

# **Table of Contents**

<u>10-K - 10-K</u>

# **PART I**

Item 1.Business 1ITEM 1.BUSINESSITEMRISK FACTORS

<u>1A.</u>

ITEM UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

<u>1B.</u>

**ITEM 2.** PROPERTIES

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS** 

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

# **PART II**

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED

STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY

**SECURITIES** 

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET

7A. RISK

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON

**ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE** 

ITEM CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

9A.

**ITEM** OTHER INFORMATION

<u>9B.</u>

# **PART III**

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE

**GOVERNANCE** 

**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION** 

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND

MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND

**DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE** 

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

**PART IV** 

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES
---

**SIGNATURES** 

<u>Signature</u>

EX-10.1(C) (EXHIBIT 10.1(C))

EX-12.1 (EXHIBIT 12.1)

EX-21 (EXHIBIT 21)

EX-23.1 (EXHIBIT 23.1)

EX-31.1 (EXHIBIT 31.1)

EX-31.2 (EXHIBIT 31.2)

EX-32.1 (EXHIBIT 32.1)

EX-32.2 (EXHIBIT 32.2)

## **UNITED STATES** SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **FORM 10-K**

			_	
ſΜ	ar	k	Oi	ne)

× ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 or TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT **OF 1934** For the transition period from Commission File Number 000-19119 Cephalon, Inc. (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter) 23-2484489 Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) Incorporation or Organization) 41 Moores Road P.O. Box 4011 Frazer, Pennsylvania 19355 (Zip Code) (Address of Principal Executive Offices) Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (610) 344-0200 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share NASDAQ Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None (Title of Class) Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes 🗷 No 🗆 Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes D No 🗷 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes 🗵 No 🗆 Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.  $\square$ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one): Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer □ Smaller reporting company □ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, as of June 29, 2007, was approximately \$2.8 billion. Such aggregate market value was computed by reference to the closing price of the Common Stock as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Market on June 29, 2007. For purposes of making this calculation only, the registrant has defined affiliates as including only directors and executive officers and shareholders holding greater than 10% of the voting stock of the registrant as of June 29, 2007.

The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding as of February 15, 2008 was 67,661,411.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes D No 🗷

## DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2008 annual meeting of stockholders are incorporated by reference into Items 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 of Part III of this Form 10-K.					
	_				

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Cautionary Note Re	garding Forward-Looking Statements	ii
	PART I	
Item 1. Item 1A. Item 1B. Item 2. Item 3. Item 4.	Business Risk Factors Unresolved Staff Comments Properties Legal Proceedings Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	1 25 38 38 38 39
	PART II	
Item 5. Item 6. Item 7. Item 7A. Item 8. Item 9. Item 9A. Item 9B.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Selected Financial Data Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure Controls and Procedures Other Information	41 44 45 74 75 128 128
	PART III	
Item 10. Item 11. Item 12. Item 13. Item 14.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance Executive Compensation Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Principal Accountant Fees and Services	129 129 129 129 129
	PART IV	
Item 15. SIGNATURES	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules i	130 141

## CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In addition to historical facts or statements of current condition, this report and the documents into which this report is and will be incorporated contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements contained in this report or incorporated herein by reference constitute our expectations or forecasts of future events as of the date this report was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and are not statements of historical fact. You can identify these statements by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Such statements may include words such as "anticipate," "will," "estimate," "expect," "project," "intend," "should," "plan," "believe," "hope," and other words and terms of similar meaning in connection with any discussion of, among other things, future operating or financial performance, strategic initiatives and business strategies, regulatory or competitive environments, our intellectual property and product development. In particular, these forward-looking statements include, among others, statements about:

our dependence on sales of PROVIGIL® (modafinil) Tablets [C-IV] in the United States and the market prospects and future marketing efforts for PROVIGIL, FENTORA® (fentanyl buccal tablet) [C-II], AMRIX® (cyclobenzaprine hydrochloride extended-release capsules) and VIVITROL® (naltrexone for extended-release injectable suspension);

any potential approval of our product candidates, including with respect to TREANDA® (bendamustine hydrochloride) and any expanded indications for NUVIGIL® (armodafinil) Tablets [C-IV] and/or FENTORA;

our anticipated scientific progress in our research programs and our development of potential pharmaceutical products including our ongoing or planned clinical trials, the timing and costs of such trials and the likelihood or timing of revenues from these products, if any;

the timing and unpredictability of regulatory approvals;

our ability to adequately protect our technology and enforce our intellectual property rights and the future expiration of patent and/or regulatory exclusivity on certain of our products;

the terms of the agreement in principle with the U.S. Attorney's Office and the finalization of the settlement and corporate integrity agreements;

the ongoing investigation by and discussions with the Office of the Connecticut Attorney General and the ultimate resolution or settlement of this matter;

our ongoing litigation matters, including litigation stemming from the settlement of the PROVIGIL patent litigation;

our future cash flow, our ability to service or repay our existing debt and our ability to raise additional funds, if needed, in light of our current and projected level of operations; and

other statements regarding matters that are not historical facts or statements of current condition.

Any or all of our forward-looking statements in this report and in the documents we have referred you to may turn out to be wrong. They can be affected by inaccurate assumptions we might make or by known or unknown risks and uncertainties. Therefore, you should not place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. The factors that could cause actual results to differ from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements include, among others:

the acceptance of our products by physicians and patients in the marketplace, particularly with respect to our recently launched products;

ii

- our ability to obtain regulatory approvals to sell our product candidates, including TREANDA and any additional future indications for FENTORA and NUVIGIL, and to launch such products or indications successfully;
   scientific or regulatory setbacks with respect to research programs, clinical trials, manufacturing activities and/or our existing products;
   unanticipated cash requirements to support current operations, expand our business or incur capital expenditures;
  - the inability to adequately protect our key intellectual property rights;
  - the loss of key management or scientific personnel;
  - the activities of our competitors in the industry;
- regulatory, legal or other setbacks with respect to the agreement in principle with the U.S. Attorney's Office, the proposed settlement and corporate integrity agreements related thereto, the ongoing investigation by the Office of the Connecticut Attorney General, our settlements of the PROVIGIL patent litigation and the ongoing litigation related to such settlements;
- unanticipated conversion of our convertible notes by our note holders;
- market conditions in the biopharmaceutical industry that make raising capital or consummating acquisitions difficult, expensive or both; and
- enactment of new government laws, regulations, court decisions, regulatory interpretations or other initiatives that are adverse to us or our interests.

We do not intend to update publicly any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. We discuss in more detail the risks that we anticipate in Part I, Item 1A of this report. This discussion is permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

iii

## ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### Overview

Cephalon, Inc. is an international biopharmaceutical company dedicated to the discovery, development and marketing of innovative products to treat human diseases. We currently focus our efforts in four core therapeutic areas: central nervous system ("CNS") disorders, pain, oncology and addiction. In addition to conducting an active research and development program, we market seven proprietary products in the United States and numerous products in various countries throughout Europe. Consistent with our core therapeutic areas, we have aligned our approximately 690-person U.S. field sales and sales management teams by area. In Europe, we have a sales and marketing organization numbering approximately 400 persons that supports our presence in nearly 20 European countries, including France, the United Kingdom, Germany, Italy and Spain.

