our trade show and travel expenditures by \$387,000 to \$1.1 million in 2002.

The Company plans to continue investments in the area of law enforcement trade shows and conferences in 2003 and 2004, as it has provided the ability to mass market its products to a target audience. We believe these types of activities accelerate penetration of the law enforcement market with our ADVANCED TASER product line, which should lead to increased visibility in both the private security and consumer markets and will enforce the value of less-than-lethal weapons for self-defense. Once established in the law enforcement community, we will use our brand recognition and police endorsement to initiate a focused penetration of the retail consumer market. We have developed a consumer version of our ADVANCED TASER for individual use. These models, the M18L and M18, offer the consumer the same features sold to law enforcement, but with a shorter wire length for cartridge deployment and a slightly reduced power setting.

United States Distribution

With the exception of several accounts to which we sell directly, the vast majority of our law enforcement agency sales in the Unites States occur through our network of more than 25 law enforcement distributors. These distributors were selected based upon their reputation within the law enforcement community, their credit worthiness, and their distribution network. We maintain tight controls over our law enforcement distributors to ensure that our service standards are achieved. We also reserve the right to take any large agency order directly to secure our credit interest.

Sales in the consumer market are made through many of the same independent distributors, as well as through eleven additional commercial distributors added in December of 2001.

Due to the confidential nature of the relationships established with the major U.S. airlines, we intend to transact directly all future sales of our products to the commercial airline industry. These direct sales will enable us to assist the airlines in the development of training and tactical applications, and to provide on-site equipment maintenance services as they are required.

International Distribution

We concentrated our marketing resources on penetrating the United States law enforcement and corrections market since the development of the ADVANCED TASER. Accordingly, our international sales efforts in these years were limited to select presentations and training seminars conducted by TASER personnel. These presentations included the introduction of the ADVANCED TASER in Europe and parts of the Middle East, South America and Asia. Our sales outside the U.S. and Canada accounted for approximately 10% of our total sales in both fiscal 2002 and 2001. We believe the total sales generated outside the U.S. and Canada may exceed this percentage of our total sales in 2003.

We work with 30 foreign distributors around the world. These foreign distributors purchase products from us and resell them to subdistributors, retail dealers or end users. We continue to provide most foreign distributors with short-term exclusive contracts to sell our products in a designated region. Although many of these relationships are inactive, we continue to ship products as ordered.

Training Programs

Most law enforcement and corrections agencies will not purchase new weapons until a training program is in place to certify all officers in their proper use. We offer an eight-hour class that certifies law enforcement and corrections agency trainers as instructors in the use of the ADVANCED TASER. We have certified more than 6,000 law enforcement training officers as ADVANCED TASER instructors. Our certification program is designed to make it easier for departments to comply with these training requirements.

168 of our certified instructors have undergone further training and became certified as master instructors. We authorize these individuals to train other law enforcement and corrections agency trainers, not just end-users within these organizations. Approximately 150 of our master instructors have agreed to conduct ADVANCED TASER training classes on a regular basis. These instructors independently organize and promote their own training sessions, and we provide them with logistical support. They are independent professional trainers, serve as local area TASER experts, and assist our distributors in conducting TASER demonstrations at other police departments within regions. Beginning January 1, 2001, our charge for training is \$195 per attendee. We pay master instructors a per-session training fee for each session they conduct. These training sessions have led directly to the sale of ADVANCED TASERs to a number of police departments. During fiscal 2001, we conducted 120 training classes, resulting in more than 1,370 newly trained TASER instructors. In 2002, we conducted 167 training courses, with more than 2,760 individuals trained.

During 2002, we created a Training Board, consisting of Hans Marrero, our Chief Master Instructor, four active duty police officers, and one retired law enforcement trainer to coordinate the growing demands of our training program. This board annually reviews the qualifications of the master instructors, and provides retraining or certification as required. In addition, the Training Board oversees the trainers and curriculum to ensure new tactics and policies are properly communicated and implemented.

Manufacturing

On March 1, 2001, we relocated all final assembly operations from Mexico to our corporate headquarters in Scottsdale, Arizona. Our headquarters has approximately 6,000 square feet of product assembly and warehouse space. We own all of the equipment required to manufacture and assemble our finished products, as well as all molds, schematics, and prototypes utilized by our vendors in the production of required raw materials and sub-assemblies.

With our current work force, on a single shift, we are able to produce approximately 50,000 cartridges per month, and more than 4,000 TASERs. We can expand our production capabilities by adding additional personnel and a second shift with negligible new investment in tooling and equipment.

We currently purchase finished circuit boards and injection-molded plastic components from suppliers located in the Phoenix area. Although we currently obtain these components from single source suppliers, we own the injection molded component tooling used in their production. As a result, we believe we could obtain alternative suppliers without incurring significant production delays. We also purchase small, machined parts from a vendor in Taiwan, custom cartridge assemblies from a proprietary vendor in Arizona, and electronic components from a variety of foreign and domestic distributors. We believe that these or readily available alternative suppliers can consistently meet our needs for these components. We acquire most of our components on a purchase order basis and do not have long-term contracts with suppliers. We believe that our relations with our suppliers are good.

Competition

Law Enforcement and Corrections Market

In the law enforcement and corrections market, the ADVANCED TASER competes directly with the conducted energy weapon sold by Electronic Medical Research Laboratories, Inc., doing business as Taser

Technologies., formerly Tasertron. Taser Technologies is the sole remaining manufacturer of the original TASER weapon introduced in the 1970's. As of December 31, 2002, over 2,000 police departments had purchased, in the aggregate, more than 34,000 ADVANCED TASERs. We believe that fewer than 200 police departments currently deploy Taser Technologies weapons, with less than 5,000 units deployed, primarily at the tactical supervisor level. We believe the ADVANCED TASER also competes indirectly with a variety of other less-lethal alternatives.

Taser Technologies had an exclusive license to sell TASER products in the North American law enforcement and corrections market until February 1998. Since its introduction in late 1999, the ADVANCED TASER has competed successfully against the Taser Technologies unit, even in agencies that had previously purchased weapons from Taser Technologies. Other less-lethal weapons, such as pepper spray and impact weapons, sold by companies such as Armor Holdings Inc. and Jaycor, Inc., compete with our ADVANCED TASER indirectly. We believe the ADVANCED TASER's advanced technology, versatility, effectiveness, and low injury rate enable it to compete effectively against other less-lethal alternatives.

Consumer Market

Conducted energy weapons have gained limited acceptance in the consumer market for less-lethal weapons. These weapons compete with other less-lethal weapons such as stun guns, batons and clubs, and chemical sprays. The primary competitive factors in the consumer market include a weapon's cost, effectiveness, and ease of use. The widespread adoption of the ADVANCED TASER by prominent law enforcement agencies may help us overcome the historical perception of a lack of consumer confidence in conducted energy weapons.

In the consumer market, the AIR TASER formerly competed with a conducted energy weapon introduced by Bestex, Inc. in 1996, called the Dual Defense, and indirectly with other less-lethal alternatives. In July 2002, the Company purchased U.S. Patent No. 5,078,117, from Mr. John Cover. This patent covers the manufacturing design incorporated in our air cartridge, as well as the process used by Bestex in the production of its Dual Defense product. Prior to our purchase, Mr. Cover had granted licenses to both Bestex and TASER International for its use. However, at the time we purchased the patent, Bestex had not renewed its license, and subsequently lost its right to continue utilizing the covered technology. Therefore, we believe our products will only compete with remaining inventories of the Dual Defense system produced prior July 2002, as the continued manufacture by Bestex of the existing Dual Defense system beyond such date would be an infringement of our patent rights.

Regulation

United States Regulation

The AIR TASER and ADVANCED TASER, as well as the cartridges used by both weapons, are subject to identical regulations. Neither weapon is considered to be a "firearm" by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives. Therefore, no firearms -related regulations apply to the sale and distribution of our weapons within the United States. In the 1980's however, many states introduced regulations restricting the sale and use of stun guns, inexpensive hand-held shock devices. We believe existing stun gun regulations also apply to our weapon systems.

In 2002, we worked with several law enforcement agencies, government agencies and distributors to amend prior legislation preventing the sale of ADVANCED TASERs to law enforcement agencies in certain regions of the U.S. These combined efforts were successful in changing the legislation in the states of Michigan and Hawaii. We consider this to be a dramatic change in regulations as, for example, prior to the amendment to the Michigan Penal Code, the possession of a TASER or electronic weapon of any kind in Michigan could result in a felony conviction.

In many cases, the law enforcement and corrections market is subject to different regulations than the consumer market. Where different regulations exist, we assume the regulations affecting the consumer market also apply to the private security markets except as the applicable regulations otherwise specifically provide.

Based on a review of current regulations, we have determined the following states regulate the sale and use of our weapon systems:

	Law Enforcement	
State	Use	Consumer Use
Connecticut	Legal	Legal, subject to restrictions
Florida	Legal	Legal, subject to restrictions
Hawaii	Legal	Prohibited
Illinois	Legal	Legal, subject to restrictions
Indiana	Legal	Legal, subject to restrictions
Massachusetts	Prohibited	Prohibited
Michigan	Legal	Prohibited
New Jersey	Prohibited	Prohibited
New York	Legal	Prohibited
North Carolina	Legal	Legal, subject to restrictions
North Dakota	Legal	Legal, subject to restrictions
Rhode Island	Legal	Prohibited
Washington	Legal	Legal, subject to restrictions
Wisconsin	Legal	Prohibited

The following cities and counties also regulate our weapon systems:

	Law Enforcement	
City or County	Use	Consumer Use
Annapolis	Legal	Prohibited
Baltimore	Legal	Prohibited
Chicago	Legal	Prohibited
Howard County, MD	Legal	Prohibited
Lynn County, OH	Legal	Legal, subject to restrictions
New York City	Legal	Prohibited
Philadelphia	Legal	Prohibited
Washington, D.C.	Legal	Prohibited

United States Export Regulation

Our weapon systems are considered a crime control product by the United States Department of Commerce. Accordingly, the export of our weapon systems is regulated under export administration regulations. As a result, we must obtain export licenses from the Department of Commerce for all shipments to foreign countries other than Canada. Most of our requests for export licenses have been granted, and the need to obtain these licenses has not caused a material delay in our shipments. The need to obtain licenses, however, has limited or impeded our ability to ship to certain foreign markets. Export regulations also prohibit the further shipment of our products from foreign markets in which we hold a valid export license to foreign markets in which we do not hold an export license for the products.

In addition, in the fall of 2000, the Department of Commerce adopted new regulations restricting the export of technology used in our weapon systems. These regulations apply to both the technology incorporated in our weapon systems and in the processes used to produce them. The technology export regulations do not apply to production that takes place within the United States, but is applicable to all sub-assemblies and controlled items manufactured outside the United States.

Foreign Regulation

Foreign regulations which may affect our weapon systems are numerous and often unclear. We prefer to work with a distributor who is familiar with the applicable import regulations in each of our foreign markets. Experience with foreign distributors in the past indicates that restrictions may prohibit certain sales of our products in a number of countries. The countries in which we are aware of restrictions include: Belgium,

Denmark, Hong Kong, Italy, Japan, New Zealand, Norway, Sweden, and Switzerland. Additionally, Australia, Canada and India permit our products to be sold only to law enforcement and corrections agencies.

Previously, the United Kingdom was among the countries where TASER technologies were prohibited. However, in January 2003, the British Police announced that the national government would be backing a TASER pilot program for five police forces within the UK. The agencies participating in the trial program of the ADVANCED TASER M26 include: the Northamptonshire Police, Lincolnshire Police, Thames Valley Police, North Wales Police and Metropolitan Police. This decision came after the completion of two years of testing by the Police Scientific Development Branch of the Home Office in England, during which the product was reviewed for operational effectiveness and medical safety.

Intellectual Property

We protect our intellectual property with a variety of patents and trademarks. In addition, we use confidentiality agreements with employees, consultants and key suppliers to ensure the confidentiality of our trade secrets.

We hold United States Patent No. 5,771,663, on the construction of the gas cylinder used to store the compressed nitrogen in our cartridges. This patent expires in 2015. On July 2, 2002, we also purchased U.S. Patent No. 5,078,117 from Mr. John Cover. This patent covers the process by which compressed gases launch the probes in our cartridges and expires in 2009. Using this compressed gas technology instead of gunpowder prevents our products from being classified as firearms by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. Previously we licensed the use of this patent from Mr. Cover. In addition, we have broad-based patent applications pending that cover the energy wave form developed for the ADVANCED TASER, as well as applications for new technologies currently under development.

We own the AIR TASER and TASER registered trademarks as well as several unregistered trademarks. In addition, in 2001, we purchased the internet domain name "TASER.com".

Research and Development

Our research and development initiatives are categorized into two separate classifications. The first is internally funded research and development, and the second is research funded by the Office of Naval Research. Both categories focus on next generation technology, yet are differentiated by their time to completion and accounting treatment. Internally funded research has been primarily focused on improvements to existing TASER products, or the development of new applications for TASER technology. The work being done for the Office of Naval Research has been based upon the premise of developing weapon systems to be used in military combat or policing activities where a more extensive design set may be required. These projects are more long-term in nature, and involve several outside resources. Both avenues of research are led by our internal personnel and make use of specialized consultants when necessary. These initiatives include bio-medical research and electrical and mechanical engineering design. We expect that future development projects will focus on reducing the size, extending the range, and improving the functionality of our weapons.

Our investment in internally funded research totaled \$137,000 in 2002, and \$43,000 in 2001. The research funded by the Office of Naval Research (ONR) has been completed on a cost-plus-profit basis, and the grant proceeds in 2002 were \$179,545. Monthly, an invoice summarizing the reimbursable expenses is submitted to the ONR for payment. The payment request details the costs expensed in the period and adds a nominal profit. Because this project generates profit for the Company, the reimbursement is recognized as a component of revenue, and the associated expenditures are expensed as a component of cost of products sold. Prior to April 2002, there was no work performed for the ONR.

We expect as we progress with projects underway, that our research and development expenditures will increase as a percentage of sales. This is due to the costs associated with conducting and preparing biomedical studies, and contracting the services of medical experts to review our product developments. It is our intent to complete at least one new project by mid-to-late 2003, and to introduce a new line of cartridges to our law enforcement customers by June of 2003.

Employees

As of December 31, 2002, we had 73 full-time employees, 46 direct manufacturing employees and 27 administrative and manufacturing support employees. Of the 27 administrative and manufacturing employees; eight were involved in sales, marketing and training; five were employed in research, development and engineering; eight were employed in administrative functions inclusive of executive management, legal, finance, accounting and investor relations; three were employed in the information systems technologies; and three were employed in manufacturing support functions.

Our employees are not covered by any collective bargaining agreement, and we have never experienced a work stoppage. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

Corporate Information

We were incorporated in Arizona in September 1993 as ICER Corporation. We changed our name to AIR TASER, Inc. in December 1993 and to TASER International, Incorporated in April 1998. In February 2001, we reincorporated in Delaware as TASER International, Inc.

Item 2. Description of Property

Principal Location

We conduct our operations from a modern 11,800 square-foot leased facility in Scottsdale, Arizona. The monthly rent for this facility is approximately \$13,000. Our lease expires on December 31, 2005. We do not believe this facility will meet our needs for the next three years, and are currently negotiating for additional space.

Investment Policies

Our investment policy to date has been to focus resources on the development of our core business. To that end, our capital investments are made in the areas of fixed assets that will generate income through improved productivity or advanced technology. Cash reserves are invested in interest bearing accounts and government securities. As of December, 2002, we had no investments in real estate or public securities.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In February 2000, Thomas N. Hennigan, a distributor of our products from late 1997 through early 2000, sued us in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York. Mr. Hennigan claims the exclusive right to sell our products to many of the largest law enforcement, corrections, and military agencies in the United States. He seeks monetary damages in the aggregate amount of \$400 million against us and certain of our officers allegedly arising in connection with his service to us as a distributor on theories of our failure to pay commissions, breach of contract, interference with contract, and on related theories. We signed no contract with Mr. Hennigan. We also believe that he has no reasonable basis for claims to informal or implied contractual rights. As a result, we believe his claims are without merit, and the litigation will have no material adverse affect on our business, operating results or financial condition. Mr. Hennigan's suit was dismissed in February 2001 for lack of jurisdiction of the New York court and the case was transferred to the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. Mr. Hennigan died in April 2001. The case is now being prosecuted by his estate. We filed two motions for partial summary judgment in November 2002 which are currently pending before the court.

In April 2001, James F. McNulty Jr. sued us in the United States District Court, Central District of California. The lawsuit alleges that certain technology used in the firing mechanism for our weapons infringes upon a patent for which Mr. McNulty holds a license, and sought injunctive relief and unspecified monetary damages. In February 2002, we won a motion for summary judgement that limits Mr. McNulty's right to sue for damages only to dates after February 2001. On July 29, 2002, we again won a motion for summery judgment in which the Court ruled that no product manufactured by the Company infringed the claims of the patent licensed by McNulty. We were notified in August 2002 that the plaintiff filed an appeal. We intend to

respond to the appeal, and based upon the original decision by the lower court, we believe that the claims are without merit and that the litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In May 2001, the Company filed a complaint against Electronic Medical Research Laboratories, Inc., doing business as Taser Technologies, formerly Tasertron, in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. The complaint alleges trademark infringement, unfair competition and interference with contractual relations, and seeks monetary damages and injunctive relief. In December 2002, we filed a motion for summary judgment and the defendant filed a motion for summary judgment, both of which are currently pending before the court. A date has not yet been set for oral argument.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Market Information

Our Common Stock is quoted under the symbol "TASR" on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market. Our Warrants to purchase one share of Common Stock are quoted under the symbol "TASRW" on The NASDAQ SmallCap Market.

The following tables set forth the high and low closing sales prices, per share or warrant, for our Common Stock and Warrants as reported by Nasdaq for each quarter since our initial listing of these securities on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market in May 2001:

Common Stock "TASR"

Fiscal Quarters	High	Low
June 30, 2001(1)	\$ 7.30	\$ 5.35
September 30, 2001	\$ 9.31	\$ 5.60
December 31, 2001	\$ 15.14	\$ 8.25
March 31, 2002	\$ 19.70	\$ 13.08
June 30, 2002	\$ 20.60	\$ 11.86
September 30, 2002	\$ 12.20	\$ 4.71
December 31, 2002	\$ 4.80	\$ 3.40

(1) From May 8, through June 7, 2001, our Common Stock traded only as a component of a unit consisting of one and one-half shares of Common Stock and one and one-half Warrants to purchase one share of Common Stock. This unit traded under the symbol "TASRU". As of June 7, 2001, our Common Stock and Warrants began trading separately. These prices reflect the sales prices of our Common Stock beginning June 7, 2001.

