- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Edward J. Rasmussen. My business address is
- 3 4 Irving Place, New York, N.Y. 10003.
- 4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 5 A. I am employed by Consolidated Edison Company of New
- 6 York, Inc. ("Con Edison" or the "Company") as the Vice
- 7 President and Controller.
- 8 Q. Briefly state your educational background.
- 9 A. I graduated from St. Francis College in June 1970, with
- 10 a Bachelor's Degree in Business Administration. In
- 11 1977, I received a Masters Degree in Finance from Long
- 12 Island University.
- 13 Q. Please explain your work experience with Con Edison and
- 14 your current primary responsibilities.
- 15 A. From 1970 to 1987, I worked in the Rate Matters Section
- of Corporate Accounting in increasing levels of
- 17 responsibility up to and including Director of the
- section. In 1987, I was promoted to Assistant
- 19 Controller responsible for Accounting Research, Payroll
- and Stores Accounting. In 1990, I was transferred to
- 21 Manhattan Customer Service as General Manager of
- 22 Operations Service responsible for transportation,
- stores, budgets and personnel. In 1993, I returned to
- 24 Corporate Accounting as Assistant Controller responsible

- for various sections within the department. In December 2 2000, I was promoted to my current position of Vice President and Controller. 3 Have you been involved in industry-wide utility issues? 4 5 Α. Yes. For many years, I have been an active member of both the EEI and AGA finance and accounting committees 6 7 and I am currently a member of the Executive Accounting Committee of the EEI. Have you previously testified before this Commission? 9 I have testified before the Commission in numerous 10 Α. Yes. electric, gas and steam rate proceedings. 11 What is the purpose of your testimony in this 12 Q. 13 proceeding? My testimony covers the following topics: 14 15 I will discuss how provisions of the Company's 16 current rate plan have impacted the rate increase 17 request; 18 I will provide an overview of the expense items 19 prompting the Company's request for the rate 20 increase for the rate year, the twelve months ending March 31, 2009; 21
  - staged increases in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> years;

• I will propose a three-year electric rate plan with

I will outline the Company's request to continue

22

23

24

1	the use of deferred accounting for certain	
2	operating costs, with some modifications, and my	-
3	proposal to discontinue the T&D plant true-up	
4	previously authorized by the Commission;	
5	• I will recommend the unbundling of customer	
6	uncollectible accounts expense in order to match	L
7	the actual costs incurred for this expense with	the
8	actual cost of energy billed to customers; and	
9	I will discuss the Company's proposal for a Rever	nu
10	Accounting and Rate Incentive Mechanism ("RARIM"	)
11	in order to implement revenue decoupling.	
12	IMPACT OF CURRENT RATE PLAN	
13	Q. Mr. Rasmussen, please discuss how provisions of the	
14	Company's current rate plan have impacted the rate	
14 15	Company's current rate plan have impacted the rate increase that Con Edison is requesting in this filing	
15	increase that Con Edison is requesting in this filing	
15 16	increase that Con Edison is requesting in this filing  A. The Company is currently operating under the terms of	a
15 16 17	increase that Con Edison is requesting in this filing  A. The Company is currently operating under the terms of  Joint Proposal adopted by the Commission on March 24,	a
15 16 17 18	increase that Con Edison is requesting in this filing  A. The Company is currently operating under the terms of  Joint Proposal adopted by the Commission on March 24,  2005 in Case 04-E-0572 that went into effect on April	a 1,
15 16 17 18 19	increase that Con Edison is requesting in this filing  A. The Company is currently operating under the terms of Joint Proposal adopted by the Commission on March 24,  2005 in Case 04-E-0572 that went into effect on April  2005 ("existing rate plan"). The existing rate plan	a 1,
15 16 17 18 19	increase that Con Edison is requesting in this filing  A. The Company is currently operating under the terms of Joint Proposal adopted by the Commission on March 24,  2005 in Case 04-E-0572 that went into effect on April  2005 ("existing rate plan"). The existing rate plan  provided for base rate increases of a \$105 million and	a 1,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	increase that Con Edison is requesting in this filing  A. The Company is currently operating under the terms of Joint Proposal adopted by the Commission on March 24, 2005 in Case 04-E-0572 that went into effect on April 2005 ("existing rate plan"). The existing rate plan provided for base rate increases of a \$105 million and \$220 million in the first and third years (i.e.,	a 1,

\_ 3 \_

proceeds of \$60 million each year going forward (\$180 1 2 million total over the term of the agreement), and to retain approximately \$485 million of deferred net 3 accounting credits as well as \$65 million of net cash 4 proceeds from the sale of the Chelsea and 45th Street 5 properties over the term of the Agreement. The credits 6 7 retained were utilized to offset ongoing operating 8 costs. In addition to utilizing TCC proceeds, net accounting 9 credits and non-recurring proceeds from the sale of 10 property, did the existing rate plan have any other 11 mechanisms that had the effect of mitigating the amount 12 13 of the base rate increase authorized in Case 04-E-0572? 14 A key component driving the Company's request for 15 rate relief in Case 04-E-0572 was the level of 16 infrastructure investments that Con Edison identified as 17 being necessary to continue to maintain, replace and upgrade its electric transmission and distribution 18 19 The projected capital expenditures were system. 20 significantly higher than historic levels because they 21 provided for, among other work, the cost of several new 22 substations, which have either been completed or are underway and expected to come on line in the near 23 future. However, the existing rate plan provided for a 24

1		level of funding for capital projects less than the						
2		Company's forecast, reflecting instead an amount						
3		developed by trending the level of historic expenditures						
4		and projects actually achieved by the Company. At						
5		this point, the Company is projecting that its average						
6		net plant balance for the third rate year of the						
7		existing rate plan will be \$1.5 billion higher than the						
8		level included in rates.						
9	Q.	Why is this significant?						
10	Α.	The inclusion of plant added to rate base above the						
11		level provided in the existing rate plan accounts for						
12		\$195 million (or approximately sixteen percent of the						
13		requested rate increase).						
14	Q.	You indicated previously that the Company utilized						
15		approximately \$485 million of deferred net accounting						
16		credits as well as \$65 million of net cash proceeds from						
17		the sale of properties over the term of the existing						
18		rate plan to mitigate rate increases. How does this						
19		impact the level of rate relief the Company is now						
20		requesting?						
21	Α.	The third year of the existing rate plan contained						
22		approximately \$250 million of deferred net accounting						
23		credits and net cash proceeds from the sale of						
24		properties that offset the rate increase granted. Base						

1		rates must be increased to fill the gap caused by the
2		expiration of the accounting credits. This is
3		equivalent to approximately twenty percent of the
4		requested rate increase in this proceeding.
5	Q.	What other factors attributable to the current rate plan
6		are impacting the rate increase?
7	Α.	The Company is seeking to recover, over periods ranging
8		from three to thirty years, approximately \$80 million of
9		deferred costs that are projected to accumulate during
10	-	the existing rate plan for World Trade Center
11		expenditures (O&M and Capital deferrals) and for
12		deferred carrying costs on T&D capital expenditures
13		above the level included in rates during the third year
14		of the existing rate plan. Recognizing that the Company
15		may, in fact, spend significantly more on capital
16		projects than targeted, the rate plan provided for the
17		Company to defer carrying costs on capital expenditures
18		that exceeded the capital funding targets.
19		Additionally, the actual level of pension costs incurred
20		during the current rate plan are more than the level
21		provided in rates, while property taxes are less than
22		the current rate allowance. Resetting these costs to
23		the proper levels accounts for \$50 million of the
24		requested increase. Partially offsetting these items

- are credits that have accumulated during the term of the
  existing rate plan that would be passed back to

  customers over three years, amounting to approximately

  formulated during the term of the
  existing rate plan that would be passed back to

  customers over three years, amounting to approximately

  formulated during the term of the
  existing rate plan that would be passed back to

  customers over three years, amounting to approximately

  sale of the 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue properties and for federal and
- 6 state income tax benefits.

18

- 7 Q. Please summarize the overall impact of the existing rate 8 plan on the rate increase the Company is seeking.
- 9 Overall, the existing rate plan will account for 10 approximately \$515 million or forty two percent of the 11 requested increase. Expiring credits represent \$250 12 million, carrying costs on plant added during the 13 existing rate plan represents \$195 million, deferred 14 costs represent \$80 million, and updating the rate 15 allowance for current pension and property tax costs 16 amounts to \$50 million. Partially offsetting these amounts are \$60 million of available credits. 17

## OVERVIEW OF REMAINING RATE INCREASE

- 19 Q. In addition to the \$515 million required due to the
  20 expiration of the existing rate plan, please outline the
  21 major cost drivers that account for the balance of the
  22 requested increase.
- 23 A. The balance of approximately \$710 million is primarily
  24 from carrying costs for new infrastructure investments,

1	new and expanded operating programs, an increase in the
2	allowed return on equity, and proposed changes in
. 3	depreciation rates, offset in part by sales growth.
4	The need for additional capital investment and new
5	operating programs are explained in detail by various
6	Company witnesses. The Company continues to upgrade,
7	reinforce and replace its electric infrastructure and
8	plans to spend approximately two billion dollars
9	annually over the next several years to do so. The
10	depreciation and carrying cost on this investment
11	constitutes approximately \$40 million and \$195 million,
12	respectively, of the requested rate increase.
13	I note that the Company's success in pursuing and
14	completing a significantly higher level of
15	infrastructure projects than was reflected in current
16	rates demonstrates its commitment and ability to enhance
17	its infrastructure at an accelerated pace. Accordingly,
•18	base rates set in this proceeding should reflect the
19	Company's forecast of T&D plant additions.
20	In addition to the capital infrastructure investment,
21	the Company's request includes \$280 million of increased
22	operation and maintenance expenses related primarily to
23	programs to support upgrade and maintain its
24	infrastructure. Also, as discussed in the testimony of

Company witness Morin, the Company seeks a return of 1 2 11.5 percent on the equity invested in our business, which accounts for approximately \$115 million of the 3 4 requested increase. Changes to the Company's depreciation rates and the 5 6 recovery of a reserve deficiency discussed by Company witness Hutcheson represent \$100 million in higher 8 costs. 9 What impact does sales growth have on the Company's Q. 10 request? 11 The Company's sales forecast, discussed by the Company's Α. 12 Forecasting Panel, projects approximately \$20 million in 13 higher net revenues than are currently reflected in 14 rates. 15 Is the Company's embedded generation costs reflected in 16 the overall proposed rate increase request of 17 approximately \$1,225 billion? As shown on Exhibit (AP-10), Schedule 1, 18 19 sponsored by the Accounting Panel, the embedded cost of 20 retained generation represents approximately \$53 million 21 of the total increase. This amount would be recovered 22 as part.of the fixed portion of the Company's Market 23 Supply Charge ("MSC")/Monthly Adjustment Clause ("MAC") 24 mechanism.

1		THREE-YEAR ELECTRIC RATE PLAN
2	Q.	Mr. Rasmussen, are you sponsoring a three-year rate plan
3		proposal as an alternative to a one-year case?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	Please explain how a rate plan of this length would
6		benefit the Company's customers.
7	A.	Multi-year rate plans provide the Company with greater
8		flexibility to schedule and execute critical programs in
9		the most cost-effective manner. They also place a
10		greater responsibility on the Company to manage its
11		resources over several years when there may be larger
12		swings in economic conditions and permit greater focus
13		on operating efficiencies as opposed to the alternative
14		of a relatively constant focus on rate litigation.
15		When the Company manages its resources in a cost-
16		effective manner, both the Company and customers
17		benefit. That is, the Company could receive a benefit
18		during a portion of the current rate period, and its
19	•	customers during all successive rate periods, retaining
20		the more significant value of the improvements in the
21 .		business.
22		A three-year rate plan that includes the features I
23		discuss later in my testimony balances the impact of

future uncertainties on customers and the Company.

