

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Edward Ecock and my business address is 4
3 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003.
- 4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 5 A. I am employed by Consolidated Edison Company of New
6 York, Inc. ("Con Edison" or the "Company") as the
7 Department Manager of Gas and Steam Research and
8 Development.
- 9 Q. Please describe your educational background.
- 10 A. I graduated from the City College of the City
11 University of New York with a Bachelor of Engineering
12 degree in Mechanical Engineering in 1976. I earned a
13 Master of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from
14 the Polytechnic Institute of New York in 1981, and a
15 Master of Business Administration degree from Pace
16 University in 1986. I am also a licensed Professional
17 Engineer in the State of New York.
- 18 Q. Please describe your work experience.
- 19 A. I have been employed by Con Edison for thirty-three
20 years and have held various engineering and managerial
21 positions in both engineering and research and
22 development ("R&D").
- 23 Q. Please generally describe your current
24 responsibilities.

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 A. As the Department Manager of Gas and Steam R&D, I am
2 responsible for developing products to enhance the
3 safety, productivity, and operations of the Con Edison
4 gas and steam organizations. I manage the R&D projects
5 associated with gas and steam as well as corporate
6 Environment, Health & Safety ("EH&S"), guide their
7 development and manage the associated R&D budget. To
8 accomplish this, I oversee four engineers dedicated to
9 gas, steam and EH&S project management.

10 Q. Do you belong to any professional societies or
11 organizations?

12 A. Yes, I am a member of the American Society of
13 Mechanical Engineers.

14 Q. Please summarize your testimony.

15 A. My testimony explains the forecasted level of gas R&D
16 expenditures for the rate years, which essentially
17 continues spending at recent historical expenditure
18 levels. The program changes result in proposed
19 increases to annual R&D expenditures of \$1,000,
20 \$66,000, and \$43,000 for the rate years ending
21 September 30, 2011, 2012, and 2013, respectively.
22 Thus, the proposed spending levels for the three rate
23 years are \$1,702,000, \$1,768,000, and \$1,811,000, for
24 Rate Years 1, 2, and 3 respectively.

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 Q. Was a document, entitled "CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY
2 OF NEW YORK GAS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS,"
3 EXHIBIT ___ (EE-1), prepared under your direction and
4 supervision?

5 A. Yes, it was.

6 MARK FOR IDENTIFICATION EXHIBIT ___ (EE-1)

7 Q. Please explain this exhibit.

8 A. As shown on Exhibit ___ (EE-1), planned expenditures for
9 the rate years 2011, 2012, and 2013 are estimated to be
10 \$1,702,000, \$1,768,000, and \$1,811,000 respectively, an
11 increase of \$1,000, \$66,000, and \$43,000, respectively,
12 over the historic year. Additionally, we plan on
13 spending approximately \$1.95 million each year from the
14 Millennium Fund to fund projects, an increase of
15 approximately \$45,000 over the historic year. This
16 level of Millennium Fund spending matches the level of
17 annual collections for the Fund. The Millennium Fund
18 itself is described below in my testimony.

19 Q. Please explain the planned level of expenditures.

20 A. We are attempting to hold the line at the "historical
21 year" expenditure level of \$1.7 million, which is
22 reduction of approximately \$1.3 million below the \$3
23 million annual amount provided by the rate plan adopted
24 by the Commission in Case 06-G-1332. Note 2 on Exhibit

1 __ (EE-1) explains an accounting error that affects the
2 total expenditures during the historical period. When
3 this is taken into account, the expenditure level for
4 the historic year for traditional projects becomes
5 \$2,013,000. Nonetheless, we are only looking to expend
6 approximately \$1.7 million in the rate year which is
7 approximately \$1.3 million below current rate plan
8 amount, and to expend slightly additional amounts in
9 rate years two and three. Hence, the proposed spending
10 level for the three rate years are all below the
11 adjusted historical year level.

12 Q. Please continue.

13 A. Considering the overall level of the current rate
14 request, we believe that the planned level of
15 expenditures for the three-year period, that closely
16 reflects the historic year level, is the right amount
17 of investment needed to pursue projects that are
18 necessary for safe and reliable service and otherwise
19 address the needs of the Gas Operating Department at
20 this time.

21 Q. Please explain the planned level of expenditures for
22 the Millennium Fund.

23 A. As depicted on Exhibit __ (EE-1), the planned level of
24 expenditures for the Millennium Fund approximates the

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 amounts being collected from our customers for the
2 Fund. In the last several years, our Millennium
3 expenditures on average have exceeded the planned level
4 for the three-year rate period. We were able to spend
5 more than collected during the last several years
6 because we had a prior surplus in the Fund.

7 NEED FOR R&D

8 Q. Please describe the purpose of Con Edison's gas R&D
9 program.

10 A. Con Edison's gas R&D program is designed to pursue
11 projects that are necessary for safe and reliable
12 service by developing and demonstrating new
13 processes/methods or technologies that will improve the
14 Gas Department's operating and business practices. The
15 program seeks out projects useful to our service
16 territory, projects that the industry as a whole is
17 either not addressing or not addressing quickly enough.
18 Our program aims to

- 19 • reduce or minimize operations and maintenance costs
20 with new technologies or alternative procedures;
- 21 • maintain or enhance the safety and reliability of
22 gas service to customers; and
- 23 • enhance environmental excellence and the safety and
24 well being of our employees and customers as well

1 as improve public safety.