Public Warrants "TASRW"

Fiscal Quarters	High	Low
June 30, 2001(1)	\$ 2.45	\$ 1.40
September 30, 2001	\$ 3.20	\$ 1.25
December 31, 2001	\$ 6.75	\$ 2.55
March 31, 2002	\$ 10.95	\$ 5.61
June 30, 2002	\$ 11.86	\$ 6.15
September 30, 2002	\$ 6.49	\$ 2.60
December 31, 2002	\$ 2.10	\$ 1.42

(1) From May 8, through June 7, 2001 our Warrants traded only as a component of a unit consisting of one and one-half shares of Common Stock and one and one-half Warrants to purchase one share of Common Stock. This unit traded under the symbol "TASRU". As of June 7, 2001, our Common Stock and Warrants began trading separately. These prices reflect sales prices for our Warrants beginning June 7, 2001.

Holders

As of February 28, 2003 there were approximately 40 holders of record of our Common Stock, and approximately 8 holders of record of our public warrants.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid dividends on our Common Stock, and cannot declare or pay dividends or make any other distributions upon any of our shares of capital without the express written consent of our bank.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

No unregistered securities were sold in 2002.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides details of our equity compensation plans at December 31, 2002:

		Number of Securities		
	Number of	to be Issued		Number of
	Securities	Upon Exercise		Securities
	Authorized for	of Outstanding	Weighted Average	Remaining
	Issuance	Options, Warrants	Exercise Price of	Available for
Plan Category	Under the Plan	or Rights	Outstanding Options	Future Issuance
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,383,333	788,925(1)	\$ 9.27	509,354
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	0	0	\$ 0.00	0
Total	1,383,333	788,925	\$ 9.27	509,354

(1) This figure excludes 85,054 exercised as of 12/31/02

Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

On May 7, 2001, the SEC declared effective Amendment No. 4 of our Registration Statement on Form SB-2. We completed our related initial public offering of 800,000 units on May 11, 2001. The initial public offering price was \$13.00 per unit. The gross proceeds of the offering were \$10,400,000. Our net

proceeds from the offering, after deducting underwriter discount, fees and expenses, aggregated approximately \$8,400,000.

Through December 31, 2002, we had used approximately \$4.8 million of the net proceeds from the offering as follows:

Repayment of note payable to stockholder	\$ 556,000
Accrued interest on notes payable to stockholders	268,000
Repayment of notes due to unrelated private lender, including accrued interest	612,800
Repayment of note to third party lender	190,000
Repayment of revolving line of credit	376,000
Purchases of inventory	940,000
Research and development expenditures	43,000
Production tooling and equipment	203,000
Other working capital/general corporate purposes	1,611,200
Total	\$ 4.800.000

Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation

Overview

We develop, assemble and market less-lethal, conducted energy weapons primarily for use in the law enforcement and corrections market. Over 2,000 police departments in the United States have made initial purchases of our products and 159 police departments, including the Cities of Phoenix, Reno, Sacramento, and Albuquerque, have purchased or plan to purchase our ADVANCED TASER M26 for every on duty patrol officer.

In 2001, we made several significant changes to support the growing demand for the ADVANCED TASER product line. We discontinued outsourcing of the final assembly of our products in Mexico, moved these operations to our Scottsdale headquarters, and developed a manufacturing infrastructure inclusive of direct assembly and material management to support product demand.

We completed an initial public offering of securities in May of 2001. In this offering, we sold 800,000 units for \$13.00 each, with net proceeds to us of \$8.4 million. Each unit included one and one half shares of common stock and one half warrants, each whole warrant to purchase one share each of common stock. The warrants are exercisable before May 2006, to purchase common stock for \$9.53 per share.

We concentrated our management efforts in developing our infrastructure in 2002. We evaluated our existing structure and management strengths, and expanded our team with new additions in the areas of research and development, executive management and legal counsel. We also organized our resources into three distinct divisions in order to better manage annual objectives, and improve our operating results. We added new personnel in Manufacturing with experience in larger volume production, and we expanded our production capabilities by investing in new equipment for testing and qualifying our finished products. We also trained new manufacturing employees, which temporarily reduced labor efficiencies.

During 2002, we also invested significantly in the area of sales and marketing. We developed our messages and print media, as well as expanded our reach into leading law enforcement organizations and sponsorships. We initiated targeted direct mail campaigns to the top law enforcement agencies, and added more direct sales employees to pursue the growing number of customer inquiries. And, we further developed our training programs in 2002, recognizing this is a valued tool in reducing the time from customer introduction to final purchase.

Although our investments in the organizational structure, sales and marketing programs and new product development resulted in a reduction of net income for 2002, we believe our emphasis in building teams focused on growth will accelerate our market penetration in the coming years.

Critical Accounting Policies

We have identified the following policies as critical to our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations. The preparation of this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from those estimates. The effect of these policies on our business operations is discussed below where such policies affect our reported and expected financial results.

Revenue Recognition. Our revenue recognition policy is significant because our revenue is a key component of our results of operations. We recognize revenues when pervasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, title has transferred, the price is fixed and collectability is reasonably assured. In addition, all sales are final, with no right of return. We charge certain of our customers shipping fees, which are recorded as a component of net sales. We record training revenue as the service is provided.

Warranty Costs. We warrant our products from manufacturing defects for their lives and replace any defective AIR TASER unit with a new one for a \$25 fee and any defective ADVANCED TASER unit with a new one for a \$75 fee. We track historical data related to returns and related warranty costs on a quarterly basis, and estimate future warranty claims by applying our four quarter average return rate to our product sales for the period. We have also historically increased our reserve amount if we become aware of a component failure that could result in larger than anticipated returns from our customers.

Inventory. Our inventory balance includes the application of overhead expenditures. This calculation is based upon the standard manufacturing costs for each sub assembly and finished product in inventory at the period end, and includes allocations for indirect manufacturing, manufacturing overhead expenditures and engineering expenses incurred during the period.

Concentration of Credit Risk and Major Customers. Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk include accounts receivable. Sales are typically made on credit and we generally do not require collateral. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition and maintain an allowance for estimated potential losses. Uncollectible accounts are written off when deemed uncollectible, and accounts receivable are presented, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts.

Selected Financial Data

The following discussion of the results of operations and analysis of financial condition for the two years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 may be understood more fully by reference to the financial statements and notes to the financial statements included elsewhere within this report.

The selected statement of income data and balance sheet data presented below set forth a summary of data relating to our results of operations as of, and for the years ended, December 31, 2002 and 2001. This data has been derived from our audited financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes included elsewhere in this report.

Statements of Operations Date.	December 31, 2002	December 31, 2001
Statements of Operations Data:	2002	2001
Net sales	\$ 9,842,777	\$ 6,853,272
Gross margin	5,536,226	3,938,842
Sales, general and administrative expenses	5,038,758	3,123,224
Income from operations	361,011	772,256
Interest income	57,931	90,285
Interest expense	38,196	246,776
Income before taxes	380,746	620,025
Income tax provision	171,843	104,996
Net income	\$ 208,903	\$ 515,029
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.22
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.17
Balance Sheet Data:		
Working Capital	\$ 5,336,963	\$ 4,966,184
Total Assets	7,904,213	8,054,679
Total Current Liabilities	1,804,305	2,455,656
Long Term Portion of Capital Lease Obligations	15,846	50,979
Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)	\$ 6,014,601	\$ 5,528,733

Results of Operations

Net Sales. Net Sales increased by \$3.0 million, or 43.6%, to \$9.8 million in 2002 compared to \$6.9 million in 2001. This increase was almost entirely due to the increased sales of the ADVANCED TASER. Specifically, ADVANCED TASER sales increased \$3.0 million to \$8.5 million in 2002, compared with \$5.5 million recorded in 2001. AIR TASER sales decreased \$338,000 in 2002 to \$966,000 as compared with \$1.3 million in 2001. In 2002, grant funding from the Office of Naval Research (ONR) was included in the Company's net sales. In total, this revenue accounted for an increase of \$180,000 for 2002.

For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, sales by product line were as follows (amounts in thousands):

Product Line	2002	%	2001	%
ADVANCED TASER (including cartridges and accessories)	\$ 8,494	86.3%\$	5,460	79.7%
AIR TASER (including cartridges and accessories)	966	9.8%	1,304	19.0%
Miscellaneous sales (training, freight, services & equipment)	203	2.1%	0	0.0%
Grant Proceeds from the Office of Naval Research	180	1.8%	89	1.3%
Net Sales	\$ 9,843	100.0% \$	6,853	100.0%

Cost of Products Sold. Cost of products sold increased by \$1.4 million, or 47.8%, to \$4.3 million in 2002 compared to \$2.9 million in 2001. As a percentage of net sales, cost of products sold increased 1.3% to 43.8% of net sales, from 42.5% in 2001. This increase was primarily a result of three factors: increased scrap, the addition of expenditures related to our research grant with the Office of Naval Research, and labor inefficiencies created by product upgrades and new hires. During 2002, the Company incurred a total charge of approximately \$163,000 associated with in-line production scrap, warranty returns, and replacement products resulting from a combination of training issues and defective electronic components provided by an external vendor. Warranty costs under the ADVANCED TASER replacement policy totaled \$79,200 in 2002, and \$43,000 in 2001. The amount for 2002 includes \$25,000 recorded in connection with a series of ADVANCED TASERS that we recalled in the second quarter of 2002 due to a defective electronic component.

Due to the projected ramp of product sales, 16 new direct assembly operators were hired and trained during the first and second quarters of 2002. Although by year end their efficiency had improved, this added labor cost negatively affected our direct labor to sales ratios for the year. Management believes that with the current levels of finished goods inventory, and the streamlining of production, additional new hires should not be required for growth during fiscal 2003.

Fiscal 2002 also marked the first year of expenses associated with research grant funding. Although the grant does allow the Company to recover costs on a cost-plus-profit basis, the margin generated by this work is significantly lower than the gross margins generated through the sale of the Company's manufactured products.

Gross Margin: Gross margins improved by \$1.6 million, or 40.6%, to \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 compared to \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. This increase is largely attributable to the higher level of ADVANCED TASER product sales. As a percentage of sales, gross margins declined 1.3% to 56.2% in 2002, down from 57.5% in 2001. This decrease was the result of increased labor and scrap charges, coupled with lower margins recognized from the ONR research grant.

Sales, General and Administrative Expenses. Sales, general and administrative expenses increased \$1.9 million, or 61.3%, to \$5.0 million in 2002 from \$3.1 million expensed in 2001. As a percent of sales, sales, general and administrative expenses increased by 5.6%, to 51.2%, from 45.6% in 2001. However, during fiscal 2002, administrative expenses were reduced by 0.6% of sales to 24.3% as compared with 24.9% for fiscal 2001. This reduction in administrative expenses was targeted in order to provide additional funding to promote a new marketing campaign in the third and fourth quarters. This reduction was achieved net of significant increases in the Company's legal, insurance and investor relations expenditures.

In 2002, the Company developed and imp lemented two new media campaigns. The first was a series of print ads and cable ads developed to test the consumer response to our new M18 product line. The second was the development of a CD/DVD package geared toward the law enforcement community. The mailing of this package was sent to more than 10,000 individuals working in the largest police departments in the United States and abroad. These two campaigns, coupled with other advertisements in law enforcement publications resulted in an annual increase of \$273,000 in advertising expenditures from \$20,000 in 2001 to \$293,000 in 2002.

Trade shows and travel expenses comprised the second area of significant increases in selling expenses during 2002. The Company targeted key regional and national law enforcement trade shows where it could demonstrate the ADVANCED TASER weapon system to leading departments. In addition, the Company sponsored it's third annual U.S. tactical conference for the trained master instructors, and law enforcement training officers, and initiated its first European tactical conference to reach more than 11 countries, including the United Kingdom, Germany, Israel, Greece, Romania and the Netherlands, who expressed an interest in, or are deploying the M26. In total, the costs associated with conducting these trade shows and conferences increased our trade show and travel expenditures by \$387,000 in 2002 to \$1.1 million from the \$730,000 expensed in 2001. The Company plans to continue investments in the area of trade shows and conferences in 2003 and 2004, as it has provided the ability to mass market its products to a target audience.

Commissions paid to outside sales representatives were the third largest component of increased selling expenses. In November of 2001, the Company contracted with several manufacturers' representatives to aid in promoting the sale of the ADVANCED TASER weapon system in the law enforcement industry. In 2001, \$422,000 was paid to these representatives for their efforts in the third and fourth quarters, which included the sale to United Airlines in December of 2001. In 2002, the amount paid to these representatives was more than \$624,000, an increase of \$200,000 for the year. The Company determined that these commissioned representatives, with a few minor exceptions, have not been productive in the law enforcement market. As a result, the Company has transitioned the majority of these commissioned representatives solely into the commercial consumer market in 2003.

The last significant increase in selling expenses was the investment in lobbying efforts to aid with government relations and sales to both the airline and law enforcement industry. During fiscal 2002, the

company spent \$90,000 with two lobbying firms. Of these expenses, \$67,000 was used to aid in promoting legislative issues pertaining to the use of our TASER products on board commercial aircraft. The remaining \$23,000 was paid to a local firm to assist with completion of the City of Phoenix sale in December 2002.

Interest Income. Interest income decreased \$32,000 to \$58,000 in 2002, from the \$90,000 recorded in fiscal 2001. The decline in income resulted from the use of approximately \$2.0 million of cash to reduce debt and purchase raw material inventory. As of December 31, 2002, \$2.6 million of cash was invested in interest bearing accounts.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased by \$209,000, or 84.5%, to \$38,000 in 2002 as compared to \$247,000 in 2001. This decrease was a result of the repayment of both long and short-tern debt made possible by the proceeds of our initial public offering completed in May 2001.

Income Taxes. The provision for income tax increased by \$67,000, or 63.7%, to \$172,000 in 2002, as compared to \$105,000 in 2001. This was the result of both an increased effective tax rate and the effect of a deferred tax benefit in 2001 related to a change in the Company's tax structure which was effective January 1, 2001.

In early 2001, our tax reporting status was changed to that of a C-corporation. When our reporting status changed, our accumulated shareholder deficit was converted to additional paid-in capital. As a result of the conversion from an S-Corporation to a C-Corporation, there are no net operating loss carry forwards available to us. We did however, recognize a deferred tax benefit of \$140,000 in 2001. This benefit was the result of differences in basis between financial reporting and tax reporting that were recognized in the fourth quarter of 2001. The 2002 rate increase was due to the C corporation status and non-deductible lobbying expenses.

Net Income. Net income decreased by \$306,000, to \$209,000, in 2002 as compared to net income of \$515,000 in 2001. The decrease in net income resulted primarily from the increases in cost of products sold and selling expenses, as well as the change in our effective tax rate as described above. The decrease in net income resulted in a decline of \$0.15 of basic earnings per share to income per basic share of \$0.07 for 2002 compared to income per basic share of \$0.22 in 2001. Basic earnings per share calculations were based upon weighted average shares outstanding of 2,796,767 in 2002 and 2,303,386 outstanding in 2001. Additionally, diluted earnings per share decreased to \$0.07 in 2002 as compared with earnings of \$0.17 per share for 2001. Diluted earnings per share calculations were based upon weighted average shares outstanding of 2,909,617 in 2002 and 3,029,330 in 2001.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically we sustained significant operating losses. From our inception through December 31, 2000, our operations were financed through advances from and investments by major stockholders, and bank financing guaranteed by major stockholders. In both 2002 and 2001, we generated net income.

Liquidity. As of December 31, 2002, we had working capital of \$5.3 million compared to working capital of \$5.0 million at December 31, 2001. The improvement in working capital from 2001 to 2002 was primarily due to the decrease in short term debt.

During 2002, we used \$835,000 of cash in operations compared to the \$555,000 used in operations in 2001. The increase in cash used in operations was due primarily to our increased investment in raw materials. The higher level of inventory was a result of purchases made in anticipation of strong fourth quarter revenue, which did not materialize. We believe we will be able to significantly reduce our investment in inventory by the end of fiscal 2003 through more efficient purchasing and improved inventory management.

We also used \$387,000 of cash in investing activities during 2002, compared to \$453,000 of cash used in investing activities in 2001. The funds invested in 2002 were used to purchase production equipment required to increase production capacity, to purchase office equipment and computers, to purchase the TASER trademark, and manufacturing patent, and to purchase the TASER.com web-site. The cash used for financing activities totaled \$838,000 in 2002 as compared with \$6.4 million provided by financing activities in 2001. The funds used in 2002 were applied to the payment of notes payable and our revolving line of credit. In 2001, the

\$5.4 million provided by financing activities was a direct result of the net proceeds from our initial public offering, less debt repayment.

Capital Resources. On December 31, 2002, we had cash of \$3.6 million and less than \$20,000 of long term debt outstanding. Additionally, the Company has generated net income of \$209,000 in 2002, and \$515,000 in 2001, with an expectation of being profitable in 2003. We believe after payment of debt and accounts payable outstanding as of December 31, 2002, our monthly cash flow from operations will be adequate to cover monthly obligations.

We obtained a revolving line of credit from a domestic bank with a total availability of \$2.5 million. The line is secured by substantially all of our assets, other than intellectual property, and bears interest at varying rates, ranging from LIBOR plus 1.5% to prime plus 1%. The line of credit matures on May 31, 2003 and requires monthly payments of interest only. The outstanding balance under the line of credit at December 31, 2002 was \$385,000, and \$2,115,000 was available for future borrowings. The \$385,000 was subsequently paid in full on January 9, 2003.

We believe that our projected operating income in 2003, when added to our cash reserves of \$3.6 million as of December 31, 2002, will be adequate to fund our operations in 2003. However, should our marketing efforts abroad, result in a large full scale deployment, or if during 2003 the Transportation Security Administration approves our weapons for use onboard commercial aircraft, we may require additional resources to expedite manufacturing in order to meet possible demand for our weapons in the law enforcement, commercial airline and consumer markets as early as the fourth quarter of 2003. We believe funding will be available at terms favorable to us, both through our existing credit lines and possible additional equity financing.

Item 7. Financial Statements

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the financial statements beginning on page F-1.

Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Change in Certifying Accountant

On June 10, 2002, the Company, at the request of the Board of Directors, filed a Form 8-K to disclose a change in registrant's certifying accountant from Arthur Andersen, LLP to Deloitte & Touche, LLP. There were no disagreements, adverse opinions or disclaimer of opinion by Arthur Andersen, LLP at the time of the change.

PART III

Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

The information concerning the identification and business experience of directors is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Election of Directors," which proxy statement we expect to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

The information concerning the identification and business experience of our executive officers is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Executive Officers," which proxy statement we expect to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

The information concerning compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management — Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," which proxy statement we expect to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

The information concerning significant employees and family relationships is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Significant Employees and Family Relationships," which proxy statement we expect to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

Item 10. Executive Compensation

The information concerning executive compensation is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Executive Compensation," which proxy statement we expect to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information concerning security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management," which proxy statement we expect to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information concerning certain relationships and related transactions is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Certain Transactions," which proxy statement we expect to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

Item 13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits to Form 10-KSB

- 3.1 Company's Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed February 14, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 3.2 Company's Bylaws, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 4.1 Reference is made to pages 1 4 of Exhibit 3.1 and pages 1 5 and 12 14 of Exhibit 3.2
- 4.2 Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 4.3 Form of Public Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 4.4 Form of Unit Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 4.5 Form of Warrant and Unit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)

- 4.6 Form of Underwriters' Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 10.1 Employment Agreement with Patrick W. Smith, dated July 1, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)(1)
- 10.2 Employment Agreement with Thomas P. Smith, dated November 15, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)(1)
- 10.3 Employment Agreement with Kathleen C. Hanrahan, dated November 15, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)(1)
- 10.4 Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and its directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 10.5 Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and its officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 10.6 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)(1)
- 10.7 2001 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)(1)
- 10.8 Form of Warrant issued to Bruce Culver and Phil Smith (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 10.9 Promissory Note, dated October 24, 2000, payable to Bank of America in the amount of \$60,000 and related guarantee and security documents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 10.10 Lease between the Company and Norton P. Remes and Joan A. Remes Revocable Trust, dated November 17, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 10.11 Credit Agreement, dated May 31, 2002, between the Company and Bank One, NA (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB, filed August 12, 2002)
- 10.12 Form of Sales Representative Agreement with respect to services by and between the Company and Sales Representatives (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed March 15, 2002), as amended
- 10.13 Lease Agreement, dated April 17, 2001, payable to GE Capital Corporation in the amount of \$37,945
- 10.14 Employment Agreement with Douglas E. Klint, dated December 15, 2002
- 23.1 Consent of Deloitte & Touche, LLP, independent auditors
- 99.1 Certain Factors to Consider in Connection with Forward-Looking Statements
- 99.2 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 99.3 Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(1)	Management contract	or compensatory plan	or arrangement
-----	---------------------	----------------------	----------------

(b) Reports on Form8-K

None.

Financial Statements:

The Financial Statements listed below are located after the signature page and begin on page F-1.

Independent Auditors' Report, Deloitte & Touche, LLP	F-1
Report of Independent Public Accountants, Arthur Andersen, LLP	F-2
Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2002 and 2001	F-3
Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001	F-4
Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2002	F-5
and 2001	
Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001	F-6
Notes to Financial Statements	F-7

Item 14. Controls & Procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have reviewed the disclosure controls and procedures relating to the Company within the 90 days preceding the date of this report and concluded that such controls and procedures are effective to make known to us all material information about the financial and operational activities of the Company. The registrant's certifying officers have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TASER INTERN	ATIONAL, INC.	
(Registrant)		
, ,	/s/ PATRICK W. SMITH	
	Patrick W. Smith,	
	Chief Executive Officer	

Date: March 14, 2003

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: March 14, 2003	/s/ PATRICK W. SMITH
	Patrick W. Smith,
	Chief Executive Officer
Date: March 14, 2003	/s/ THOMAS P. SMITH
	Thomas P. Smith,
	President
Date: March 14, 2003	/s/ KATHLEEN C. HANRAHAN
	Kathleen C. Hanrahan,
	Chief Financial Officer
	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
Date: March 14, 2003	/s/ PHILLIPS W. SMITH
	Phillips W. Smith,
	Director
Date: March 14, 2003	/s/ MATTHEW R. MCBRADY
	Matthew R. McBrady,
	Director
Date: March 14, 2003	/s/ BRUCE R. CULVER
	Bruce R. Culver,
	Director
Date: March 14, 2003	/s/ BERNARD B. KERIK
	Bernard B. Kerik,
	Director
Date: March 14, 2003	/s/ MARK W. KROLL
	Mark W. Kroll,
	Director

CERTIFICATIONS

- I, Patrick W. Smith, principal executive officer, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-KSB of TASER International, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:
- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
- b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
- c) presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the date of the Evaluation Date:
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: March 14, 2003 By: /s/ PATRICK W. SMITH Patrick W. Smith

Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

- I, Kathleen C. Hanrahan, principal financial officer, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-QSB of TASER International, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:
- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
- b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
- d) presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the date of the Evaluation Date:
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- c) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and d) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: March 14, 2003 By: /s/ KATHLEEN C. HANRAHAN Kathleen C. Hanrahan

Chief Financial Officer

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of TASER International, Inc.

Scottsdale, AZ

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Taser International (the "Company") as of December 31, 2002, and the related statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. The Company's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2001 were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations. Those auditors expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements in their report dated February 4, 2002.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2002, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE, LLP

Phoenix, Arizona January 24, 2003 THE FOLLOWING REPORT IS A COPY OF THE PREVIOUSLY ISSUED ARTHUR ANDERSEN, LLP REPORT. THIS REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REISSUED BY ARTHUR ANDERSEN, LLP NOR HAS ARTHUR ANDERSEN, LLP PROVIDED A CONSENT TO THE INCLUSION OF ITS REPORT IN THIS FORM 10-KSB.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors of TASER International, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC. (a Delaware corporation) as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of TASER International, Inc. as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

As explained in Note 6 to the financial statements, effective January 1, 2001, concurrent with its change in tax status from an S corporation to a C corporation, the Company changed its method of accounting for income taxes and adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*.

ARTHUR ANDERSEN, LLP /s/ ARTHUR ANDERSEN, LLP

Phoenix, Arizona February 4, 2002

BALANCE SHEETS

			December 31,		
			2002	2001	
	ASSETS				
Current Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents		\$	3,576,937	\$	5,636,100
Accounts receivable, net of	allowance of \$20,000 in		888,142		765,328
2002 and \$29,000 in 2001					
Inventory			2,334,809		801,926
Prepaids and other			113,749		103,829
Income tax receivable			74,952		53,817
Deferred income tax asset			152,679		60,840
	Total current assets		7,141,268		7,421,840
Property and equipment, net			661,374		560,423
Intangible assets, net			101,571		72,416
	Total assets	\$	7,904,213	\$	8,054,679
LIABIL	ITIES AND STOCKHOLI	DERS	' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:					
Current portion of notes pay		\$	_	\$	455,691
Current portion of capital le	ease obligations		37,418		51,834
Revolving line of credit			385,000		760,838
Accounts payable and accru	ied liabilities		1,366,432		1,154,280
Accrued interest			727		890
Customer deposits			14,728		32,123
	Total current liabilities		1,804,305		2,455,656
Capital lease obligations, net of	current portion		15,486		50,979
Deferred income tax liability			69,821		19,311
	Total liabilities		1,889,612		2,525,946
Commitments and Contingencie	es (Notes 5, 7)				
Stockholders' Equity:					
Preferred stock, \$0.00001 p					
25 million shares authorized					
outstanding at December 31					
Common stock, \$0.00001 p			28		27
50 million shares authorized					
issued and outstanding at 20	002 and 2001		- - - - - - - - - -		- 0 -0 -1-
Additional paid-in capital			5,290,641		5,073,617
Deferred compensation			722 022		(59,940)
Retained earnings	TD 4 1 4 11 11 1 1 1 1		723,932		515,029
	Total stockholders' equity	Ф	6,014,601	¢.	5,528,733
	Total liabilities and	\$	7,904,213	\$	8,054,679
	stockholders' equity				

STATEMENTS OF INCOME For the Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001

		2002	2001
Net sales		\$ 9,842,777	\$ 6,853,272
Cost of products sold:			
Direct manufacturi	ng expense	3,322,616	2,304,669
Indirect manufactur	ring expense	983,935	609,761
	Gross margin	5,536,226	3,938,842
Sales, general and administra	tive expenses	5,038,712	3,123,224
Research and development ex	penses	136,503	43,362
	Income from operations	361,011	772,256
Interest income		57,931	90,285
Other income		_	4,260
Interest expense		38,196	246,776
	Income before income taxes	380,746	620,025
Provision for income taxes		171,843	104,996
	Net income	\$ 208,903	\$ 515,029
Net income per common share:			
Basic		\$ 0.07	\$ 0.22
Diluted		0.07	0.17
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:	common and common equivalent		
Basic		2,796,767	2,303,386
Diluted		2,909,617	3,029,330

STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY For the Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001

	Common St	ock	Additional Paid-in	Treasur	y Stock	Deferred	Retained Earnings	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Shares	Amount	Compensation	(Deficit)	(Deficit)
Balance, January 1, 2001	3,177,421	\$ 32	\$ 4,256,558	(1,666,667)	\$ (1,000,000)	\$ (79,920)	\$ (6,793,885)	\$ (3,617,215)
Issuance of shares pursuant to IPO, net of issuance costs	1,200,000	12	8,370,152	_	_	_	_	8,370,164
Cancellation of treasury shares Discount on	(1,666,667)	(17)	(999,983) 61,690	1,666,667	1,000,000	_	_	61,690
retirement of related party note	_	_	61,090	_	_	_	_	01,090
Elimination of accumulated deficit upon conversion from S to C corporation	_	_	(6,793,885)	_	_	_	6,793,885	_
Conversion of stock options	23,722	_	27,336	_	_	_	_	27,336
Stock options and warrants granted for payment of consulting fees	_	_	53,844	_	_	_	_	53,844
Stock options and warrants granted for loan guarantees	_	_	10,060	_	_	_	_	10,060
Cancellation of partial shares	(3)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Income tax effect of stock options exercised	_	_	87,845	_	_	_	_	87,845
Amortization of deferred compensation	_	_	_	_	_	19,980	_	19,980
Net income	_	_	_	_	_	_	515,029	515,029
Balance, December 31, 2001	2,734,473	27	5,073,617	_	_	(59,940)	515,029	5,528,733
Conversion of stock options	61,332	1.00	37,198	_	_	_	_	37,199
Conversion of warrants	13,588	_	6,222					6,222
Stock options granted for payment of consulting fees	_	_	6,305	_	_	_	_	6,305
Deferred compensation	_	_	(59,940)	_	_	59,940	_	_
Income tax effect of stock options exercised	_	_	227,239	_	_	_	_	227,239
Net income	_	_	_	_	_	_	208,903	208,903
Balance, December 31, 2002	2,809,393	\$ 28	\$ 5,290,641	_	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 723,932	\$ 6,014,601

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001

		2002		2001
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:				
Net income	\$	208,903	\$	515,029
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used				
in) provided by operating activities —				
Loss on sale of fixed assets		1,029		
Depreciation and amortization		255,502		176,519
Provision for doubtful accounts		32,327		
Amortization of deferred compensation				19,980
Compensatory stock options and warrants		6,305		63,904
Deferred income taxes		(41,329)		(41,530)
Stock option tax benefits		227,239		87,845
Change in assets and liabilities: Accounts receivable		(155 141)		(450 647)
		(155,141) (1,532,883)		(452,647)
Inventory				(580,757)
Prepaids and other Income tax receivable		(9,920) (21,135)		(79,294) (53,817)
Accounts payable and accrued		212,150		564,331
liabilities		212,130		304,331
Customer deposits		(17,395)		(507,206)
Accrued interest		(161)		(267,243)
Net cash used in		(834,509)		(554,886)
operating activities		(00.,00)		(22.,000)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:				
Purchases of property and equipment, net		(377,992)		(368,140)
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets		41,355		_
Purchases of intangible assets		(50,000)		(85,000)
Net cash used in		(386,637)		(453,140)
investing activities				
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:				
Payments under capital leases		(49,909)		(45,228)
Payments on notes payable		(455,691)		(4,485,412)
Borrowings (payments) under line of credit		(375,838)		2,260,838
Payments under product financing payable		_		(189,980)
Proceeds from notes payable				500,000
Proceeds from Initial Public Offering, net of issuance		_		8,370,164
costs		< 222		
Proceeds from warrants exercised		6,222		27.226
Proceeds from options exercised		37,199		27,336
Net cash (used in)		(838,017)		6,437,718
provided by financing activities				
Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(2,059,163)		5,429,692
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of year		5,636,100		206,408
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of year	\$	3,576,937	\$	5,636,100
Supplemental Disclosure:	Ψ	3,370,737	Ψ	3,030,100
Cash paid for interest	\$	37,469	\$	514,020
Income taxes paid	\$	940	\$	112,500
Noncash Investing and Financing Activities:	_		-	,
Acquisition of property and equipment under capital	\$	_	\$	81,945
leases				,
Discount on prepayment of note payable	\$		\$	61,690
Fair value of stock options issued for payment of	\$	6,305	\$	53,844
consulting and legal fees				
Fair value of stock options and warrants issued for loan	\$	_	\$	10,060
guarantees				

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2002 and 2001

1. History and Nature of Organization

TASER International, Inc. (TASER or the Company) was incorporated and began operations in Arizona in 1993 for the purpose of developing and manufacturing less-lethal, self-defense devices. In February 2001, the Company reincorporated in the State of Delaware. On May 11, 2001, the Company completed its initial public offering (IPO) of 800,000 units at a price of \$13 per unit, consisting of one and one-half shares of common stock and one and one-half warrants, each whole warrant to purchase one share of common stock. The net proceeds received, after the underwriting discount and financing costs, totaled approximately \$8.4 million.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principals generally accepted in the United States of America.

a. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include funds on hand and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less. Cash and cash equivalents included \$3.6 million and \$5.6 million deposited in highly liquid certificates of deposit and money market funds at December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 respectively. These accounts earned interest at an approximate rate of 1.5% during 2002 and 1.9% in 2001. Of the \$3.6 million and \$5.6 million on deposit at December 31, 2002 and 2001, \$1.0 million and \$1.5 million were required to be maintained as a compensating balances under the Company's line of credit agreement (Note 7).

b. Inventory

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market; cost is determined using the most recent acquisition cost which approximates the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Inventories consisted of the following at December 31:

	2002	2001
Raw materials and work-in-process	\$ 1,770,672	\$ 678,406
Finished goods	564,137	123,520
	\$ 2.334.809	\$ 801.926

c. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost net of accumulated depreciation. Additions and improvements are capitalized while ordinary maintenance and repair expenditures are charged to expense as incurred. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

d. Long-Lived Assets

The Company continually evaluates whether events and circumstances have occurred that indicate the remaining estimated useful life of long-lived assets and identifiable intangible assets may warrant revision or that the remaining balance of these assets may not be recoverable. In performing the review for recoverability, the Company estimates the future undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the assets and its eventual disposition. The amount of the impairment loss, if an impairment exists, would be calculated based

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

on the excess of the carrying amounts of the assets over its estimated fair value. No impairment losses were recorded in 2002 or 2001.

e. Customer Deposits

The Company requires certain deposits in advance of shipment for foreign customer sales orders.

f. Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consisted of the following as of December 31, 2002 and 2001:

	2002			2001
Accounts Payable	\$	916,500	\$	765,620
Accrued Salaries		71,264		53,786
Accrued Expenses		378,668		334,874
Total	\$	1,366,432	\$	1,154,280

g. Cost of Products Sold

At December 31, 2002 and 2001, costs of products sold included the manufacturing costs, including materials, labor and overhead related to finished goods and components. Shipping costs incurred related to product delivery are also included in cost of products sold.

h. Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenues when pervasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, title has transferred, the price is fixed and collectability is reasonably assured. In addition, all sales are final, with no right of return. Certain of the Company's customers are charged shipping fees, which are recorded as a component of net sales. Training revenue is recorded as the service is provided.

Also included as a component of revenue is development funding provided by the Office of Naval Research, under a cost-plus contract. Monthly, an invoice summarizing the reimbursable expenses is submitted to the ONR for payment. The payment request details the costs expensed in the period and adds a nominal profit. The total amount invoiced for this work in 2002 was \$179,545. The Company did not have grant funding in 2001.

i. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

j. Advertising Costs

In accordance with Statement of Position 93-7 "Reporting on Advertising Costs", the Company expenses the production cost of advertising as incurred. The Company incurred advertising costs of \$292,895 and \$19,872 in 2002 and 2001, respectively. Advertising costs are included

in sales, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying statements of operations.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

k. Warranty Costs

The Company warrants its product from manufacturing defects, and will replace any defective AIR TASER units with a new one for a \$25 fee, and defective ADVANCED TASER units for a \$75 fee. A summary of changes in the warranty accrual for the two-year period ended December 31, 2002 is as follows:

	2002	2001
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 43,250	\$ 105,250
Utilization of Accrual	62,575	105,000
Warranty Expense	79,200	43,000
Balance at end of period	59.875	43,250

l. Research and Development Expenses

The Company expenses research and development costs as incurred. The Company incurred product development expense of \$136,503 and \$43,362 in 2002 and 2001, respectively.

m. Income Taxes

In 2001, the Company reincorporated in the State of Delaware and elected to be taxed as a C corporation. Net operating losses (NOLs) prior to the change to a C corporation accrued to the individual stockholders. Accordingly, such losses were not available to reduce future taxes payable by the Company as a C corporation. The accumulated deficit at the time of the S election termination was reclassified to additional paid-in capital.

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in future years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rate is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

n. Concentration of Credit Risk and Major Customers

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of accounts receivable, accounts payable and notes payable to related parties. Sales are typically made on credit and the Company generally does not require collateral. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and maintains an allowance for estimated potential losses. Accounts receivable are presented net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. The provision for bad debts totaled \$32,327 and \$0 for the year ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 respectively.

The Company sells primarily through a network of unaffiliated distributors. As such, the number of customers for the Company is small, although the number of end-users is much higher. Sales to one U.S. customer represented 13.6% of total product revenue for 2002. In 2001, three different customers accounted for 10% or more of sales in that year. The respective sales for Customers 1, 2, and 3 were 12.8%, 10.9% and 10.8% in 2001.

