- 1 Q. Please state your name, title, employer and business
- address.
- 3 A. My name is Joseph A. Holtman. I am Director -
- 4 Electricity Supply for Consolidated Edison Company of
- New York, Inc. ("Con Edison" or the "Company"). My
- 6 office is located at 4 Irving Place, New York, New
- 7 York 10003.
- 8 Q. Please describe your responsibilities in that
- 9 position.
- 10 A. I am responsible for day-to-day supply operations,
- including the scheduling of generation and load bids
- 12 with the New York Independent System Operator
- 13 ("NYISO"), the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. ("PJM"),
- and ISO New England ("ISO-NE"); development and
- implementation of electric power procurement plans for
- 16 full service customers, which includes development and
- 17- implementation of the Company's electric hedging
- 18 activities, strategic development and participation in
- 19 capacity and transmission congestion contract
- 20 auctions, and management of contracts with various
- 21 non-utility generators. I perform these functions for

1		the full service customers of Con Edison, Orange and
2		Rockland Utilities, Inc. (*0&R"), Rockland Electric
3		Company ("RECO") and Pike County Light & Power Company
4		("Pike")
5	Q.	Please describe your professional background.
6	A.	I rejoined Con Edison in March 2002, in my current
7		capacity. From April 2000 through March 2002, I was
8		employed by Mirant New York, Inc. as Director-
9		Regulatory Affairs with responsibility for New York
10.	•	regulatory and NYISO matters. From July 1999 through
11		April 2000, I was Director-Corporate Planning for Con
12		Edison, working primarily on matters related to
13		potential mergers and acquisitions. From 1996 through
14		July 1999, I was Director-Energy Resources for O&R,
15		with responsibilities similar to my current position;
16		I also had general responsibility for the procurement
17		of natural gas energy and capacity, and associated
18		regulatory and accounting matters. From March through
19		July 1997, I assumed the position of Acting President
20		for NORSTAR Energy Limited Partnership, a Houston,
21		Texas-based retail gas marketing enterprise, with

1		general responsibility for day-to-day operations of
2		the firm. In 1995, I was named Director-Fuel
3		Resources, with general responsibility for procurement
4		of natural gas for resale, and natural gas, coal and
5		oil for O&R's electric generation facilities. From
6		1991 through 1995, I was Manager-Fuel Resources
7		Administration, with similar responsibilities. From
8		1989 through 1991, I was a Program Administrator in
9		O&R's Demand-Side Management department. From 1985
10		through 1989, I was employed by O&R as an Economic
11	-	Analyst, with responsibility for forecasting, capital
12		appropriations analysis, and various other statistical
13		studies.
14		I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Physics (cum
15		laude) from the State University of New York College
16		at Plattsburgh in December, 1984, and a Masters degree
17		in Business Administration with a major in Financial
18		Management from Iona College's Hagan School of
19		Business in July, 1989.
20	Q.	Have you previously testified before the New York
21		Public Service Commission ("Commission" or "NYPSC")?

1	Α.	Yes, I have testified on behalf of O&R in Cases 89-E-
2		175, 89-E-176, 96-E-0900, and 94-E-0952, and for the
3 .		Company in Case 04-E-0572. I have also testified on
4		various electric rate matters before the New Jersey
5		Board of Public Utilities, on both gas and electric
6		rate matters before the Pennsylvania Public Utilities
.7		Commission, and on various matters before the Federal
8		Energy Regulatory Commission.
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this
10		proceeding?
11	Α.	I will describe the energy purchases made on behalf of
12		Con Edison's full service customers from January 2004
13		through December 2006 and I will explain the Company's
14		projection of energy supply costs through the rate
15		year. I will discuss the allocation of processing
16		charges between the Company's Steam and Electric
17		Operations, and its Other Fuel Charges, including
18		projected costs associated with the Regional