2 Q. Why does the Company continue to find it necessary to
3 undertake the development and demonstration of new
4 technologies?

5 A. Our gas system requires continuing modernization,
6 reinforcement, and expansion at the transmission and
7 distribution levels. No other gas utility faces the
8 congestion challenges faced by Con Edison. The
9 congestion manifests itself in two ways: service
10 density and utility congestion.

11 Q. Please explain.

12 A. By service density, I mean the number of customers who
13 take service from a gas main. For example, most gas
14 utilities that need to excavate a main on a typical
15 city size street may have to shut off fourteen
16 customers (assuming average frontal of one hundred
17 feet) while, for the same length of main, we may have
18 to shut off sixty customers (assuming average frontal
19 of thirty feet). This level of density has significant
20 operational and cost implications.

21 Q. Please continue.

22 A. The second type of congestion refers to the physical
23 concentration of various utility lines, including ours,
24 under the streets. In New York City streets, our gas

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 lines are in close proximity with underground electric
2 lines, steam lines, water lines, telecommunication
3 lines, sewer piping, subway infrastructure, vehicular
4 infrastructure, old trolley tracks, and various
5 auxiliaries that support traffic lighting and street
6 lighting. While other gas utilities face some similar
7 types of physical congestion, none compares with the
8 greater degree of congestion under which Con Edison
9 operates. Our neighboring gas utility, National Grid,
10 faces similar, but not as challenging, problems as
11 those posed in our service territory in Manhattan.

12 Q. Can you be more specific as to the implications of this
13 congestion?

14 A. Yes. The New York City Building and the New York Fire
15 Department Codes require that no plastic piping be
16 installed within thirty five feet of a steam main. For
17 a large part of Manhattan, this means that the Company
18 can never replace steel mains or steel services with
19 less-expensive-to-maintain plastic pipe and instead
20 must continue to work with steel mains and services and
21 cast iron mains. Both steel and cast iron suffer from
22 corrosion, which greatly increases maintenance and
23 replacement costs, and requires additional efforts to
24 assess and monitor their condition, which add to costs.

1 Q. Are there other consequences?

2 A. Yes. As I noted above, to reduce traffic congestion
3 while at the same time reducing lanes available for
4 traffic by opening bike lanes, New York City prefers
5 that the Company limit opening up streets to access any
6 of its equipment, including its gas system. The City's
7 Department of Transportation ("DOT") has very
8 restrictive requirements for street access. The
9 Company must often work underground during limited time
10 frames, generally at night or on weekends. In
11 addition, due to heightened noise restrictions, the
12 opportunity to work at night has become even more
13 limited. At the same time, the Company's workload
14 underground has increased with the need to maintain
15 and, in some cases, replace our infrastructure. Thus,
16 it is increasingly difficult for the Company to
17 physically do that work. These are the driving factors
18 of R&D's effort to develop the tools to work
19 underground without digging an open trench.

20 Q. What is the connection between Con Edison's gas system
21 and the need for R&D?

22 A. The challenges we face mean that we must develop,
23 sometimes with others, and sometimes alone, solutions
24 to our density, congestion and access problems,

1 problems which are of significantly less concern to
2 other gas utilities. These issues, such as service
3 density, utility congestion, and the need to continue
4 to use steel mains under the streets of New York,
5 preclude business-as-usual approaches. These
6 challenges are the impetus for the development and
7 deployment of trenchless technologies and other R&D
8 projects.

9 Q. Please describe what you mean by trenchless technology.

10 A. Trenchless technology is exactly what the term
11 suggests: technology to repair or rehabilitate gas
12 mains without the need to excavate and create an open
13 trench. An example of a trenchless technology is Cured
14 In Place Lining ("CIPL"), which entails insertion of a
15 collapsible polyurethane pipe into an existing main via
16 one small trench, and pressurizing the liner so that it
17 adheres itself against the host pipe and seals it from
18 leaking.

19 Q. Why is the Company interested in trenchless technology?

20 A. Obviously, trenchless technology greatly reduces the
21 need to excavate, which provides a variety of benefits.
22 Besides the cost savings by avoiding excavation, there
23 are other social benefits like reducing traffic
24 congestion and combustion emissions (from trenching

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 equipment as well as vehicles) as well as improving
2 safety for pedestrians and workers. Deployment of this
3 technology serves the City, State and national goals of
4 reducing greenhouse gases. Investment in this
5 technology is also consistent with the goal of DOT to
6 reduce traffic interruptions and noise due to
7 excavation activities.

8 Q. Please continue.

9 A. Trenchless technologies employ gas construction
10 activities using "no-blow" tools and methods that
11 eliminate the release of methane. Not only is this
12 safer for workers and pedestrians, methane, which
13 comprises approximately 95 percent of natural gas, is
14 21 times the greenhouse gas equivalent of carbon
15 dioxide. Considering the amount of excavation work in
16 the City, the Company should push forward to achieve
17 these benefits by seeking to develop and deploy these
18 technologies now, instead of waiting for others in our
19 industry.