At December 31, 2002, the Company had receivables from three customers comprising 20.7%, 8.9% and 7.7% respectively of accounts receivable. At December 31, 2001, two customers represented 29.8% and 20.1% of accounts receivable.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

o. Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments include cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable. Due to the short-term nature of these instruments, the fair value of these instruments approximates their recorded value.

The revolving line of credit and capital lease obligations approximate fair value as rates on these instruments approximate market rates currently available for instruments with similar terms and remaining maturities.

p. Segment Information

Management has determined that its operations are comprised of one reportable segment. Therefore, no separate segment disclosures have been included in the accompanying notes to the financial statements.

For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, sales by product were as follows:

	2002		2001
	(In thousands)		
Sales by product line:			
ADVANCED TASER	\$ 8,494	\$	5,460
AIR TASER	966		1,304
Misc. (Training, freight, services & equipment)	203		89
Grant proceeds from the Office of Naval Research	180		
•	\$ 9,843	\$	6,853
Geographic:			
United States	90%		91%
Other countries	10		9
	100%		100%

Sales to customers outside of the United States are denominated in U.S. dollars.

q. Stock-Based Compensation

At December 31, 2002, the Company had two stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 9. The Company accounts for those plans under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related Interpretations. No stock-based employee based compensation cost is reflected in net income, as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to stock-based employee compensation.

		Year Ended			
		December 31,			
		2002 2		2001	
			(In thousands)		
Net Income, as reported		\$	209	\$	515
Deduct: Total stock-based employee	compensation determined under fair		(870)		407
value based method for all awards, no	et of related tax effects				
Pro forma net income		\$	(661)	\$	108
Basic net income (loss) per share:					
	As reported	\$	0.07	\$	0.22
	Pro forma		(0.24)		0.05
Diluted net income (loss) per common share:					
	As reported		0.07	\$	0.17
	Pro forma		(0.23)		0.04

r. Income Per Common Share

The Company accounts for earnings per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share". Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods presented. Diluted net income per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if outstanding stock options were exercised. The calculation of the weighted average number of shares outstanding is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	
Weighted average shares outstanding — basic	2,796,767	2,303,386	
Effect of dilutive outstanding stock options	112,850	725,944	
Weighted average shares outstanding — diluted	2,909,617	3,029,330	

Basic net income per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period.

s. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". Under the provisions of SFAS No. 142, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer amortized but are subject to annual impairment tests. SFAS No. 142 also established a new method of testing goodwill for impairment. The Company adopted SFAS 142 on January 1, 2002. The adoption had no effect on the Company.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". This statement supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed of", and the accounting and reporting provisions of the Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations-Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions", for the disposal of a segment of a business (as previously defined in the Opinion). There was no effect from adopting SFAS No. 144 effective January 1, 2002.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities". SFAS No. 146 supersedes guidance established in the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 94-3 regarding certain exit and disposal costs. The provisions of this Statement are effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure." SFAS No. 148 amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to provide alternative methods of transition to SFAS No. 123's fair value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. SFAS No. 148 also amends the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123 and APB Opinion No. 28, "Interim Financial Reporting," to require disclosure in the summary of significant accounting policies of the effects of an entity's accounting policy with respect to stock-based employee compensation on reported net income and earnings per share in annual and interim financial statements. While SFAS No. 148 does not amend SFAS No. 123 to require companies to account for employee stock options using the fair value method, the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148 are applicable to all companies with stock-based employee compensation, regardless of whether they account for that compensation using the fair value method of SFAS No. 123 or the intrinsic value method of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." SFAS No. 148's amendment of the transition and annual disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. SFAS No. 148's amendment of the disclosure requirements of APB Opinion No. 28 is effective for financial reports containing consolidated financial statements for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. The Company plans to continue to record stock based employee compensation using the intrinsic value method, according to APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees."

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 45 ("FIN 45"), "Guarantor's Accountings and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of the Indebtedness of Others," which clarifies the requirements of SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," relating to a guarantor's accounting for and disclosures of certain guarantees issued. FIN 45 requires enhanced disclosures for certain guarantees. FIN 45 also requires certain guarantees that are issued or modified after December 31, 2002, to be initially recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. For guarantees issued on or before December 31, 2002, liabilities are recorded when and if payments become probable and estimable.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46 ("FIN 46"), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," which clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, "Consolidated Financial Statements," relating to consolidation of certain entities. FIN 46 will require identification of the Company's participation in variable interest entities ("VIEs"), which are defined as entities with a level of invested equity that is not sufficient to fund future activities to permit it to operate on a standalone basis. For entities identified as a VIE, FIN 46 sets forth a model to evaluate potential consolidation based on an assessment of which party to the VIE (if any) bears a majority of the exposure to its expected losses or stands to gain from a majority of its expected returns. The interpretation is effective after January 31, 2003 for any VIE created after that date and is effective July 1, 2003 for VIEs created before February 1, 2003. The Company does not believe the adoption of FIN 46 will have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

3. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consist of the following at December 31, 2002 and 2001:

	Estimated Useful Lives	2002	2001
Leasehold improvements	lesser of life of asset or lease term	\$ 44,163 \$	63,393
Production equipment	5 years	807,506	529,472
Telephone and office equipment	5 years	35,555	32,786
Computer equipment	3-5 years	604,698	467,057
Furniture and fixtures	5-7 years	144,239	148,894
		1,636,161	1,304,602
Less: accumulated depreciation		(974,787)	(744,179)
		\$ 661,374 \$	560,423

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 was \$234,657 and \$163,935 respectively.

4. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of the following at December 31:

	2002	2001
TASER Trademark	\$ 25,000 \$	25,000
TASER.com domain name	60,000	60,000
U.S. Patent Number 5,078,117	50,000	
	135,000	85,000
Less: Accumulated amortization	(33,429)	(12,584)
	\$ 101,571 \$	72,416

These intangible assets are being amortized over a period of 5 to 7 years dependent upon their estimated useful lives. Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 was \$20,845 and \$12,584 respectively.

Estimated amortization for intangible assets for the next five years is as follows:

2003	\$ 24,692
2004	24,692
2005	24,692
2006	12,109
2007	7,692

5. Commitments and Contingencies

a. Operating Leases

The Company has entered into operating leases for office space and equipment. Rent expense under these leases for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, was \$146,152 and \$139,033, respectively.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Future minimum lease payments under operating leases as of December 31, 2002, are as follows for the years ending December 31:

2003	\$	144,126
2004		138,647
2005		142,593
2006		7,127
2007		3,563
Thereafter		0
Tot	al \$	582,208

b. Litigation

From time to time, the Company is involved in certain legal actions and claims arising in the normal course of business. Management is of the opinion that it maintains adequate insurance and that such matters will be resolved without a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In February 2000, the Company was named a defendant in a suit with a former distributor in the state of New York asserting certain rights of exclusive representation with respect to the Company's products. The suit was dismissed in February 2001 for lack of jurisdiction of the New York court. In March 2001, the former distributor appealed the dismissal. The Company filed two motions for partial summary judgment in November 2002 which are currently pending before the court and a status conference is scheduled for late 2003. Management believes this matter will be resolved without a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In early April 2001 a patent licensee sued the Company in the United States District Court, Central District of California. The lawsuit alleges that certain technology used in the firing mechanism for the Company's weapons infringes upon a patent for which the licensee holds a license, and seeks injunctive relief and unspecified monetary damages. In February 2002, the Company won a motion for summary judgment that limits the licensee's right to sue for damages only to dates after February 2001. On July 29, 2002, the Company again won a motion for summary judgment in which the Court ruled that no product manufactured by the Company infringed the claims of the patent licensed by the patent licensee. The Company was notified in August 2002 that the plaintiff filed an appeal of this ruling with the Court. The Company intends to appeal, and based upon the original decision by the court, it believes that the claims are without merit and that the litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

c. Employment Agreements

The Company has employment agreements with its President, Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and Vice President General Counsel. The Company may terminate the agreements with or without cause. Should the Company terminate the agreements without cause, upon a change of control of the Company or death of the employee, the President, CEO, CFO and Vice President are entitled to additional compensation. Under these circumstances, these officers may receive the amounts remaining under their contracts upon termination, which could total \$740,000 in the aggregate.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

6. Income Taxes

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	December 31,		December 31,	
		2002		2001
Current deferred tax assets:				
Net operating loss carryforward	\$	91,610		_
Nondeductible reserves		61,069	\$	60,840
Total deferred tax asset	\$	152,679	\$	60,840
Long-term deferred tax (assets) liabilities:				
Tax over book depreciation of property and equipment	\$	77,315	\$	22,666
Amortization of other assets		(7,494)		(3,355)
Net deferred tax liability	\$	69,821	\$	19,311

At December 31, 2002, the Company had a net operating loss carry forward ("NOL") for federal income tax purposes of approximately \$240,000. The Company's NOL will begin to expire in 2023.

Significant components of the federal and state income tax expense are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		Year Ended December 31,	
		2002		2001
Current:				
Federal provision	\$	110,072	\$	119,968
State provision		20,442		26,558
Total current		130,514		146,526
Deferred:				
Federal benefit		37,460		(35,300)
State benefit		3,869		(6,230)
Total deferred		41,329		(41,530)
Provision for income taxes	\$	171,843	\$	104,996

A reconciliation of the Company's effective income tax rate to the federal statutory rate follows:

Federal statutory rate	34%	34 %
State tax, net of federal benefit	5	6
Nondeductible lobbying expenses	6	
Change in method of accounting for income taxes		(23)
	45%	17 %

7. Line of Credit

On May 31, 2002 the Company obtained a new revolving line of credit with a total commitment of up to \$2,500,000. The line is secured by substantially all of the Company's assets, other than intellectual property, and bears interest at varying rates, ranging from LIBOR plus 1.5% to prime plus 1%. The availability under this loan is computed on a monthly borrowing base. The Company's intellectual property has been excluded

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

from the bank pledges. As part of the agreement for this loan, the Company maintains a compensating balance of \$1,000,000 in a high balance savings account. The line of credit matures on May 31, 2003 and requires monthly payments of interest only. At December 31, 2002, the available borrowing under the line of credit was \$2.1 million, and the amount outstanding under the line of credit was \$385,000. This amount was subsequently paid in full on January 9, 2003.

The Company's agreement with the bank requires the Company to comply with certain financial and other covenants including maintenance of minimum tangible net worth and fixed charge coverage. For the year ended December 31, 2002, the Company was in compliance with all requirements.

8. Related Party Transactions and Notes Payable

At December 31, 2002 and 2001 debt obligations were as follows:

	2002		2001
Notes payable to stockholders, interest at varying rates of 9% to 27%, principal and interest due through July 1, 2002	\$	- \$	455,691
Capital leases, interest at varying rates of 7% to 23%, due in monthly installments	52,904	1	102,813
through December 2005, secured by equipment			
	52,904	1	558,504
Less: current portion	(37,418	3)	(507,525)
Total	\$ 15,486	5 \$	50,979

At December 31, 2002, aggregate annual maturities of long-term debt and capital leases were as follows:

2003	37,418
2004	10,844
2005	4,642
	\$ 52,904

During 1998, 1999 and 2000 the Company had several notes due to stockholders and directors of the Company at rates ranging from 9.0% to 27%. Certain notes were converted to common stock and any remaining amounts were repaid.

In 2001, the Company leased an aircraft from its President and was obligated under an operating lease to pay a negotiated rate of \$1,560 per month for rent. The lease expires August 2013. Rent expense for this aircraft for each of the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 \$4,650 and \$18,673. In October 2001, the Company entered into an agreement with the President to pay approximately \$29,000 for the replacement of the aircraft's engine. The Company capitalized the cost of the engine in leasehold improvements at December 31, 2001. On March 25, 2002 this lease was terminated, as the aircraft was sold by the President. The \$29,000 originally paid by the Company for the replacement of the aircraft's engine was returned to the Company, at which time the asset value and accumulated depreciation was removed from leasehold improvements.

Certain officers and a director of the Company have personally guaranteed the Company's line of credit and certain capital leases.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

9. Stockholders' Equity

a. Common Stock

Concurrent with the re-incorporation in Delaware effective February 2001, the Company adopted a certificate of incorporation and authorized the issuance of two classes of stock to be designated "common stock" and "preferred stock", provided that both common and preferred stock shall have a par value of \$0.00001 per share and authorized the Company to issue 50 million shares of common stock and 25 million shares of preferred stock.

Additionally, effective February 2001, the Company declared a 1-for-6 reverse stock split of common stock. All references to the number of shares, per share amounts, conversion amounts and stock option and warrant data of the Company's common stock have been restated to reflect this reverse stock split for all periods presented.

b. Preferred Stock

The Company is authorized to issue up to 25 million shares of preferred stock, \$0.00001 par value. The Board of Directors may authorize the issuance of shares of preferred stock of any class or any series of any class and establish designations, voting powers, preferences, and relative participating, optional or other rights, if any, or the qualifications, limitations, or restrictions applicable to such shares.

c. Warrants

At December 31, 2002, the Company has warrants outstanding to purchase 1,471,448 shares of common stock at prices ranging from \$0.22 to \$21.00 per share with an average exercise price of \$9.96 per share and a weighted average remaining life of 3.31 years. A summary of warrants outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2002 is presented in the table below:

	Outstanding	
Weighted		
Average		
Exercise		Expiration
Price	Warrants	Date
\$ 0.22	8,333	1/1/03
21.00	3,333	7/31/05
3.30	22,727	7/31/05
10.00	5,000	1/22/06
9.53	1,199,533	5/8/06
12.57(1)	232,522	5/8/06
\$ 9.96	1 471 448	

(1) This price reflects the initial exercise price of \$15.60 to exercise the underwriter's 116,261 warrants and \$9.53 to exercise the 116,261 public warrants underlying the underwriter's warrants.

In January 2001, the Company issued 5,000 warrants to an unrelated private lender as a loan guarantee. These warrants are exercisable at \$10 per share and expire January 22, 2006. The fair value of these warrants of approximately \$10,060 was expensed ratably over the life of the debt. In May 2001, the Company issued 5,769 warrants to its legal counsel for consulting services related to the IPO. These warrants were exercisable at \$7.80 per share. The fair value of these warrants of approximately \$12,627 was recorded in the Company's financial statements in 2001. The warrants were exercised during 2002.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

In May 2001, in connection with the Company's initial public offering, the Company issued 1,199,997 warrants to the public. These warrants are exercisable at \$9.53 per share and expire May 8, 2006. The Company has the right to redeem the public warrants issued in the offering at a redemption price of \$0.25 per public warrant after providing 30 days prior written notice to the public warrant holders, if at the time of notice, the basic net income per share of the Company's common stock for a 12-month period preceding the date of the notice is equal to or greater than \$1.00.

In May 2001, pursuant to the underwriting agreement associated with the Company's initial public offering, the Company issued 80,000 units consisting of 120,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the underwriter's warrants and 120,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the public warrants underlying the underwriter's warrants. The underwriter warrants may be exercised at any time during the four-year period commencing May 8, 2002. The exercise price for each initial unit is \$15.60. The exercise price for the underlying warrant of each unit is \$9.53. As of December 31, 2002, 7,478 of the 240,000 underlying warrants were exercised.

d. Stock Option Plan

The Company has historically issued stock options to various equity owners and key employees as a means of attracting and retaining quality personnel. The option holders have the right to purchase a stated amount of shares at the estimated market value on the grant date. The options issued under the Company's 1999 Stock Option Plan (the "1999 Plan") generally vest over a three-year period. The options issued under the Company's 2001 Stock Option Plan (the "2001 Plan") generally vest over a four-year period.

A summary of the Company's stock options at December 31, 2002 and 2001 and for the years then ended is presented in the table below:

		2002					
			Weighte				
			A	verage			
			F	Exercise			
		Options	Options Price Options				
Options outstanding, beginning of year		521,324	\$	5.88	143,322	\$	1.14
G	ranted	408,600		13.86	401,724		7.29
Ex	kercised	(61,332)		.61	(23,722)		1.15
Ex	xpired/terminated	(79,667)			_		
Options outstanding, end of year		788,925	\$	9.27	521,324	\$	5.88
Exercisable at end of year		301,577	\$	8.38	223,670	\$	4.81
Options available for grant at end of year		509,354	\$	_	148,276	\$	
Weighted average fair value of opti			\$	8.29		\$	4.08

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Stock options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2002 are as follows:

	Options				
	Outstand	Outstanding			
Range of		Average	Number		
Exercise Price	Options	Life(a)	Exercisable		
\$ 0.60	38,834	6.00	38,834		
0.66	1,642	1.00	1,642		
3.30	500	8.33	431		
3.30	6,667	8.83	3,333		
3.40	20,000	8.83	556		
3.47	5,000	9.83	_		
3.74	10,000	9.75	278		
3.75	40,000	9.75	_		
4.86	1,000	9.42	_		
6.09	3,000	9.42	83		
6.55	14,224	3.33	14,224		
6.55	171,500	9.00	81,925		
7.20	3,958	7.92	3,958		
7.21	120,000	4.00	57,500		
8.25	1,500	7.83	438		
8.82	500	7.67	167		
10.80	17,500	7.92	17,500		
11.88	20,000	3.92	20,000		
14.21	25,000	9.42	4,861		
16.00	198,700	9.42	38,636		
16.16	25,000	9.25	4,688		
17.60	64,400	4.42	12,523		
\$ 9.27	788,925	7.56	301,577		

(a) Weighted average remaining contractual life in years.

The Company measures the compensation cost of its stock option plan, using the intrinsic value based method of accounting prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for its stock option plan. For SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS 123), we estimated the fair value of each option grant as of the date of grant using the Black Scholes option pricing model.

	2002	2001
Volatility	98.5%	42.0 %
Risk-free interest rate	3.0%	5.0 %
Dividend rate	0.0%	0.0 %
Expected life of options	3 years	10 years

The Company has adopted two employee stock options plans, the 1999 Plan and the 2001 Plan. Both plans were registered on Form S-8 with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. The total number of shares reserved under these plans were 833,333 under the Company's 1999 Plan, and 550,000 under

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

the 2001 Plan. These plans provide for officers, key employees and consultants to receive nontransferable stock options to purchase an aggregate of 1,383,333 shares of the Company's common stock. As of December 31, 2002, 873,979 options were granted at prices equal or greater than the fair market value of the stock, 85,054 of the options granted had been exercised, and, 509,354 are remaining for future grants.