24

1 Can you explain how your multi-year proposal would work? Yes. The Company essentially proposes that the rates 2 3 set for the rate year, the twelve months ending March 31, 2009 ("RY1"), become the base from which projections 4 are made for the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  years of the rate plan. Company further proposes that the Commission adopt a series of staged rate changes for the twelve months 7 ending March 31, 2010 ("RY2") and the twelve months 8 ending March 31, 2011 ("RY3"). I would like to 9 10 emphasize that, by proposing a three-year plan in the 11 alternative, the Company does not waive its rights to 12 file for new rates immediately following the conclusion 13 of this case, if the Company views (1) the rate change granted by the Commission for RY1 to be inadequate, or 14 (2) the terms for an additional rate year(s) under a 15 16 multi-year rate plan to be unreasonable. I would also 17 note that the various three-year amortizations proposed throughout the Company's filing are proposed for both 18 19 the one-year rate request and the three-year rate 20 proposal. I show you a 19-page document entitled, "CONSOLIDATED" 21 22 EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC. - THREE-YEAR ELECTRIC 23 RATE PLAN" and ask whether it was prepared under your 24 supervision and direction?

1	Α.	Yes, it was.
2		MARK FOR IDENTIFICATION AS EXHIBIT(EJR-1)
3	Q.	Please explain your Exhibit(EJR-1, Summary).
4	A.	Exhibit(EJR-1, Summary) highlights the items for
5		which the Company seeks recovery in RY2 and RY3. The
6		first column represents the calculated increase in
7		revenue requirement of \$1,225 billion for RY1 as shown
8		on Exhibit• (AP-9), Schedule 1. The second and third
9		columns show the annual changes in revenues and costs
10		that the Company believes are appropriate to include in
11		the calculation of the revenue requirement for RY2 and
L2		RY3. The bottom of this Exhibit indicates the
13		continuation of existing "true-up" mechanisms for
L4		property tax expenses, interference costs (including
15		World Trade Center related interference), pensions and
L6		OPEBs, and environmental remediation costs. The
L7		Accounting Panel discusses the Company's request to use
L8		reserve accounting for Category 2 and 3 storm costs and
L9		ERRP overhaul maintenance; these items would also be
20		subject to a true-up mechanism. The Company also
21		proposes to continue to true up and defer costs
22		associated with new legislative and regulatory
23		requirements. We propose these true ups for a one-year
24		rate determination as well, since these costs, which are

outside the Company's direct control, could either 1 increase or decrease materially during the first rate 2 3 year. Moreover, establishing these true-ups in connection with a one-year rate determination could 5 enable the Company to delay the need for rate relief 6 after the expiration of the first year such rates are in 7 I would note that since the Company is subject to the Commission's Policy Statement on Pensions and 8 Other Post Employment Benefits, it is required to true-9 up its annual pension and OPEB costs to the levels 10 11 provided in base rates. As discussed below, I propose 12 modifications to some of the existing true up 13 mechanisms. 14 What modifications do you propose? 15 First, as noted earlier in my testimony, I propose that 16 base rates set in this proceeding reflect the Company's forecasted T&D capital expenditures, in which case, 17 18 there would be no need to continue the capital true-up 19 mechanism. As I explained, the existing rate plan 20 reflects a level of plant additions that were lower than 21 the level that was projected to be spent by the Company. The Company's acceptance of this target (as part of a 22 resolution of other issues in the last case) provided 23 24 short term benefits to customers in that the carrying

1	cost of plant above the target was deferred. However,
2	as mentioned by Company witness Hoglund, this same
3	mechanism is now placing upwards pressure on rates going
4	forward as the Company recovers deferred carrying costs
5	and includes its actual plant additions in rate base.
6	As a result, Con Edison projects that it will have
7	deferred carrying costs on plant for Rate Year 3 of
8	\$157.9 million at the end of the third rate year. The
9	amounts that had been deferred for Rate Year 1 and Rate
10 .	Year 2 were \$6.0.0 million and \$138.7 million,
11	respectively, for a three year total of \$356.6 million.
12	The Company was able to offset the deferred carrying
13	charges recorded for the first two rate years by
14	utilizing available credits pursuant to the terms of the
15	existing agreement. Recovery of the deferred carrying
16	costs for the third rate year and the delayed inclusion
17	of amounts spent are a significant portion of the rate
18	increase that the Company is now seeking. In addition,
19	the Company needs cash to fund its capital expenditures.
20	Delayed recognition of capital expenditures in rates has
21	put a tremendous strain on the Company's ability to
22	raise and borrow money as well as maintain its credit
23	rating, all of which are also discussed by Company
24	witness Hoglund. Notwithstanding, should the Commission

1 establish rates in this proceeding that reflect less 2 than the Company's forecasted T&D capital expenditures, 3 the existing true-up mechanism should be continued. Please discuss the other modifications to the existing Q. 5 true-up mechanisms. I propose to eliminate the 2.5 percent "deadband" that 6 7 currently exists for property taxes and interference and allow the Company to true up 100 percent of these costs. 8 Historically, as should be expected, the Company has 9 10 been either over or under the annual targets. Both 11 expenses are outside the direct control of the Company 12 in that they depend on the actions of various 13 governmental entities. A deadband does not provide an 14 incentive or disincentive to the Company to reduce a 15 cost over which it has no direct control. It merely results in either the Company or its customers receiving 16 a windfall at the expense of the other. 17 Do the amounts shown on Exhibit (EJR-1, Summary) for 18 Q. 19 RY2 and RY3 represent the increases the Company seeks 20 for those years, or is it a placeholder for data that is to be updated at a later point in time? 21 With the exception -of pension/OPEBs costs, the amounts 22 23 shown for RY2 and RY3 represent the amounts the Company 24 requests, subject to the true-ups discussed previously.

1 We propose to update pension and OPEBs costs, which may 2 vary significantly due to fluctuations in the financial 3 markets and underlying assumptions, using the latest available information from our actuary, currently Buck Consultants, when available. The current projected 5 6 increase in pension and OPEB expense is based on an 7 April 2007 actuarial study. Mr. Rasmussen, please explain how the forecast of 8 9 revenues and expenses for RY2 and RY3 that you will not 10 be updating was prepared. 11 The forecast of Sales Revenues was provided to me by the Α. 12 Forecasting Panel. I adjusted the revenues to reflect 13 365.25 billing days consistent with the adjustment made 14 by the Accounting Panel for RY1. Other Operating 15 Revenues, other than those included in the MSC/MAC, were 16 escalated using a GDP factor of 2.1 percent per year. 17 The projection of operating and maintenance expenses 18 excluding fuel was developed by taking the RY1 level of 19 Operations and Maintenance Expense shown in Exhibit 20 (AP-5), Schedule 1 and escalating net wages by 2.84 21 percent and other items by the GDP rate of 2.1 percent 22 per year. The 2.84 percent escalation rate for wages 23 assumes the weighted average annual increase for RYl of 3.84 percent less a productivity adjustment of 1.0 24

percent. Exhibit (EJR-1, Schedule 4, page 1 of 3) 1 shows this computation. Amortized expenses were not escalated using these factors. 3 4 Exhibit (EJR-1, Schedule 4, page 2 of 3) shows the projected annual pension and OPEB costs based on a 5 forecast prepared by the Company's actuary Buck Consultants as discussed previously. Exhibit (EJR-1, Schedule 4, page 3 of 3) shows the 8 projected annual spending for MGP remediation as 10 explained by Company witness Price. The revenue 11 requirement seeks to recover the annual increase in 12 spending over three years. 13 Q. Please continue. Depreciation expense is based on the rates proposed by 14 15 Company witness Hutcheson applied to the Company's 16 Capital Budget and is shown on Exhibit (EJR-1, 17 -Schedule 5). Property taxes were also projected by Mr. Hutcheson and are shown on Exhibit\_ (EJR-1, Schedule 18 19 6). Subsidiary capital taxes, Corporate Franchise, and 20 other miscellaneous taxes also shown on Schedule 6 were 21 escalated using the GDP factor of 2.1 percent. Payroll 22 taxes on this exhibit were escalated using the net wage 23 increase factor of 2.84 percent. 2 4 The New York State and Federal Income tax computations

1		utilize the forecast data from the aforementioned
2		schedules and are shown on Exhibit(EJR-1, Schedule
3		7 and 8, respectively).
4		Average rate base is shown on Exhibit(EJR-1,
5	•	Schedule 9) and reflects the Company's forecast of plant
6		additions, depreciation accruals and changes in deferred
7		income tax balances. In addition, deferred balances
8		have been adjusted to reflect the impact of amounts
9		amortized each year.
10		DEFERRED ACCOUNTING
11	Q.	Does the Company currently employ the use of deferred
12		accounting as permitted under SFAS No. 71, Accounting
13		for Regulated Businesses?
14	Α.	Yes. The Commission has authorized the Company to
15		utilize deferred accounting to match the recognition of
16		expenditures with the recovery of certain costs when
17		they are either beyond our direct control or the timing
18		of the actual expenditure is not certain.
19	Q.	Are you proposing to continue the use of deferral
20		accounting for the costs that the Commission has
21		previously authorized?
22	Α.,	Yes. As I discussed earlier in my testimony, I propose
23		to continue the expense true-up mechanisms that are part
24		of the existing rate plan, whether for a one-year rate

1 determination or the proposed three-year rate plan, 2 subject to the modifications discussed above regarding 3 eliminating deadbands and the T&D capital true-up. 4 addition, for all true-ups, the target levels should be 5 updated to reflect the Company's current projected levels of expense for these items (i.e., property taxes, 6 interference (including interference costs previously 7 included in the WTC deferral), pensions and OPEBs, and 8 environmental remediation) included in this filing. 9 I also support the use of reserve accounting for 10 11 Category 2 and 3 storms as well as for overhaul costs of 12 the East River Generating Station Units 1 and 2 as discussed by the Accounting Panel. 13 14 Does the Company have a proposal regarding the treatment 15 of property tax refunds and assessment reductions it is 16 able to achieve? 17 Yes, the Commission should continue the current 86/14 Α. 18 customer/Company sharing mechanism in .place for property 19 tax refunds and assessment reductions achieved by the 20 Company. As explained by Company witness Hutcheson, the Company's efforts in this regard have produced material 21 22 benefits for customers. Please explain the basis for increasing the target for 23 Q.

environmental remediation costs from approximately

24

\$8,935 million to approximately \$50.0 million. 1 2 The target for environmental remediation costs of \$8,935 3 million contained in the existing rate plan was set at the time of the last electric case. The annual allowance was increased by \$5 million at that time to 5 recognize the higher level of spending expected to be 6 incurred by the Company. At the time the allowance was 7 reset, it was projected that any environmental costs 9 above the target would be offset by utilizing the remaining funds that had been set aside from a number of 10 sources, including insurance recoveries, divestiture of 11 12 Con Edison's generating assets, and prior collections 13 from customers. Currently, the Company has exhausted 14 all of these funds and is expending funds far in excess 15 of the \$8,935 million annual rate allowance. As of 16 March 31, 2007, Electric operations disbursements for 17 these costs exceeded recoveries by almost \$10 million. Exhibit \_\_(EJR-1), Schedule 4, Page 3 of 3 shows that 18 19 the projected spending from March 31, 2007 through the 20 end of the first rate year, will be approximately \$190 21 million, of which Electric Operations will be responsible for approximately \$149 million. When 22 combined with the current deferred balance of 23 approximately \$10 million, less amounts to be amortized 24