20 PAST SUCCESSES

21 Q. Has R&D developed any successful projects through the
22 years?

23 A. You bet. The Company has a long history of successful
24 R&D project completion, which I describe below.

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

- 1 Q. Are all R&D projects successful?
- 2 A. No. It is the nature of R&D that some projects do not
3 result in a successful outcome. Recognizing that
4 reality, most projects are conducted in phases to
5 minimize investment in projects which, as they develop,
6 appear less likely to be successful.
- 7 Q. Can you predict which R&D Projects will be successful
8 and work only on them?
- 9 A. No one can do that but we do try. When R&D analyzes
10 project opportunities, we consider many factors
11 including probability of success, potential for
12 commercialization and deployment, estimated costs and
13 potential benefit in providing service to customers.
14 We seek to prioritize our project work considering
15 these factors. Also, we seek to work on projects that
16 have combinations of attributes for cost, probability
17 of success and potential benefit.
- 18 Q. In recent cases, Staff has proposed that the Company's
19 R&D request be reduced to reflect a capitalization
20 adjustment based upon historic levels of R&D projects
21 that were capitalized. Are you proposing such an
22 adjustment in this case?
- 23 A. No, assuming for purposes of argument that such an
24 adjustment is appropriate, the Company has not had any

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 capitalized projects from Gas R&D for the last five
2 years.

3 Q. Please describe some recent successful projects
4 conducted under the Gas R&D program.

5 A. Recent successful Gas R&D projects include the
6 following:

- 7 • Development of a No-Dig Anode Installation Method
8 to install a 17 lb. anode, which prevents main
9 corrosion on an existing steel main or service
10 without the need for excavation. Instead, the
11 process utilizes a drill, 5"-diameter hole saw and
12 mini-vacuum excavation to make a hole through an
13 existing Cathodic Protection Test Station and into
14 the adjacent soil for placement of the new anode.
15 This method has demonstrated cost savings due to
16 reduction in excavations as well as preventing
17 corrosion;
- 18 • Field demonstration of a steel gas main assessment
19 and repair tool ("GRISLEE") that uses magnetic flux
20 leakage ("MFL") technology to determine loss of
21 wall thickness, and then applies a repair patch to
22 the affected area of the pipe to restore its
23 integrity. This tool, developed with the help of
24 GTI, allows for assessment of a steel gas main and

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

- 1 provides for on-the-spot repair or enhancement of
2 the pipe if the assessment detects holes or wall
3 loss that need immediate attention;
- 4 • Field demonstration of an Ultra Violet ("UV") light
5 train to rapidly cure CIPLs used to rehabilitate
6 piping by lining steel or cast iron mains. This
7 process allows for complete curing of the liner in
8 8 hours and reduces the outage time for the
9 customers by more than 50%. This trenchless
10 technology process developed through OTD can be
11 used in high customer density areas where
12 previously only open trenching with 24 hour
13 customer shut offs was the alternative;
 - 14 • Demonstrated a field coating application using
15 thermal spray technology on a meter station. The
16 evaluation confirmed that thermal sprays with
17 primers provide the best coating performance so far
18 for outdoor piping applications. This coating
19 process developed by GTI should greatly reduce the
20 frequency of recoating outdoor equipment subjected
21 to corrosion, such as meter stations;
 - 22 • Completed development of special tools for the no-
23 blow deployment of plugs, stoppers and standpipes
24 in 3", 4", 6", 8" and 12" diameter metallic low

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 pressure mains. These tools will allow the worker
2 to safely replace service tees or valves without
3 release of methane to the atmosphere. This will
4 improve worker and pedestrian safety as well as
5 reduce greenhouse gas by reducing methane
6 emissions;

- 7 • Demonstrated the application of high temperature
8 epoxy spray to rehabilitate 220 feet of 16"
9 diameter corroded and leaking low pressure steel
10 main in close proximity to a steam main where
11 standard lining systems could not be used. This
12 avoided replacement of the main and associated
13 excavation costs. The process was developed by
14 Pipeline Integrity Management, Inc. and has been
15 used in the water and sewer industry, but less so
16 in the gas industry;
- 17 • Completed the development of a prototype Emergency
18 Main Shut-Off System ("EMSOS") for a 24" diameter
19 low-pressure metallic main that could be used in
20 lieu of installing shut-off valves. The EMSOS
21 stations will be placed in strategic locations in
22 the distribution system where construction is
23 ongoing, and will provide for isolation during
24 emergencies.

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 BASE PROGRAM

2 Q. Please describe the Company's gas R&D program.

3 A. The program is a combination of research conducted and
4 projects developed internally and undertaken
5 collaboratively with other organizations.

6 Q. Please describe the Company's internal program.

7 A. The internal gas research program is divided into three
8 main research areas: distribution (including
9 transmission), EH&S, and the Millennium Fund program.

10 Q. Please describe each of these areas.

11 A. The Distribution/Transmission R&D program is directed
12 toward improving the reliability, upgrading the
13 capacity, and extending the life of Con Edison's gas
14 distribution and transmission piping systems. R&D
15 activities in this arena include deployment and
16 advancement of trenchless technologies; development of
17 techniques and equipment to expedite detection and
18 pinpoint location of migrating natural gas; development
19 of pipe repair and rehabilitation systems; research and
20 development of non-destructive testing methods for
21 plastic joints; demonstration of corrosion-resistant
22 coatings for steel mains and structures; development of
23 methods and equipment to mitigate third-party damage;
24 development of methods and equipment to detect

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 graphitization in cast iron piping; development of
2 methods and equipment to detect, measure and monitor
3 corrosion in cased piping; and development of methods
4 and equipment to better locate and map underground
5 facilities.