In February 2001, the Company granted options to a consultant at an exercise price equal or greater than the value of the common stock portion of the initial per unit public offering price in the Company's contemplated IPO. Total compensation costs associated with the option granted to the consultant is approximately \$2,898. In May 2001, the Company granted 11,449 five-year options to its outside legal counsel in return for legal services. The options are exercisable at \$6.55 per share and expire May 2006. The options have been recorded at their estimated fair value of \$33,177 and expensed in the accompanying financial statements. Also in May 2001, the Company granted 1,775 five-year options to consultants for services rendered. The options are exercisable at \$6.55 per share and expire May 2006. These options have been recorded at their estimated fair value of \$5,144 and expensed in the accompanying financial statements.

During 2002, the Company granted options to consultants at an exercise price equal or greater than the value of the common stock on the date of grant. The options vest over a three-year period. The total compensation cost associated with the options granted to consultants is approximately \$32,424.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Number

Solution

3.1 Company's Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed February 14, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)

3.2 Company's Bylaws, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)

- 4.1 Reference is made to pages 1 4 of Exhibit 3.1 and pages 1 5 and 12 14 of Exhibit 3.2
- 4.2 Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 4.3 Form of Public Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 4.4 Form of Unit Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 4.5 Form of Warrant and Unit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 4.6 Form of Underwriters' Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- Employment Agreement with Patrick W. Smith, dated July 1, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)(1)
- Employment Agreement with Thomas P. Smith, dated November 15, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)(1)
- 10.3 Employment Agreement with Kathleen C. Hanrahan, dated November 15, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)(1)
- 10.4 Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and its directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 10.5 Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and its officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 10.6 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)(1)
- 10.7 2001 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)(1)
- 10.8 Form of Warrant issued to Bruce Culver and Phil Smith (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 10.9 Promissory Note, dated October 24, 2000, payable to Bank of America in the amount of \$60,000 and related guarantee and security documents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 10.10 Lease between the Company and Norton P. Remes and Joan A. Remes Revocable Trust, dated November 17, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 effective May 11, 2001 (Registration No. 333-55658), as amended)
- 10.11 Credit Agreement, dated May 31, 2002, between the Company and Bank One, NA (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB, filed August 12, 2002)

Exhibit	
Number	Description
10.12	Form of Sales Representative Agreement with respect to services by and between the Company and Sales Representatives (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed March 15, 2002), as amended
10.13	Lease Agreement, dated April 17, 2001, payable to GE Capital Corporation in the amount of \$37,945
10.14	Employment Agreement with Douglas E. Klint, dated December 15, 2002
23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche, LLP, independent auditors
99.1	Certain Factors to Consider in Connection with Forward-Looking Statements
99.2	Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.3	Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(1) Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

[GE CAPITAL CORPORATION LOGO]

Master Lease Agreement Account # 4070297

Dated and effective as of April 17, 2001 ("Effective Date"), this MASTER LEASE AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is entered into by and between GENERAL ELECTRIC CAPITAL CORPORATION, a New York corporation with offices at 10 Riverview Drive, Danbury, Connecticut 06810, (together with any successor or assignee, "Lessor") and the Lessee indicated below (together with any successor or permitted assignee, "Lessee").

LESSEE: LEGAL NAME: Taser International, Inc.

TRADE NAME (if any):

ADDRESS: 7860 E McClain Dr Suite 2 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

CONTACT and TELEPHONE: Tom Smith 480-905-2000

LEGAL ENTITY Type: -----

State of Organization:

Date of Establishment:

LEASE TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

- 1. LEASING. Subject to the terms of this Agreement, Lessor agrees to lease to Lessee and Lessee agrees to lease from Lessor the equipment (collectively, the "Equipment" and individually a "unit of Equipment") described in any equipment schedule (a "Schedule") signed by Lessee and approved by Lessor. Each Schedule will incorporate all the terms of this Agreement and will constitute a separate agreement for lease of the Equipment (each, a "Lease"). With respect to each Lease, capitalized terms not defined in this Agreement will have the meanings stated in the applicable Schedule. Unless it purchases the Equipment under Section 14 ("Options"), Lessee does not have any right or interest in the Equipment except as a lessee. This Agreement is effective from the Effective Date, and will continue until all Leases have terminated or expired.
- 2. NET LEASE. EACH LEASE IS A NET LEASE. LESSEE IS UNCONDITIONALLY OBLIGATED TO PAY MONTHLY RENT AND OTHER AMOUNTS DUE UNDER SUCH LEASE REGARDLESS OF ANY DEFECT OR DAMAGE TO EQUIPMENT, OR LOSS OF POSSESSION, USE OR DESTRUCTION FROM ANY CAUSE WHATSOEVER, LESSEE'S OBLIGATIONS CONTINUE UNTIL SPECIFICALLY TERMINATED AS PROVIDED IN SUCH LEASE. LESSEE IS NOT ENTITLED TO ANY ABATEMENT, REDUCTION, RECOUPMENT, DEFENSE, OR SET-OFF AGAINST MONTHLY RENT OR OTHER AMOUNTS DUE TO LESSOR OR ITS ASSIGNEE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF SUCH LEASE OR OUT OF LESSOR'S STRICT LIABILITY OR NEGLIGENCE, FROM ANY THIRD PARTY, OR OTHERWISE.
- 3. PURCHASE OF EQUIPMENT. Lessor is not obligated to purchase or lease a unit of Equipment unless before the Last Funding Date; (i) Lessor receives from Lessee a fully signed and completed Agreement, Schedule, Purchase Order Assignment in the form of Annex A attached to the applicable Schedule and such other documents as Lessor may require; (ii) Lessee has irrevocably accepted the unit of Equipment for lease from Lessor by properly signing and delivering to Lessor a Certificate of Acceptance in the form of Annex B attached to the applicable Schedule; (iii) Lessor has received from Supplier clear and unencumbered title to the Equipment and (iv) there is no Default (Section 13). If Lessor has accepted a Purchase Order Assignment but the Lease does not commence, Lessor may reassign the Purchase Order and the Equipment to Lessee without recourse or warranty and Lessee will reimburse Lessor for all expenses incurred, plus interest at the Overdue Rate (Section 15). So long as no Default has occurred, Lessor appoints Lessee its agent to inspect and accept the Equipment from Supplier simultaneously with acceptance of the Equipment for lease. For each Schedule, Lessee irrevocably authorizes Lessor to adjust the Equipment Price and Total Price to account for equipment change orders or returns, invoicing errors and similar matters, and agrees to any resulting adjustments in the TRANSACTION TERMS stated in the applicable Schedule. Lessor will send Lessee a written notice stating the final Equipment Price, Total Price and TRANSACTION TERMS, if different from those stated in the applicable Schedule.
- 4. TERM AND RENT. (a) The Initial Term begins on the acceptance by the Lessee of the Equipment (a "Lease Commencement Date"), and continues for the Initial Term stated in the applicable Schedule. The Monthly Rent accrues from the Lease Commencement Date. If Monthly Rent is not paid within ten (10) days of its due date, Lessee agrees to pay a late charge of ten cents (\$0.10) per dollar on, and in addition to, such Monthly Rent, but not exceeding the lawful maximum, if any. Advance Rent, if any, is applied to the first Monthly Rent due and then to the final Monthly Rents or, at Lessor's option, to the payment of any overdue obligation of Lessee. Lessor is not required to: (i) refund any Advance Rent or Monthly Rent; (ii) pay any interest on Advance Rent; or (iii) keep Advance Rent in a separate account.

 (b) Lessee agrees that the Monthly Rent and Advance Rent have been calculated on the assumption that the effective corporate income tax rate (exclusive of any minimum tax rate) for Lessor will be 35%. If Lessor is not taxed at such tax rate during the Initial Term because of Congressional enactment of any law, Lessor has the right to increase the Monthly Rent and Advance Rent and adjust the Casualty Value

(Section 8) in such a manner as will both (i) take into account that such assumption is no longer correct and (ii) preserve Lessor's after tax

economic yields and cash flows. A change in the Monthly Rent, Advance Rent, or Casualty Value is effective on the effective date of such law. (c) At the end of the term of a Lease, or in the event of a Default, until Lessee has complied with Section 6(d) ("Use, Operation, Return of Equipment") or has purchased the Equipment pursuant to Section 14 ("Option"), Lessee shall pay Lessor Monthly Rent, as liquidated damages for lost rentals and not as a penalty, such payment to be computed on a daily basis (with one day's rent being 1/30th of the Monthly Rent) until the Equipment is returned or purchased. Lessee's obligations and all other provisions of this Lease continue until such time.

5. TAXES. Lessee agrees to pay promptly as additional rent all license and registration fees and all taxes (excluding taxes on Lessor's net income) together with penalties and interest (collectively, "Taxes") assessed against Lessor, Lessee, the applicable Lease, the Equipment, the purchase (including purchase by Lessee), sale, ownership, delivery, leasing, possession, use, operation or return of the Equipment or its proceeds (such additional rent, together with Monthly Rent and Advance Rent is hereinafter collectively referred to as "Rent"). Where permitted by applicable law, except for Type A Leases, Lessee will report all Taxes. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Agreement, if and to the extent that any Taxes are reported or paid by Lessor, Lessee will reimburse Lessor on demand for any such Taxes, or at Lessor's option, Lessee shall pay a portion of estimated Taxes along with each payment of Monthly Rent.

Lessee's Initials: x TA

- 6. USE, OPERATION, RETURN OF EQUIPMENT. (a) Lessee agrees at its own expense to: (i) maintain the Equipment under a manufacturer's service and maintenance contract for the term of the applicable Lease and in any event in good operating condition; (ii) use the Equipment solely for business purposes, in the manner for which it was intended and in compliance with all applicable laws and manufacturer requirements or recommendations; (iii) pay all expenses, fines, and penalties related to the use, operation, condition or maintenance of the Equipment; and (iv) comply with all license and copyright requirements of any software ("Software") used in connection with the Equipment. (b) Lessee agrees not to attach to the Equipment any accessory, equipment or device not leased from Lessor unless it is easily removable without damaging the Equipment. Lessee agrees to pay all costs for parts, alterations, and additions to the Equipment (including those required by law), all of which will become the property of Lessor. Lessee agrees not to install any Equipment or Software, if any. Inside any other personal property, Lessor and Lessee intend that the Equipment is to remain personal property of Lessor.
- (c) Provided that there is no Default (Section 13), Lessee is authorized on behalf of Lessor to enforce in its own name (and at its own expense) any warranty, indemnity or right to damages related to the Equipment which Lessor has against the Supplier.
- (d) At the end of the term of a Lessee, or in the event of a Default, Lessee agrees, at its own expense and risk, (i) to pay for any repairs required to place the Equipment in the same condition as when received by Lessee, reasonable wear and tear excepted; (ii) without unreasonable delay, to cause the Equipment to be disassembled, deinstalled, inspected, tested and crated in accordance with manufacturer recommendations, and any and all local, state and federal regulatory requirements then in effect and (iii) to deliver on Air Ride suspended Transport the Equipment, freight prepaid, to a carrier selected by Lessor for shipment to a location selected by Lessor. Any such Equipment shall be accompanied by all accessories originally included with the Equipment, including but not limited to, users manuals, service records and certification from the manufacturer that the Equipment performs in accordance with original specifications and qualifies for continued maintenance under a manufacturer's service and maintenance contract. Equipment that is returned will include the latest software release provided by the manufacturer to the Lessee for the Equipment.
- (e) At Lessor's request, Lessee, at its expense, shall store the Equipment for a period of up to ninety (90) days after the end of the term of the applicable Lease. During such period, Lessee shall comply with all of the terms of the Lease, except the obligation to pay Rent, and Lessor shall have access to the Equipment upon reasonable notice for the purpose of showing the Equipment to potential purchasers.
- 7. DISCLAIMER. LESSEE AGREES THAT: (1) LESSOR IS NOT THE MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER OF THE EQUIPMENT OR SOFTWARE (IF ANY) OR THE REPRESENTATIVE OF EITHER;
- (2) LESSOR IS NOT REQUIRED TO ENFORCE ANY MANUFACTURER'S WARRANTIES ON BEHALF OF ITSELF OR OF LESSEE; (3) LESSOR IS NOT OBLIGATED TO INSPECT THE EQUIPMENT OR SOFTWARE; (4) LESSOR DOES NOT MAKE, AND HAS NOT MADE, ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE DESIGN, COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFICATIONS, OPERATION OR CONDITION OF, OR AS TO THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL, EQUIPMENT OR WORKMANSHIP OR SOFTWARE; (5) LESSOR DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF, OR AS TO TITLE TO, OR ANY OTHER REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED. WITH RESPECT TO THE EOUIPMENT OR SOFTWARE, LESSEE FURTHER AGREES THAT LESSOR SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR ANY LIABILITY, LOSS OR DAMAGE CAUSED DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY BY THE EQUIPMENT OR SOFTWARE OR BY ITS INADEQUACY OR BY ANY EQUIPMENT OR SOFTWARE DEFECT, WHETHER OR NOT LESSOR HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH LIABILITY, LOSS OR DAMAGE LESSOR SHALL NOT HAVE ANY LIABILITY TO LESSEE OR ANY OTHER PERSON WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING, REGARDLESS OF ANY NEGLIGENCE OF LESSOR: (1) THE USE, OPERATION OR PERFORMANCE OF THE EQUIPMENT OR SOFTWARE; (2) ANY INTERRUPTION OF SERVICE, LOSS OF BUSINESS OR ANTICIPATED PROFITS OR LOSS OF GOODWILL OR ANY INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OR ANY OTHER COMMERCIAL OR ECONOMIC LOSSES OF ANY KIND WHICH ARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE EQUIPMENT OR SOFTWARE; OR (3) THE DELIVERY, SERVICING, MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, IMPROVEMENT OR REPLACEMENT OF THE EQUIPMENT OR SOFTWARE.
- 8. LOSS OR DAMAGE: CASUALTY VALUE. Lessee assumes the risk of any disappearance or damage to any part of the Equipment from any cause whatsoever. Within ten (10) days of learning of any condemn ation or other circumstance where the Equipment is, in Lessee's reasonable opinion, irreparably damaged or permanently unfit for use ("Casualty") Lessee will provide Lessor full details of the Casualty and will pay to Lessor an amount equal to (i) the sum of all future Monthly Rents payable for the Equipment under the applicable Lease, with each such payment discounted to its net present value at a simple interest rate equal to six percent (6%) per annum (or if not permitted by applicable law, the lowest permitted rate) from the due date of each such payment to the Monthly Rent payment date immediately preceding the date of the Casualty; plus an amount equal to the Casualty Value Percentage of the Total Price of the Equipment ("Casualty Value"); plus (ii) any other amounts due under the applicable Lease. Monthly Rent will continue to accrue without abatement until Lessor receives the Casualty Value and all other amounts (including Monthly Rent payments) then due under the applicable Lease, at which time the Lease will terminate. At Lessor's request: Lessee agrees to sell the Equipment on an "AS IS, WHERE IS" basis without representation or warranty, and to remit to Lessor any sales or insurance proceeds received (less any sums paid by Lessee as Casualty Value).
- 9. INSURANCE. Lessee agrees, at its own expense, to keep the Equipment insured with companies acceptable to Lessor and to maintain primary coverage consisting of (i) actual cash value all risk insurance on the Equipment, naming Lessor as loss payee and (ii) single limit public liability and property damage insurance of not less than \$300,000 per occurrence (or such other amounts as Lessor may require by notice to Lessee) naming Lessee as insured and Lessor as additional insured. The insurance will provide for not less than thirty (30) days notice to Lessor of material changes in or cancellation of the policy. Premiums for all such insurance will be prepaid. Lessee will deliver evidence of such insurance to Lessor upon request, and will promptly provide to Lessor all information pertinent to any occurrence which may become the basis of a claim. Lessee will not make claim adjustments with insurers except with Lessor's prior written consent. If Lessee fails to provide any insurance required by the Agreement, Lessor may but is not obligated to insure its own interest in the Equipment and Lessee agrees to pay the direct or financed cost thereof (at the highest annual rate permitted by applicable law) and charge for costs in connection therewith promptly

upon receipt of invoices.

- 10. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF LESSEE. Lessee represents and warrants to Lessor that as of the date of each Lease and of each Certificate of Acceptance:
- (a) Lessee has adequate power and capacity to enter into the Lease, any documents relative to the purchase of the Equipment leased under such Lease and any other documents required to be delivered in connection with this Lease (collectively, the "Documents"); the Documents have been duly authorized, executed and delivered by Lessee and constitute valid, legal and binding agreements, enforceable in accordance with their terms; there are no proceedings presently pending or threatened against Lessee which will impair its ability to perform under the Lease; and all information supplied to Lessor is accurate and complete.
- (b) Lessee's entering into the Lease and leasing the Equipment does not and will not (i) violate any judgment, order, or law applicable to the Lease, Lessee or Lessee's certificate of incorporation or by-laws (if Lessee is a corporation) or Lessee's partnership agreement (if Lessee is a partnership); or (ii) result in the creation of any lien, security interest other encumbrance upon the Equipment.
- (c) All financial data of Lessee or of any consolidated group of companies of which Lessee is a member ("Lessee Group"), delivered to Lessor have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a consistent basis with prior periods and fairly present the financial position and results from operations of Lessee, or of the Lessee Group, as of the stated date and period(s). Since the date of the most recently delivered financial data, there has been no material adverse change in the financial or operating condition of Lessee or of the Lessee Group.
- (d) If Lessee is a corporation or partnership, it is and will be validly existing and in good standing under laws of the state of its incorporation or organization; the persons signing the Lease are acting with the full authority of its board of directors or partners (if Lessee is a partnership) and hold the offices indicated below their signatures, which are genuine.
- 11. LESSEE'S AGREEMENTS. (a) Lessee agrees that it will keep the Equipment free and clear from all claims, liens and encumbrances and will not assign, sublet, or grant a security interest in the Equipment or in the Lease without Lessor's prior written consent. If and to the extent that the Lease is deemed a security agreement under the Uniform Commercial Code, and otherwise for precautionary purposes only, Lessee grants Lessor a first priority security interest in its interest in the Equipment and in all Equipment leased pursuant to any Schedule. Such security interest shall secure Lessee's

obligations with respect to all Schedules, Leases and agreements between Lessee and Lessor. Lessee will notify Lessor in writing, with full particulars, within ten (10) days after it learns of the attachment of any lien to any Equipment and of the Equipment's location.