Our most significant product is PROVIGIL® (modafinil) Tablets [C-IV], which comprised 49% of our total consolidated net sales for the year ended December 31, 2007, of which 94% was in the U.S. market. For the year ended December 31, 2007, consolidated net sales of PROVIGIL increased 16% over the year ended December 31, 2006. PROVIGIL is indicated for the treatment of excessive sleepiness associated with narcolepsy, obstructive sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome ("OSA/HS") and shift work sleep disorder ("SWSD"). We co-promote PROVIGIL in the United States with our partner, Takeda Pharmaceuticals North America, Inc. Together with our CNS field sales team, we now have approximately 900 persons focused on detailing PROVIGIL in the United States. In June 2007, we secured final U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA") approval of NUVIGIL® (armodafinil) Tablets [C-IV] for the same indications as PROVIGIL. NUVIGIL is a single-isomer formulation of modafinil, the active ingredient in PROVIGIL. The product is protected by a composition of matter patent that will expire on December 18, 2023 and covers a novel polymorphic form of armodafinil, the active pharmaceutical ingredient in NUVIGIL. We currently intend to launch NUVIGIL around 2010.

Our two next most significant products are FENTORA® (fentanyl buccal tablet) [C-II] and ACTIQ® (oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate) [C-II] (including our generic version of ACTIQ ("generic OTFC")). Together, these products comprise 29% of our total consolidated net sales for the year ended December 31, 2007, of which 92% was in the U.S. market. In October 2006, we launched in the United States FENTORA, our next-generation proprietary pain product. FENTORA is indicated for the management of breakthrough pain in patients with cancer who are already receiving and are tolerant to opioid therapy for their underlying persistent cancer pain. We have focused our clinical strategy for FENTORA on studying the product in opioid-tolerant patients with breakthrough pain associated with chronic pain conditions, such as neuropathic pain and back pain. In November 2007, we submitted a supplemental new drug application ("sNDA") to the FDA seeking approval to market FENTORA for the management of breakthrough pain in opioid tolerant patients with chronic pain conditions. The FDA has set September 13, 2008 as the action date for its review of this sNDA and has indicated that it will hold an Advisory Committee meeting in May 2008 to discuss this sNDA. With respect to ACTIQ, its sales have been meaningfully eroded by generic OTFC products sold since September 2006 by Barr Laboratories, Inc. and by us through our sales agent, Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and we expect this erosion will continue into 2008.

In August 2007, we acquired the North American rights to AMRIX for \$100.1 million from E. Claiborne Robins Company, Inc., a privately-held company d/b/a ECR Pharmaceuticals ("ECR"). Under the acquisition agreement, ECR also could receive up to an additional \$255 million in milestone payments that are contingent on attainment of certain agreed-upon sales levels of AMRIX. Two dosage strengths of AMRIX (15 mg and 30 mg) were approved in February 2007 by the FDA for short-term use as an adjunct to rest and physical therapy for relief of muscle spasm associated with acute, painful musculoskeletal conditions. We made the product available in the United States in October 2007 and

1

commenced a full U.S. launch in November 2007. In February 2008, we entered into an agreement with a contract sales provider to add 120 sales representatives to our field sales team detailing AMRIX.

In September and December 2007, we submitted new drug applications ("NDA") to the FDA requesting approval of TREANDA® (bendamustine hydrochloride) for the treatment of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia ("CLL") and patients with indolent B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma ("NHL") who have progressed during or following treatment with rituximab or a rituximab-containing regimen, respectively. The FDA has accepted both NDAs and has granted priority review and an orphan drug designation to TREANDA for the CLL indication.

We have significant discovery research programs focused on developing therapeutics to treat neurological disorders and cancers. Our technology principally focuses on an understanding of kinases and the role they play in cellular survival and proliferation. We have coupled this knowledge with a library of novel, small, synthetic molecules that are orally active and inhibit the activities of specific kinases. We also work with our collaborative partners to provide a more diverse therapeutic breadth and depth to our research efforts.

As a biopharmaceutical company, our future success is highly dependent on obtaining and maintaining patent protection or regulatory exclusivity for our products and technology. We intend to vigorously defend the validity, and prevent infringement, of our patents. The loss of patent protection or regulatory exclusivity on any of our existing products, whether by third-party challenge, invalidation, circumvention, license or expiration, could materially impact our results of operations. In late 2005 and early 2006, we entered into settlement agreements with each of Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., Ranbaxy Laboratories Limited and Barr. As part of these separate settlements, we agreed to grant to each of these parties a non-exclusive royalty-bearing license to market and sell a generic version of PROVIGIL in the United States, effective in April 2012. In February 2008, the U.S. Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") filed suit against us in U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia challenging the validity of the settlement and related agreements. For more information concerning these settlements, see "Central Nervous System Disorders—Modafinil Products—Intellectual Property Position" below.

Our activities and operations are subject to significant government regulations and oversight. In early November 2007, we announced that we had reached an agreement in principle with the U.S. Attorney's Office ("USAO") in Philadelphia and the U.S. Department of Justice (the "DOJ") with respect to the USAO investigation that began in September 2004. The investigation was focused on our sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, GABITRIL® (tiagabine hydrochloride) and PROVIGIL. Under this agreement, we expect to pay \$425.0 million as part of a comprehensive settlement of all Federal and related state Medicaid claims. In addition, we will agree to a single federal misdemeanor violation of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and enter into a corporate integrity agreement with the Office of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The terms described above are subject to negotiation and the execution of the final settlement and corporate integrity agreements. There can be no assurance that the settlement will be finalized on the terms outlined above.

In addition, in September 2004, we announced that we had received a voluntary request for information from the Office of the Connecticut Attorney General that also appears to be focused on our sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, GABITRIL and PROVIGIL. We are cooperating with this Office, are providing documents and other information in response to these and additional requests and are engaged in ongoing discussions with them. In late October 2007, we also received a civil demand for information from the Office of the Massachusetts Attorney General that is focused on sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, FENTORA and certain of our other products. We intend to cooperate with this request as well. Both of these matters may involve civil penalties and/or fines. The payment of any settlement or judgment amount and/or fines could have

a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity and results of operations. Furthermore, it is reasonably likely that we will face future additional requests for information from other state attorneys general focused on historical sales and promotional practices for our U.S. products. If civil penalties and/or fines were to result from such investigations, it could materially and adversely effect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, our total revenues and net loss were \$1.8 billion and \$191.7 million, respectively. Our revenues from U.S. and European operations are detailed in Note 16 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. While we seek to increase profitability and cash flow from operations, we will need to continue to achieve growth of product sales and other revenues sufficient for us to attain these objectives. The rate of our future growth will depend, in part, upon our ability to obtain and maintain adequate intellectual property protection for our currently marketed products, and to successfully develop or acquire and commercialize new product candidates.