6 Q. You referred above to efforts to mitigate third-party
7 damage. Please describe what you mean.

8 A. Third-party damage occurs when an entity other than Con
9 Edison excavates and damages our mains. Third party
10 damage is a serious problem as it is extremely
11 difficult and expensive to detect contractor
12 encroachment and take action before damage has
13 occurred.

14 Q. Please continue with your description of the R&D
15 program.

16 A. The EH&S R&D program focuses on developing and
17 demonstrating new technologies that will improve worker
18 and pedestrian safety, and promote environmental
19 excellence. R&D activities include developing and
20 field testing an ergonomic bar-holing device;
21 researching and deploying new lighting systems for crew
22 trucks; developing and testing a new jackhammer lift-
23 assist device; developing and deploying no-blow
24 equipment; demonstrating and testing various safety-

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 related tools that are designed to improve worker
2 safety, such as the "Mule" lifting device; researching
3 methods and equipment to reduce truck idling;
4 researching and deploying solar-powered arrow boards;
5 and researching and developing of non-conductive
6 materials for bar holing.

7 Q. What is a bar hole device?

8 A. A bar hole device is the tool used to create a hole in
9 asphalt or soil to allow insertion of a gas detection
10 probe.

11 Q. What is a Mule lifting device?

12 A. A Mule lifting device is an electric-powered lifting
13 device much like a fork lift but much lighter and more
14 compact for use in tight spaces and for lifting light
15 loads.

16 Q. Please continue.

17 A. The third research area is the Millennium program,
18 which contains projects that are funded through the
19 Millennium Fund. In April 2000, the New York State
20 Public Service Commission approved the Millennium Fund
21 surcharge to be collected via the Gas Adjustment Clause
22 to support long-term (greater than two years) gas
23 distribution research. (This surcharge replaced a
24 reduction in research funding when the Federal Energy

1 Regulatory Commission R&D eliminated a surcharge on gas
2 pipeline deliveries.) The Commission requires that over
3 80 percent of these funds be directed at collaborative
4 research. Accordingly, most of the Millennium program
5 falls under the collaborative research discussed in my
6 testimony below. A few of the Millennium activities
7 that are performed by R&D internally are evaluation of
8 cured-in-place liners for applications in sewer pipes
9 to reduce interference costs; and development of a
10 large diameter cast iron joint sealing robot
11 ("CISBOT").

12 Q. Please describe how projects are identified and
13 researched.

14 A. The R&D group works very closely with current Gas
15 Operations employees to identify areas in which there
16 is a need for new processes, methodologies and
17 technologies. We meet with every department and area
18 organization and discuss project status, priorities,
19 and explore new ideas. We conduct "road shows" where
20 we present select projects to first-line managers to
21 educate them on new products and methods, and solicit
22 ideas for further improvement on their operations. We
23 solicit input from the various gas organizations when
24 we receive new project proposals from possible

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 collaborative partners. We review the Gas Budget and
2 search for areas where there could be cost savings
3 opportunities through advanced technology. Also, we
4 conduct periodic brainstorming sessions and technology
5 fairs to discuss problems with various gas departments,
6 solicit ideas for new projects, and showcase new
7 technologies. For example, during the prior rate year,
8 we conducted a technology fair for Gas Operations that
9 featured products that were developed through R&D, and
10 that are either currently available or that will be
11 available soon. In addition to previewing advanced
12 technologies for the gas industry, the fair exhibited
13 several existing trenchless technologies, inspection
14 tools, safety tools, and equipment designed to
15 eliminate methane emissions, so that the operators
16 could learn more about the applicability of these
17 devices and deploy them in their operations. Finally,
18 I note that knowledge of the gas business is an
19 essential element of a successful R&D program, and
20 three of my staff have over thirty years of collective
21 experience working in the Gas Department.

22 Q. Besides projects developed within the Gas Department,
23 does the Company work with other institutions to
24 develop projects?

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 A. Yes. The R&D employees maintain regular contacts with
2 other utilities, gas trade groups, universities and
3 technology developers as a further source for new
4 ideas. For example, we work with the Gas Technology
5 Institute ("GTI"), NYSEARCH, Operations Technology
6 Development ("OTD"), Sustained Membership Program
7 ("SMP"), American Gas Association ("AGA"), American Gas
8 Foundation ("AGF"), Water Research Foundation (formerly
9 "AWWARF"), various utilities including National Grid,
10 Gaz de France and Tokyo Gas, and industry, government
11 (DOT - Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety
12 Administration ("DOT PHMSA")) and private
13 organizations, both national and international.

14 Q. Please describe some of the Gas R&D projects that have
15 been identified as a result of working with other
16 institutions.

17 A. There are several of these, but most recently, we have
18 embarked on several projects with GTI that are intended
19 to improve the reliability of regulator manholes.
20 These are field-applied thermal spray coating of
21 regulator equipment; manhole water removal using
22 turbine-driven natural gas pumps; and thermoelectric
23 generators to provide a power source outside the
24 manhole.

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 Q. Following identification of a project, please describe
2 how a project is developed.

3 A. For the internal process, once a potential product is
4 identified, we obtain a sponsor within the Gas
5 Department who assists in preparing a full cost/benefit
6 justification for the research. The sponsor Gas
7 Department provides support as the project progresses
8 through their employees' time and funds for field
9 demonstrations. The user organization implements the
10 product if it is successfully developed. The
11 collaborative process follows in the same manner as the
12 internal process except the cost benefit analysis is
13 usually performed by the research organization (i.e.,
14 OTD or NYSEARCH).