- (b) Lessee will not relocate any unit of Equipment from the Equipment Location stated on a Schedule without the prior written approval of Lessor (which shall not be unreasonably withheld). Lessee agrees to notify Lessor immediately in writing of any change in Lessee's corporate or business name or in the location of its chief executive office.
- (c) If this is a Type A Lease, Lessee will not take or fail to take any action which Lessor determines will result in the disqualification of any Equipment for, or the recapture of, all or any portion of the accelerated cost recovery deductions permitted by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Lessee will indemnify Lessor for any loss in Lessor's after tax economic yields and cash flows caused by Lessee's acts or failures to act.
- (d) Lessor may inspect the Equipment during normal business hours. At Lessor's request, Lessee will attach identifying labels supplied by Lessor showing Lessor's ownership in a prominent position on each unit of Equipment.
- (e) LESSOR MAY ASSIGN EACH LEASE. LESSEE WAIVES AND AGREES NOT TO ASSERT AGAINST ANY ASSIGNEE ANY DEFENSE, SET OFF, RECOUPMENT, CLAIM OR COUNTERCLAIM WHICH LESSEE HAS OR MAY AT ANY TIME HAVE AGAINST LESSOR FOR ANY REASON WHATSOEVER.
- (f) Within one hundred twenty (120) days of the close of each fiscal year of Lessee, Lessee will deliver to Lessor Lessee's balance sheet and profit and loss statement, certified by a recognized firm of certified public accountants. Upon request, Lessee will deliver to Lessor duplicate copies of Lessee's most recent quarterly financial report.
- 12. INDEMNIFICATION. Lessee agrees to indemnify, defend and keep harmless Lessor, its agents, successors and assigns, from and against any all losses, damages, penalties, claims and actions, including legal expenses, arising out of or in connection with (i) the selection, manufacture, purchase, acceptance or rejection of Equipment, the ownership of Equipment during the term of a Lease, and the delivery, lease, possession, maintenance, use, condition, return or operation of Equipment or (ii) the condition of Equipment sold or disposed of after or as a result of use by Lessee or any permitted sublessee of Lessee.
- 13. DEFAULT. (a) Lessor may declare a Lease in default (a "Default") if, with respect to such Lease: (i) Lessor has not received Monthly Rent or any other Rent (Sections 5 and 15) within ten (10) days after its due date; or (ii) Lessee or any guarantor violates any other term of a Lease or any term of a guaranty and fails to correct such violation within ten (10) days after written notice from Lessor; or (iii) Lessee violates the terms of any license or agreement for Software; or (iv) Lessee or any guarantor becomes insolvent, is liquidated or dissolved, stops doing business or assigns its rights or property for the benefit of creditors; or (v) a petition is filed by or against Lessee or any guarantor under Title 11 of the United States Code or any successor or similar law; or (vi) (for individuals) Lessee or any guarantor dies or a guardian is appointed for Lessee's or guarantor's person; or (vii) Lessee (or any affiliate) is in default of or fails to fulfill the terms of any other agreement between Lessee and Lessor or any affiliate of either.
- (b) At any time after a Default, Lessor may declare a default under any other Lease or agreement between Lessee (and any affiliate) and Lessor or its affiliate. Lessor may also enter, with or without legal process, any premises and take possession of the Equipment. Immediately after a Default, Lessee will pay to Lessor, as liquidated damages for loss of a bargain and not as a penalty, an amount equal to the sum of (i) all Rents, including Monthly Rent, and other sums (e.g. late charges, indemnification, liens,) then due under each Lease; plus (ii) the Casualty Value of the Equipment, calculated as of the Monthly Rent payment date immediately preceding the Default; together with interest on such sum accruing to the date of payment at the Overdue Rate (Section 15). Lessee waives notice of intention to accelerate and notice of acceleration. After a Default, at the request of Lessor, Lessee will return the Equipment as required by Section 6. Lessor may, but is not required to, sell or lease the Equipment in bulk or in individual pieces. If the Lessor intends to sell the Equipment, it may do so in a public or private sale and is not required to give notice of such sale. The Equipment need not be displayed at the sale. Lessor may, without paying rent or providing insurance, use the Equipment Location to store the Equipment or conduct any sale. The proceeds of any sale or lease will be applied in the following order of priorities: (1) to pay all of Lessor's expenses in taking, removing, holding, repairing and disposing of Equipment, then (2) to pay any late charges and interest accrued at the Overdue Rate; then (3) to pay accrued but unpaid Monthly Rent together with any unpaid Casualty Value, Rent, interest and all other due but unpaid sums (including any indemnification and sums due under other Leases or agreements in default). Any remaining proceeds will reimburse Lessee for payments which it made to reduce the amounts owed to Lessor in the preceding sentence. Lessor will keep any excess. If the proceeds of any sale or lease are not enough to pay the amounts owed to Lessor under this Section, Lessee will pay the deficiency.
- (c) Lessor's remedies for Default may be exercised instead of or in addition to each other or any other legal or equitable remedies. Lessor has the right to set-off any sums received from any source (including insurance proceeds) against Lessee's obligations under each Lease. Lessee waives its right to object to the notice of the time or place of sale or lease and to the manner and place of any advertising. Lessee waives any defense based on statutes of limitations or laches in actions for damages. Lessor's waiver of any Default is not a waiver of its rights with respect to a different or later Default.
- 14. OPTION. (a) LEASE TYPE A ONLY: So long as no Default has occurred, Lessee has the option (i) to purchase all but not less than all of the Equipment under a Lease at the end of the Initial Term on an AS-IS WHERE-IS basis without representation or warranty, for a cash purchase price equal to the Equipment's Fair Market Value (plus any applicable sales taxes) determined as of the end of the Initial Term; or (ii)

to extend the Initial Term of a Lease at the then Fair Market Rental of the Equipment. Lessee must give irrevocable written notice at least sixty (60) days before the end of the Initial Term to Lessor that it will purchase the Equipment or extend the Initial Term. If the Lease is renewed, the Lessee's obligations (other than the amount of Monthly Rent to be paid) will remain unchanged. If Lessee fails to timely exercise one of such options, this Lease shall automatically continue on the same periodic basis in effect at such time with Rent payable in the same amount and frequency in effect at such time until the Equipment is returned or purchased in accordance with the terms hereof. Lessee's obligations and all other provisions of this Lease shall continue until such time. "Fair Market Value" or "Fair Market Rental" means the price or rental which a willing buyer or lessee (who is neither a lessee in possession nor a used equipment dealer) would pay for the Equipment in an arm's length transaction to a willing seller or lessor who is under no compulsion to sell or lease the Equipment. In determining "Fair Market Value" or "Fair Market Rental": (i) the Equipment is assumed to have been maintained and returned as required by the Lease; (ii) in the case of any installed Equipment, the Equipment will be valued on an installed basis; and (iii) cost of removal from the Equipment's current location will not be included.

- (b) LEASE TYPE B ONLY: So long as no Default has occurred, Lessee may purchase all but not less than all the Equipment under a Lease on an "AS IS, WHERE IS" basis, without representation or warranty, at the end of the Initial Term for a price equal to the Option Price (plus applicable sales tax) stated on a Schedule. Unless the Option Price is \$1.00, Lessee must give Lessor irrevocable written notice at least thirty (30) days before the end of the Initial Term that it will purchase the Equipment.
- 15. MISCELLANEOUS. (a) LEASE TYPE B ONLY: Lessee agrees that for income tax purposes only, Lessor is treating Lessee as owner of the Equipment and that Lessee has not received tax advice from Lessor or the Supplier. Lessee understands that the Equipment may be purchased for cash and that by signing this Agreement and entering into the Applicable Lease, Lessee has chosen to lease the Equipment. By signing this Agreement, Lessee agrees to pay a lease charge and lease charge rate. The total lease charge is equal to (i) the Monthly Rent multiplied by the number of months in the Initial Term, plus (ii) the Option Price, minus (iii) the Total Price set forth in the applicable Schedule. The lease charge portion of the Monthly Rent payments may be determined by applying to the Total Price the rate which will amortize such Total Price (adjusting for any Advance Rent) down to the Option Price at a constant rate over the Initial Term by payment of the Monthly Rent. The lease charge rate is the constant rate referred to in the preceding sentence. The lease charge rate can also be calculated using the Total Price as the present value, the Option Price as the future value, the Monthly Rent as the payment and the term as stated herein. The lease charge rate may be higher or lower than the actual interest rate because of the amortization of certain payments made to or by the vendor, if this transaction were re-characterized as a financing, no lease charge, late charge, or post maturity interest charge is intended to exceed the maximum amount of time price differential or interest, as applicable, permitted to be charged or collected by applicable law. If this transaction were re-characterized as a financing and one or more of such charges exceed such maximum, then such charges will be reduced to the legally permitted maximum charge and any excess charge will be used to reduce the initial value of the Total Price or refunded.
- (b) Time is of the essence of each Lease. Lessor's failure at any time to require that Lessee strictly perform its obligations under any Lease will not prevent Lessor from later requiring such performance. Lessee agrees, upon Lessor's request, to sign any document presented by Lessor from time to time to protect Lessor's rights in the Equipment. LESSEE AND LESSOR EACH WAIVE ALL RIGHTS TO TRIAL BY JURY IN ANY LITIGATION ARISING FROM OR RELATED TO A LEASE. Lessee also agrees to pay Lessor's attorneys' fees and out-of-pocket expenses in protecting or enforcing its rights under a Lease. Lessee will pay attorney's fees and costs of collection, up to the amount permitted by law. Lessor and Lessee agree that legal fees and costs up to twenty percent (20%) of the amount then due under this Lease are reasonable.
- (c) All required notices will be considered to have been given if sent by registered or certified mail or overnight courier service to the Lessor at the address stated above and to the Lessee at its address stated in the Lease, or at such other place as such addressee may have designated in writing.

- (d) Each Lease constitutes the entire agreement of the parties with respect to the lease of the Equipment and supersedes and incorporates all prior oral or written agreements or statements. So long as there is no Default, Lessor shall not interfere with Lessee's quiet enjoyment of Equipment. If a provision of a Lease is declared invalid under law, the affected provision will be considered omitted or modified to conform to applicable law. All other provisions will remain in full force and effect.
- (e) If Lessee fails to comply with any provision of a Lease, Lessor has the right, but is not obligated, to have such provision brought into compliance. This right is in addition to the Lessor's right to declare a Default. All expenses incurred by Lessor in bringing about such compliance will be considered Rent which is due to Lessor within five (5) days after the date Lessor sends to Lessee a written request for payment.
- (f) All overdue payments will bear interest at the Overdue Rate, which is the lower of twenty percent (20%) per annum or the maximum rate allowed by law. Interest will accrue daily until payment in full is received.
- (g) All of Lessor's rights (including indemnity rights) under a Lease survive the Lease's expiration or termination, and are enforceable by Lessor, its successors and assigns.
- (h) If at Lessee's request, Lessor agrees in its sole discretion to permit the early termination of any Lease, Lessee agrees to pay Lessor a fee to compensate Lessor for the privilege of doing so in an amount not greater than permitted by applicable law.
- (i) ARTICLE 2A: THIS LEASE IS A "FINANCE" LEASE AS DEFINED IN ARTICLE 2A OF THE UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE. LESSEE AGREES THAT IT WILL KEEP THE EQUIPMENT FREE AND CLEAR FROM ALL CLAIMS, LIENS AND ENCUMBRANCES AND WILL NOT ASSIGN, SUBLET OR GRANT A SECURITY INTEREST IN THE EQUIPMENT OR IN ANY LEASE WITHOUT LESSOR'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. To the extent permitted by applicable law, Lessee hereby waives all rights and remedies conferred upon a Lessee by Article 2A (sections 506-522) of the Uniform Commercial Code, including but not limited to Lessee's rights to: (i) cancel or repudiate the Lease; (ii) reject, revoke acceptance or accept partial delivery of the Equipment or "cover"; (iii) recover damages from Lessor for any breach of warranty or for any other reason; and (iv) grant a security interest in any Equipment in Lessee's possession. To the extent permitted by applicable law, Lessee also hereby waives any rights now or hereafter conferred by statute or otherwise that may limit or modify any of Lessor's rights or remedies hereunder. Any action by Lessee against Lessor for any default under any Lease, including breach of warranty or indemnity, shall be commenced within one (1) year after any such cause of action accrues.
- (j) THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE BINDING AND EFFECTIVE WHEN ACCEPTED BY LESSOR AT ITS OFFICES IN CONNECTICUT. THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE MADE IN CONNECTICUT AND SHALL BE GOVERNED BY AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CONNECTICUT STATE LAW. LESSEE AGREES THAT ALL LEGAL ACTIONS IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT, AT LESSOR'S OPTION, TAKE PLACE IN CONNECTICUT.

THIS AGREEMENT AND ANY SCHEDULE AND ANNEXES THERETO CONSTITUTE THE ENTIRE AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES WITH RESPECT TO THE SUBJECT MATTER HEREOF. THIS AGREEMENT IS EFFECTIVE AS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE UPON SIGNING BY BOTH LESSOR AND LESSEE. A LEASE MAY NOT BE CHANGED EXCEPT BY WRITTEN AGREEMENT SIGNED BY AN AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PARTY AGAINST WHOM IT IS TO BE ENFORCED. LESSEE IRREVOCABLY AUTHORIZES LESSOR TO PREPARE AND SIGN ON BEHALF OF LESSEE ANY INSTRUMENT NECESSARY OR EXPEDIENT FOR FILING, RECORDING OR PERFECTING THE INTEREST OF LESSOR IN EACH LEASE, THE RELATED EQUIPMENT AND THE PROCEEDS OF BOTH.

N LESSEE: Taser International, Inc.
By: /s/ Thomas P. Smith
X Thomas P. Smith
(Print or Type Name)
X President
(Print or Type Name)
Date of Execution: X 4/17/01
Taser ID Social Security #/Taxpayer ID: X 860741227

[GE CAPITAL LETTERHEAD]

Schedule No. 4070297 001

MASTER LEASE AGREEMENT EFFECTIVE DATE: 04/17/2001

THIS SCHEDULE ("Schedule") incorporates all of the terms of the above Master Lease Agreement ("Agreement"). This Schedule and the Agreement as it relates to this Schedule constitutes a lease ("Lease") for the equipment described below ("Equipment") General Electric Capital Corporation ("Lessor") and the Lessee indicated below. All terms used and not defined in this Schedule have the definitions stated in the Agreement.

A. LESSEE: LEGAL NAME: Taser International, Inc.

TRADE NAME (if any):

7860 E. McClain Dr. Suite 2 ADDRESS: Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

LEGAL ENTITY - Type:

State of Organization: Date of Establishment:

B. SUPPLIER: PerkinElmer Instruments

761 Main Ave.

Norwalk, Connection 06859

C. EQUIPMENT LOCATION:

Street Address: 7860 E. McClain Dr. Suite 2

County: Maricopa

City, State Zip: Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

D. DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT:

EQUIPMENT TYPE/MODEL/SERIAL/ID NUMBERS	3	Number o	of Units
PX 2000M Extended	Part # PX2000M-Computer Based X-Ray Warranty		1 1
	Equipment Price:	\$36	5,995.00
	Sales Tax:	\$	0.00
	Freight:	\$	450.00
	Installation:	\$ 	500.00
	Total Price:	\$37 ===	,945.00 ======

E. TRANSACTION TERMS:

Lease Type (check one):		А	(Tax]	Lease, O)-year	property;	all	Sections	other	than	14(b)	and	15(a)	apply).
	X	В	(Lease	e Purcha	se all	Sections	othe	r than 4((b), 13	1(c) a	and 14	(a) a	apply)	

Initial Term: 48 Monthly Quarterly Annual Payments

Rent:

48 at \$953.66

\$ 0.00 Advance Rent: Casualty Value Percentage: 0 Sales tax: 0 Option Price \$1.00 Lease Type B Option Price:

Last Funding Date: 7/16/2001

Total Advance Rent:

F. ADDITIONAL TERMS (if any):

Lessee's periodic lease payments are calculated using a lease rate factor (the "Lease Rate Factor"). The Lease Rate Factor is calculated, in part, using an interest rate based on the interest rate for swaps (the "Swap Rate") that most closely approximates the initial term of the Lease as published in the Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15 available at http://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/h15/update on 4/16/2001 (the "Initial Rate Date"). The Lease Rate Factor will be held until 5/16/2001 (the "Rate Expiration Date"). If Lessee does not accept the Equipment on or before the Rate Expiration Date, the Lease Rate Factor and Lessee's periodic lease payment may be adjusted if the Swap Rate as reported four (4) business days prior to acceptance of the Equipment is different than the Swap Rate as reported on the Initial Rate Date. Lessor will notify Lessee if the Lease Rate Factor changes.

If the Lease Commencement Date is not the first or the fifteenth day of any calendar month (a "Payment Date"), the Initial Term shall be extended by the number of days between the Lease Commencement Date and the Payment Date which first occurs after the Lease Commencement Date, and Lessee's first payment will be increased by 1/30th of the Monthly Rent multiplied by the number of days elapsed from the Lease Commencement Date to the day immediately preceding the Payment Date which first occurs after the Lease Commencement Date.

____ Payments in Advance - If payments are in advance and the Lease Commencement Date is a Payment Date, the first payment is due on the Lease Commencement Date. If the Lease Commencement Date is after the first but before the fifteenth day of the month, the first payment is due on the fifteenth day of the month of the Lease Commencement Date. If the Lease Commencement Date is after the fifteenth day of the month, the first payment is due on the first day of the month following the Lease Commencement Date.

X Payments in Arrears - If payments are in arrears and the Lease Commencement Date is the first day of the month, the first payment is due on the first day of the month following the month of the Lease Commencement Date. If payments are in arrears and the Lease Commencement Date is after the first but before (or on) the fifteenth day of the month, the first payment is due on the fifteenth day of the month following the month of the Lease Commencement Date is after the fifteenth day of the month, the first payment is due on the first day of the second month following the month of the Lease Commencement Date.