21 Interstate Rule ("CAIR").

19

20

Greenhouse Gas Initiative ("RGGI") and other

environmental initiatives such as the Clean Air

1		SUPPLY PURCHASING HISTORY
2	Q.	What are the Company's objectives when purchasing
3		energy for its full service customers?
4	Α.	The Company seeks the lowest reasonable costs for its
5	1	customers, subject to reliability and contractual
6		constraints. As part of this objective, it also seeks
7		to mitigate price volatility.
8	Q.	In what ways does the Company accomplish these
9		objectives?
LO	Α.	The Company aggressively pursues commercial
.1		opportunities, such as favorable contract
2		restructurings or extensions. The Company also
L3		aggressively pursues market structure changes that are
L4		beneficial to its customers, through active
L5		participation in NYISO committees and in filings with
L6		FERC to mitigate anti-competitive market pricing.
L7	Q.	Please describe, in general terms, how Con Edison
L8		procures electricity supply for its full service
L9		customers.
20	Α.	Electric energy and capacity are procured from three
21		main sources: contract supplies, such as non-utility

1		generation ("NUG") contracts, a contract with Entergy
2		Nuclear Indian Point 2, LLC, and its newest contract
3		with Astoria Energy, LLC; Con Edison's own steam-
4	•	electric generation; and purchases made primarily from
5		the NYISO's energy, capacity and ancillary services
6		markets. The Company also uses financial hedges to
7		mitigate price volatility for its customers.
8	Q.	I show you a one-page document entitled, "WHOLESALE
9		ELECTRICITY SUPPLY COSTS - CALENDAR YEARS 2004 THROUGH
10		2006," and ask whether it was prepared under your
11		supervision and direction?
12	A.	Yes.
13		MARK FOR IDENTIFICATION AS EXHIBIT(JAH-1)
14	Q.	What does Exhibit(JAH-1) show?
15	Α.	Exhibit(JAH-1) illustrates the allocated and
16		invoiced costs, from 2004 through 2006, of energy,
17		capacity and ancillary services acquired on behalf of
18		the Company's full service customers. I note that
19		this exhibit shows a material decline in the Company's
20		spot market purchases, which is primarily due to

1		customers migrating from full-service to retail
2		access.
3	Q.	Please describe the Company's firm supply contracts.
4	A.	As noted in Exhibit(JAH-1), over 3,000 MW (41%
5.		of capacity supply) and over 18 million MWh (66% of
6		energy supply) were provided by the Company's seven
7		firm contracts in 2006. Five of these are mandated
8		NUG contracts with PURPA units, one is with Entergy,
9		and one is with Astoria Energy, LLC.
10	Q.	I show you a one-page document entitled, "FIRM
11		CONTRACTS AS OF MARCH 31, 2007," and ask whether it
12		was prepared under your supervision and direction?
13	Α.	Yes.
14		MARK FOR IDENTIFICATION AS EXHIBIT(JAH-2)
15	Q.	What does Exhibit(JAH-2) show?
16	A.	Exhibit (JAH-2) sets forth the term and capacity
17		of each of the firm supply sources described above.
18	Q.	Please describe the Company's steam-electric
19		generation.
20	Α.	As noted in Exhibit(JAH-1), 416 MW (5% of
21		capacity supply) and 2,781,565 MWh (10% of energy

		<u> </u>
1		supply) were provided by the Company's five facilities
2		in 2006. Costs are allocated among the steam and
3		electric departments in accordance with existing rate
4	•	plans
5	Q.	I show you a one-page document entitled, "STEAM-
6		ELECTRIC GENERATION CAPACITY (MW) PROJECTED FOR SUMMER
7	1	2007 AND SUMMER 2008," and ask whether it was prepared
8		under your supervision and direction?
9	Α.	Yes.
10		MARK FOR IDENTIFICATION AS EXHIBIT(JAH-3)
11	Q.	What does Exhibit (JAH-3) show?
12	Α.	Exhibit(JAH-3) shows the capacity from the
13		Company's retained generation located at its steam-
14		electric plants (collectively referred to as "steam-
15		electric generation").
16	Q.	Please describe the Company's spot purchases.
17	Α.	The vast majority of spot energy purchases are made
18		from the NYISO, primarily in its day-ahead market, but
19		also from its real-time market. NYISO prices energy
20		in each of those markets at eleven different load
21		zones Over 80% of Con Edison's customers'