15 CURRENT AND FUTURE GAS R&D PROJECTS

16 Q. Please describe the process you employed to developing
17 your Rate Year forecast.

18 A. The program plan presented in a rate filing reflects a
19 combination of specific projects and targeted programs
20 that are necessary to improve safe and reliable
21 service. Some of the projects are a continuation of
22 ongoing efforts, while others are new initiatives. The
23 new initiatives are more conceptual in nature than
24 ongoing projects because these are at an early stage

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 where proof of concept may not yet have been proven.
2 During the period leading up to the Rate Year, the
3 Company endeavors to match the current needs of Company
4 operations with opportunities for solutions. In all
5 cases, an analysis of candidate projects is made, with
6 potential advantages reviewed against financial
7 resources required for successful development, to
8 arrive at the right amount of investment. A
9 cost/benefit analysis is performed for all projects
10 over \$50,000. Emphasis is placed on projects with the
11 possibility of near- and mid-term benefits, but we are
12 also mindful of long-term programmatic issues that need
13 to be addressed. This general approach facilitates a
14 comparison of various candidate technologies and aids
15 in project selection and prioritization.

16 Q. What are some of the R&D projects to be pursued in the
17 Rate Years?

18 A. Gas R&D projects that will be pursued during the three
19 rate years focus on further development and deployment
20 of trenchless technology, commercialization of various
21 robots for pipe line assessment, development and
22 testing of various EH&S products and methods,
23 commercialization of facility locators for plastic,
24 steel, and cast iron mains, GPS applications, and third

1 party damage prevention. As discussed above, the
2 trenchless technology effort is important to continue
3 to reduce the need to excavate in New York City. The
4 robotics effort is needed to comply with upcoming
5 federal regulations. Advancements in facility locators
6 will help minimize third party damage through more
7 accurate mark outs, and research in GPS equipment and
8 third party damage prevention technology will further
9 address this concern. These projects will be described
10 further below. We expect to continue work on the
11 projects described earlier, such as additional
12 expenditures of \$100,000 for GRISLEE, and on other
13 programs including:

- 14 • Adapting Hammerhead and ConSplit Equipment - this
15 trenchless technology may allow for replacing
16 existing mains with larger plastic or ductile iron
17 piping. The projected expenditures for this
18 project are \$250,000 during the third year of the
19 rate period;
- 20 • Development of a reliable methane sensor for
21 household use to warn consumers of a hazardous gas
22 condition. The projected expenditures for this
23 project are \$175,000 during the rate period;
- 24 • Joining a consortium of gas R&D investors called

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 the Utilization Technology Development ("UTD") to
2 further research on energy efficiency products. We
3 believe that it is necessary to advance research in
4 this area to allow the consumer to utilize natural
5 gas energy in a more cost-effective and
6 environmentally conscious manner. The projected
7 expenditures for this project are \$250,000 during
8 the rate period;

- 9 • GPS for facility locating - this can provide for
10 more accurate location and mark outs of gas mains,
11 and will hopefully reduce the damage caused by
12 third-party contractors. The projected
13 expenditures for this project are \$100,000 during
14 the rate period; and
- 15 • Technology Deployment and Implementation ("TDI")
16 program - this can provide gas operations and
17 engineering personnel with the tools needed to
18 learn and understand the new available pipe
19 rehabilitation/replacement technologies, determine
20 the appropriate technology for repair and
21 replacement projects, and provide a means to
22 transfer knowledge. The projected expenditures for
23 this project are \$600,000 during the rate period.

24 Q. What are some of the projects currently funded by the

1 Millennium Fund?

2 A. Page 3 of Exhibit __ (EE-1) lists some R&D projects
3 that are underway and associated with the Millennium
4 Fund, to show the types of projects being undertaken.

5 Q. Please describe some of the more significant Millennium
6 Fund efforts that are listed in the exhibit.

7 A. As I describe above, in that 80 percent of these funds
8 require collaboration, NYSEARCH and OTD each manage a
9 multitude of projects directed at our needs. Each
10 year, we select projects in these two collaborative
11 programs that are mid- to long-term in nature and that
12 will benefit our operations through reliability and
13 safety improvements, or efficiency gains. Between
14 these two collaborative programs, we are invested in
15 almost 70 projects that address problems in the areas
16 of pipeline integrity assessment, facility locating,
17 leak detection, pipe materials, repair and
18 rehabilitation of pipes, third party damage, gas
19 interchangeability, and EH&S. Historically, our
20 expenditures for these two collaborative programs have
21 been approximately \$1.3 million annually. The
22 following are examples of some of the more significant
23 Millennium Fund projects included in the exhibit that
24 are not part of the collaborative work with NYSEARCH or

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 OTD:

- 2 • Field testing and commercialization of a large cast
3 iron joint sealing robot ("CISBOT") for 16" to 36"
4 mains. This robot allows for complete sealing of the
5 cast iron joint to mitigate leakage at the joint and
6 extends the useful life of these large diameter cast
7 iron mains. The projected expenditures for this
8 project are \$150,000 during the rate period;
- 9 • Evaluation of CIPL liners for application in sewer
10 pipes. This work is a joint effort with New York City
11 Department of Design and Construction ("NYCDDC") to
12 determine if CIPL lining of sewer pipes is feasible in
13 light of the high temperature condensate that is
14 introduced into the sewers by the Company and its steam
15 customers. If successful, this would greatly reduce
16 interference costs associated with replacement of sewer
17 pipes in the vicinity of our gas mains. The projected
18 expenditures for this project are \$300,000 during the
19 rate period.