We are a Delaware corporation with our principal executive offices located at 41 Moores Road, P.O. Box 4011, Frazer, Pennsylvania, 19355. Our telephone number is (610) 344-0200 and our web site address is http://www.cephalon.com. Our research and development headquarters are in West Chester, Pennsylvania and we also have offices in Salt Lake City, Utah, suburban Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota, France, the United Kingdom, Denmark, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Spain and Switzerland. We operate manufacturing facilities in France for the production of modafinil, which is used in the production of in PROVIGIL and NUVIGIL. We also have manufacturing facilities in Salt Lake City, Utah, for the production of FENTORA, ACTIQ and generic OTFC for worldwide distribution and sale, and Eden Prairie and Brooklyn Park, Minnesota, for the production of orally disintegrating versions of drugs for pharmaceutical company partners.

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports are available free of charge through the Investor Information section of our web site as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. We include our web site address in this Annual Report on Form 10-K only as an inactive textual reference and do not intend it to be an active link to our web site.

## CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM DISORDERS

Our CNS disorders portfolio includes three FDA-approved products, two of which are currently marketed: PROVIGIL, for improving wakefulness in patients with excessive daytime sleepiness associated with narcolepsy, OSA/HS and SWSD and GABITRIL, for use as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial seizures in epileptic patients. NUVIGIL is approved by the FDA for the same labeled indications as PROVIGIL and we currently are planning to launch the product around 2010.

## **Modafinil Products**

**PROVIGIL** 

Modafinil, the active ingredient in PROVIGIL, is the first in a new class of wakefulness-promoting agents. While its exact mechanism of action remains to be fully elucidated, modafinil appears to act selectively in regions of the brain believed to regulate normal sleep and wakefulness. The FDA approved PROVIGIL to improve wakefulness in patients with excessive daytime sleepiness associated with narcolepsy, and we launched the product in the United States in February 1999. In January 2004, we received FDA approval to expand the label for PROVIGIL to include improving wakefulness in patients with excessive sleepiness associated with OSA/HS and SWSD. In clinical studies, PROVIGIL

3

was generally well-tolerated, with a low incidence of adverse events relative to placebo. The most commonly observed adverse events were headache, infection, nausea, nervousness, anxiety and insomnia.

Outside of the U.S., modafinil currently is approved in more than 30 countries, including France, the United Kingdom, Ireland, Italy and Germany, for the treatment of excessive daytime sleepiness associated with narcolepsy. In certain of these countries, we also have approval to market modafinil to treat excessive daytime sleepiness in patients with OSA/HS and/or SWSD.

#### NUVIGIL

An important focus of our modafinil strategy has been the development of next-generation compounds, including NUVIGIL, a single-isomer formulation of modafinil. In June 2007, we received FDA approval to market NUVIGIL with the same labeled indications as PROVIGIL. We currently are planning to transition our wakefulness franchise to NUVIGIL around 2010, prior to the April 2012 license effectiveness dates under the generic settlement agreements related to PROVIGIL. In clinical studies, NUVIGIL was generally well-tolerated. The most common side effects were mild to moderate in intensity and included nausea, headaches, dizziness, diarrhea, decreased appetite and upset stomach.

We are conducting further clinical studies of NUVIGIL in a variety of areas. If the results of these studies are positive, our plan is to seek an expansion of the labeled indications for NUVIGIL. To that end, we are planning to study NUVIGIL in cancer-related fatigue; we also expect to initiate clinical studies of NUVIGIL in excessive sleepiness associated with jet lag disorder, traumatic brain injury, restless legs syndrome and remitted major depressive disorder, initiate a Phase 2b study related to "negative" symptoms in patients with schizophrenia and to continue clinical studies in bi-polar depression.

## Co-Promotion Agreement with Takeda

Under our co-promotion agreement, Takeda sales representatives promote PROVIGIL to primary care physicians and other appropriate health care professionals in the United States. Effective in April 2008, Takeda sales representatives, 500 in total, will detail PROVIGIL in the first position. Together with our CNS field sales team, we will have approximately 900 persons focused on detailing PROVIGIL in the United States. We also have an option to utilize the Takeda sales force for the promotion of NUVIGIL. The parties have formed a joint commercialization committee to manage the promotion of PROVIGIL. We have retained all responsibility for the development, manufacture, distribution and sale of the product.

The co-promotion agreement expires in June 2009. In certain circumstances, the agreement may be terminated by either party in June 2008; if we terminate the agreement at that time, we will be obligated to make specified royalty payments to Takeda during the three years following termination. In addition, if we undergo a change of control prior to June 2009, we have the option to terminate the co-promotion agreement, subject to our obligation to make certain specified payments to Takeda. We pay Takeda a royalty based on certain sales criteria for PROVIGIL and NUVIGIL during the three-year term and, if specified sales levels are reached, during the three calendar years following the expiration of the co-promotion agreement.

## Indicated Diseases/Disorders

<u>Narcolepsy:</u> Narcolepsy is a debilitating, lifelong sleep disorder whose symptoms often first arise in late childhood. Its most common symptom is an uncontrollable propensity to fall asleep during the day. PROVIGIL has been recognized by the American Academy of Sleep Medicine as a standard of therapy for the treatment of excessive daytime sleepiness associated with narcolepsy.

4

OSA/HS: Individuals with OSA/HS experience frequent awakenings, sometimes occurring hundreds of times during the night as a result of blockage of the airway passage, usually caused by the relaxation and collapse of the soft tissue in the back of the throat during sleep. Continuous positive airway pressure ("CPAP"), a medical device that blows air through the nasal passage, is the primary treatment for OSA/HS. However, approximately 30 percent of patients that use CPAP continue to experience excessive sleepiness, for which PROVIGIL may be an appropriate adjunctive treatment.

<u>SWSD</u>: SWSD is defined as a persistent or recurrent pattern of sleep disruption that leads to excessive sleepiness or insomnia due to a mismatch between the natural circadian sleep-wake pattern and the sleep-wake schedule required by a person's environment. SWSD particularly affects those who frequently rotate shifts or work at night, which is contrary to the body's natural circadian rhythms.

## Intellectual Property Position

We own various U.S. and foreign patent rights that expire between 2014 and 2015 and cover pharmaceutical compositions and uses of modafinil, specifically, certain particle sizes of modafinil contained in the pharmaceutical composition of PROVIGIL. We also hold rights to other patents and patent applications directed to polymorphs, manufacturing processes, formulations, and uses of modafinil and to next-generation modafinil products. We also own rights to PROVIGIL and other various trademarks for our pharmaceutical products containing the active drug substance modafinil. Ultimately, these patents and patents related to our other products and products candidates might be found invalid if challenged by a third party, or a potential competitor could develop a competing product or product formulation that avoids infringement of these patents.

In March 2003, we filed a patent infringement lawsuit against four companies—Teva, Mylan, Ranbaxy and Barr—based upon the abbreviated new drug applications ("ANDA") filed by each of these firms with the FDA seeking approval to market a generic form of modafinil. The lawsuit claimed infringement of our U.S. Patent No. RE37,516 (the "'516 Patent") which covers the pharmaceutical compositions and methods of treatment with the form of modafinil contained in PROVIGIL and which expires on April 6, 2015. We believe that these four companies were the first to file ANDAs with Paragraph IV certifications and thus are eligible for the 180-day period of marketing exclusivity provided by the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. In early 2005, we also filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Carlsbad Technology, Inc. based upon the Paragraph IV ANDA related to modafinil that Carlsbad filed with the FDA.