LESSOR: General Electric Capital Corporation LESSEE: Taser International, Inc. By: /s/ Eileen Jewell By: x / s / Thomas P. SmithEileen Jewell x Thomas P. Smith ______ _____ (Print or Type Name) (Print or Type Name) x President Operations Team Leader ______ ______ (Print or Type Title) (Print or Type Title) Date of Approval: 5/2/01 Date of Execution: x = 4/17/01Taser ID Social Security Or Taxpayer ID No.: 860741227

[GE Capital Logo]

B- Certificate of Acceptance Account Schedule # 4070297-001

Annex B to Schedule No.001 Effective Date: 4/17/2001 ("Schedule") Master Lease Agreement Effective Date: 4/17/2001 To General Electric Capital Corporation (LESSOR):

A. LESSEE: LEGAL NAME: Taser International, Inc.

TRADE NAME (if any):

ADDRESS: 7860 E. McClain Dr Suite 2

Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

B. SUPPLIER: NAME: PerkinElmer Instruments

STREET ADDRESS: 781 Main Ave

CITY, STATE ZIP: Norwalk, Connecticut 06859

C. EOUIPMENT LOCATION:

STREET ADDRESS: 7860 E McClain Dr Suite 2

COUNTY: Maricopa

CITY, STATE ZIP: Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

D. DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT:

Edr	uipment Type	/Model/Serial/	ID Numbers		Number	of Units	
	2000M	Part # PX2000	/ Computor	board V Dorr		1	
	zooom ended	Warranty	M-Computer I	based x-Ray		1	

LESSEE, THROUGH ITS AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE CERTIFIES TO LESSOR THAT:

- (a) All the Equipment has been delivered to and inspected by Lessee on the Lease Commencement Date specified below pursuant to the above Schedule and Master Lease Agreement as it relates to such Schedule (the "Lease");
- (b) Lessee Irrevocably accepts the Equipment for lease under the Lease as of the Lease Commencement Date; and
- (c) No event which would allow the Lessor to declare a Default (Section 13 of the Master Lease Agreement) has occurred, and all of the representations and warranties made in the Lease are true as of the Lease Commencement Date.
- (d) Lessee hereby expressly authorizes General Electric Capital Corporation to Insert the Date of Acceptance/Lease Commencement Date in the space below upon the verbal instruction of Lessee.

LESSEE Taser International, Inc.

E:

By: x /s/ Thomas P. Smith

x Thomas P. Smith

(Print or Type Name)

x President

(Print or Type Name)

Date of Acceptance/ Lease Commencement Date: 4/25/01

UPON EXECUTION, PLEASE MAIL TO:

General Electric Capital Corporation
Danbury Operations Center
ATTN: Marie Sefsik
10 Riverview Drive
Danbury, CT 06810

Exhibit 10.14

EXECUTIVE EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

THIS EXECUTIVE EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") is made and entered into this 15th day of December, 2002, to be effective as of December 15, 2002 between TASER International, Incorporated (the "Company"), located at 7860 East McClain Drive, Suite 2, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260 and Douglas E. Klint (the "Executive"), residing at 165 Gideons Point Road, Tonka Bay, MN 55331

RECITALS:

WHEREAS, the Company wishes to provide for the employment of Executive as its Vice President, General Counsel for the term, and on the conditions, set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, Executive desires to be assured of certain minimum compensation from Company for Executive's services during the term hereof and to be protected, and compensated, in the event of any change in the control affecting the Company; and,

WHEREAS, Company desires reasonable protection of Company's confidential business and technical information which has been developed by the Company in recent years at substantial expense.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises contained herein, the Company and Executive each intend to be legally bound, covenant and agree as follows:

- 1. EMPLOYMENT. Upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement, Company hereby employs Executive as its Vice President General Counsel, and Executive accepts such employment. Except as expressly provided herein, the termination of this Agreement by either party shall also terminate Executive's employment by Company.
- 2. DUTIES. Executive shall devote his full-time and best efforts to the Company and shall fulfill the duties of his position which shall include such duties as may, from time to time, be assigned to him by the Chief Executive or Board of Directors of the Company, provided such duties are reasonably consistent with Executive's education, experience and background.
- 3. TERM. Subject to the provisions of Sections 6 and 11 hereof, Executive's employment shall commence on the effective date hereof ("Employment Date") and continue through December 15, 2003, but shall be automatically extended, unless otherwise terminated in accordance herewith, for additional consecutive one year term on each December 15, thereafter, unless either party gives written notice to the other of termination in accordance herewith. In any event, the Agreement shall automatically

terminate, without notice, when Executive reaches 70 years of age. If employment is continued after the age of 70 by mutual agreement, it shall be terminable at will by either party.

4. COMPENSATION.

- (a) 2002-2003 Annual Base Salary. For services rendered under this Agreement during the first year (December 15, 2002 through December 14, 2003) of this Agreement, Company shall pay Executive a minimum Base Salary ("Base Salary") (Base Salary shall mean regular cash compensation paid on a periodic basis exclusive of any and all benefits, bonuses or other incentive payments made or obligated by Company to Executive hereunder) at an annual rate of \$160,000, payable in accordance with existing payroll practices of the Company. In subsequent years, based upon extensions of this Agreement, Executive's Base Salary shall be adjusted annually based upon a performance and compensation review conducted by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors and negotiated and mutually agreed to, in good faith, between Executive and the Company's Board of Directors. Such review will be based upon both individual and Company performance and shall be completed by December 15 of each subsequent year. The foregoing 2002-2003 minimum Base Salary for Executive shall not prohibit Company's Board of Directors (or the Compensation Committee of Company's Board of Directors), to set Executive's Base Salary during such initial one year term at an annual rate greater than that prescribed above; however in no instance shall Executive's Base Salary be less than that set forth above.
- (b) Annual Year-End Cash Bonus. Executive shall also be eligible to earn an annual year-end cash bonus which shall be determined by a review at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors. Executive shall be eligible to earn a first year annual bonus in the amount of \$15,000 payable as follows:
- 50% upon admission to the Arizona Bar; and
- 50% upon achievement of Company and individual business objectives to be established by your manager.
- (c) Fringe Benefits. In addition to the compensation and incentive payments payable to Executive as provided in Sections 4(a) and (b) above:
- (i) Vacation. Executive shall be entitled to four (4) weeks paid vacation each calendar year. All such paid vacation shall accumulate, so that if Executive's full vacation is not taken in a particular calendar year, any unused portion shall be carried into subsequent years; however, such accumulation shall not exceed an aggregate of four (4) calendar weeks.
- (ii) Long Term Disability. The Company shall also maintain (so long as such insurance is available at commercially standard rates) long-term disability policy on Executive providing for the payment to age

- 65 of benefit equivalent to seventy percent (70%) of Executive's annual Base Salary in the event Executive becomes permanently disabled as defined in Section 6(b)(ii).
- (iii) Other Benefits. The Executive shall be entitled to participate in all other benefit programs offered by the Company to its full-time executive employees, including, but not limited to, health, medical, dental and eye care; Southwest Airlines travel benefits; retirement benefits through the Company's pension and/or profit sharing plans; sick leave benefits; and accidental death and dismemberment coverages.
- 5. BUSINESS EXPENSES. The Company shall, in accordance with, and to the extent of, its policies in effect from time to time, bear all customary business expenses (including the advancement of certain expenses) incurred by the Executive in performing his duties as an executive of the Company, provided that Executive accounts promptly such expenses to Company in the manner prescribed from time to time by the Company.
- 6. TERMINATION. Subject to the respective continuing obligations of the parties pursuant to Sections 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13, this Agreement may be terminated prior to the expiration of its then remaining applicable term only as follows:
- (a) By the Company. The Company may terminate this Agreement under the following circumstances:
- (i) For "Cause". Company may terminate this Agreement on thirty
- (30) days written notice to Executive for "cause", including, fraud, misrepresentation, theft or embezzlement of Company assets, material intentional violations of law or Company policies, or a material breach of the provisions of this Agreement, including specifically the repeated failure to perform his duties as required by Section 2 hereof after written notice of such failure from Company; however, in the event of termination related to Executive's performance, Executive's termination shall only be effective upon the expiration of a sixty (60) day cure period following a lack of corrective action having been undertaken by Executive during said cure period.

- (ii) Without "Cause". The Company may terminate this Agreement upon six (6) months written notice without "cause." The Base Salary compensation due and owing by the Company to Executive following either of such early terminations of this Agreement shall be paid as set forth at Section 7(a)(iv) hereof.
- (b) Death and Disability.
- (i) Death. If Executive should die during the term of this Agreement, this Agreement shall thereupon terminate; provided, however, that the Company shall pay to the Executive's beneficiary or estate the compensation provided in Section 7(a)(ii) below.
- (ii) Permanent Disability. In the event the Executive should become permanently disabled during the term of this Agreement, this Agreement shall also terminate. For the purposes hereof, a permanent disability shall mean that disability resulting from injury, disease or other cause, whether mental or physical, which incapacitates the Executive from performing his normal duties as an employee, appears to be permanent in nature and contemplates the continuous, necessary and substantially complete loss of all management and professional activities for a continuous period of six (6) months.
- (iii) Partial Disability. If the Executive should become partially disabled, he shall be entitled to his salary as provided herein for a period of nine (9) months. At the end of said period of time, if such Executive remains partially disabled, the disabled Executive's salary shall be reduced according to the amount of time the disabled Executive is able to devote to the Company's business.
- (iv) Temporary Disability. In the event the Executive should become disabled, but such disability is not permanent, as defined above, such disabled Executive shall be entitled to his salary for a period of nine (9) months. If such temporary disability continues longer than said period of time, then the disabled Executive shall be deemed to have become permanently disabled for the purposes of this Agreement at the end of said nine (9) month period.

7. COMPENSATION PAYABLE FOLLOWING EARLY TERMINATION.

- (a) In the event of any termination pursuant to Section 6, Executive's Base Salary shall be paid as follows:
- (i) In the event of termination pursuant to Section 6(a)(i) (for "cause"), Executive's Base Salary shall continue to be paid on a semi-monthly basis for sixty (60) days from the effective date of such termination and Executive shall also be entitled to continue to participate in those benefit programs provided by subsections 4(e)(iv-viii) (inclusive), for twelve (12) months following such termination, at Executive's expense;
- (ii) In the event of termination of this Agreement by reason of Executive's death, Executive's Base Salary shall terminate as of the end of the eighteenth (18th) month following the Executive's death;
- (iii) In the event of termination of this Agreement by reason of disability, Executive's Base Salary shall be terminated as of the end the eighteenth (18th) month period following Executive's inability to perform his duties occurs; and
- (iv) In the event of any termination by the Company pursuant to Section 6(a)(ii) (without "cause"), Executive's Base Salary shall be continued to be paid on a semi-monthly basis, but shall terminate at the end of the six (6) month period following such written notice of termination by the Company. In lieu of such continued semi-monthly Base Salary,

the Company and Executive may agree to a lump-sum distribution to Executive pursuant to such termination in a form, substance and manner mutually acceptable to Company and Executive, pursuant to a written Severance Agreement then mutually negotiated between the Company and Executive in connection with such termination.

and Executive in connection with such termination.

(b) In the event of termination by reason of Executive's death, disability, termination without cause, or any Change in Control, as defined at Section 11:

- (i) Executive shall receive a pro rata portion (prorated through the last day Base Salary is payable pursuant to clauses (a)(ii), (a)(iii) and (a)(iv), respectively) of any bonus or incentive payment (for the year in which death, disability or termination occurred), to which he would have been entitled had he remained continuously employed for the full fiscal year in which death, disability or termination occurred and continued to perform his duties in the same manner as they were performed immediately prior to the death, disability or termination;
- (ii) The right to exercise any unexpired and non-vested stock options previously granted Executive shall immediately vest and accelerate; and
- (iii) Any and all payments owing to Executive arising from a termination of this Agreement resulting from a permanent or partial disability of Executive shall first be provided and paid pursuant to the Company's existing disability policy, as then in effect, but shall be further supplemented to the extent provided by this Agreement but all such payments due and owing to Executive arising from such permanent or partial disability shall not be cumulative or aggregated.

8. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.

- (a) For purposes of this Section 8, the term "Confidential Information" means information which is not generally known and which is proprietary to Company, including: (i) trade secret information about Company and its services; and (ii) information relating to the business of Company as conducted at any time within the previous two
- (2) years or anticipated to be conducted by Company, and to any of its past, current or anticipated products, including, without limitation, information about Company's research, development, services, purchasing, accounting, engineering, marketing, selling, leasing or servicing. All information which Executive has a reasonable basis to consider Confidential Information or which is treated by Company as being Confidential Information shall be presumed to be Confidential Information, whethis originated by Executive, or by others, and without regard to the manner in which Executive obtains access to such information.
- (b) Executive will not during the term of this Agreement and

following expiration or termination of this Agreement, use or disclose any Confidential Information to any person not employed by Company without the prior authorization of Company and will use reasonably prudent care to safeguard, protect and to prevent the unauthorized disclosure of, all of such Confidential Information.

9. INVENTIONS.

- (a) For purposes of this Section 9, the term "Inventions" means discoveries, improvements and ideas (whethis or not in writing or reduced to practice) and works of authorship, whethis or not patentable or copyrightable: (1) which relate directly to the business of Company, or to Company's actual or demonstrably anticipated research or development; (2) which result from any work performed by Executive for Company; (3) for which equipment, supplies, facilities or trade secret information of Company is utilized; or (4) which were conceived or developed during the time Executive was obligated to perform the duties described in Section 2.
- (b) Executive agrees that all Inventions made, authored or conceived by Executive, either solely or jointly with others, during Executive's employment with Company (except as otherwise provided above), shall be the sole and exclusive property of Company. Upon termination of this Agreement, Executive shall turn over to a designated representative of Company all property in Executive's possession and custody belonging to Company. Executive shall not retain any copies or reproductions of correspondence, memoranda, reports, notebooks, drawings, photographs or other documents relating in any way to the affairs of Company which came into Executive's possession at any time during the term of this Agreement.

Executive is hereby notified that this Agreement does not apply to any invention for which no equipment, supplies, facility, or trade secret information of Company was used and which was developed initially on the Executive's own time and: (1) which does not relate: (a) directly to the business of Company; or (b) to Company's actual or demonstrably anticipated research or development; or (2) which does not result from any work performed by Executive for the Company.

10. NON-COMPETITION. Executive agrees that for a period of eighteen (18) months following termination of this Agreement for any reason (except in the case of termination of this Agreement pursuant to Section 11 because of a Change

in Control or any Business Combination or any termination of this Agreement without cause), he will not directly or indirectly, alone or as a partner, officer, director, or shareholder of any other firm or entity, engage in any commercial activity in the United States in competition with any part of Company's business: (a) that was under the Executive's management or supervision during the last year of employment by Company; or (b) with respect to which Executive has Confidential Information as defined in Section 8 of this Agreement.

11. "BUSINESS COMBINATION" OR "CHANGE IN CONTROL".

- (a) Change in Control. For purposes of this Section 11, a "Business Combination" or "Change in Control" with respect to, or concerning, the Company shall mean the following:
- (i) the sale, lease, exchange or other transfer, directly or indirectly of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company (in one transaction or in a series of related transactions) to a person or entity that is not controlled by the Company;
- (ii) the approval by the shareholders of the Company of any plan or proposal for the liquidation or dissolution of the Company;
- (iii) a merger or consolidation to which the Company is a party if the shareholders of the Company immediately prior to effective date of such merger or consolidation have "beneficial ownership" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), immediately following the effective date of such merger or consolidation, of securities of the surviving corporation representing: (A) more than 50%, but not more than 80%, of the combined voting power of the surviving corporation's then outs tanding securities ordinarily having the right to vote at elections of directors, unless such merger or consolidation has been approved in advance by the Incumbent Directors; or (B) 50% or less of the combined voting power of the surviving corporation's then outstanding securities ordinarily having the right to vote at elections of directors (regardless of any approval by the Incumbent Directors);
- (iv) any person becomes after the effective date of this

Agreement the "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 of the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of: (A) 20% or more, but not 50% or more, of the combined voting power of the Company's outstanding securities ordinarily having the right to vote at elections of directors, unless the transaction resulting in such ownership has been approved in advance by the Incumbent Directors; or (B) 50% or more of the combined voting power of the Company's outstanding securities ordinarily having the right to vote at elections of directors (regardless of any approval by the Incumbent Directors);

- (v) the Incumbent Directors cease, for any reason, to constitute at least a majority of the Company's Board; or
- (vi) a change in control of the Company of a nature that would be required to be reported pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, whethis or not the Company is then subject to such reporting requirements.
- (b) Incumbent Directors. For purposes of this Section 11, the term "Incumbent Directors" shall mean any individual who is a member of the Board of the Company on the effective date of this Agreement, as well as any individual who subsequently becomes a member of the Board whose election, or nomination for election by the Company's shareholders, was approved by a vote of at least a majority of the then Incumbent Directors (either by specific vote or by approval of the Proxy Statement of the Company in which such individual is named as a nominee for director without objection to such nomination).
- (c) Executive's Option to Terminate This Agreement. It is expressly recognized by the parties that a Business Combination would necessarily result in material alteration or diminishment of Executive's position and responsibilities. Therefore, if, during the term of this Agreement, there shall occur, with or without the consent of Company, any Business Combination or Change in Control, Executive shall have an exclusive option to terminate this Agreement on twenty (20) calendar days' notice to the Company.
- (d) Compensation Payable to Executive Upon Termination Following a Change in Control. It is expressly recognized that Executive's

position with Company and agreement to be bound by the terms of this Agreement represent a commitment in terms of Executive's personal and professional career which cannot be reduced to monetary terms, and thus, necessarily constitutes a forbearance of options now and in the future open to Executive in Company's areas of endeavor. Accordingly, in the event Executive elects to terminate this Agreement in connection with any Business Combination or Change in Control under this Section 11:

- (i) Executive shall be under no obligation whatever to seek other employment opportunities during any period between termination of this Agreement under this Section 11 and the expiration of Executive's then unexpired term of this Agreement as it existed at the time of termination, or twenty-four (24) months, whichever is longer, and Executive shall not be obligated to accept any other employment opportunity which may be offered to Executive during such period;
- (ii) During such unexpired term of this Agreement, or for six (6) months thereafter, whichever is longer, Executive shall continue to receive on a semimonthly basis, Executive's Base Salary then in effect upon the date of such notice to the Company hereunder;
- (iii) In lieu of the continued cash compensation provided in Section
- 11(d)(ii) above, Executive may elect, in writing, to receive from the Company a lump sum cash settlement in an amount equal to 199% of Executive's then existing Base Salary for six (6) months (at the rate in effect immediately prior to such Business Combination); provided, however, Executive's election to receive a lump sum cash settlement from the Company, in lieu of the semi-monthly payments specified above, shall occur and be paid within 90 days of the termination of this Agreement arising from any such Business Combination or any Change in Control.
- (iv) Executive's termination of this Agreement by reason of a Change in Control described in this Section 11 and the receipt by Executive of any amounts pursuant to subsection 11(d), shall not preclude Executive' continued employment with Company, or the surviving entity in any Business Combination, on such terms as shall then be mutually negotiated between Company (or any such surviving entity) and Executive following such termination;

- (v) The right to exercise all unexpired and non-vested stock options in favor of Executive shall immediately vest and accelerate;
- (vi) Executive shall be entitled to continue to participate in those benefit programs and perquisites provided by subsection 4(c) hereof, for six (6) months following termination, at the Company's expense; and
- (vii) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Agreement, or any other agreement, contract or understanding heretofore, or hereafter, entered into between the Company and Executive, if any "payments" (including without limitation, any benefits or transfers of property or the acceleration of the vesting of any benefits) and the nature of compensation under any arrangement that is considered contingent on a change in control for purpose of Section 2800 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), togethis with any other payments that Executive has the right to receive from the Company, or any corporation that is a member of an "affiliated group" (as defined in Section 1504A of the Code without regard to

Section 1504B of the Code), of which the Company is a member, would constitute a "parachute payment" (as defined in Section 2800 of the Code), the aggregate amount of such payments shall be reduced to equal the largest amount as would result in no portion of such payments being subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code; provided however, Executive shall be entitled to designate and select among such payments that will be reduced, and/or eliminated, in order to comply with the forgoing provision of the Code.