20 Q. Please describe the major current gas-related R&D
21 projects.

22 A. Besides those already described, many of these current
23 Gas R&D projects were started after Case 06-G-1332, and
24 are still being developed and focus on various issues

1 affecting Gas operations, including:

- 2 • Commercialization of a cast iron joint locator,
3 which is expected to help pinpoint suspected leaks
4 and decrease the extent of excavations needed to
5 locate the leak. A commercial developer will
6 improve upon the prototype that OTD developed and
7 will ruggedize the equipment, and also improve the
8 user interface to make it more marketable to other
9 companies. This device will assist operators in
10 pinpointing the exact location of cast iron joints
11 to aid in the efforts to pinpoint joint leaks, or
12 to use the keyhole coring excavation method (18"
13 diameter coring method) to access the joint for
14 inspection or maintenance;
- 15 • Design and development of a gas vent line protector
16 that will prevent loss of service to customers
17 during periods of severe flooding. These vent line
18 protectors will protect about 9,000 of our
19 customers designated to be in a storm surge area
20 from service interruptions during a Category 3
21 hurricane that may produce a flood condition and
22 cause an unsafe condition;
- 23 • Demonstration of non-interruptible gas meter change
24 out kits that will allow for meter change outs with

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 no service interruptions. These kits, developed
2 through OTD, have been demonstrated to assist with
3 the meter change-out program. They eliminate the
4 need to interrupt service to the customers and they
5 eliminate methane emissions during the change-out
6 process, which is a safety hazard as well as an
7 environmental issue;

- 8 • Demonstration of a corrosion monitoring system in
9 cased piping environments. New federal DOT PHMSA
10 regulations taking effect in 2012 will require that
11 distribution gas mains be periodically assessed for
12 integrity management, and corrosion is a primary
13 cause of reduced pipeline integrity. Since gas
14 mains in cased piping are inaccessible to equipment
15 and methods used for corrosion detection, the cased
16 piping would need to be excavated to perform this
17 operation. To avoid excavations yet have the
18 ability to detect and monitor corrosion, a
19 corrosion monitoring system is being tested in the
20 annulus (which is the air space between pipes
21 fitted within each other) of the cased pipe to
22 determine if it is a feasible alternative to
23 excavating and using traditional condition
24 assessment equipment and methods;

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

- 1 • Working with the GTI to commercialize an acoustic
2 pipe locator that may help determine location of
3 plastic pipe underground;
- 4 • Field testing and commercialization of a robot
5 ("GRISLEE") to perform live internal inspections
6 and localized repairs to steel gas mains, which can
7 help reduce the need to replace gas mains, and
8 reduce the extent of excavations. Building on the
9 successful demonstration of this with GTI,
10 additional field tests will be conducted and then
11 the robot will go through the commercialization
12 process; and
- 13 • The Technology Deployment and Implementation
14 ("TDI") initiative, which aims to educate
15 engineers, planners, and supervisors about the cost
16 benefits and risks of the currently available
17 trenchless technologies. The initiative includes:
18 (i) the development of a cost calculator that
19 compares the costs of open trenching to various
20 trenchless technologies; (ii) the development of an
21 e-learning course to instruct new supervisors and
22 managers of the various trenchless technologies
23 available; and (iii) demonstrations of select
24 trenchless technologies in areas that have been

1 considered challenging in the past.

2 Q. Does Con Edison coordinate gas research projects with
3 other outside organizations and gas utilities?

4 A. Yes. As discussed above, Con Edison does extensive
5 coordination of research projects with other
6 organizations and gas utilities. We work with NYSEARCH
7 and OTD, which are the two largest gas research
8 consortia in the country, each with 20 member
9 utilities, giving us the ability to leverage our
10 research investment funds. Also, the Company works
11 closely with the Northeast Gas Association, GTI, the
12 United States Department of Energy, and others. These
13 organizations facilitate the most rapid development of
14 technology of importance to utilities, including Con
15 Edison, at the lowest reasonable cost. As mentioned
16 above, we also work with other utilities, such as
17 National Grid on common problems. The Company has
18 collaborative funding mechanisms in place and
19 agreements to share results of research efforts.

20 Q. Please describe an example of a collaborative effort.

21 A. An example of an important ongoing collaborative effort
22 is one that we are working on with NYSEARCH to develop
23 two robots (EXPLORER II and TIGRE) to inspect and
24 assess the condition of unpiggable distribution and

EDWARD ECOCK - GAS

1 transmission mains (i.e., mains that cannot facilitate
2 standard pigging tools to assess the condition of the
3 pipe due to pipe bends or valves). These robots are
4 vital to the strategy to comply with upcoming DOT PHMSA
5 regulations on pipeline integrity. All the
6 aforementioned organizations are participating in
7 funding this effort, including DOT PHMSA. This
8 robotics program is a multi-year and multi-million
9 dollar effort and is considered to be one of the
10 highest R&D priorities in the gas industry.