In late 2005 and early 2006, we entered into settlement agreements with each of Teva, Mylan, Ranbaxy and Barr; in August 2006, we entered into a settlement agreement with Carlsbad and its development partner, Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which we understand has the right to commercialize the Carlsbad product if approved by the FDA. As part of these separate settlements, we agreed to grant to each of these parties a non-exclusive royalty-bearing license to market and sell a generic version of PROVIGIL in the United States, effective in April 2012, subject to applicable regulatory considerations. Under the agreements, the licenses could become effective prior to April 2012 only if a generic version of PROVIGIL is sold in the United States prior to this date.

We also received rights to certain modafinil-related intellectual property developed by each party and in exchange for these rights, we agreed to make payments to Barr, Ranbaxy and Teva collectively totaling up to \$136.0 million, consisting of upfront payments, milestones and royalties on net sales of our modafinil products. In order to maintain an adequate supply of the active drug substance modafinil, we entered into agreements with three modafinil suppliers whereby we will purchase an annual minimum amount of modafinil over a six year period that began in 2006, with the aggregate payments over this period totaling approximately \$82.6 million.

We filed each of the settlements with both the FTC and the Antitrust Division of the DOJ as required by the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the

"Medicare Modernization Act"). The FTC conducted an investigation of each of the PROVIGIL settlements and, in February 2008, filed suit against us in U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia challenging the validity of the settlements and related agreements entered into by us with each of Teva, Mylan, Ranbaxy and Barr. The complaint alleges a violation of Section 5(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act and seeks to permanently enjoin us from maintaining or enforcing these agreements. We believe the FTC complaint is without merit. While we intend to vigorously defend ourselves and the propriety of the settlement agreements, these efforts will be both expensive and time consuming and, ultimately, due to the nature of litigation, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be successful.

We also are aware of numerous private antitrust complaints filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, each naming Cephalon, Barr, Mylan, Teva and Ranbaxy as co-defendants and claiming, among other things, that the PROVIGIL settlements violate the antitrust laws of the United States and, in some cases, certain state laws. All but one of these actions have been consolidated into a complaint on behalf of a class of direct purchasers of PROVIGIL and a separate complaint on behalf of a class of consumers and other indirect purchasers of PROVIGIL. A separate complaint filed by an indirect purchaser of PROVIGIL was filed in September 2007. The plaintiffs in all of these actions are seeking monetary damages and/or equitable relief. We moved to dismiss the class action complaints in November 2006.

Separately, in June 2006, Apotex, Inc., a subsequent ANDA filer seeking FDA approval of a generic form of modafinil, filed suit against us, also in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, alleging similar violations of antitrust laws and state law. Apotex asserts that the PROVIGIL settlement agreements improperly prevent it from obtaining FDA approval of its ANDA, and seeks monetary and equitable remedies. Apotex also seeks a declaratory judgment that the '516 Patent is invalid, unenforceable and/or not infringed by its proposed generic. In late 2006, we filed a motion to dismiss the Apotex case, which is pending. Separately, we are seeking a judicial order in Canada to prevent regulatory approval of Apotex's generic modafinil tablets in Canada. We expect a decision by the Federal Court of Canada in this matter in the third quarter of 2008. We believe that the private antitrust complaints described in the preceding paragraph and the Apotex antitrust complaint are without merit. While we intend to vigorously defend ourselves and the propriety of the settlement agreements, these efforts will be both expensive and time consuming and, ultimately, due to the nature of litigation, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be successful.

In late November 2005 and March 2006, we received notice that Caraco Pharmaceutical Laboratories, Ltd. and Apotex, respectively, also filed Paragraph IV ANDAs with the FDA in which each firm is seeking to market a generic form of PROVIGIL. We have not filed a patent infringement lawsuit against either Caraco or Apotex as of the filing date of this report, although Apotex has filed suit against us, as described above.

With respect to NUVIGIL, we successfully obtained issuance of a U.S. patent in November 2006 claiming the Form I polymorph of armodafinil, the active drug substance in NUVIGIL. This patent is currently set to expire in 2023. Foreign patent applications directed to the Form I polymorph of armodafinil and its use in treating sleep disorders are pending in Europe and elsewhere. In addition, the particle size patent described above for PROVIGIL also covers NUVIGIL. We also received a three year period of marketing exclusivity (until early 2010). We also hold rights to other patent applications directed to other polymorphic forms of armodafinil and to the manufacturing process related to armodafinil. We hold rights to the NUVIGIL trademark.

Manufacturing and Product Supply

At our manufacturing facility in Mitry-Mory, France, we produce modafinil for use in the production of PROVIGIL and NUVIGIL. We also have third party agreements with four companies to

supply us with modafinil (which requirements include certain minimum purchase requirements) and two companies to supply us with finished commercial supplies of PROVIGIL. With respect to NUVIGIL, we have two third parties who manufacture the active drug substance armodafinil and one qualified manufacturer of finished supplies of NUVIGIL tablets. We seek to maintain inventories of active drug substance and finished products to protect against supply disruptions. Any future change in manufacturers or manufacturing processes requires regulatory approval.

## Competition

With respect to PROVIGIL and NUVIGIL, there are several other products used for the treatment of excessive sleepiness or narcolepsy in the United States. Many of these products, including methylphenidate products, have been available for a number of years and are available in inexpensive generic forms. We also are aware of numerous companies seeking to develop products to treat excessive sleepiness.

## **GABITRIL**

GABITRIL is a selective GABA (gamma-aminobutyric acid) reuptake inhibitor approved for use as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial seizures in epileptic patients. Epilepsy is a chronic disorder characterized by seizures that cause sudden, involuntary, time-limited alteration in behavior, including changes in motor activities, autonomic functions, consciousness or sensations, and accompanied by an abnormal electrical discharge in the brain. We currently have worldwide product rights to GABITRIL, excluding Canada and Latin America, and we market GABITRIL in the United States, France, the United Kingdom and Germany, among other countries. We have one third-party manufacturer of the active drug substance in GABITRIL and finished commercial supplies of the product in the United States and one third-party manufacturer of the active drug substance and finished commercial supplies outside the United States.

GABITRIL is covered by U.S. and foreign patents that are held by Novo-Nordisk A/S. The U.S. patents have been licensed in the United States exclusively to Abbott Laboratories. We have an exclusive sublicense from Abbott to these patents in the United States and exclusive licenses from Novo-Nordisk to corresponding foreign patents. The U.S. composition-of-matter patents covering the currently approved product include: a patent claiming tiagabine, the active drug substance in GABITRIL; a patent claiming crystalline tiagabine hydrochloride monohydrate and its use as an anti-epileptic agent; a patent claiming the pharmaceutical formulation; and a patent claiming anhydrous crystalline tiagabine hydrochloride and processes for its preparation. These patents currently are set to expire in 2011, 2012, 2016 and 2017, respectively. Supplemental Protection Certificates based upon corresponding foreign patents covering this product are set to expire in 2011. We also hold rights to the GABITRIL trademark, which is used in connection with pharmaceuticals containing tiagabine as the active drug substance.