12. NO ADEQUATE REMEDY. The parties declare that is impossible to measure in money the damages which will accrue to either party by reason of a failure to perform any of the obligations under this Agreement. Therefore, if either party shall institute any action or proceeding to enforce the provisions hereof, such person against whom such action or proceeding is brought hereby waives the claim or defense that such party has an adequate remedy at law, and such person shall not urge in any such action or proceeding the claim or defense that such party has an adequate remedy at law.

13. MISCELLANEOUS.

(a) Successors and Assigns. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of all successors and assigns of the

Company, whether by way of merger, consolidation, operation of law, assignment, purchase or other acquisition of substantially all of the assets or business of Company and shall only be assignable under the foregoing circumstances and shall be deemed to be materially breached by Company if any such successor or assign does not absolutely and unconditionally assume all of Company's obligations to Executive hereunder. Any such successor or assign shall be included in the term "Company" as used in this Agreement.

- (b) Notices. All notices, requests and demands given to, or made, pursuant hereto shall, except as otherwise specified hisein, be in writing and be delivered or mailed to any such party at its address which:
- (i) In the case of Company shall be:

TASER International, Incorporated 7339 East Evans Road Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

With a copy to:

Thomas P. Palmer, Esq.

Tonkon Torp, LLP

1600 Pioneer Tower 888 SW Fifth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97204

(ii) In the case of the Executive shall be:

Douglas E. Klint 165 Gideons Point Road Tonka Bay, MN 55331

Either party may, by notice hereunder, designate a change of address. Any notice, if mailed properly addressed, postage prepaid, registered or certified mail, shall be deemed dispatched on the registered date or that stamped on the certified mail receipt, and shall be deemed received within the fifth business day thereafter, or when it is actually received, whichever is sooner.

(c) Captions. The various headings or captions in this Agreement are for convenience only and shall not affect the meaning or interpretation of this Agreement.

- (d) Governing Law. The validity, construction and performance of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Arizona. Any dispute involving or affecting this agreement, or the services to be performed shall be determined and resolved by binding arbitration in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona, in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association.
- (e) Construction. Wherever possible, each provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted in such manner as to be effective and valid under applicable law, but if any provision of this Agreement shall be prohibited by or invalid under applicable law, such provision shall be ineffective only to the extent of such prohibition or invalidity without invalidating the remainder of such provision or the remaining provisions of this Agreement.
- (f) Waivers. No failure on the part of either party to exercise, and no delay in exercising, any right or remedy hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof, nor shall any single or partial exercise of any right or remedy hereunder preclude any other or further exercise thereof or the exercise of any right or remedy granted hereby or by any related document or by law.
- (g) Modification. This Agreement may not be, and shall not be, modified or amended except by a written instrument signed by both parties hereto.
- (h) No Conflicting Business. Executive agrees that he will not, during the term of this Agreement, transact business with the Company personally, or as an agent, owner, partner, shareholder of any other entity; provided, however, Executive may enter into any business transaction that is, in the opinion of the Company's Board of Directors, reasonable, prudent or beneficial to the Company, so long as any such business transaction is at arms-length as though between independent and prudent individuals and is ratified and approved by the designated members of the Company's Board of Directors.
- (i) Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire Agreement and understanding between the parties hereto in reference to all the matters herein agreed upon; provided, however, that this Agreement shall not deprive Executive of any other rights Executive may have now, or in the future.

pursuant to law or the provisions of Company benefit plans.

- (j) Counterparts. This Agreement shall be executed in at least two counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original, but both of which, when taken together, will constitute one in the same instrument.
- (k) Amendment. This Agreement may be modified only by written agreement executed by both parties hereto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be duly executed and delivered the day and year first above written.

TASER INTERNATIONAL, INCORPORATED

/s/ Patrick W. Smith
----Its: Chief Executive Officer

EXECUTIVE

/s/ Douglas E. Klint
----Douglas E. Klint

EXHIBIT 23.1

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' CONSENT

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement Nos. 333-89434 and 333-88386 of Taser International, Inc. on Forms S-8 and S-3, respectively, of our report dated January 24, 2003, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB of Taser International, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2002.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP ------Phoenix, Arizona March 14, 2003

EXHIBIT 99.1

CERTAIN FACTORS TO CONSIDER IN CONNECTION WITH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

WE ARE MATERIALLY DEPENDENT ON ACCEPTANCE OF OUR PRODUCTS BY THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CORRECTIONS MARKET, AND IF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CORRECTIONS AGENCIES DO NOT PURCHASE OUR PRODUCTS, OUR REVENUES WILL BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED AND WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO EXPAND INTO OTHER MARKETS.

A substantial number of law enforcement and corrections agencies may not purchase our conducted energy, less-lethal weapons. In addition, if our products are not widely accepted by the law enforcement and corrections market, we may not be able to expand sales of our products into other markets. Law enforcement and corrections agencies may be influenced by claims or perceptions that conducted energy weapons are unsafe or may be used in an abusive manner. In addition, earlier generation conducted energy weapons may have been perceived as ineffective. Sales of our products to these agencies may also be delayed or limited by these claims or perceptions.

WE SUBSTANTIALLY DEPEND ON SALES OF THE ADVANCED TASER, AND IF THIS PRODUCT IS NOT WIDELY ACCEPTED, OUR GROWTH PROSPECTS WILL BE DIMINISHED.

In 2002 and 2001, we derived our revenues predominantly from sales of the ADVANCED TASERs and related cartridges, and expect to depend on sales on this product for the foreseeable future. A decrease in the prices of or demand for this product line, or its failure to achieve broad market acceptance, would significantly harm our growth prospects, operating results and financial condition.

OUR BUSINESS IS DIFFICULT TO EVALUATE BECAUSE WE HAVE A LIMITED OPERATING HISTORY IN THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CORRECTIONS MARKET AND HAVE BEEN FOCUSED ON OUR CURRENT BUSINESS STRATEGY FOR ONLY THREE YEARS.

We revised our business strategy in late 1999 to concentrate on the law enforcement and corrections market. Accordingly, we have a limited operating history based on which you can evaluate our present business and future prospects. We face risks and uncertainties relating to our ability to implement our business plan successfully. If we are unsuccessful in addressing these risks and uncertainties, our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects will be materially harmed.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO MANAGE OUR PROJECTED GROWTH, OUR GROWTH PROSPECTS MAY BE LIMITED AND OUR FUTURE PROFITABILITY MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

We intend to expand our sales and marketing programs. Rapid expansion may strain our managerial, financial and other resources. If we are unable to manage our growth, our business, our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected. We will need to continually improve our operations, financial and other internal systems to manage our growth effectively, and any failure to do so may lead to inefficiencies and redundancies, and result in reduced growth prospects and profitability.

WE MAY FACE PERSONAL INJURY AND OTHER LIABILITY CLAIMS THAT HARM OUR REPUTATION AND ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR SALES AND FINANCIAL CONDITION.

Our products are often used in aggressive confrontations that may result in serious, permanent bodily injury to those involved. Our products may cause or be associated with these injuries. A person injured in a confrontation or otherwise in connection with the use of our products may bring legal action against us to recover damages on the basis of theories including personal injury, wrongful death, negligent design, dangerous product or inadequate warning. We may also be subject to lawsuits involving allegations of

misuse of our products. If successful, personal injury, misuse and other claims could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition. Although we carry product liability insurance, significant litigation could also result in a diversion of management's attention and resources, negative publicity and an award of monetary damages in excess of our insurance coverage.

OUR FUTURE SUCCESS IS DEPENDENT ON OUR ABILITY TO EXPAND SALES THROUGH DISTRIBUTORS AND OUR INABILITY TO RECRUIT NEW DISTRIBUTORS WOULD NEGATIVELY AFFECT OUR SALES.

Our distribution strategy is to pursue sales through multiple channels with an emphasis on independent distributors. Our inability to recruit and retain police equipment distributors who can successfully sell our products would adversely affect our sales. In addition, our arrangements with our distributors are generally short-term. If we do not competitively price our products, meet the requirements of our distributors or end-users, provide adequate marketing support, or comply with the terms of our distribution arrangements, our distributors may fail to aggressively market our products or may terminate their relationships with us. These developments would likely have a material adverse effect on our sales. Our reliance on the sales of our products by others also makes it more difficult to predict our revenues, cash flow and operating results.

WE EXPEND SIGNIFICANT RESOURCES IN ANTICIPATION OF A SALE DUE TO OUR LENGTHY SALES CYCLE AND MAY RECEIVE NO REVENUE IN RETURN.

Generally, law enforcement and corrections agencies consider a wide range of issues before committing to purchase our products, including product benefits, training costs, the cost to use our products in addition to or in place of other less-lethal products, product reliability and budget constraints. The length of our sales cycle may range from 60 days to a year or more. We may incur substantial selling costs and expend significant effort in connection with the evaluation of our products by potential customers before they place an order. If these potential customers do not purchase our products, we will have expended significant resources and received no revenue in return.

MOST OF OUR END-USERS ARE SUBJECT TO BUDGETARY AND POLITICAL CONSTRAINTS THAT MAY DELAY OR PREVENT SALES.

Most of our end-user customers are government agencies. These agencies often do not set their own budgets and therefore have little control over the amount of money they can spend. In addition, these agencies experience political pressure that may dictate the manner in which they spend money. As a result, even if an agency wants to acquire our products, it may be unable to purchase them due to budgetary or political constraints. Some government agency orders may also be canceled or substantially delayed due to budgetary, political or other scheduling delays which frequently occur in connection the acquisition of products by such agencies.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION OF OUR PRODUCTS MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT SALES.

Federal regulation of sales in the United States. Our weapons are not firearms regulated by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, but are consumer products regulated by the United States Consumer Product Safety Commission. Although there are currently no federal laws restricting sales of our weapons in the United States, future federal regulation could adversely affect sales of our products.

Federal regulation of international sales. Our weapons are controlled as a "crime control" product by the United States Department of Commerce, or DOC, for export directly from the United States. Consequently, we must obtain an export license from the DOC for the export of our weapons from the United States other than to Canada. Our inability to obtain DOC export licenses in a timely basis for sales of our weapons to the majority of our international customers could significantly and adversely affect our international sales.

State and local regulation. Our weapons are controlled, restricted or their use prohibited by several state and local governments. Our weapons are banned from consumer sale or use in seven states: New York, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Michigan, Wisconsin, Massachusetts and Hawaii. Law enforcement use of our

products is also restricted in New Jersey, and Rhode Island. Some municipalities, including Omaha, Nebraska and Washington, D.C. also prohibit consumer use of our products. Other jurisdictions may ban or restrict the sale of our products and our product sales may be significantly affected by additional state, county and city governmental regulation.

Foreign regulation. Certain foreign jurisdictions, including Japan, the United Kingdom, Australia, Italy and Hong Kong, prohibit the sale of conducted energy weapons, limiting our international sales opportunities.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO PROTECT OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, WE MAY LOSE A COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE OR INCUR SUBSTANTIAL LITIGATION COSTS TO PROTECT OUR RIGHTS.

Our future success depends in part upon our proprietary technology. Our protective measures, including a patent, trademarks and trade secret laws, may prove inadequate to protect our proprietary rights. Our United States patent on the construction of the gas cylinder used to store the compress nitrogen in our cartridges expires in 2015. The holder of the patent on the process by which compressed gases launch the probes in our cartridges has licensed the technology covered by the patent for use in electronic weapons only to us and to two other companies. This patent expires in 2009. The scope of any patent to which we have or may obtain rights may not prevent others from developing and selling competing products. The validity and breadth of claims covered in technology patents involve complex legal and factual questions, and the resolution of such claims may be highly uncertain, lengthy and expensive. In addition, our patents may be held invalid upon challenge, others may claim rights in or ownership of our patents.

WE ARE SUBJECT TO INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS, WHICH WILL CAUSE US TO INCUR LITIGATION COSTS AND DIVERT MANAGEMENT ATTENTION FROM OUR BUSINESS.

Any intellectual property infringement claims against us, with or without merit, could be costly and time-consuming to defend and divert our management's attention from our business. If our products were found to infringe a third party's proprietary rights, we could be required to enter into royalty or licensing agreements in order to be able to sell our products. Royalty and licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all.

In early April 2001, a patent licensee sued us in the United District Court, Central District of California. The lawsuit alleges that certain technology used in the firing mechanism for our weapons infringes upon a patent for which the licensee holds a license, and seeks injunctive relief and unspecified monetary damages. While the court awarded summary judgment in our favor, the plaintiff has filed a notice of appeal. An outcome that is adverse to us, costs associated with defending the lawsuit, and the diversion of management's time and resources as a result of the claim could harm our business and our financial condition.

COMPETITION IN THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CORRECTIONS MARKET COULD REDUCE OUR SALES AND PREVENT US FROM ACHIEVING PROFITABILITY.

The law enforcement and corrections market is highly competitive. We face competition from numerous larger, better capitalized and more widely known companies that make other less-lethal weapons and products, as well as from a small company that also sells conducted energy less-lethal weapons. Increased competition may result in greater pricing pressure, lower gross margins and reduced sales, and prevent us from achieving profitability.

DEFECTS IN OUR PRODUCTS COULD REDUCE DEMAND FOR OUR PRODUCTS AND RESULT IN A LOSS OF SALES, DELAY IN MARKET ACCEPTANCE AND INJURY TO OUR REPUTATION.

Complex components and assemblies used in our products may contain undetected defects that are subsequently discovered at any point in the life of the product. In 2002, we recalled a series of ADVANCED TASERs due to a defective component. In connection with the recall, we incurred expenses of approximately \$25,000. Defects in our products may result in a loss of sales, delay in market acceptance, injury to our reputation and increased warranty costs.

OUR REVENUES AND OPERATING RESULTS MAY FLUCTUATE UNEXPECTEDLY FROM QUARTER TO QUARTER, WHICH MAY CAUSE OUR STOCK PRICE TO DECLINE.

Our revenues and operating results have varied significantly in the past and may vary significantly in the future due to various factors, including, but not limited to: increased raw material expenses, changes in our operating expenses, market acceptance of our products and services, regulatory changes that may affect the marketability of our products, and budgetary cycles of municipal, state and federal law enforcement and corrections agencies. As a result of these other factors, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not be meaningful in the new term and that you should not rely upon our performance in a particular period as indicating of our performance in any future period.

OUR DEPENDENCE ON THIRD PARTY SUPPLIERS FOR KEY COMPONENTS OF OUR WEAPONS COULD DELAY SHIPMENT OF OUR PRODUCTS AND REDUCE OUR SALES.

We depend on certain domestic and foreign suppliers for the delivery of components used in the assembly of our products. Our reliance on third-party suppliers creates risks related to our potential inability to obtain an adequate supply of components or subassemblies and reduced control over pricing and timing of delivery of components and sub-assemblies. Specifically, we depend on suppliers of sub-assemblies, machined parts, injection molded plastic parts, printed circuit boards, custom wire fabrications and other miscellaneous customer parts of our products. We also do not have long-term agreements with any of our suppliers. Any interruption of supply for any material components of our products could significantly delay the shipment of our products and have a material adverse effect on our revenues, profitability and financial condition.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS MAY REDUCE OUR COMPETITIVENESS AND SALES IN FOREIGN MARKETS.

The relative change in currency values creates fluctuations in product pricing for potential international customers. These changes in foreign end-user costs may result in lost orders and reduce the competitiveness of our products in certain foreign markets. These changes may also negatively affect the financial condition of some foreign customers and reduce or eliminate their future orders of our products.

PENDING LITIGATION MAY SUBJECT US TO SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION COSTS AND DIVERT MANAGEMENT ATTENTION FROM OUR BUSINESS.

A former distributor of our products has filed a lawsuit in the state of New York asserting certain rights of exclusive sales representation with respect to our products. The former distributor claims that he has the exclusive right to market and sell our products to an extensive list of our current and potential customers throughout the United States. The suit was dismissed in February 2001 for lack of personal jurisdiction of the New York court. This case is now pending in the State of Arizona. In addition, in early April 2001, a patent licensee sued us in the United Stated District Court, Central District of California. The suit alleges that certain technology used in the firing mechanism for our weapons infringes upon a patent for which the licensee hold a license, and seeks injunctive relief and unspecified monetary damages. While the court awarded summary judgment in our favor, the plaintiff has filed a notice of appeal. An outcome that is adverse to us, costs associated with defending these lawsuits and the diversion of our management's time and our resources as a result of these claims could harm our business or financial condition.

USE OF ESTIMATES MAY DIFFER FROM ACTUAL FINANCIAL RESULTS.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

EXHIBIT 99.2

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of TASER International, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-KSB for the period ending December 31, 2002 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Patrick W. Smith, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/Patrick W. Smith

Patrick W. Smith Chief Executive Officer March 14, 2003

EXHIBIT 99.3

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of TASER International, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-KSB for the period ending December 31, 2002 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Kathleen C. Hanrahan, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/Kathleen C. Hanrahan

Kathleen C. Hanrahan Chief Financial Officer March 14, 2003

End of Filing