11 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

12 A. Yes, it does.

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK GAS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

Project Title	12 months Historic 07/08-06/09	12 months Est. 09/30/11	Variance	12 months Est. 09/30/12	Variance	12 months Est. 09/30/13	Variance
<u>Administration</u>							
SALARIES AND WAGES	\$ 275	\$ 279	\$ 4	\$ 291	\$ 12	\$ 303	\$ 12
OTHER EXPENSES	36	34	(2)	36	2	37	1
PATENT SEARCHES IN CONNECTION WITH COMPANY R&D TECHNOLOGY APPLICATIONS	23	16	(7)	16	-	16	-
DEVELOPMENT OF AN R&D WEBSITE	-	5	5	-	(5)	-	-
GAS R&D RELATED TRAVEL AND TIME BY NON-R&D COMPANY PERSONNEL	5	5	-	5	-	10	5
Total Administration	339	339	-	348	9	366	18
<u>Industry Group</u>							
NYSEARCH-NORTHEAST GAS ASSOCIATION RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION	5	80	75	80	-	80	-
Total Industry Group	5	80	75	80	-	80	-
<u>Internal Program</u>							
DEVELOPMENT AND TESTING OF A MANHOLE COVER MONITORING SYSTEM	-	25	25	-	(25)	-	-
DEVELOP EMERGENCY STOP-OFF STATIONS FOR LARGE DIAMETER LOW PRESSURE MAINS	59	25	(34)	-	(25)	-	-
TECHNOLOGY DEPLOYMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION (TDI) PROGRAM - PHASE I	21	-	(21)	-	-	-	-
TECHNOLOGY DEPLOYMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION (TDI) PROGRAM - PHASE II	206	150	(56)	200	50	250	50
ADAPTING HAMMERHEAD AND CONSPLIT EQUIPMENT AND CONDUCT DEMONSTRATIONS	-	-	-	-	-	250	250
FIELD DEMO OF MODIFIED CONSPLIT MACHINES	64	-	(64)	-	-	-	-
ADVANCING THE USE OF CON-SPLIT WITH 12" PE PIPE ON AN 8" HEAD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVALUATE CIP LINERS FOR RETAINING UNDERMINED CAST IRON GAS MAIN	77	50	(27)	75	25	150	75
DEVELOP AND DEMONSTRATE INTERNAL CAST IRON JOINT SEALING ROBOT FOR LARGE DIAMETER MAINS	41	50	9	50	-	150	100
DEMONSTRATION OF SUBCOIL	(381)	-	381	-	-	-	-
LIVE STEEL GAS MAIN INSPECTION AND REPAIR SYSTEM (GRISLEE) - SEE NOTE 2	-	100	100	-	(100)	-	-
SMART PIGGING FOR TRANSMISSION MAINS	-	25	25	25	-	25	-
DEMONSTRATION OF DISTRIBUTION SMART PIGS	-	35	35	35	-	35	-
EVALUATE AND DEMONSTRATE FACILITY LOCATOR DEVICES	-	5	5	5	-	5	-
A STUDY TO IMPROVE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INFRASTRUCTURE MARK-OUT CONTRACTORS	8	-	(8)	-	-	-	-
DEMONSTRATION OF SIMWELD (A WELDING SIMULATOR)	50	35	(15)	-	(35)	-	-
FEASIBILITY STUDY TO RESEARCH INNOVATIVE COATINGS	21	-	(21)	-	-	-	-
NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING OF ELECTRIC FEEDER LINES TO AVOID ERROR	-	8	8	-	(8)	-	-
GPS FOR FACILITY LOCATING	-	50	50	50	-	-	(50)
DEVELOP AND TEST AN IMPROVED SERVICE TERMINATOR DEVICE	71	20	(51)	20	-	20	-
DEVELOP A NO-DIG GAS SERVICE CUT & CAP SYSTEM	-	20	20	-	(20)	-	-
OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT (OTD), NON-MILLENNIUM	643	100	(543)	100	-	100	-
GAS OPERATIONS INNOVATION MONITOR - A SUBSCRIPTION SERVICE	12	13	1	13	-	13	-
REMOTE MONITORING SYSTEM FOR DRIP POT WATER	14	25	11	-	(25)	-	-
PROTECTION OF PLASTIC GAS PIPE FROM ELECTRICAL ARCING AND BURNING	51	15	(36)	-	(15)	-	-
EVALUATION OF CONDITIONS CAUSING LOOSENING OF 2 INCH COMPRESSION COUPLINGS	131	40	(91)	-	(40)	-	-
AMERICAN GAS FOUNDATION'S STUDIES - NATURAL GAS TECHNOLOGY VISION	10	-	(10)	-	-	-	-

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK GAS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