#### PAIN

Our pain therapeutics portfolio currently includes four marketed products in the United States. Three of these products, FENTORA, ACTIQ, and generic OTFC, focus on treating breakthrough cancer pain in opioid-tolerant patients. One of the most challenging components of cancer pain is breakthrough pain. Breakthrough pain is a transitory flare of moderate to severe pain that "breaks through" the medication patients use to control their persistent pain. Breakthrough cancer pain typically develops rapidly, can reach maximum intensity in three to five minutes and typically lasts for 30 to 60 minutes. Breakthrough pain may be related to a specific activity, or may occur spontaneously and unpredictably. Cancer patients who suffer from breakthrough pain may suffer a number of episodes every day. Breakthrough pain can have a profound impact on an individual's physical and psychological well-being and is often associated with a more severe and difficult to treat pain condition.

7

We also market AMRIX, a once-a-day, extended-release version of cyclobenzaprine hydrochloride, the active ingredient in the brand FLEXERIL®. AMRIX is indicated for relief of muscle spasm associated with acute, painful musculoskeletal conditions.

## **FENTORA**

We received FDA approval of FENTORA in late September 2006 and launched the product in the United States in early October. FENTORA is indicated for the management of breakthrough pain in patients with cancer who are already receiving and are tolerant to opioid therapy for their underlying persistent cancer pain. FENTORA is the first and only buccal tablet approved for this indication.

We have focused our clinical strategy on studying FENTORA in opioid-tolerant patients with breakthrough pain associated with other conditions, including neuropathic pain and back pain. In October 2006, January 2007 and August 2007, we announced positive data from three Phase 3 clinical trials of FENTORA and in November 2007 we submitted a sNDA to the FDA seeking approval to market FENTORA for the management of breakthrough pain in opioid tolerant patients with chronic pain conditions. The FDA has set September 13, 2008 as the action date for its review of this sNDA and has indicated that it will hold an Advisory Committee meeting in May 2008 to discuss this sNDA. In March 2007, we filed a marketing application with the European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products for a fentanyl effervescent buccal tablet for the same indication as FENTORA, which if approved will be sold under the trade name EFFENTORA in the EU. We anticipate final regulatory approval of EFFENTORA in the second quarter of 2008. If approved, the centralized filing of this application would allow us to market EFFENTORA in 29 European countries.

FENTORA delivers fentanyl, a powerful, Schedule II opioid analgesic, through the oral mucosa (the lining of the mouth) utilizing our proprietary, enhanced absorption technology, ORAVESCENT®. The sugar-free FENTORA tablet is placed between the upper cheek and gum above a rear molar tooth. When it comes into contact with saliva, FENTORA's delivery system generates a reaction leading to the release of carbon dioxide. It is believed that transient pH changes accompanying this reaction may optimize how well the tablet dissolves and how quickly the medicine passes across the buccal mucosa.

In clinical trials, FENTORA was generally well tolerated. Most adverse events occurring with FENTORA are typical opioid side effects. The most serious adverse events associated with all opioids are respiratory depression (potentially leading to apnea or respiratory arrest), circulatory depression, hypotension, and shock. The most common (greater or equal to 10 percent) adverse events observed in clinical trials of FENTORA in patients with cancer were nausea, vomiting, application site abnormalities, fatigue, anemia, dizziness, constipation, edema, asthenia, dehydration, and headache. In clinical trials in patients with other chronic pain conditions, the most common (greater or equal to 10 percent) adverse events were nausea, vomiting, back pain, dizziness, headache, and somnolence. Application site adverse events were reported in 12 percent of patients. Most side effects were mild to moderate in severity.

In September 2007, we issued a letter in collaboration with the FDA in response to reported serious adverse events, including some deaths in patients who were not appropriate candidates for FENTORA. The letter was sent to physicians, pharmacists, managed care organizations and other healthcare professions and emphasized the need to adhere to the FENTORA prescribing information. We also are working with the FDA to emphasize the appropriate patient selection, dosing and administration in the FENTORA label and Risk Minimization Action Plan (RiskMAP).

## ACTIQ/Generic OTFC

ACTIQ is approved in the United States for the management of breakthrough cancer pain in opioid tolerant patients. It was approved by the FDA in November 1998 and was launched in the

8

United States in March 1999. Following our acquisition of Anesta Corp. in October 2000, we relaunched ACTIQ in February 2001. In October 2002, we reacquired rights to ACTIQ in 12 countries, principally in Europe, from Elan Pharma International Limited.

ACTIQ uses an oral transmucosal delivery system ("OTS®") to deliver fentanyl citrate, a powerful, Schedule II opioid analgesic. The OTS delivery system consists of a drug matrix that is mounted on a handle. It is designed to achieve rapid absorption of fentanyl through the oral mucosa and into the bloodstream, with pain relief that may begin within 15 minutes. ACTIQ is available in six dosage strengths to allow individualization of dosing. Side effects of ACTIQ are typical of opioid products and include somnolence, nausea, vomiting and dizziness. The greatest risk from improper use of ACTIQ, as with all opioid-based products, is the potential for respiratory depression, which can be life-threatening. We market ACTIQ under a comprehensive risk management program of educational and safe use messages that inform health care professionals, patients and their families of proper use, storage, handling and disposal of the product.

To secure FTC clearance of our acquisition of CIMA LABS INC., we agreed to license to Barr our U.S. rights to intellectual property necessary to manufacture and market a generic OTFC. The rights we granted to Barr became effective in September 2006 and Barr entered the United States market with generic OTFC on September 27, 2006. On this same date, we also entered the market with a generic OTFC, utilizing Watson as our sales agent in this effort. Since then, ACTIQ sales have been meaningfully eroded by generic OTFC products sold by Barr and by us through Watson and we expect this erosion will continue into 2008.

Under our agreement with Barr, we also agreed to sell to Barr generic OTFC for resale in the United States until the earlier of such time that Barr is able to gain FDA approval of its ANDA or September 2009. Barr has invoked this supply option and we have been manufacturing generic OTFC for Barr since the launch of the product in September 2006. Under the agreement, we are responsible for delivering bulk units to Barr and Barr is responsible for all packaging and labeling of the product.

## **AMRIX**

In August 2007, we acquired the North American rights to AMRIX from ECR. Two dosage strengths of AMRIX (15 mg and 30 mg) were approved in February 2007 by the FDA for short-term use as an adjunct to rest and physical therapy for relief of muscle spasm associated with acute, painful musculoskeletal conditions. With convenient, once-daily dosing, AMRIX provides relief from muscle spasm comparable to that with cyclobenzaprine hydrochloride taken three times daily. We made the product available in the United States in October 2007 and commenced a full U.S. launch in November 2007. In February 2008, we entered into an agreement with a contract sales provider to add 120 sales representatives to our field sales team detailing AMRIX. AMRIX is intended for use up to two or three weeks. The most common side effects of AMRIX in Phase 3 clinical trials were dry mouth, dizziness, fatigue, constipation, nausea and dyspepsia.

Intellectual Property Position

<u>FENTORA</u>: We own patents and/or patent applications covering formulation, method of treatment and manufacturing for FENTORA expiring between 2019 and 2024. Upon FDA approval for this product, we also received a three-year period of marketing exclusivity that extends until September 2009. We also hold rights to the FENTORA trademark.