1	consumption is in NYISO's Zone J, the New York City
2	("NYC") load zone. The remainder is located in NYISO
3	Zones H (Millwood) and I (Dunwoodie). The Company
4	also purchases excess energy from non-PURPA NUGs
5	located in its territory, which have contracted with
6	other buyers for the bulk of their deliveries. Such
7	energy is typically purchased at the NYISO spot price.
8	Spot capacity purchases are also made primarily from
9 .	the NYISO in two regions. The NYISO administers three
10	capacity market areas: one for NYC, one for Long
11	Island and one for rest-of-state ( ${}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ROS"). The
12	majority of Con Edison's capacity obligation is in
13	NYISO's NYC market; the remainder is in its ROS
14	market. NYISO conducts auctions that allow load
15	serving entities ("LSEs") like Con Edison to purchase
16	capacity for a one-month period, or for periods of up
17	to six months. Any LSE with capacity obligations not
18	met by the sum of contract purchases and purchases
19	made in these "strip" or monthly auctions is provided
20	capacity by the NYISO from spot auctions it conducts
21 .	monthly. Prices in these spot auctions are set at the

1		intersection of a demand curve, administratively
2		established through the NYISO's governance processes,
3		and supply offer curve for that auction. One aspect
4		of the demand curve is that all capacity sellers in
5		NYISO's spot auction receive the demand curve price
6		for all of the capacity that they economically offer
7		into the demand curve auction. It is typical for more
8		capacity to be available for sale than is required to
9		be purchased. Such excess capacity is purchased by
10		NYISO on behalf of the LSEs. These costs are
11		allocated to load serving entities as "excess capacity
12		costs."
13	Q.	Please describe the Company's financial hedging
14		practices.
15	A.	The Company uses financial hedge products to mitigate
16		the volatility of its spot purchases. Products
17		include fixed-for-floating price swaps, also known as
18		contracts for differences ("CFDs"), options, and
19		transmission congestion contracts ("TCCs"). CFDs are
20		typically traded on a "5x16" basis, meaning their
21	,	value is computed over the 16 peak hours (7 AM to 11

1	PM, prevailing time) on non-NERC-holiday weekdays.
2.	CFDs may also be traded on an "around the clock"
3	basis, priced at the arithmetic average of all 24
4	hours in a day, or on a "load shaped" basis, where
5	hourly spot prices are weighted by an agreed upon set
6	of weighting factors for each hour in a day to
7	determine the CFD's settlement price. Swaps may also
8	be settled against a fixed proportion of the LSE's
9	hourly actual demand; these hedges may also be known
-0	as 'slice of system' hedges.
1	Options typically provide a financial benefit to
L2	the option holder when the contracted parameters, such
L3	as spot price, temperature, or both, exceed prior
L4 .	agreed-upon thresholds The premiums or purchase
L5	costs of such options are related to the volatility of
L6	the underlying product, the length of time prior to
L7	delivery, and the agreed-upon strike price and/or
18	temperature threshold.
19	TCCs are essentially fixed-for-floating price
20	swaps that provide a hedge against fluctuations in the
01	transmission costs or rents realized when moving

1		energy from its source or point of injection, to its
2		sink or point of withdrawal.
3		. Exhibit(JAH-1) identifies the net impact
4		of the Company's financial hedging in each of the last
5		three years., including the cost of those hedges. The
6		exhibit shows that the Company's hedging practices
7		stabilized generation prices for customers, especially
8		after Hurricane Katrina's impact. The net impact,
9		however, was slightly higher overall prices for
10		customers during the three-year period.
11		SUPPLY COST PROJECTIONS
12.	Q.	Have you prepared a projection of wholesale energy
13		costs?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	I show you a one-page document entitled "PROJECTION OF
16		WHOLESALE ELECTRICITY SUPPLY COSTS - CALENDAR YEARS
17		2007 through 2011," and ask whether it was prepared
18		under your supervision and direction?
19	Α.	Yes.
20		MARK FOR IDENTIFICATION AS EXHIBIT(JAH-4)
21	Q.	What does Exhibit(JAH-4) show?

1	Α.	Exhibit(JAH-4) sets forth my projections of
2		energy costs, based upon the forecast of full service
3		sendout provided by the Company's Forecasting Panel.
4	Q.	Please describe the methodology used to develop these
5		projections.
6	Α.	As noted earlier in my testimony, capacity and energy
7		are supplied from three major categories: firm
8		contracts, steam-electric generation, and spot
9		purchases.
10		Firm contract capacity costs have been projected based
11		on existing contract terms. Where such terms rely on
12		a projection of the Consumer Price Index for this
13		region, a forecast of 3.0% per year has been used for
14		2007, 2.8% per year for 2008, 2.6% per year for 2009,
15		2.4% per year for 2010, and 2.5% per year for 2011.
16		Most firm contracts' energy costs are indexed to some
17		fuel supply such as the delivered cost of natural gas
18	•	or fuel oil. The price forecasts for these products
19.	•	were based on forward markets for these products as
20		published by the New York Mercantile Exchange
21		("NYMEX") as of December 15, 2006. Direct comparison