1		of approximately \$9 million, a total amount of \$150
2		million is required. The Company proposes to increase
3		the level of environmental expenditures reflected in
4		rates to recover this balance over three years. In
5		addition, the Company is requesting an additional
6		increase of \$11.1 million in RY2 and \$11.1 million in
7.		RY3 to start recovering a portion of the projected
8		expenditures to be incurred in each of those periods.
9	Q.	Please explain how the Company accounts for costs
L <b>O</b>		related to the restoration of facilities in lower
L1		Manhattan that were damaged as a result of the attack on
.2		the World Trade Center, and how it proposes to
L3		incorporate these costs in RY2 and RY3 if a three-year
<b>L</b> 4		rate plan is adopted.
L5	Α.	The Company has deferred and categorized actual spending
-6		related to the WTC incident as follows:
L7 ·		• Category 1 - Restoration and emergency response;
_8		• Category 2 - Rebuilding of facilities; and
9	•	Category 3 - Interference (relocation of Company
20		facilities).
21		The Company has applied for recovery of these costs
2	<b>:</b>	through the Lower Manhattan Development Corporation
23		("LMDC"), which, in partnership with the Empire State
4		Development Corporation ("ESDC") and New York City

1	Economic Development Corporation, has prepared a partial
2	action plan with regard to the \$750 million federal
3	appropriation for reimbursing utilities. The Company
4	has received payments totaling \$79.1 million as of March
5	31, 2007 (including interest of \$0.7 million) from LMDC
6	for losses incurred by the Electric Department and
7 .	applied these payments against the deferred expenditures
8	(capital, removal and O&M). The Company has also
9	applied reimbursements received from insurance carriers
10	of \$76.6 million against the deferred balance. In
11	addition to what ESDC may determine as ineligible for
12	federal reimbursement during audit review, all
13	reimbursements of the varying categories of costs under
14	the HUD Action Plan are subject to the limitation of
15	funds that remain available based on submissions by all
16	applicants and not just Con Edison. Specifically, the
17	final reimbursement of Category 2 is pending completion
.18	of the audit and is eligible for only 75 percent
19	reimbursement. For Category 3, the HUD Action Plan only
20	allocates \$60 million for all applicants of which Con
21	Edison's expenditures to date alone exceed this amount.
22	Accordingly, there is no basis to expect that future
23	federal payments will provide for recovery of all of the
24	Company's expenditures. Therefore, as discussed by the

- Accounting Panel, we propose a thirty-six month recovery
- 2 for carrying charges and expenditures incurred through
- 3 March 31, 2008, that would have normally been expensed.
- For items that are capital in nature, we are seeking a
- 5 thirty-year recovery.
- 6 Q. At what point will the Company stop its deferral of WTC
- 7 costs?
- 8 A. Under the Partial Action Plan, the Company may submit
- 9 claims for reimbursements for Category 3 expenditures
- 10 from Federal Agencies for costs incurred through
- 11 December 31, 2007. We will continue to defer costs
- incurred between March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2007,
- along with any reimbursements received from the federal
- 14 government. Amounts that become known during the course
- of the proceeding will be reflected in updates to be
- 16 filed by the Company. We propose to cease deferring new
- 17 costs after that date and treat them as normal ongoing
- 18 capital and operating expenses. Most of the operating
- 19 expenses are for interference work, which are detailed
- 20 by Company witness Gencarelli. The Company's requested
- 21 rate allowance for interference recognizes this
- 22 anticipated change.
- 23 Q. The Company is currently allowed to "net" outstanding
- deferred balances at the end of each rate year of the

1	existing rate plan, the Joint Proposal approved by the
2	Commission states the following (p. 10):
3	D. Reconciliations
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The Company will reconcile the following costs to the levels reflected in rates, as set forth in Appendices F and G. The reconciliations in each of RY1, RY2, and RY3 will be deferred and recovered from customers or credited to customers after expiration of this Electric Rate Plan, in a manner to be determined by the Commission. However, at the end of each Rate Year and subject to audit and prudence review, the Company may apply any available credits, except credits associated with TCC's, to offset the deferred balance
L 6	Do you propose to continue this annual netting of
L 7	outstanding deferrals?
. A.	Yes, the ability to net deferrals has helped simplify
L 9	the Company's external reporting requirements and made
20	Con Edison's financial statements more meaningful to
21	investors. When regulatory assets and liabilities are
22	reported on a gross basis, it has the effect of
23	inflating the reported assets and liabilities of the
2.4	Company. Netting gives an investor a clearer
2.5	understanding of the Company's true financial assets and
26	liabilities.
2.7 Q.	Please explain how you propose to recover the category
28	of expenses under the annual true-ups shown on Exhibit
) Δ	(EID-1 Cummary) under the proposed three-year

1 rate plan. As discussed previously, the Company would defer the 3 difference between the amount allowed in rates and the 4 actual level of expenditures in property taxes, interference (including WTC related costs), pensions/OPEBs, environmental remediation, World Trade 6 Center costs incurred through December 31, 2007, storm 8 and overhaul costs that are included in this filing. 9 Under a three-year rate plan, the Company proposes to 10 submit to the Commission's Accounting and Finance Staff for its review an annual reconciliation of the items for 11 12 the purpose of effecting a true-up of the costs. 13 total net deferrals for any year during the term of the proposed three-year rate plan would be recovered through 14 15 the use of TCC revenues above the rate level imputation 16 of \$60 million along with any other available 17 transmission revenues. The TCC and other transmission revenues could also be used as a source of funds to 18 19 mitigate any other deferrals that arise such as from a 20 Revenue Decoupling Mechanism. This procedure would help 21 minimize the potential build up of large net deferrals 22 that would be collected from or passed back to customers 23 at some time in the future.

## UNBUNDLING UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS EXPENSE

2 4

- 1 Q. Is the Company proposing to unbundle uncollectible
- 2 expense?
- 3 A. Yes. The Electric Rate Panel discusses the Company's
- 4 current plans for unbundling this element of expense.
- .5 As I explain below, the Company recommends changes to
- 6 the current recovery method.
- 7 Q. What are the benefits of this change?
- 8 A. This change would benefit both customers and the Company
- 9 because the recovery of this expense would be tied more
- 10 closely to the actual revenues billed. That is, to the
- 11 extent that energy costs (e.g., purchased power and fuel
- 12 cost recoveries) rise or fall, there would be a
- 13 corresponding change in the level of uncollectible
- 14 account expense that full service customers would pay.
- 15 Accordingly, customers would pay no more or less than
- 16 the appropriate bad debt expense associated with the
- 17 Company's provision of service and the Company would not
- 18 receive a windfall associated with this expense if
- 19 energy prices fall (or absorb a cost outside its direct
- 20 control if market prices rise). Energy cost recoveries
- 21 represent approximately 50 percent of total billings and
- therefore represent approximately 50 percent of the
- 23 current customer bad debt expense.
- 24 Q. Please describe the proposed change.

The Company proposes to establish separate rate 1 allowances for the MSC / MAC portions of uncollectible 2 expense, recoverable through the MSC / MAC, calculated 3 by multiplying MSC and MAC revenues by the uncollectible 4 5 factor of \$0.55 per hundred dollars of revenues. The 6 uncollectible expense allowance for the MSC and MAC 7 would be approximately \$18.8 million (\$3,391 billion x \$0.0055), based on the projected MSC and MAC revenues, 8 including revenue taxes, shown on the Forecasting 9 Panel's Exhibit (FP-3), Page 3 of 5. 10 If the Commission approves the Company's proposal to 11 12 reflect these costs in the MSC and MAC, the Company 13 would increase the amounts billed in the MSC applicable 14 to full service customers and the MAC applicable to full 15 service and transportation customers to recover the 16 related uncollectible expense and reduce the Company's 17 base rate revenue requirement by the \$18.8 million discussed above. 18 REVENUE ACCOUNTING AND RATE INCENTIVE MECHANISM ("RARIM") 19 20 Ο. Is the Company proposing to implement a Revenue 21 Accounting and Rate Incentive Mechanism ("RARIM") commencing with the effective date of new rates (i.e., 22 April 1, 2008)? 23 Yes, it is. 24 Α.

Does the RARIM represent the Company's proposal to 1 0. implement a mechanism that would decouple the impact of 2 3 sales and revenue growth? 4 The RARIM has two primary goals. The first would be to Α. decouple the impact of sales and revenue growth, and 5 6 thereby provide an alternate means of removing a financial disincentive the. Company might otherwise have 8 to promoting increased energy efficiency, through demand 9 reduction programs, conservation efforts and the wise 10 use of energy. I would point out that removing a financial disincentive to energy efficiency is not the 11 12 equivalent of establishing a financial incentive to 13 promote energy efficiency and an incentive regime is a 14 necessary adjunct to revenue decoupling to align 15 consumer and investor interests in that respect. 16 second goal would be to provide a mechanism to encourage 17 and promote continued economic expansion in our service 18 territory and electric sales that provide environmental 19 benefits, e.g., plug-in hybrid cars. Has the Company been subject to somewhat similar rate 20 Q. 21 mechanisms in prior periods? 22 Α. Yes, it has. The Company's rates have been subject to 23 both an Electric Revenue Adjustment Mechanism ("ERAM") and Revenue Per Customer ("RPC") mechanism for multi-24

1 year terms in prior rate agreements. What are the overall benefits of a RARIM? Ο. The benefits of a RARIM are many fold. To begin with, 3 as I stated, it removes a financial disincentive that 4 5 the Company might otherwise have to promote the efficient use of energy and our natural resources, which 6 leads to a. cleaner environment and better living 8 conditions for all concerned. Moreover, RARIM provides 9. incentives for the Company to continue to promote and 10 help sustain a strong local economy by continuing to 11 give the Company revenue recognition for adding additional customers. RARIM also includes important 12 13 provisions addressing the need to provide appropriate 14 incentives for the Company to build and strengthen the 15 electric infrastructure and promote service reliability. 16 What are the provisions of a RARIM that allows for all of the above stated benefits? 17 The main provision of the RARIM proposed by the Company 18 19 is a "revenue decoupling mechanism" that eliminates the 20 direct relationship between the Company's level of 21 delivery service revenues and the level of profits for the Company. Actual delivery service revenues (i.e., 22 23 billed revenue less government surcharges, energy and energy-related adjustments including the monthly MSC and 24

MAC adjustments), would be compared to the base revenue 1 levels established in this proceeding for each service class, including NYPA, on a weather normalized basis. 3 Shortfalls would be subject to real-time recovery to maintain the financial integrity of the Company. 5 Overcollections will be retained to offset any future 6 shortfalls or used as rate moderators in the future. 7 With regards to your proposal for the governing 8 9 principles of a RARIM, would the Company or customers 10 bear the risk for sales resulting from warmer or colder 11 than normal weather? Currently, the Company bears the risk for all electric 12 Α. 13 sales variations resulting from weather. Under the 14 RARIM, it would be the Company's view that it should 15 continue to be at risk for weather related sales. What about growth in the number of customers during the 16 Q. 17 period of a three-year rate plan? 18 Increased revenues associated with growth in the number Α. 19 of customers would be retained by the Company. As is 20 the case with all such changes during the period of 21 long-term rate plans, customers would receive the full benefits of growth in sales when rates are reset in the 22 next rate filing. This provision is an important aspect 23 of economic development and would serve to compensate 24

- 1 the Company for costs related to growth in the number of
- 2 customers.
- 3 Q. In addition to revenue decoupling, what are the other
- 4 principles that will govern the RARIM to be proposed by
- 5 the Company?
- 6 A. The RARIM would provide for the timely recovery of cost
- .7 reconciliations that the Company proposes as part of
- 8 this filing (e.g., interference and property taxes).
- 9 Ideally, the true-up and recovery of costs should be
- done monthly. The lesson learned during the period of
- 11 the current rate plan is that cash flow is very
- 12 important to the financial integrity of the Company, and
- 13 the delayed recovery of expenditures in excess of
- 14 recoveries provided for in the base rate setting process
- 15 has a detrimental effect on the perception of the
- 16 Company by the financial community and on the Company's
- ability to meet its current obligations.
- 18 Q. If the Company develops and promotes new markets for
- 19 environmentally-sound programs such as plug in electric
- vehicles, how should revenues from these new programs be
- 21 treated under a RARIM?
- 22 A. I propose to carve out and exclude revenues from such
- 23 programs for the term of the new rate plan.
- 24 Q. Does the Company have any specific proposals regarding

the recovery of energy efficiency program costs under 1 the RARIM mechanism? 2 Yes. As described in the testimony of Company witness 3 4 Craft, the recovery of incremental program costs 5 associated with energy efficiency programs would continue through the Monthly Adjustment Clause ("MAC") 7 and not be part of the RARIM mechanism. Additionally, the Company should retain a portion of the long-term 9 financial benefits of its efforts in promoting energy efficiency programs. Company witness Craft discusses 10 the framework for incentives tied to the achievement of 11 energy efficiency goals. These incentives, which are 12 13 also supported by Company witness Zielinski from a regulatory policy standpoint, are key to the development 14 15 of a sustainable regulatory framework for achieving 16 important energy efficiency goals. 17 At this point, can the Company provide Proforma Q. 18 calculations and draft procedures to show how the RARIM 19 would be implemented? The Company is currently working on the mechanics of the 20 RARIM and will provide the details that reflect the 21 22 principles discussed here during the update phase of 23 this proceeding. Time limitations between the issuance 24 date of the Commission's Order and the filing of this

- 1 rate case have not afforded the Company an opportunity
- 2 to fully flesh out the details of this proposal.
- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.

# CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC. THREE-YEAR ELECTRIC RATE PLAN (\$ millions)

	Exhibit /	Rate Y	ear Ending Ma	rch 31.
•	Schedule	2009	2010	2011
Base Rate Increase - RY1	AP-9, Sch 1	\$ 1,225.5		
Operating Revenues				•
Sales Revenue (Net of Fuel, Purchased Power & Rev. Tax)	EJR-1, Seh 2		\$ 23.6	\$ 41.7
Other Operating Revenues	EJR-1, Sch 3		3.7	1.6
Subtotal (1)		•	27.3	43.3
Operating Expenses				-
Operation & Maintenance Expense (excl. fuel)				
- Labor & General Escalations	EJR-1, Sch 4		30.9	31.7
- Pension and OPEBs	EJR-1, Sch 4		4.7	34.0
- MGP / Superfund Remediation	EJR-1, Sch 4		11.1	11.1
- Uncollectibles	EJR-1, Sch 2		1.8	2.1
Depreciation & Amortization	EJR-1, Sch 5		54.8	- 57.1
Taxes Other - excl. revenue taxes	EJR-1, Sch 6		71.6	70.5 8.9
- revenue taxes Federal Income Taxes (Book vs. Flow Thru Deductions)	EJR-1, Sch 6 EJR-1, Sch 8	,	8.8 14:7	13.6
Pre Tax Return on Rate Base (Net of Interest Tax Deduction)			163.4	204.1
Subtotal (2)	EJK-1, SCITS		361.8	433.1
Subiolal (2)			301.0	455.1
Increase in Net Operating Expenses (2) - (1)			334.5	389.8
	•			
Annual True Up Mechanisms		•		
Property Tax Expense		-	-	-
Interference (excluding Company Payroll)			-	-
Pensions / OPEBs (Policy Statement)		-	-	-
Environmental Remediation		-	. •	-
World Trade Center		-	-	· -
Storm Reserve		• · ·	• '	-
ERRP Maintenance Resejve		· -	<b>-</b>	-
Net Rate Change	EJR-1, Sch 1	\$ 1,225.5	\$ 334.5	\$ 389.8
Percent Increase Over Base Year		11.5%	3.2%	3.7%
·	•			

## Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department Operating Income, Rate Base & Rate of Return (\$000's)

## TWELVE MONTHS ENDING MARCH 31. 2010

Ooeratina Revenues Sales Revenues Other Operating Revenues Total Operating Revenues	Rate Year 1  Exhibit (AP-10)  \$7,884,428  84,545  7,968,973	Sched. [2] . [3]	Rate Year 2 Adjustments (\$69,518) 3,718 (65,800)	Rate Year 2 As Adjusted \$7,814,910 88,263 7,903,173	Proposed Rate Increase \$334,469	Rate Year 2 Adjusted for Proposed Increase \$8,149,379 88,263 8,237,642
Oceratina Revenue Deductions Fuel S Purchased Power Costs Other Operations & Maintenance Pension / OPEBs MGP / Superfund Remediation Depreciation Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Gain from Disposition of Property Total Operating Revenue Deductions	3,041,326 1,583,897 82,098 50,002 599,506 1,071,428 (\$30,812) 6,397,445	[4] [4] [4] [5] [6]	(92,215) 30,943 4,728 11,149 54,781 70,758	2,949,111 1,614,840 86,826 61,151 654,287 1,142,186 (30,812) 6,477,588	9,700	2,949,111 1,616,680 86,826 61,151 654,287 1,151,886 (30,812) 6,489,128
Operating Income Before Income Taxes	1,571,528		(145,943)	1,425,585	322,929	1,748,514
Income Taxes  New York State Income Taxes Federal Income Tax  Total Income Taxes  Operating Income After Income Taxes	82,370 352,615 434,985 \$1,136,543	[7] [8]	(13,413) (51,616) (65,028) (\$80,915)	68,958 300,999 369,957 \$1,055,628	22,928 105,000 127,928 \$195,001	91,886 405,999 497,885 \$1,250,629
Rate Base	\$i3,324;b70	<b>M</b>	:(\ddo,\ddo,\ddo)	\$14,657,761	\$193,001	\$14,657;761
Overall Rate of Return	8.53%		110,00,001	7.20%		8.53%
	TWELVEMONTHS	ENDING M		Rate Year 3	Proposed Rate	Rate Year 3 Adjusted for
Ooerating Revenues Sales Revenues Other Operating Revenues Total Operating Revenues	Rate Year 2 \$8,149,379 88,263 8,237,642	Sched. [2] [3]	Rate Year 3 Adjustments (\$123,132) 1,554 (121,579)	Rate Year 3 <u>As Adjusted</u> \$8,026,247 89,817 8,116,064	Proposed Rate Increase \$389,833	
Sales Revenues Other Operating Revenues	Rate Year 2 \$8,149,379 88,263	Sched.	Rate Year 3 Adjustments (\$123,132) 1,554	As Adjusted \$8,026,247 89,817	Rate Increase \$389,833	Adjusted for Proposed Increase \$8,416,080
Sales Revenues Other Operating Revenues Total Operating Revenues  Oceratina Revenue Deductions Fuel Other Operations & Maintenance Pension/OPEBs MGP / Superfund Remediation Depreciation Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Gain from Disposition of Property	Rate Year 2 \$8,149,379 88,263 8,237,642 2,949,111 1,616,680 86,826 61,151 654,287 1,151,886 (30,812)	Sched. [2] [3] [4] [4] [4] [5]	Rate Year 3 Adjustments (\$123,132)	As Adjusted \$8,026,247 89,817 8,116,064 2,78.6,662 1,648,392 120,841 72,300 711,395 1,219,967 (30,812)	Rate Increase \$389,833 2,144	Adjusted for Proposed Increase \$8,416,080 89,817 8,505,897 2,786,662 1,650,536 120,841 72,300 711,395 1,231,272 (30,812)
Sales Revenues Other Operating Revenues Total Operating Revenues  Oceratina Revenue Deductions Fuel Other Operations & Maintenance Pension/OPEBs MGP / Superfund Remediation Depreciation Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Gain from Disposition of Property Total Operating Revenue Deductions	Rate Year 2 \$8,149,379 88,263 8,237,642 2,949,111 1,616,680 86,826 61,151 654,287 1,151,886 (30,812) 6,489,128	Sched. [2] [3] [4] [4] [4] [5]	Rate Year 3 Adjustments (\$123,132)	As Adjusted \$8,026,247 89,817 8,116,064  2,78.6,662 1,648,392 120,841 72,300 711,395 1,219,967 (30,812) 6,528,744	Rate Increase \$389,833 389,833 2,144 11,305 13,449	Adjusted for Proposed Increase \$8,416,080 89,817 8,505,897 2,786,662 1,650,536 120,841 72,300 711,395 1,231,272 (30,812) 6,542,193
Sales Revenues Other Operating Revenues Total Operating Revenues  Oceratina Revenue Deductions Fuel Other Operations & Maintenance Pension/OPEBs MGP / Superfund Remediation Depreciation Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Gain from Disposition of Property Total Operating Revenue Deductions  Operating Income Before Income Taxes  Income Taxes New York State Income Taxes Federal Income Tax	Rate Year 2 \$8,149,379 88,263 8,237,642  2,949,111 1,616,680 86,826 61,151 654,287 1,151,886 (30,812) 6,489,128  1,748,514	Sched. [2] [3] [4] [4] [4] [5] [6]	Rate Year 3 Adjustments (\$123,132)	As Adjusted \$8,026,247 89,817 8,116,064  2,78.6,662 1,648,392 120,841 72,300 711,395 1,219,967 (30,812) 6,528,744  1,587,319  77,664 344,159	Rate Increase \$389,833  389,833  2,144  11,305  13,449  376,384  26,723 122,381	Adjusted for Proposed Increase \$8,416,080 89,817 8,505,897 2,786,662 1,650,536 120,841 72,300 711,395 1,231,272 (30,812) 6,542,193 1,963,703
Sales Revenues Other Operating Revenues Total Operating Revenues  Oceratina Revenue Deductions Fuel Other Operations & Maintenance Pension/OPEBs MGP / Superfund Remediation Depreciation Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Gain from Disposition of Property Total Operating Revenue Deductions  Operating Income Before Income Taxes  Income Taxes New York State Income Taxes Federal Income Tax Total Income Taxes	Rate Year 2 \$8,149,379 88,263 8,237,642  2,949,111 1,616,680 86,826 61,151 654,287 1,151,886 (30,812) 6,489,128  1,748,514  91,886 405,999 497,885	Sched. [2] [3] [4] [4] [4] [5] [6]	Rate Year 3 Adjustments (\$123,132)	As Adjusted \$8,026,247 89,817 8,116,064  2,78.6,662 1,648,392 120,841 72,300 711,395 1,219,967 (30,812) 6,528,744  1,587,319  77,664 344,159 421,824	Rate Increase \$389,833  389,833  2,144  11,305  13,449  376,384  26,723 122,381 149,104	Adjusted for Proposed Increase \$8,416,080 89,817 8,505,897 2,786,662 1,650,536 120,841 72,300 711,395 1,231,272 (30,812) 6,542,193 1,963,703

## Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department Revenue Requirement Calculation (\$000's)

•	-	•		
		Twelve Months Ended March 31,		
		2010	2011	
Rate Base (Exhibit(EJR-1), Schedule 10)		\$14,657,761	\$16,323,749	
Rate of Return (Exhibit (JC-1), Schedule 1)		8.53%	8.53%	
Required Return		1,250,629	1,392,775	
Income Available (Exhibit(EJR-1), Schedule 1)	1,055,628	1,165,496		
Deficiency		195,001	227,279	
Retention Factor		58.3%	58.3%	
Additional Revenue Requirement		\$334,469	\$389,833	
•		•		
Proof Revenues Less:	100.00%	\$334,469	\$389,833	
Revenue Taxes Uncollectibles	2.900% 0.550%	9,700 1,840	11,305 2,144	
	96.550%	322,929	376,384	
New York State Income Tax @ 7.1%	6.855%	22,928	26,723	
Federal Income Tax @ 35%	89.695% 31.393%	300,001 105,000	349,661 122,381	
Retention Factor	58.302%	\$195,001	\$227,280	

### Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department Revenues & Purchased Power Expense (\$000's)

	(40000)		
	2009	2010	2011
T&D Revenues			. 04.070.540
Full Service (T&D)	\$1,540,690	\$1,450,191	\$1,379,519
Retail Access (TSD)	1,213,495	1,312,675	1,394,969
NYPA Delivery System Benefit Charge/Retail Portfolio Standard	301,378 118,287	303,640 126,391	306,189 136,480
Economic Development	20,651	20,652	20,651
T&D Revenues	3,194,501	3,213,549	3,237,808
142 1101011,400			
Fuel Revenues			
MSC Revenues	2,893,494	2,786,820	2,696,307
MAC Revenues	452,208	536,116	520,444
MSC True-Up	(134,105)	(181,886)	(201,197)
MAC True-Up	89,325	60,212	28,559
Fuel Revenues	3,300,922	3,201,262	3,044,113
Total Revenues (excl Rev Taxes)	6,495,423	6,414,811	6,281,921
Billing Day Adjustment (excl rev. taxes)	(13,097)	(1,143)	11,030
RY 1 Rate Relief (excl. rev. taxes)	1,189,913	1,189,913	1,189,913
RY 2 Rate Relief (excl. rev. taxes)	-	1,100,010	324,769
Revenue Taxes - Existing Rates	177,057	175,825	173,056
- Rate Increase / Billing Day Adj.	35,132	35,505	45,558
Total Revenues	7,884,428	7,814,910	8,026,247
Deferred Fuel Costs			
MSC Deferral	126,399	191,614	203,071
MAC Deferral	(89,366)	(50,557)	(29,879)
MSC Reversal	(134,105)	(181,886)	(201,1.97)
MAC Reversal	89,325	60,212	28,559
Cycle Billing Deferral MSC	9,888	. 4,633	1,687
Cycle Billing Deferral MAC  Net Fuel Deferral	(4,289)	2,222	245 2,486
Net Fuel Delettal	(2,148)	26,236	2,400
Capacity Costs			
East Coast Power	90,813	90,813	90,813
Indeck Corinth	61,634	62,762	55,601
Selkirk'	104378	fO9,92i	1i5;509" -
York NYC	50,957	50,196	46,712
** Sithe	100,653	. 99,739	103,620
Ramapo Par	225		· -
Astoria 6	50,923	51,253	51,570
IP2 Capacity	15,660	11,385.	8,615
ISO Capacity	298,647	283,881	267,455
total Capacity Costs	773,990	759,949	739,894
Energy Costs	•		
East Coast Power	434,916	420,728	400,837
Indeck Corinth	51,553	49,533	46,776
Selkirk	82,226	77,915	72,779
York NYC	105,075	102,119	98,020
Astoria 6 .	188,139	183,064	174,833
IP2 Energy	493,334	356,171	148,470
ISO Energy	346,316	431,689	585,311
Total Energy Costs	1,701,559	1,621,219	1,527,025
Other Miscellaneous Costs		•	
ISO-Ancillary	98,477	92,812	88,154
ISO-NTAC	12,397	11,677	11,101
ISO-TUC	185,084	174,343	166,239
Nuclear D&D	3,532	3,612	3,692
Oil Burned	25,382	25,491	25,249
Gas Burned	232,879	223,341	213,880
Pipeline Charges Paid to Gas	6,447	4,759	4,759
Mark to Market for NYMEX	2,443	(58)	-
Mark to Market for OTC	(2,792)	1,624	-
Storage and Handling	3,272	3,318	3,404
Other Fuel Charges Total Other Fuel Costs	803	789	. 779 E47.256
Total Other Fuel Costs	567,925	541,708	517,256
Total Fuel & Purchased Power Costs	\$3,041,326	\$2,949,111	\$2,786,662
Total I del & Fulchased Fower Costs	\$5,041,320	\$2,949,111	\$2,700,002
Other Recoveries Thru MAC	•		
Embedded Generation Cost	172,095	172,095	172,095
Additional Recovery for East River Repowering	67,213	65,480	63,702
Electric Embedded 59th and 74th Recovery	2,196	-,	
Additional Recovery for DSM	20,356	16,840	23,918
Sithe Rebate*	(2,263)	(2,263)	(2.263)
Total .	\$259,597	\$252,152	\$257,452

Recovered in Other Operating Revenues Sithe Capacity is shown net of the discount

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK. INC.
OTHER OPERATING REVENUES - ELECTRIC
FOR THE RATE YEARS ENDING MARCH 31, 2010 AND MARCH 31, 2011
\$ (000's)

					Escalation for			Escalation for		
					.12 Months			12 Months		
		Rate Year 1	Rate Year	Subject to	Ending		Subject to	Ending		
Line		As Reflected in	Normalizing	Inflation @	· March 31,	Rate Year 2	Inflation @	March 31,	Rate Year 3	Line
No,	Miscellaneous Service Revenues	Exhibit(AP-10)	Adjustments	2.10%	2010	As Adjusted	2.10%	2011	As Adjusted	No.
1	No Access Charges	\$ 3,564	\$ -	Y	\$ 75	\$ 3,639	Y	\$ 76	\$ 3,715	1
· 2	Meter Recovery Charges	4,009	-	Υ	84	4,093	Υ	86	4,179	2
3	Meter Reconnection Charges	2,040	-	Y	43	2,083	Υ.	. 44	2,127	3.
4	Collection Charges - Field Calls	2,881		Y	61	2,942	Υ	62	3,003	4
5 ·	Other	. 354		Υ	7_	361	Υ	8	369	5
		12,848	<del></del>		270	13,118	•	275	13,393	
	Rents:									
6	Rent from Electric Property	16,230		Y	341	16,571	Υ	348	16,919	6
7	Interdepartmental Rents	12,211		. Y	256_	12,467	Υ	262	12,729	7
		28,441	-		597	29,038		610	29,648	
	Other Electric Revenues:									
8	Transmission of Energy	11,406	-	N	-	11,406	N	-	11,406	8
8	Maint. of Interconnection Facilities	2,263	-	Υ	48	2,311	Υ	. 49	2,359	8
9	Excess Distribution Facilities	2,911	-	Y	61	2,972	Υ	62	3,035	_9
10	Late Payment Charges	21,329	-	Υ	. 448	21,777	Υ	457	22,234	10
11	Meter Reading Services	3,159	-	Υ	66	3,225	Υ	68	3,293	11
12	The Learning Center Services	769	•	Υ	16	785	Υ	16	802	12
· 13	M & C Services	. 306 .	-	Υ	6	312	Υ	7.	319	13
14	Fuel Management Program	. 99		Y	2	101	Υ	. 2	103	14
15	KeySpan Settlement	. 151	-	N	_	151	N		151	15
16	TCCTCfedits	60,000		N	·· · · · -	60,000	. N		60;000	16
17	Sithe Agreement	2,263	•	Ν.	- ,	2,263	N -		2,263	17
18	PORDiscount (Revenues from ESCO)	4,346	•	N	-	4,346	Ν .	-	4,346	18
19 '	ESCOS/Marketers - Bills Charges (CUBS)	3,079	-	N	-	3,079	N	-	3,079	19
20	Steam Carrying Charges 74th/59th Street Stations	(2,196)	-	N	2,196	-	Ν.		-	20
21	Miscellaneous .	342	-	Υ	7	349	Υ	. 7	357	. 21
22	Low Income Discount Program	(12,500)		N		(12,500)	N	'	(12,500)	22
	•	97,727			2,851	100,578		668	101,246	
	Reaulatory Accountina									
23	Amortization of ADR Benefits	16,059	• .	N	-	16,059	N	-	16,059	23
24	Interest on IRS Audit Adjustments	7,404	-	N	-	7,404	N	-	7,404	24
25	NYS Corporate Business Tax	9,207		N	. •	9,207	N	-	9,207	25
26	Interest 1st Avenue Properties	2,752	-	N.	-	2,752	· N	→ 2	2,752	26
27	Amortization of World Trade Center Costs	(37,270)	•	N	=	. (37,270)	N	-	(37,270)	. 27
28	Amortization of T8.D Carrying Charges	(52,623)	<u> </u>	N	<u></u>	(52,623)	N		(52,623)	28
	·	(54,471)				(54,471)			(54,471)	
	Total Other Operating Revenues	\$ 84,545	\$ -		\$ 3,718	\$ 88,263		\$ 1,554	\$ 89,817	

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.
ELECTRIC OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES (excl. Purchased Power)
FOR THE RATE YEARS ENDING MARCH 31,2010 AND MARCH 31, 2011
(\$000s)