ACTIQ: The U.S. patents covering the currently approved compressed powder pharmaceutical composition and the method for administering fentanyl via this composition expired in September 2006. As described above, we have licensed to Barr our U.S. rights to intellectual property necessary to manufacture and market a generic OTFC. Corresponding patents covering the current formulation of ACTIQ in foreign countries generally expire between 2009 and 2010. Our patent protection with

respect to the ACTIQ formulation we sold in the United States prior to June 2003 expired in May 2005.

Other issued patents and pending patent applications in the United States and foreign countries that are owned or licensed by us are directed to various formulations (including a sugar-free formulation), processes for manufacturing the product, methods of using the product and disposable containers required by the FDA to be provided as part of the product. We also hold the rights to the ACTIQ trademark.

AMRIX: Upon FDA approval, AMRIX was granted a three-year period of marketing exclusivity that extends until February 2010. There also is a pending U.S. patent application that contains claims directed to the formulation of the product. We also hold rights to the AMRIX trademark.

Manufacturing and Product Supply

ACTIQ/FENTORA: At our facility in Salt Lake City, Utah, we manufacture FENTORA, ACTIQ and generic OTFC for our sale in the United States and international markets, as well as generic OTFC bulk units for Barr.

Fentanyl, the active ingredient in FENTORA, ACTIQ and generic OTFC, is a Schedule II controlled substance under the Controlled Substances Act. Our purchases of fentanyl for use in the production of FENTORA, ACTIQ and generic OTFC are subject to quota that is approved by the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration ("DEA"). Supply disruption could result from delays in obtaining DEA approvals or the receipt of approvals for quantities of fentanyl that are insufficient to meet current or projected product demand. The quota system also limits our ability to build inventories as a method of insuring against possible supply disruptions. While we currently have available fentanyl quota to produce ACTIQ and generic OTFC, in the future we could face shortages of quota that could negatively impact our ability to supply product to Barr or to produce ACTIQ or our generic OTFC product. If we are unable to provide product to Barr, it is possible that either Barr or the FTC could claim that such a failure would constitute a breach of our agreements with these parties.

AMRIX: We have third party agreements with one company to supply us with AMRIX capsules and one company to package the AMRIX capsules for commercial sale. We seek to maintain inventories of finished products to protect against supply disruptions. Any future change in manufacturers or manufacturing processes requires regulatory approval.

Competition

ACTIQ/FENTORA: Both long-acting and short-acting formulations are prescribed to treat cancer pain. Persistent pain is typically treated by around-the-clock administration of long- or short-acting opioids. Breakthrough cancer pain is usually treated with a short-acting product, such as FENTORA, ACTIQ or generic OTFC, that is used in conjunction with an around-the-clock formulation.

Long-acting products, which have a slower onset and longer duration of action relative to FENTORA, ACTIQ and generic OTFC, are commonly prescribed to treat persistent pain. Three long-acting opioid analgesics and their generic equivalents currently marketed for chronic pain dominate this market: Johnson & Johnson's DURAGESIC® and Purdue Pharmaceuticals' OXYCONTIN® and MS-CONTIN®. Persistent cancer pain also is treated with short-acting opioid tablets, capsules and elixirs, as well as quick-acting invasive opioid delivery systems (i.e., intravenous, intramuscular and subcutaneous), many of which have been available for many years and are available in inexpensive generic form.

The overwhelming majority of prescriptions written to treat breakthrough cancer pain are for short-acting opioids other than FENTORA, ACTIQ or generic OTFC, such as morphine and combination products (with acetaminophen and oxycodone or hydrocodone), as well as quick-acting

opioids delivered via invasive delivery systems. In some cases, physicians also may attempt to manage breakthrough pain by increasing the dose of a long-acting opioid.

We are aware of numerous companies developing other technologies for rapid delivery of opioids to treat breakthrough pain, including transmucosal, transdermal, nasal spray, and inhaled delivery systems, among others. If these technologies are successfully developed and approved over the next few years, they could represent significant competition for FENTORA, ACTIQ and generic OTFC.

The existence of generic OTFC has and will likely continue to impact sales of ACTIQ and could negatively impact the growth of FENTORA. Since the launch of generic OTFC in September 2006, ACTIQ sales have been meaningfully eroded and we expect this erosion will continue into 2008. In addition, sales of our own generic OTFC could be significantly impacted by the entrance into the market of additional generic OTFC products, which could occur at any time.

AMRIX: Cyclobenzaprine hydrochloride, the active ingredient in AMRIX, is a widely prescribed muscle relaxant in the United States, representing 37 percent of the 45 million prescriptions for muscle relaxants written in 2006, according to IMS Health Incorporated. AMRIX competes with short-acting, non-extended release versions of cyclobenzaprine hydrochloride, such as SKELAXIN®, FLEXERIL and other inexpensive generic forms of muscle relaxants. AMRIX is a once-a-day, extended-release version of cyclobenzaprine hydrochloride.

## ONCOLOGY

Our U.S. oncology portfolio includes one marketed product and two product candidates to treat patients with hematologic cancers: TRISENOX®, an intravenous arsenic-based targeted therapy currently marketed in the U.S., as well as in Europe; TREANDA, a bi-functional hybrid cytotoxic; and CEP-701 (lestaurtinib), an oral small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor. In Europe, we have two commercialized oncology products in our portfolio: MYOCET® (liposomal doxorubicin), a cardio-protective chemotherapy agent used to treat metastatic breast cancer and TARGRETIN® (bexarotene), a treatment for cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. In addition, we market and sell ABELCET® (amphotericin B lipid complex), an anti-fungal product used by cancer patients.

## **TRISENOX**

In July 2005, we acquired substantially all of the assets related to the TRISENOX injection business from Cell Therapeutics, Inc. TRISENOX was approved for marketing in the United States and Europe in 2000 and 2002, respectively, for the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory acute promyelocytic leukemia ("APL"), a life threatening hematologic cancer. APL is one of eight subtypes of acute myeloid or myelogenous leukemia ("AML"). According to the American Cancer Society, approximately 13,000 patients are diagnosed with AML in the United States every year, 10 to 15 percent of whom will have the APL subtype. Research indicates that approximately 10 to 30 percent of patients with APL will not respond to, or will relapse from, first-line therapy.

TRISENOX is a highly purified salt of arsenic, a natural element. TRISENOX appears to have multiple targets and mechanisms of antileukemic activity; it degrades a protein that causes abnormal levels of immature white blood cells while simultaneously forcing immature cancer cells to self-destruct through a process called programmed cell death or apoptosis. Apoptosis is a normal part of a cell's life cycle. Because cancer is often associated with a malfunction of the normal process of apoptosis, drugs that can induce apoptosis offer the hope of affecting cancer cells more selectively without the typical toxic side effects of conventional treatments. Direct induction of apoptosis represents a relatively new method of killing tumor cells that is different than the majority of conventional cancer drugs. As a result, in addition to its use as a single-agent therapy, TRISENOX may work well when administered in combination with other cancer therapies to produce more durable response rates.