1	of the supplier's actual fuel oil costs and the
2	applicable NYMEX index over the period from January
3	2002 to December 2005 yielded a factor of difference.
4	This factor, when applied to the NYMEX futures prices
5	as of December 15, 2006, yielded the oil price
6	forecast.
7	Natural gas price forecasts were based on NYMEX
8	natural gas futures contract prices, for commodity
9	delivered to the Henry Hub, Louisiana, as of December
10	15, 2006. Seasonal "basis differentials," reflecting
11	the cost of interstate transportation from Henry Hub
12	to Transco Zone 6 (NYC), as provided by broker quotes,
13	were then applied to the commodity prices. This
14	delivered cost of natural gas was then increased by 4%
15	to reflect the cost of taxes on generation fuel,
16	yielding the natural gas price forecast.
17	Steam-electric generation costs were projected
18	using a cost optimization model. Steam sendout
19	projections and the fuel price forecasts described
20	above were input to the PROMOD production cost model,
21	which models the operating characteristics of the

Company's steam-electric units. 1 Based on the modeled dispatch of these units, and a projected allocation of costs from the steam business unit for "processing 3 charges," such as water, chemical and labor costs, the 4 5 costs and volumes of energy available for electricity supply were determined, as summarized on Exhibit 6 (JAH-4). A variable cost of energy that cannot be 7 8 reasonably projected at this time is the cost of emissions allowances for new air quality regulations, 9 such as RGGI and CAIR. Such costs, when incurred, are 10 11 properly recoverable through the MSC as a cost of 12 supplying full service customers. The tariff change 13 is described in the testimony of the Electric Rate Panel. 14 Please continue with your description of Exhibit 15 16 (JAH-4). Spot capacity purchase costs are based on a projection 17 18 of capacity supply margins in the NYC and ROS regions, 19 the application of these margins to anticipated 2008 20 demand curve parameters to project prices, and then 21 the application of these prices to the Company's

1		expected spot capacity requirements in NYC and ROS
2		regions. Excess capacity costs, as described earlier
3		in my testimony, are also included in these cost
4		projections.
5		Spot energy costs are based on broker quotes as of
6		December 15, 2006. These energy quotes were compared
7		to the natural gas prices discussed above, to ensure
8		that resulting market projections were consistent.
9		These price projections were then applied to the
10 .		forecast of full service volumetric requirements as
11		provided by the Company's Forecasting Panel, after
12		deducting energy projected to be supplied from firm
13		contracts and steam-electric generation.
14	Q.	Has the projected net impact of financial hedges been
15		included in these projections?
16	A.	The projection of financial hedge results includes
17		actual performance as of March 31, 2007. Thereafter,
18		hedges have been assumed to be "at the money," not
19		affecting customers' prices, for the purposes of these
20		cost projections.

1	However, financial hedges command premiums for
2	reducing buyers' risks, and so would be expected to
3:	increase costs marginally over the long-term.
4	It should be noted that the Company currently
5	hedges only for those customers with demands less than
6	1500 kW. As discussed by the Company's Customer
7	Operations Panel, the Company is proposing to lower
8	its demand threshold for customers required to take
9	service under its mandatory hourly pricing (MHP)
LO	service from 1500 kW to 500 kW. As the Company
L1	acquires future hedges, it will plan for the
L2	allocation of hedges away from those customers after
L3	the coinmencement of their MHP service, to conform with
L4	Commission policy that the Company should not be
L5	• . hedging for MHP customers.
L6	Q. Have you reviewed the Commission's April 19, 2007
L7	Order in Case 06-M-1017, regarding commodity
18	procurement for utility small commercial and
L9	residential customers?
20	A. Yes. That Order states that utility-specific
21	volatility measurement standards, acceptable goals

- 1 based upon those standards and methods for after-the-
- 2 fact reporting of electric utility hedge prices should
- 3 be established in collaborative or other
- 4 administrative processes.
- 5 Q. Are you making any such proposals in this case?
- 6 A. No. Based upon our consultation with Staff, the
- 7 Company understands that a separate process will be
- 8 initiated whose objective will be to establish
- 9 standards, goals and reporting methods by the end of
- 10 this year. I am therefore not making any proposals in
- 11 this case.
- 12 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 13 A. Yes.

# CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.

Wholesale Electricity Supply Costs Calendar Years 2004 through 2006

		<u>2004</u>		<u>2005</u>		<u>2006</u>		
Firm contracts	Capacity costs	\$458,902,547	49.4%	\$419,589,963	50.0%	\$458,914,746	58.4%	
	Energy costs	915,796,399	39.5%	1,145,416,544	35.0%	1,192,855,671	43.5%	
	Other costs*	655,446		58,335		0		
	Total costs	\$1,375,354,392	41.5%	\$1,565,064,842	39.8%	\$1,65 <u>1</u> ,770,417	44.6%	
	Capacity supplied (MW)**	3,036	32.8%	3,003	35.1%	3,303	41.2%	
	Energy supplied (MWh)	16,960,450	53.6%	17,327,621	55.3%	18,375,372	65.5%	
Steam-electric generation***	Energy costs (incl. fuel)	\$305,214,864	13.2%	\$613.286.310	18.8%	\$728,982,822	26.6%	
Cloum cloums generation	Total costs	\$305,214,864	9.2%	\$613,286,310	15.6%	\$728,982,822	19.7%	
	Capacity supplied (MW)**	246	2.7%	274	3.2%	416	5.2%	
	Energy supplied (MWh)	1,437,482	4.5%	2,257,292	7.2%	2,781,565	9.9%	
Spot purchases	Capacity costs	\$470,933,466	50.6%	\$420,201,907	50.0%	\$326,694,320	41,6%	
Spot purchases	Energy costs	1,097,171,862	47^3%	1,509,556,962	46.2%	821,857,473	29.9%	
	Other costs*	12,163,094.	41.370	6,647,898	40.2 /0	3,463,019	29.9%	
	Total costs	\$1,580,268,422	47.7%	\$1,936,406,7 <u>67</u>	49.2%	\$1,152,014,812	31.1%	
	Capacity supplied (MW)**	5,964	64.5%	5,271	61.7%	4,288	53.6%	
	Energy supplied (MWh)	13,260,687	41.9%	11,727,781	37.5%	6,906,845	24.6%	
Financial hedges	Net cost .	\$54,262,200		(\$180,406,878)	·	\$1 <u>69,335,578</u>		
Total portfolio	Capacity costs	\$929,836,013		\$839,791,870		\$785,609,066		
Total portiono	Energy costs	2,318,183,125		3,268,259,816		2,743,695,966		
	Other costs*	12,818,540		6,706,233		3,463,019		
	Financial hedges	54,262,200		(180,406,878)		169,335,578		
	Total costs	\$3,315,099,878		\$3,934,351,041		\$3,702,103,629		
	Capacity supplied (MW)**	9,246		8,548		8,007		
	Energy supplied (MWh)	31,658,619		31,312,694		28,063,782		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Other costs include gas import taxes (for Firm contracts) and Power for Jobs demand charges (for Spot purchases).

\*\*\* Capacity is unforced capacity or UCAP.

\*\*\* Steam-electric generation costs do not include the embedded cost of Company-retained generation.

## CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.

Firm Contracts as of March 31, 2007

PURPA: Energy and Capacity	Effective Term	Capacity Supply (MW)
Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Project	1996-2036	295
East Coast Power	1992-2017	645
Indeck Corinth	1995-2015	131
Selkirk Phase II	1994-2014	265
PURPA: Capacity Only		
Sithe - Independence	1994-2014	740
Firm contracts		
Astoria Energy, LLC	2006-2016	500
Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2, LLC	2001-2011	1000

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.
Steam-Electric Generation Capacity (MW)
Projected for Summer 2007 and Summer 2008

•	_	Summer 2007	Summer 2008
59th Street GT 1		12.2	12.2
74th Street GT 1 & 2		38.7	38.7
Hudson Avenue GT 3, 4 & 5		37.6	37.6
East River 1 & 2		293.9	293.9
East River 6 & 7		299.3	<u>299.3</u>
	Total	681.7	681.7

#### CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK. INC.

#### Projection of Wholesale Electricity Supply Costs Calendar Years 2007 through 2011

Capacity costs Energy costs Other costs Total costs	\$398,512,353 1,325,568,977 80,615,958 \$1,804,697,288	59% 62%	\$403,906,763 1,434,255,739	61%	\$408,714,360	61%	\$401.306.414	60%	\$397,096,613	' 59%
	\$1.804.697.288		83,723,821	66%	1,254,037,737 86,776,530	58%	1,028,398,614 89,861,644	50%	806,778,520 92,950,644	39%
	\$ .,00 i,00 i,200	.57%	\$1, <u>9</u> 21,886,323	61%	<u>\$1,749,528,627</u>	56%	\$1,519,566,672	50%	\$1,296,825,776	42%
Capacity supplied (MW) Energy supplied (MWh)	3,466 19,007,731		3,462 19,196,530	·	3,229 16,215,730	·	2,912 13,681,330		2,579 10,796,530	<del>-</del> .
Energy costs (incl. fuel)  otal costs	\$273,078,386 \$273,078,386	13% 9%	\$296,932,700 \$296,932,700	14% 9%	\$255,591,000 \$255,591,000	12% 8%	\$242,783,000 <u>\$242,783,000</u>	12% 8%	\$258,389,700 \$258,389,700	12% 8%
Capacity supplied (MW) Energy supplied (MWh)	657 2,408,569		657 2,701,700		657 2,657,300		657 		657 2,653,800	
Capacity costs Energy costs Other costs	\$276,692,573 522,580,765 246,285,456	41% 25%	\$256,734,298 444,183,867 238,465,452	39% 20%	\$263,578,794 637,870,079 233,589,542	39% 29%	\$271,710,419 797,700,012 227,973,983	40% 39%	\$281,257,771 1,010,472,043 224,279,639	41% 49%
otal costs	\$1,04 <u>5,55</u> 8,7 <u>93</u>	33%	<u>\$939,383,617</u>	30%	\$ <u>1,135,038,415</u>	<u>3</u> 6%	\$1,29 <u>7,</u> 384,414	42%	\$1,516,009,453	49%
Capacity supplied (MW) Energy supplied (MWh)	3,526 5,895,979	·	3,300 4,685, <u>7</u> 70		3,345 7,040,970		3,458 8,854,870		3,574 11,265,670	
Vet cost	\$24,291,073		_							
			··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Capacity costs Energy costs Other costs	\$675,204,926 2,121,228,128 326,901,414 24,291,073		\$660,641,062 2,175,372,305 322,189,272		\$672,293,154 2,147,498,816 320,366,072		\$673,016,833 2,068,881,626 317,835,627		\$678,354,383 2,075,640,262 317,230,283	
otal costs	\$3,147,625,541		\$3,158,202,639	,,,,	\$3,140,158,042		\$3,059,734,086		\$3,071,224,929	
Capacity supplied (MW) Energy supplied (MWh)	7,649 27,312,279		7,419 26,584,000		7,231 25,914,000		7,027 25,190,000		6,810 24,716,000	
	inergy costs (incl. fuel) otal costs  apacity supplied (MW) nergy supplied (MWh)  apacity costs nergy costs otal costs  apacity supplied (MW) nergy supplied (MW) nergy supplied (MWh)  apacity costs tapacity costs tapacity costs nergy costs otal costs  apacity costs nergy costs otal costs  apacity costs nergy costs otal costs  apacity supplied (MW)	Inergy costs (incl. fuel) \$273,078,386 s273,078,386 s273,	Inergy costs (incl. fuel) \$273,078,386 13% otal costs \$273,078,386 9%  Image: Separatity supplied (MW) 657 2,408,569  Image: Separatity costs \$276,692,573 41% 522,580,765 25% 675,204,926	inergy costs (incl. fuel) \$273,078,386 13% \$296,932,700 otal costs \$273,078,386 9% \$296,932,700 sapacity supplied (MW) 657 657 657 energy supplied (MWh) 2,408,569 2,701,700 sapacity costs 522,580,765 25% 444,183,867 other costs 246,285,456 238,465,452 otal costs \$1,045,558,793 33% \$939,383,617 sapacity supplied (MWh) 3,526 3,300 energy supplied (MWh) 5,895,979 4,685,770 sapacity costs \$242,291,073 - 4685,770 sapacity costs 326,901,414 322,189,272 inancial hedges 24,291,073 - 50,201,201,201,201,201,201,201,201,201,20	nergy costs (incl. fuel) \$273,078,386 13% \$296,932,700 14% otal costs \$273,078,386 9% \$296,932,700 9% apacity supplied (MW) 657 657 657 nergy supplied (MWh) 2,408,569 2,701,700 657 apacity costs 522,580,765 25% 444,183,867 20% otal costs 246,285,456 238,465,452 otal costs \$1,045,558,793 33% \$939,383,617 30% apacity supplied (MWh) 3,526 3,300 apacity supplied (MWh) 5,895,979 4,685,770 6570 apacity costs \$24,291,073	Inergy costs (incl. fuel) \$273,078,386 13% \$296,932,700 14% \$255,591,000 otal costs \$273,078,386 9% \$296,932,700 9% \$255,591,000 otal costs \$273,078,386 9% \$296,932,700 9% \$255,591,000 otal costs \$276,692,573 657 657 657 657 apacity supplied (MWh) 2,408,569 2,701,700 2,657,300 otal costs \$276,692,573 41% \$256,734,298 39% \$263,578,794 apacity costs 522,580,765 25% 444,183,867 20% 637,870,079 apacity costs 246,285,456 238,465,452 233,589,542 otal costs \$1,045,558,793 33% \$939,383,617 30% \$1,135,038,415 apacity supplied (MWh) 3,526 3,300 3,345 apacity supplied (MWh) 5,895,979 4,685,770 7,040,970 otal costs \$24,291,073	Inergy costs (incl. fuel) \$273,078,386 13% \$296,932,700 14% \$255,591,000 12% otal costs \$273,078,386 9% \$296,932,700 9% \$255,591,000 8% apacity supplied (MW) 657 657 657 657 energy supplied (MWh) 2,408,569 2,701,700 2,657,300 energy costs \$226,692,573 41% \$256,734,298 39% \$263,578,794 39% energy costs 522,580,765 25% 444,183,867 20% 637,870,079 29% energy costs 522,580,765 25% 444,183,867 20% 637,870,079 29% energy costs 524,285,456 238,465,452 233,589,542 energy costs \$1,045,558,793 33% \$939,383,617 30% \$1,135,038,415 36% energy supplied (MW) 3,526 3,300 3,345 energy supplied (MWh) 5,895,979 4,685,770 7,040,970 elet cost \$24,291,073 - 7,040,970 energy costs 2,121,228,128 2,175,372,305 2,147,498,816 energy costs 326,901,414 322,189,272 320,366,072 energy costs \$24,291,073 - 20,201,201,201,201,201,201,201,201,201,2	nergy costs (incl. fuel) \$273,078,386	nergy costs (incl. fuel) \$273,078,386 13% \$296,932,700 14% \$255,591,000 12% \$242,783,000 12% otal costs \$273,078,386 9% \$296,932,700 9% \$255,591,000 8% \$242,783,000 8% apacity supplied (MWI) 657 657 657 657 657 657 energy supplied (MWh) 2,408,569 2,701,700 2,657,300 2,653,800 energy costs \$276,692,573 41% \$256,734,298 39% \$263,578,794 39% \$271,710,419 40% energy costs 522,580,765 25% 444,183,867 20% 637,870,079 29% 797,700,012 39% otal costs \$1,045,558,793 33% \$939,383,617 30% \$1,135,038,415 36% \$1,297,384,414 42% energy supplied (MWh) 5,895,979 4,685,770 7,040,970 8,854,870 energy supplied (MWh) 5,895,979 4,685,770 7,040,970 8,854,870 energy costs \$24,291,073	nergy costs (incl. fuel) \$273,078,386 13% \$296,932,700 14% \$255,591,000 12% \$242,783,000 12% \$258,389,700 otal costs \$273,078,386 9% \$296,932,700 9% \$255,591,000 8% \$242,783,000 8% \$258,389,700 apacity supplied (MWh) 657 657 657 657 657 657 657 657 657 657

#### NOTES:

- A 2007 includes actual results for January through March with projections for the remaining 9 months.
- B Capacity Supplied reflects the average of expected monthly UCAP requirement.
- C Capacity Supplied includes both In-City and Rest-of-State regions. D The Entergy contract is projected to end in December 2010.
- E Steam-electric generation costs do not include the embedded cost of Company-retained generation.
  F Other Cost includes TUCs, NTAC, ancilliary, and other miscellaneous charges.