						Escalation			Escalation	
						for			for	
			12 Months			12 Months	12 Months		12 Months	12 Months
			Ending	Payroll	Subject to	Ending	Ending	Subject to	Ending	Ending
	LINE	·	March 31,	Escl. @	Inflation @	March 31,	March 31,	Inflation @	March 31,	March 31,
	NO.	Administrative Expenses Transferred - cr.	(\$40,000)	2.84% N	2.10% Y	2010 (\$229)	2010	2.10% Y	2011 (\$234)	2011 (\$11,358)
		Bowline and Roseton Charges	(\$10,896) 373	N	Υ .	(\$229)	(\$11,125) 381	· Y	(\$234)	(\$11,336)
		Asbestos Removal	813	N	Ϋ́	17	830	Υ	17	846
	4	Bank Collection Fees	230	N	Υ	. 5	235	Υ	5	240
	5	Benefit Cost - Program Change Labor	7,082	Υ	N	201	7,283	. N	. 207	7,490
	6	Boiler Cleaning .	612	N	Υ	. 13	625	Υ	13	638
	7	Building Service	. 30,574	N,	Υ	642	31,216	Υ	656	31,872
	8	Central Engineering-Administrative	1	N	Y	0	1	Υ	. 0	1
	9	Central Engineering-Distribution	1,145	N	Y	24	1,169	Y	25	1,194
	10	Collection Agency Fees	458	N	Y Y	10	468	Y Y	10	477
	11	Communications - Telephone	21,337	. N . Y	N	448	21,785	N	457	22,243
	12 13	Company Labor AMR/AMI saturation savings	. 562,810 (1,892)	Y	N	15,984 (54)	578,794 (1,946)	N	16,436 (55)	595,232 (2.001)
	14	Consultants	10,517	N	Y	221	10,738	Υ.	. 225	10,963
	15	Contract Labor	1,601	N ·	Y	34	1,635	Y	34	1,669
	16	Corrective Maintenance	4,258	N	Y	69	4,347	· Y	91	4,439
	17	Disposal of Obsolete M&S	8,051	N	· Y	169	8,220	Υ	173	8,393
	18	DSM ·	20,356	N	N	-	20,356	N	-	20,356
	19	Duplicate Misc. Charges	(19,074)	N	· Y	(401)	(19,475)	Υ	(409)	(19,884)
	20	EDP Equipment Rentals & Mtce.	3,769	N	Υ	79	3,848	Υ	81	3,929
	21	Electricity & Gas Used	· 215	N	Υ	5	220	Υ	5	224
	22	Employee Welfare Expense - Net	97,482	. N	· Y	2,047	99,529	Υ	2,090	101,619
	23	Environmental Affairs	2,379	N	Y	50 ·	2,429	Y	· 51	2,480
	24	Environmental Programs	1,064	N	. Y	22	1,086	Y	. 23	1,109
	. 25	ERRP (Maintenance Reserve)	7,442	N	N Y	-	7,442	N Y		7,442
	26	Facilities Management Financial Services	4,448	N N.	Y	93 128	4,541	Ϋ́	95 131	4,637
	27	•	6,096	N.	Ϋ́		6,224		-67	6,355
	28 29	Gas Turbine? Grounds and Buildings	3,108 .	!. <del>\</del>	Υ Υ	' <u>65</u> . 7	3, <u>173</u>	• <u>.</u> ۲ Y	7	3.240 345
	30	Information Resources	24,098	N	Υ	506	24,604	Υ .	517	25,121
	31	Informational Advertising	22,123	N	. Y	465	22,588	Υ	474	23,062
	32	Injuries & Damages Reserve	40,110	N	N		40,110	· N	т	40,110
	33	insttt. Dues & Subscriptions	1,421	N	Υ	30	1,451	Υ.	. 30 .	1,481
	34	Insurance Premiums	29,425	N	Υ	618	30,043	Υ	631	30,674
	35	Interference ·	106,433	N	Υ	2,235	108,668	Υ	. 2,282	110,950
	36	Corporate and fiscal expenses	3,607	N	Υ.	76	. 3,683	Υ .	77	3,760
	37	Manhour Expense	33,298	N	Y	. 699	33,997	Υ	714	34,711
	38	Marshall's Fees	1,345	. N	Y	. 28	1,373	Y	29	1,402
	39	Materials & Supplies	14,959	N N	Y	314	15,273	Y	321	15,594
	40	NYISO .	21	N .	<b>Y</b> Y	0	21	Y	.0	. 22
	41	Other (Fossil)	2,576	N N	Y	54	2,630	Y Y	55	2,685
	42 43	Outside Legal Services Paving	1,877 . 271	N	Ϋ́	, 39 6	1,916 . 277	Ϋ́	40 6	1,957 283
	44	Plant Component Upgrade .	292	N	Ϋ́	6	298	Ϋ́	. 6	304
	45	Postage	14,190	N .	Υ .	298	14,488	Y	304	14,792
	46	Preventive Maintenance	2,356	Ν.	Υ	49 '	2,405	Υ.	51	2,456
	47	RCA-Amort of Hudson-Farragut	477	N	N		477 -	- N	•	.477
	48	System Benefit Charge/Renewable Portfolio Standard .	118,287	, N	N		118,287	N	. •	118,287
	49	Real Estate Expense •	2,070	. N	Y	. 43	2,113	Υ	44	2,158
	50	Regulatory Commission Expense	27,247	N	Y	572	27,819	Y	584	28,403
	51	Rents	54,433	N	. Y -	_^ 1,143	55,576	. Y	1,167	56,743
	52	Rents (ERRP)	67,213	N	N		. 67,213	. N . N	-	67,213
	53 54	Rents (interdepartmental) Research & Development	4,834 22,750	N N	N Y	478	4,834	N Y	488	4,834 23,716
. '	55	Scheduled Overhauls	1,273	N	Ϋ́	478 27	23,228 <sub>.</sub> 1,300	· 'Y ·	466 27	1,327
	56	Security	2,278	N.	. Y	48	2,326	Ϋ́	. 49	2,375
	57	Shared Services	(6,315)	N	Υ	(133)	(6,448)	Υ	(135)	(6,583)
	58	Steam Transfer Credit (Fossil)	(1)	N	Υ	(0)	(1)	. Y	(0)	(1)
	59	Storm Costs (Reserve)	8,000	N	N	- (0)	8,000	N	- (0)	8,000
	60	Transformer Installations	55	Ν.	Y	1	56	Υ	1	57
	61	Tree Trimming	20,900	N	Υ	439	21,339	Υ	448.	21,787
	62	Trenching	7,963	N	Υ	167	8,130	Υ	171	8,301
	63	Uncoilectibies	43,864	N	N		43,864	N		43,884
	64	Water	779	N	Y	16 .	795	.ү	17	812
	65	Water Chemicals	88	. N	Y	2	90	Y	2	92
	66	Other	144,610	. N	Y	3,037	147,647	Y	3,101	150,747
		Total ORM Evangage*	¢ 4 500 007			\$20.042	© 4 644 040		© 24 740	© 1640.550
		Total O&M Expenses*	\$ 1,583,897			\$30,943	\$ 1,614,840		\$ 31,712	\$ 1,646,552

\*excl. Purchased Power, Pensions/OPEBs, MGP

#### CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK. INC.

ELECTRIC PENSION / OPEB EXPENSE FOR THE RATE YEARS ENDING MARCH 31, 2010 AND MARCH 31, 2011 (\$000s)

			·
Electric Expense	12 Months Ending March 31, 2009	Program Changes	12 Months Ending March 31, 2010
Pension Expense - Qualified Plan Retiree Health / Life Insurance (OPEBs) Subtotal - Qualified Plans	\$ 72,880.3 48,046.3 120,926.6	\$ 8,056.0 (767.3) 7,288.7	\$ 80,936,3 47,279.0 128,215.3
Direct Pension Payments. Supplemental Pension Plan	58.8 	1.2 5.6	60.0 272.3
Gross Pension & QPEBs Expense	121,252.1	7,295.5	128,547.6
Capitalized Billed to Affiliates	(38,622.9) (2,531.3)	(2,324.0) (243.5)	(40,946.9) (2,774.8)
Amort. Of Def Pension Expense	2,000.0	· ••	2,000.0
NetCurrent Pension & OPEBs Exp.	\$ 82,097.9	\$ 4,728.0	\$ 86,825.9
		٠.٠ .	
	12 Months Ending	Program	12 Months Ending
Electric Expense	March 31, 2010	Changes	March 31, 2011
Pension Expense - Qualified Plan Retiree Health / Life Insurance (OPEBs)	\$ 80,936.3 47,279.0	\$40,281.4 11,549.3	\$ 121,217.7 58,828.3
Subtotal - Qualified Plans	128,215.3	51,830.7	180,046.0
Direct Pension Payments. Supplemental Pension Plan	60.0 272.3	1.3 5.7	61.3 
Gross Pension & OPEBs Expense	128,547.6	51,837.7	180,385.3
Capitalized Billed to Affiliates Amort. Of Def Pension Expense	(40,946.9) (2,774.8) 2,000.0	(16,513.6) (1,309.3)	(57,460.5) (4,084.1) 2,000.0
Net Current Pension & OPEBs Exp.	\$ 86,825.9	\$34,014.8	<u>\$ 120,840.7</u>

### Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

Site Investigation and Remediation Expenditures (\$ x 1000) Rate Year (April 2008 - March 2009)

MGP Superfund Appendix B Astoria	Total	Linking Period \$67,100 2,300 4,200 3,300 76,900	Rate Year \$ 102,633 2,598 3,461 4,235 112,927	Total \$ 169,733 4,898 7,661 7,535 189,827
Allocation to Electric - 78.7%				149,394
Under (Over) Collection at March 20	07 (Electr	rig)		9,547
April 2007 - March 2008 Amortization	i		·. ·	(8,935)
Balance to be Recovered				150,006
Three-Year Amortization				50,002
Level In Rates	-		•	(8,433)
Net Increase				\$ 41,569

#### Rate Years Beginning April 1 of 2009 (RY2) & 2010 (RY3)

		RY2		RY3
MGP	\$	36,300	\$	36,300
Superfund		2,100		2,100
Appendix B		2,100		2,100
Astoria		2,000		2,000
Total		42,500	. <del></del>	42,500
Allocation to Electric - 78.7%	<u>\$</u>	33,448	\$	33,448
Three-Year Amortization	<u>\$</u>	11,149	<u>\$.</u>	11,149

Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department Depreciation Expense (\$000's)

Twelve Months Ending March 31,

Electric	2008	2009	2010	2011
Electric Transmission and Distribution	384,700	438,982	479,603	534,665
Electric Steam Production	10,829	15,629	17,321	19,056
Other Production	1,443	1,524	1,524	1,524
Common	94,685	101,596	114,063	114,375
Amortization of Reserve Deficiency		41,775	41,775_	41,775
Total Electric	491,657	599,506	654,286	711,395

# Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department Company Revenue Requirement Taxes Other than Income Taxes For the Twelve Months Ending March 31, 2009 (\$000's)

	Rate Year 1 As Reflected in Exhibit (AP-10)	Rate Year 2 Adjustments	Rate Year 2 As Adjusted	Proposed Rate Increase	Rate Year 2 As Adjusted For Proposed Rate Increase
Revenue Taxes - Sales Revenues - Other Operating Rev.	\$212,189 1,498	(\$859) 31	\$211,330 1,529	\$9,700	\$221,030 1,529
Subsidiary Capital Taxes	4,228	89	\$4,317		4,317
Receipts Tax	15,079	301	15,380		15,380
Property Taxes	781,661	70,024	851,685		851,685
Payroll Taxes	55,094	1,136	56,230		56,230
All Other	1,679	35_	1,714		1,714
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	\$1,071,428	\$70,758	\$1,142,186	\$9,700	\$1,151,886
Less: Gross Receipts Taxes	(212,189)	859	(211,330)	(9,700)	(221,030)
Total Excluding GRT	\$859,239	\$71,617	\$930,856	\$0	\$930,856
	*				

### For the Twelve Months Ending September 30, 2010 (\$000's)

	Rate Year 2 As Reflected in Exhibit (EJR-1)	Rate Year 3 Adjustments	Rate Year 3 As Adjusted	Proposed Rate Increase	Rate Year 3 As Adjusted For Proposed Rate Increase
Revenue Taxes - Sales Revenues - Other Operating Rev.	\$221,030 1,529	(\$2,416) 32	\$218,614 \$1,562	\$11,305	\$229,919 1,562
Subsidiary Capital Taxes	4,317	·. 91	\$4,407		4,407
Receipts Tax	. 15,380	308	15,688		15,688
Property Taxes	851,685	68,862	920,547		920,547
Payroll Taxes	56,230	1,168	57,399		57,399
All Other	1,714	36_	1,750		1,750
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	\$1,151,886	\$68,081	\$1,219,967	\$11,305	\$1,231,272
Less: Gross Receipts Taxes	(221,030)	2,416	(218.614)	(11,305)	(229,919)
Total Excluding GRT	\$930,856	\$70,497	\$1,001,353	\$0	\$1,001,353

#### Exhibit \_\_\_\_ (EJR-1) Schedule 7 Page 1 of 2

Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department New York State Income Tax Twelve Months Ending March 31,:2009 (\$000's)

	Rate Year 1 As Reflected in Exhibit (AP-9)	Rate Year 2 Adjustments	Rate Year 2 As Adjusted	Proposed Rate Increase	Rate Year 2 As Adjusted For Proposed Rate Increase
Operating Income Before Income Taxes	\$1,571,528	(\$145,943)	\$1,425,585	\$322,929	\$1,748,514
Deduct: Non Taxable Inc. & Add'l Deductions		,			
Interest Expense	395,556	42,873	438,429		438,429
Medicare Part D Subsidy - Post Employment Benefits	15,82 <u>4</u> _	91_	<u>15,915</u>		15,915
Total Deductions	411,380	42,964	<u>45</u> 4,344		454,344
Normalized Items:					
Add: Add'l Income & Unallowable Deducts Normal					-
Book Depreciation	599,506	54,781	654,287	-	654,287
Capitalized Interest	10,055	3,834	13,889		13,889
Pensions / OPEB expense - Per Books	82,098	4,728	86,826		86,826
Contributions in Aid of Construction	1,855	(1,855)			
Total Additions	693,514	61,487	755,001	-	755,00 <u>1</u>
Deduct: Non Taxable Inc. & Add'l Deductions					
NYS Depreciation	586,293	44,090	630,383		630,383
Removal Costs	160,688	(4,647)	156,041		156,041
Repair Allowance	14,553	=	14,553		14,553
Amortization of Capitalized Interest	3,881	(3,881)			-
-Loss onMAGRSRetirements	44,763	223	44,986		· · · · · · · · · 44j986 · ·
Pensions / OPEB expense - Funding	108,134	(15,976)	92,158		92,158
Westchester Property Tax adjustment	597	(597)	-		-
Correction of ADR Taxes	16,059	-	16,059		16,059
Interest on Federal income tax audit adjustments - net	7,404		7,404		7,404
New York State Tax Law Changes	9,207		9,207		9,207
Interest on First Avenue Properties	2,752		2,752		2,752
WTC expenses	(37,270)		(37,270)		(37,270)
Carrying Charges on T&D expenditures	(52,623)		(52,623)		. (52,623)
Gain on the Sale of First Avenue Properties	30,812		30,812		30,812
Total Deductions	895,250	19,212	914,462		914,462
Taxable Income-New York State	958,412	(146,633)	811,779	322,929	1,134,709
Current New York State Income Tax @ 7.1 %	68,047	(10,411)	57,636	22,928	80,564
Deferred New York State Income Tax	14,323	(3,002)	11,322		11,322
Sub-Total NY State Income Tax Expense	82,370	(13,413)	68,958	22,928	91,886
Amortization of Previously Deferred Excess SIT	· ·				
Total New York State Income Tax	\$82,370	(\$13,413)	\$68,958	\$22,928	\$91,886

#### Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department New York State Income Tax Twelve Months Ending March 31, 2010 (\$000's)

	Rate Year 2 As Reflected in Exhibit (EJR-1)	Rate Year 3 Adjustments	Rate Year 3 As Adjusted	Proposed Rate <u>Increase</u>	Rate Year 3 As Adjusted For Proposed Rate Increase
Operating Income Before Income Taxes	\$1,748,514		\$1,587,319	\$376,384	\$1,963,703
Deduct: Non Taxable Inc. & Add'l Deductions					
Interest Expense	438,429	39,111	477,540		477,540
Medicare Part D Subsidy - Post Employment Benefits	<u>15,915</u>		<u>15,915</u>		15,915
Total Deductions	454,344	39,111	493,455		493,455
Normalized Items:					
Add: Add'l Income & Unallowable Deducts Normal Book Depreciation	654,287	57,109	711,395		711,395
Capitalized Interest	13,889	(7,679)	6,209	-	6,209
Pensions / OPEB expense - Per Books	86,826	34,015	120,841		120,841
Contributions in Aid of Construction	. 00,020	34,013 -	120,041		120,041
Total Additions	755,001	83,444	838,445	-	838,445
Deduct: Non Taxable Inc. & Add'l Deductions					
NYS Depreciation	630,383	42,125	672,508		672,508
Removal Costs .	156,041	3,023	159,064		159,064
Repair Allowance	14,553	-	14,553	•	14,553
AmortizationiOf Capitalized Interest	-	· •	-		-
Loss on MACRS Retirements	44,986	356	45,342		45,342
Pensions;/ OPEB expertise - Funding	92,158	75,709	167,867		167,867
Westchester Property Tax adjustment Correction of ADR Taxes	16.059	-	16,059		16,059
Interest on Federal income tax audit adjustments - net	7,404	_	7,404		7,404
New York State Tax Law Changes	9,207	_	9,207		9,207
Interest on First Avenue Properties	2,752	_	2,752		2,752
WTC expenses	(37,270)	-	(37,270)		(37,270)
Carrying Charges on T&D expenditures	(52,623)		(52,623)		(52,623)
Gain on the Sale of First Avenue Properties	30,812		30,812	1	30,812
Total Deductions	914,462	121,213	1,035,675		1,035,675
Taxable Income-New York State	1,134,709	(238,075)	896,634	376,384	1,273,018
Current New York State Income Tax @ 7.1 %	80,564	(16,903)	63,661	26,723	90,384
Deferred New York State Income Tax.	11,322	2,682	14,003		14,003
Sub-Total NY State Income Tax Expense	91,886	(14,221)	77,664	26,723	104,387
Amortization of Previously Deferred Excess SIT	0				·
Total New York State Income Tax	\$91,886	(\$14,221)	\$77,664	\$26,723	\$104,387

#### Exhibit (EJR-1) Schedule S Page 1 of 2

### Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department Federal Income Tax Twelve Months Ending March 31, 2009 (\$000's)

					Rate Year 2
•					As Adjusted
	Rate Year 1			Proposed	For Proposed
	As Reflected in	Rate Year 2	Rate Year 2	Rate	Rate
•	Exhibit (AP-10)	<u>Adiustments</u>	As Adjusted	<u>Increase</u>	Increase
Operating Income Before Income Taxes	\$1,571,528	\$ (145,943)	\$ 1,425,585	\$322,929	\$1,748,514
New York State Income Taxes	82,370	(13,413)	68,958	22,928	91,886
Book Operating Income before FIT	<u>1,489,158</u>	(132,531)	<u>1,356,627</u>	300,001	1,656,628
· ·					
Flow Through Items					
Add: Additional Income and Unallowable Deductions					
Book Depreciation	599,506	54,781	654,287	•	654,287
Hudson-Farragut Amortization - Per Books	477	-	477		477
Capitalized Interest	10,055	3,834	13,889		<u>13,889</u> .
Total Additions	610,038	<u>58,614</u>	668,652		668,652
<u>Deduct: Non-Taxable Income and Additional Deductions</u>					
Interest on Debt	395,556	42,873	438,429		438,429
Statutory Depreciation - at current book rates	309,263	37,876	347,139		347,139
Removal Costs	160,688	(4,647)	156,041		156,041
Medicare Part D Subsidy - Post Employment Benefits	15,824	91	15,915		15,915
Amortization of Capitalized Interest	2,039	(2,039)	-		-
Westchester Property Tax Adjustment	597	(597)			_
Dividends Paid on \$5 Cumulative Preferred Stock	3,327	(007)	3,327		3,327
Total Deductions	887,294	73,557	960,851		960,851
Total Deductions	007,234	13,331	900,031		300,031
Normalized Items:					
Add: Additional Income & Unallowable Deductions:					
Contributions in Aid of Construction	1,855	(4 OEE)			
Pensions / OPEB Expense - Per Books		(1,855)			-
Deferred State Income Tax	82,098	4,728	86,826		86,826
Total Additions	14,323	(3,002)	11,322	·——	11,322
Total Additions	98,276	(129)	98,148	<del></del>	98,148
Deduct: Non-Taxable Income & Other Deductions:					
					400:750:: :
Statutory Depreciation	344,812	75,947	420i759		420,759
Repair Allowance	14,553	-	14,553		14,553
Loss on MACRS Retirements	26,426	132	26,558		26,558
Amortization of Capitalized Interest	1,842	(1,842)	<del>.</del>		•
Pensions/ OPEB expense - Funding	108,134	(15,976)	92,158		92,158
Correction of ADR Taxes	16,059	-	16,059		16,059
Interest on Federal income tax audit adjustments - net	7,404	-	7,404		7,404
New York State Tax Law Changes .	9,207		9,207		9,207
Interest on First Avenue Properties	2,752	-	2,752		- 2,752
WTC expenses	(37,270)	-	(37,270)		(37,270)
Carrying Charges on T&D expenditures	(52,623)		(52,623)		(52,623)
Gain on the sale of First Avenue Properties	30,812		30,812		30,812
Total Deductions	472,108	58,260	530,368		530,368
·					· · · · · ·
Total Adjustments to Book Income	(651,088)	(73,332)	(724,420)_		(724,420)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Taxable Income	838,070	(205,863)	632,207	300,001	932,209
Federal Income Tax Expense			·		
Composite Rate per Company		4.			
FIT Payable at 35%	293,324	. (72.052)	221,273	105,000	326,273
	<del></del>				,
Deferred Income Tax:					
Deferred FIT @ 35%	130,841	20,436	151,277	-	151,277
1	,				
Amortization of Previously Deferred Federal Income Tax-					
Depreciation - ADR / ACRS / MACRS - at current book rates	(38,759)		(38,759)	-	(38,759)
Depreciation - ADR / ACRS / MACRS - proposed book rates	(1,436)		(1,436)	-	(1,436)
Depreciation - ADR / ACRS / MACRS - reserve deficiency	(2,539)		(2,539)	-	(2,539)
Loss on MACRS Retirements					
Repair Allowance	(3,232)		(3,232)	-	(3,232)
Capitalized Overheads .	(9,617)		(9,617)	-	(9,617)
Investment Tax Credit	. (11,197) .		(11,197)	-	(11,197)
Total F.I.T. Expense Deferred :	(4,770)	20,436	(4,770)	<u> </u>	(4,770)
Total T.I.T. Expense Delened .	59,291			<del></del>	79,727
Total FIT Evapage	¢252 645	(\$E1.616)		£40E 000	#400.000
Total F.I.T. Expense	\$352,615	(\$51,616)	\$301,000_	\$105,000	\$406,000

#### Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department Federal Income Tax Twelve Months Ending March 31, 2010 (\$000's)

New Y	ing Income Before Income Taxes ork State Income Taxes Operating Income before FIT	Rate Year 2 As Reflected in Exhibit (EJR-1) \$1,748,514 91,886 1,656,628	Rate Year 3 Adjustments (\$161,195) (14,221) (146,973)	Rate Year 3 <u>As Adjusted</u> \$1,587,319 <u>77,664</u> 1,509,655	Proposed Rate <u>Increase</u> \$376,384 26,723 349,661	Rate Year 3 As Adjusted For Proposed Rate Increase \$1,963,703 104,387 1,859,316
Flow T	hrough Items	•			•	
Add: A	dditional Income and Unallowable Deductions					
	Depreciation n-Farragut Amortization - Per Books	654,287 477	57,109	711,395	-	711,395 477
	lized Interest	13,889	(7,679)	6,209		6,209
	otal Additions	668,652	49,430	718,082		718,082
Doduc	t: Non-Taxable Income and Additional Deductions					
	t non-raxable income and Additional Deductions	438,429	39,111	477,540		477,540
	ory Depreciation - at current book rates	347,139	36,942	384,081	ŕ	384,081
	val Costs	156,041	3,023	159,064		159,064
	are Part D Subsidy - Post Employment Benefits	15,915	65	15,980		15,980
	zation of Capitalized Interest hester Property Tax Adjustment	-	.*	•		0
	nds Paid on \$5 Cumulative Preferred Stock	3,327	:	. 3,327_		3,327
	otal Deductions	960,851	79,142	1,039,993		1,039,993
	dized Items:					
	Additional Income & Unallowable Deductions:					
	ons/ OPEB Expense - Per Books	86,826	34,015	120.841		· 120,841
Deferre	ed State Income Tax	11,322	2,682	14,003		14,003
· T	otal Additions	98,148	36,696	134,844	<u> </u>	134,844
Deduc	fctenrTaxable Jncorne-&Other Deductions:				•	
	ory Depreciation	420,759	74,332	495,091		495,091
	Allowance	14,553		14,553		14,553
	n MACRS Retirements	26,558	133	26,690		26,690
	zation of Capitalized Interest ons^ OPEB expense - Funding	-	<b>-</b> 75 700	407.007		
	tion of ADR Taxes	92,158 16,059	75,709	167,867 16,059		167,867 16,059
	st on Federal income tax audit adjustments - net	7,404	-	7,404		7,404
New Y	ork State Tax Law Changes	9,207	-	9,207		9,207
	st on First Avenue Properties	2,752	-	2,752		2,752
	expenses	(37,270)	-	(37,270)		(37,270)
	ng Charges on T&D expenditures on the sale of First Avenue Properties	(52,623) 30,812		(52,623) 30.812		(52,623) 30,812
	otal Deductions	530,368	150,174	680,542		680,542
	·				•	
Т	otal Adjustments to Book Income	(724,420)	(143,189)	(867,609)		(867,609)
Taxab	le Income	932.209	(290,163)	642,046	349,661	991.707
	al Income Tax Expense					
	osite Rate per Company				·	<u> </u>
FILI	Payable at 35%	326,273	(101,557)	224,716	122,381	. 347,097
Deferr	ed Income Tax:	•				
D (	ed FIT @ 35%	151,277	39,717	190,994	•	190,994
	institute of Description by Defended Fordered Income Tour					
	ization of Previously Deferred Federal Income Tax ciation - ADR / ACRS / MACRS - at current book rates	(38,759)		(38,759)		(38,759)
	ciation - ADR / ACRS / MACRS - proposed book rates	(1,436)		(1,436)	<del>.</del>	(1,436)
Depre	ciation - ADR / ACRS / MACRS - reserve deficiency	(2,539)		(2,539)	-	(2,539)
	on MACRS Retirements	(3,232)		(3,232)	-	(3,232)
	r Allowance	(9,617)		(9,617)	-	(9,617)
	alized Overheads ment Tax Credit	(11,197) (4,770)		(11,197) (4,770)	-	(11,197) (4,770)
	F.I.T. Expense Deferred :	79,727	39,717	119,444		119,444
_						
Total I	F.I.T. Expense	\$406,000	(\$61,840)	\$344,160	\$122,381	\$466,541

## Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department Rate Base TWELVE MONTHS ENDING MARCH 31, 2010 (\$000's)

Exhibit \_\_\_ (EJR-1) Schedule 9 Page 1 of 4

	Rate Year 1 As Reflected in	Rate Year 2	Rate Year 2
	Exhibit (AP-9)	Adjustments	As Adjusted
Utility Plant:			
Book Cost of Plant	\$17,505,526	\$1,567,156	\$19,072,682
Accumulated Reserve for Depreciation	(3,789,936)	(182,532)	(3,972,468)
Net Plant	13,715,590	1,384,624	15,100,214
Non-Interest Bearing CWIP	347,912	66,487	414,399
Preferred Stock Expense	2,366	-	2,366
Unamortized Debt Discount Premium And Expense	135,204	-	135,204
Deferred Fuel - Net of Tax	37,008	(9,183)	27,826
F.I.T. Refund Deficiency- Incl. Interest - Net of Tax	18,971		18,971
Unamortized Balance - Hudson Farragut	1,800	(167)	1,633
Customer Advances For Construction	(206)	-	(206)
M.T.A. Surtax - Net of Tax	1,790	· -	1,790
Working Capital	560,994	41,746	602,740
Excess Rate Base Over Capitalization Adjustment	382,035	-	382,035
Early Retirement Termination Benefit (1999) - Net of Tax	9,095	(1,300)	7,795
Dc Service Incentive - Net of Tax	(5,808)	-	(5,808)
System Benefits Charge (Various) - Net of Tax	(3,845)	-	(3,845)
Amounts Billed In Advance Of Construction - Net of Tax	(5,218)	-	(5,218)
B IR Discounts -Recovery -Net of Tax	3339		3,339
			-,
Rate Case Reconciliations - Net of FIT			
Refund of Gain From Sale of 1st Ave Properties	(46,315)	18,526	(27,789)
Refund of Customer Benefits From the Correction of ADR Taxes	(23,758)	9,503	(14,255)
Refund of Interest On Federal Income Tax Audit Adjustments	(11,129)	4,452	(6,677)
Refund of Over Collection of NYS Tax Law Changes	(12,632)	5,053	(7,579)
Recovery of Carrying Charges on T&D Expenditures	79,099	(31,640)	47,459
Recovery of WTC Costs	156,508	(62,603)	93,905
Accumulated Deferred FIT			
ADR / ACRS / MACRS Deductions	(1,642,582)	(91,683)	(1,734,265)
Change of Accounting Section 263A	(298,381)	11,197	(287,184)
Vested Vacation	12,101	-	12,101
Prepaid Insurance Expenses	(1,729)	-	(1,729)
Unbilled Revenues	105,914	-	105,9.14
Contributions In Aid of Construction	14,231	<del>-</del>	14,231
Capitalized Interest	. 4,861	-	4,861
Repair & Maintenance Allowance -1995-97 IRS Audit	6,193	-	6,193
Customer Deposits	33,799	-	33,799
Call Premium	(20,307)	-	(20,307)
Deferred S.IT.	(232,829)	(11,322)	(244,151)
Rate Base - Total	\$13,324,070	\$1,333,691	\$14,657,761

# Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department Working Capital Allowance TWELVE MONTHS ENDING MARCH 31, 2010 (\$000's)

Exhibit\_\_\_\_(EJR-1) Schedule 9 Page 2 of 4

	Rate Year 1 As Reflected in Exhibit (AP-8)	Rate Year 2 Adjustments	Rate Year 2 As Adjusted
M&S			
Liquid Fuel Inventory	\$5,715	\$120	\$5,835
Materials and Supplies, Excluding Fuel	86,787	1,823	88,610
Total Materials and Supplies	92,502	1,943	94,445
<u>Prepayments</u>			
Insurance	12,653	266	12,918
Rents	15,138	318	15,456
Property Taxes	172,755	35,012	207,767
PSC Assessment	7,949	167	8,115
Interference	1,694	36	1,730
EPRI .	173	. 4	. 177
Other	12,012	252	12,264
Total Prepayments	222,374	36,054	258,428
Cash Working Capital		·	4 = 0 = 400
Total Operation & Maintenance Expenses	4,750,583	(45,395)	4,705,188
Less: Purchased Power Expenses	2,781,137	(92,215)	2,688,922
Gas Portion of Fuel	232,879		232,879
Recoverable Fuel Costs	25,382	. <del>-</del> .	25,382
Interdepartmental Rents	4,834		4,834
Uncollectibles	37,124	-	37,124
Pensions	82,098	4,728	86,826
	1,587,129	42,092	1,629,221
Cash Working Capital @ 1/8th	198,391	5,261	203,653
Add: Cash Working Capital @ 1/2 on Rec. Fuel Costs	2,116		2,116
Total	\$515,383	\$43,258	\$558,641
Add: Working Capital Related to Purchased Power	45,611	(1,512)	44,099
Total Working Capital	\$560,994	\$41,746	\$602,740

## Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department Rate Base TWELVE MONTHS ENDING MARCH 31, 2011 (\$000's)

Exhibit (EJR-1) Schedule 9 Page 3 of 4

	Rate Year 2	Rate Year 3 Adjustments	Rate Year 3 As Adjusted
Utility Plant:	rato roar <u>L</u>	rajaonnono	7 to 7 tajaotog
Book Cost of Plant	\$19,072,682	\$1,977,695	\$21,050,376
Accumulated Reserve for Depreciation	(3,972,468)	(227,013)	(4,199,482)
Net Plant	15,100,214	1,750,681	16,850,895
Non-Interest Bearing CWIP	414,399	54,686	469,085
Preferred Stock Expense	2,366		2,366
Unamortized Debt Discount Premium And Expense	135,204	<u> </u>	135,204
Deferred Fuel - Net of Tax	27,826	(870)	26,955
F.I.T. Refund Deficiency- Incl. Interest - Net of Tax	18,971		18,971
Unamortized Balance - Hudson Farragut	1,633	(167)	1,466
Customer Advances For Construction	(206)	. –	(206)
M.T.A. Surtax-Net of Tax	1,790	_	1,790
Working Capital	602,740	40,172	642,912
Excess Rate Base Over Capitalization Adjustment	382,035	. –	382,035
Early Retirement Termination Benefit (1999) - Net of Tax	7,795	(1,300)	6,495
Dc Service Incentive - Net of Tax	(5,808)	_	(5,808)
System Benefits Charge (Various) - Net of Tax	(3,845)	· -	(3,845)
Amounts Billed In Advance Of Construction - Net of Tax	(5,218)	, -	(5,218)
B I R Discounts - Recovery - Net of Tax	3,339		3,339
Rate Case Reconciliations - Net of FIT			·
Refund of Gain From Sale of 1st Ave Properties	(27,789)	18,526	(9,263)
Refund of Customer Benefits From the Correction of ADR Taxes	(14,255)	9,503	(4,752)
Refund of Interest On Federal Income Tax Audit Adjustments	(6,677)	4,452	(2,226)
Refund of Over Collection of NYS Tax Law Changes	(7,579)	5,053	(2,526)
Recovery of Carrying Charges on T&D Expenditures	47,459	(31,640)	15,820
Recovery of WTC Costs	93,905	(62,603)	31,302
Accumulated Deferred FIT	•		
ADR / ACRS / MACRS Deductions	(1,734,265)	(117,699)	(1,851,964)
Change of Accounting Section 263A	(287,184)	11,197	(275,987)
Vested Vacation	12,101	_	12,101
Prepaid Insurance Expenses	(1,729)	•	(1,729)
Unbilled Revenues	105,914	. •	105,914
Contributions In Aid of Construction	14,231		14,231
Capitalized Interest	4,861	•	4,861
Repair & Maintenance Allowance -1995-97 IRS Audit	6,193	· -	6,193
Customer Deposits	. 33,799	-	33·, 799
Call Premium	(20,307)		. (20,307)
Deferred S.I.T.	(244,151)	(14,003)	(258,154)
Rate Base - Total	\$14,657,761_	\$1,665,988	\$16,323,749

# Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. Electric Department Working Capital Allowance TWELVE MONTHS ENDING MARCH 31, 2011 (\$000's)

Exhibit\_\_\_(EJR-1) Schedule 9 Page 4 of 4

	Rate Year 2	Rate Year 3 Adjustments	Rate Year 3 As Adjusted
M&S Liquid Fuel Inventory	\$5,835	\$123	\$5,958
Materials and Supplies, Excluding Fuel	88,610	1,861	90,470
Total Materials and Supplies	94,445	1,983	96,428
Dranaymanta		•	
Prepayments Insurance	12,918	271	13,190
Rents	15,456	325	15,781
Property Taxes	207,767	34,431	242,198
PSC Assessment	8,115	170	8,286
Interference	1,730	36	1,766
EPRI	177	4	181
Other	12,264	258	· <u>· · 12y522</u> · ·
Total Prepayments	258,428	35,495	293,923
Cash Working Capital Total Operation & Maintenance Expenses Less: Purchased Power Expenses Gas Portion of Fuel Recoverable Fuel Costs Interdepartmental Rents Uncollectibles Pensions	4,705,188 2,688,922 232,879 25,382 4,834 37,124 86,826	(85,574) (162,450) - - - - 34,015	4,619,614 2,526,472 232,879 25,382 4,834 37,124 120,841
	1,629,221	42,861	1,672,082
Cash Working Capital @ 1/8th	203,653	5,358	209,010
Add: Cash Working Capital @ 1/2 on Rec Fuel Costs	2,115	<u>-</u> .	2,115
Total	\$558,640	\$42,836	\$601,476
Add: Working Capital Related to Purchased Power	44,098	(2,664)	41,434_
Total Working Capital	\$602,738	\$40,172	\$642,910

### Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc.

Electric Department Interest Synchronization For the Twelve Months Ending March 31, 2010 (\$000's)

	Rate Year 1 As Reflected in Exhibit (EJR-1)	Rate Year 2 Adjustments	Rate Year 2 As Adjusted
Rate Base	\$13,324,070	\$1,333,691	\$14,657,761
Interest Bearing CWIP (+)	458,384	160,140	618,524
Earnings Base	13,782,454	1,493,831	15,276,285
Embedded Cost of Debt	2.87%	2.87%	2.87%
Interest Deduction	\$395,556	\$42,873	\$438,429

For the Twelve Months Ending March 31, 2011 (\$000's)

	•	· ·	
•	Rate Year 2 <u>As Adjusted</u>	Rate Year 3 <u>Adjustments</u>	Rate Year 3 As Adjusted
Rate Base	\$14,657,761	\$1,665,988	\$16,323,749
Interest Bearing CWIP (+)	, 618,524	(303,255)	315,269
Earnings Base	15,276,285	1,362,733	16,639,018
Embedded Cost of Debt	2.87%	2.87%	2.87%
Interest Deduction	\$438,429	\$39,111	\$477,540