In January 2007, the National Cancer Institute (the "NCI") and one of its Cooperative Clinical Trial Groups announced positive results from a clinical trial using TRISENOX in newly diagnosed patients with APL. According to the NCI, the results of the trial showed that adult patients with previously untreated APL who had standard chemotherapy to induce remission of their disease, and who then received TRISENOX to maintain remission, had significantly better event-free survival and better overall survival than those who received only standard chemotherapy. We are continuing to investigate uses of TRISENOX, as a single agent or in combination with other treatments, to treat APL and other forms of hematologic cancers.

Intellectual Property Position

We have a license to patents and patent applications covering methods of treating APL with the active ingredient arsenic trioxide that protect this product until 2018. We also hold rights to the TRISENOX trademark.

Manufacturing and Product Supply

We have one third-party manufacturer that produces the active drug substance arsenic trioxide for us and two third-party manufacturers that provide finished commercial supplies of TRISENOX to us in the United States and Europe. We seek to maintain inventories of active drug substance and finished commercial supplies to protect against supply disruptions.

Competition

The pharmaceutical market for the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory APL is served by a number of available therapeutics, such as VESANOID® by Roche Laboratories Inc. in combination with chemotherapy.

## **TREANDA**

We obtained U.S. and Canadian rights to TREANDA in June 2005. TREANDA is a novel hybrid cytotoxic alkylating agent that differs from conventional compounds in its apparent multi-functional mechanism of action. In addition to killing cells by damaging their DNA and triggering apoptosis—which is typical of alkylating agents—researchers demonstrated that TREANDA also causes the disruption of cell division. Bendamustine hydrochloride, the active ingredient in TREANDA, is currently marketed in Germany by a third party for the treatment of NHL, CLL, multiple myeloma, metastatic breast cancer and other solid tumors.

In September 2007, we submitted an NDA to the FDA requesting approval of TREANDA for the treatment of patients with CLL. The FDA has accepted this NDA for priority review, with a March 20, 2008 action date, and has granted an orphan drug designation to TREANDA for this indication. CLL is a slowly progressing blood and bone marrow disease with an estimated 15,000 new cases diagnosed every year in the United States, according to the NCI. The NDA is based on a large, international multi-center Phase 3 clinical trial that evaluated the safety and efficacy of bendamustine hydrochloride compared to chlorambucil in patients who were not previously treated for their disease. Chlorambucil is an FDA-approved first- line therapy for patients with CLL. In the pivotal trial, bendamustine hydrochloride met both primary endpoints, demonstrating overall response and progression-free survival with an acceptable tolerability profile.

In December 2007, we also submitted an NDA to the FDA requesting approval of TREANDA for the treatment of patients with indolent B-cell NHL who have progressed during or following treatment with rituximab or a rituximab-containing regimen. The FDA has accepted this filing and set an action date of October 31, 2008 for its review of the NDA. NHL occurs when lymphatic cells divide too much and too fast. Growth control is lost, and the lymphatic cells may overcrowd, invade and destroy

lymphoid tissues and spread to other organs. There are two broad subtypes of NHL—indolent, also referred to as slow growing or low-grade, and aggressive. Indolent disease may "transform" into a more aggressive condition. According to the NCI, an estimated 30,000 people in the United States were diagnosed in 2007 with indolent NHL, which is difficult to treat because patients are prone to relapse after treatment. The Phase 3 clinical trial of TREANDA in patients with indolent NHL whose cancer is no longer responsive to treatment with rituximab met its primary endpoints of overall response rate and median duration of response, while demonstrating a manageable tolerability profile. In clinical studies of TREANDA, the most common side effects included nausea, fatigue, neutropenia, diarrhea and vomiting.

## Intellectual Property Position

We expect to receive a five year New Chemical Entity exclusivity which prevents the FDA from accepting an ANDA for this product for a period of five years from the date of approval (four years if the ANDA contains a Paragraph IV certification). In August 2007, the FDA granted orphan drug status for TREANDA for the treatment of CLL. The orphan drug designation will provide a seven-year period of marketing exclusivity for the treatment of CLL with TREANDA from the date of final FDA marketing approval of TREANDA. We are also prosecuting method of treatment and formulation patent applications relating to bendamustine hydrochloride. We also hold rights to the TREANDA trademark.

## Manufacturing and Product Supply

We have one third party supplier of the active drug substance bendamustine hydrochloride and one third party supplier of finished supplies of TREANDA for our use in clinical trials. If TREANDA is approved by the FDA, the active drug substance and finished dosage form suppliers also will be approved and qualified. In addition, we will seek to qualify additional manufacturers as may be necessary to meet commercial demands and to protect against supply disruptions.

## Competition

If approved, TREANDA would compete with traditional methods of treating indolent NHL, including treatments involving chemotherapy with a combination of drugs such as cyclophosphamide, vincristine and prednisolone and with drugs currently marketed (such as BEXXAR® (131-I tositumomab) by GlaxoSmithKline) or being developed to treat indolent NHL refractory to rituximab. If approved with respect to CLL, TREANDA would compete with Leukeran® (chlorambucil) by GlaxoSmithKline, Campath® (alemtuzumab) by Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals and, although not currently approved for treatment of CLL but used for the treatment of CLL, the combination therapy of fludarabine, cyclophospharmide and rituximab.

#### CEP-701

CEP-701 is under development as a treatment for FLT-3-mutated AML, a hematologic cancer characterized by uncontrolled growth of myeloid cells of the blood and bone marrow and the blockage of the production of normal cells, resulting in a deficiency of red cells, platelets and normal white cells. According to the American Cancer Society, an estimated 13,000 people in the United States will be diagnosed with AML each year and approximately 9,000 people will die from AML. Approximately 25 to 30 percent of AML patients have a FLT-3 genetic mutation that is associated with a poorer prognosis for relapse and survival.

13

Our researchers, with our collaborators, found that in a subset of patients, their AML is caused by a mutation in a kinase called FLT-3. Normally, FLT-3 is involved in the growth and maturation of healthy blood cells. In AML patients with FLT-3 mutations, the cell signaling pathways promote uncontrolled cell growth. CEP-701 has been shown to block the signaling ability of the mutant FLT-3 kinase in preclinical studies. We are currently conducting a Phase 2/3 study of approximately 200 patients with AML who bear a FLT-3 activating mutation at first relapse from standard induction chemotherapy. In December 2005, we announced that the preliminary data of 44 patients in this study suggest that chemotherapy followed by the oral compound CEP-701 may offer a clinical benefit compared to chemotherapy alone. A clinical response has been achieved in all patients who showed an 85 percent or greater inhibition of FLT-3 activity and baseline cellular sensitivity to CEP-701. Patients with low FLT-3-inhibitory activity or cells insensitive to CEP-701 had a very low rate of clinical response. These data suggest that there may be the potential to predict which patients will respond positively to CEP-701. Preliminary safety analyses indicate that CEP-701 is generally well tolerated, with only a modest increase in gastrointestinal events such as nausea and dyspepsia reported.