EXHIBIT EE-1
SCHEDULE 1
PAGE 2 of 3

Project Title	12 months Historic 07/08-06/09	12 months Est. 09/30/11	Variance	12 months Est. 09/30/12	Variance	12 months Est. 09/30/13	Variance
GAS R&D PLANNING WORKSHOP	-	-	-	40	40	-	(40)
DEMONSTRATE GAS RESEARCH INSTITUTE PRODUCTS	2	25	23	25	-	25	-
GAS REGULATOR VENT LINE PROTECTOR	(26)	-	26	-	-	-	-
GAS REGULATOR VENT LINE PROTECTOR - PHASE II	11	-	(11)	-	-	-	-
CORROSION RATE MONITORING IN CASED GAS TRANSMISSION PIPELINE SEGMENTS	130	-	(130)	-	-	-	-
EVALUATION AND DEPLOYMENT OF A THERMOGRAPHIC CAMERA FOR LEAKAGE MITIGATION	3	25	22	-	(25)	-	-
FIELD TESTING OF THERMAL SPRAYS	(5)	-	5	-	-	-	-
EVAULATE HYDROVAC EXCAVATING	50	-	(50)	-	-	-	-
DEMONSTRATION AND FIELD TESTING OF NORTHEAST GAS ASSOCIATION	52	50	(2)	50	-	50	-
STEAM TRAP MONITORING IN GAS TUNNELS	(42)	-	42	-	-	-	-
PILOT DEMO OF MOBILE RED TAGGING PROCESS FOR GAS OPERATIONS	10	-	(10)	-	-	-	-
DESIGN, DEVELOP, INSTALL AND TEST A GAS CURB VALVE REMOTE OPERATOR	14	-	(14)	-	-	-	-
LIFTING PROGRAM	-	20	20	20	-	20	-
DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION OF NEW ENVIRO JET TECHNOLOGIES	-	10	10	10	-	10	-
DEMONSTRATION OF THE MULE LIFTING DEVICE	10	-	(10)	-	-	-	-
DEVELOP NO BLOW EQUIPMENT & METHODS	10	-	(10)	-	-	-	-
FIELD TESTING OF VARIOUS SAFETY RELATED TOOLS & EQUIPMENT	10	10	-	10	-	10	-
RESEARCH, DESIGN, AND DEVELOPMENT OF NON-CONDUCTIVE MATERIALS FOR BAR-HOLING	8	25	17	10	(15)	-	(10)
EPRI/NYSERDA/DOE TRAVEL BY ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS PERSONNEL	-	2	2	2	-	2	-
DEVELOP ADVANCED METHANE SENSOR	-	75	75	100	25	-	(100)
DEVELOP FACILITY LOCATOR TO DETECT ELECTRIC CABLES NEAR GAS MAINS	-	75	75	50	(25)	-	(50)
COMMERCIALIZE DRIP POT MONITOR DEVICES	-	50	50	50	-	-	(50)
DEMONSTRATE VOICE RECOGNITION FOR GAS	-	75	75	50	(25)	-	(50)
UTILIZATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT (UTD) - ENERGY EFFICIENCY PRODUCT RESEARCH & DE	-	-	-	250	250	250	-
EVALUATION OF HIGH TEMPERATURE EPOXY SPRAY LINING FOR LARGE DIAMETER MAINS	(94)	-	94	-	-	-	-
DEMONSTRATION TO ADVANCE STARLINE FOR LARGE DIAMETER GAS MAIN REHABILITATION	116	-	(116)	-	-	-	-
DEVELOP TECHNOLOGIES TO REDUCE TRUCK IDLING	-	50	50	100	50	-	(100)
Total Internal Program	1,357	1,283	(74)	1,340	57	1,365	25
Sub Total Traditional Projects = Total Administration + Total Industry Group + Total Internal Program	\$ 1,701	\$ 1,702	1	\$ 1,768	66	\$ 1,811	43

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK GAS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

Project Title	12 months Historic 07/08-06/09	12 months Est. 09/30/11	Variance	12 months Est. 09/30/12	Variance	12 months Est. 09/30/13	Variance
<u>Millennium Fund</u>							
FAILURE TESTING OF GAS TRANSMISSION COUPLINGS	\$ 20	\$ -	\$ (20)	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
EVALUATION OF CIP LINER FOR APPLICATIONS IN SEWER PIPES TO REDUCE INTERFERENCE COST	35	50	15	100	50	150	50
COMMERCIALIZATION OF THE DIGITAL LEAK DETECTOR	12	-	(12)	-	-	-	-
UUC INTERFERENCE COST REDUCTION	43	50	7	50	-	50	-
16" THROUGH 36" CAST IRON JOINT SEALING ROBOT (CISBOT) DEVELOPMENT - PHASE II	(50)	-	50	-	-	-	-
16" THROUGH 36" CAST IRON JOINT SEALING ROBOT (CISBOT) DEVELOPMENT - PHASE III	10	50	40	50	-	-	(50)
NYGAS (NYSEARCH) FUNDING FOR MILLENIUM GAS RESEARCH AND DEVELOP	760	850	90	850	-	900	50
DEVELOPMENT AND DEMO OF A PROTOTYPE PCB AND PFT FIELD ANALYZER BASED ON READ TEC	14	170	156	50	(120)	20	(30)
MGMT OF MGP IMPACTED SEDIMENTS-PHASE IV	-	25	25	25	-	25	-
LIVE STEEL GAS MAIN INSPECTION AND REPAIR SYSTEM (GRISLEE) - SEE NOTE 2	317	-	(317)	-	-	-	-
CREATE AND EVALUATE AN MGP SOIL VAPOR INTRUSION DATABASE	30	30	-	75	45	50	(25)
EPRI FUNDING FOR MGP SITE REMEDIATION AND HEALTH RISK R&D	161	170	9	179	9	188	9
OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT (OTD), NOT FOR PROFIT, PROGRAM	552	560	8	570	10	575	5
	\$ 1,904	\$ 1,955	\$ 51	\$ 1,949	\$ (6)	\$ 1,958	\$ 9

NOTES:

- 1) Rate year amount excludes escalation
- 2) This project was mistakenly charged to the Millennium account during the Historic Year, so the actual expenditures for traditional projects in Historic Year are \$2,013,000, and for Millennium projects are \$1,587,000.