As of January 2008, approximately 160 patients have been randomly assigned to one of two treatment arms in the Phase 2/3 clinical trial: standard chemotherapy alone, or chemotherapy followed two days later by a daily 80-mg orally administered dose of CEP-701, continued for up to 113 days. We anticipate completion of this study in the second half of 2008. We also have initiated studies of CEP-701 in patients with myeloproliferative disorder in late 2007. CEP-701 is not presently indicated or approved by the FDA for the treatment of any disease.

## Intellectual Property Position

We have a license to a composition of matter patent directed to CEP-701 that is set to expire in 2008 in the United States. If we are successful in attaining FDA approval for this compound in 2009, we would anticipate that the term of this patent would be extended under the Hatch-Waxman Act until 2014. In addition, assuming this same timetable for approval, we would expect to receive a five year New Chemical Entity period of marketing exclusivity (until 2014). In April 2006, the FDA granted orphan drug designation for CEP-701 for the treatment of AML. The orphan drug designation will provide a seven-year period of marketing exclusivity for the treatment of AML with CEP-701 from the date of final FDA marketing approval of CEP-701. We also hold rights to other patent applications directed to methods of treatment, formulations and polymorphs for CEP-701.

## Competition

If approved, CEP-701 would compete with a number of available therapeutics, particularly those that are indicated for the treatment of hematologic cancers. We understand that Novartis and Millennium Pharmaceuticals are each developing drugs with a similar mechanism of action.

## ADDICTION

Our addiction therapeutic focus currently consists of one product, VIVITROL, which we launched in June 2006 following approval by the FDA in April 2006. VIVITROL is indicated for alcohol dependent patients who are able to abstain from alcohol in an outpatient setting and are not actively drinking when initiating treatment. Treatment with VIVITROL should be used in combination with psychosocial support, such as counseling or group therapy. VIVITROL utilizes Alkermes Inc.'s proprietary Medisorb® drug delivery technology in a once-a-month injectable formulation of naltrexone. Naltrexone is a FDA-approved drug that is currently available in daily oral dosage form for the treatment of alcohol dependence and for the blockade of effects of exogenously administered opioids. While we have made steady progress in increasing sales and physician awareness of this product, we have determined to reduce the level of our sales and marketing expenses for this product,

14

including a reduction in the number of sales representatives promoting the product, to what we believe is an appropriate spending level.

In the United States, approximately 18 million people are dependent on or abuse alcohol and an estimated 2.3 million adults seek treatment each year. Even among individuals currently seeking treatment, the majority relapse. Taking prescribed medication, an important determinant in therapeutic outcomes, is particularly challenging for patients with addictive disorders such as alcohol dependence. Alcohol is causally related to more than 60 medical conditions, including heart disease, liver disease, infectious disease and cancer, and contributes to more than 100,000 deaths in the United States each year.

A VIVITROL injection provides continuous medication for one month; therefore, patients do not need to make a decision to take their medication every day. VIVITROL works by binding to opioid receptors in the brain. Although the mechanism responsible for the reduction in alcohol consumption observed with VIVITROL treatment is not entirely understood, preclinical data suggests that occupation of the opioid receptors results in the blockade of the neurotransmitters in the brain that are believed to be involved with alcohol dependence. This blockade may result in the reduction in alcohol consumption observed in patients treated with VIVITROL.

License, Collaboration and Supply Agreements with Alkermes

Under our license and collaboration agreement with Alkermes, we have agreed to pay Alkermes up to \$220 million in milestone payments that are contingent on attainment of certain agreed-upon sales levels of VIVITROL and in exchange have received a license to several U.S. patents and patent applications directed to VIVITROL that will expire between 2013 and 2024. Pre-tax profit, as adjusted for certain items, and losses incurred currently are split equally between the parties. We work together with Alkermes to develop the commercial strategy for VIVITROL. We have primary responsibility for all marketing and sale efforts and currently have approximately 50 persons focused on the marketing and sale of VIVITROL; Alkermes is augmenting this effort with a team of approximately 15 managers of market development.

We also have a supply agreement with Alkermes under which Alkermes provides us with finished commercial supplies of VIVITROL. We have agreed to purchase two VIVITROL manufacturing lines (and related equipment) from Alkermes and have granted Alkermes an option, exercisable after two years, to purchase these manufacturing lines at the then-current net book value of the assets.

## INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS

Commercial Products

We market and sell over 30 different branded products in over 50 countries in Europe, the Middle East and Africa and have a strong presence in the five key European pharmaceutical markets: France, Germany, Italy, Spain and the United Kingdom. For the year ended December 31, 2007, aggregate net sales outside the United States accounted for 19% of our total consolidated net sales. In 2007, our eight largest products in terms of net product sales outside the United States are shown in the table

below. Together, these products accounted for 76% of our total European segment net sales and 15% of our total consolidated net sales for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Product	Indication	Key Market(s)
ABELCET (amphotericin B lipid complex)(1)	Anti-fungal	France, Germany, U.K., Italy, Spain
ACTIQ (oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate)	Breakthrough cancer pain	France, Germany, U.K., Italy, Spain
MYOCET (liposomal doxorubicin)	Metastatic breast cancer	France, Germany, U.K., Italy, Spain
NAXY® and MONO-NAXY® (clarithromycin)(2)	Antibiotic	France
PROVIGIL (modafinil)(3)	Excessive sleepiness associated with narcolepsy and certain other conditions	France, Germany, U.K., Italy, Spain
SPASFON® (phloroglucinol)	Biliary/urinary tract spasm and irritable bowel syndrome	France
TARGRETIN (bexarotene)(4)	Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma	France, Germany, U.K.

- (1) ABELCET is licensed from Bristol Myers Squibb.
- (2) NAXY and MONO-NAXY are licensed from Abbott France.
- (3) Marketed under the name MODIODAL® (modafinil) in France and under the name VIGIL® (modafinil) in Germany.
- (4) TARGRETIN is licensed from Ligand Pharmaceuticals.

We are expanding our reach beyond Europe to Asia, where we have established an office in Hong Kong. We are seeking approval from the Chinese authorities to develop and register our products and are exploring a number of other opportunities in China and expect this market to be a key part of our Asian growth strategy moving forward. In 2007, our licensees, Alfresa Pharma and Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, launched modafinil in Japan (under the trade name MODIODAL) for the treatment of excessive daytime sleepiness associated with narcolepsy. Nippon Shinyaku launched TRISENOX in 2004 and we have formed relationships with other Japanese companies, as well. These partners are conducting clinical trials with and pursuing regulatory approval of a number of our products in Japan.

## Manufacturing Operations

At our manufacturing facility in Mitry-Mory, France, we produce modafinil, which is used in the production of PROVIGIL and NUVIGIL. We manufacture certain other products at this facility and at our other facilities in France for sale in Europe and also perform warehousing, packaging and distribution activities for certain products sold in France and other export territories from these facilities. NAXY, MONO-NAXY, MYOCET, ABELCET, TARGRETIN and GABITRIL are among our European products that are manufactured for us by third party manufacturers. For these and most of our other European products, we depend on single sources for the manufacture of both the active drug substances contained in our products and for finished commercial supplies.

## European Competitive and Regulatory Environment

In Europe, we face competition from generic versions of a number of the branded products we market. In addition, European Union pricing laws also allow the parallel importation of branded drugs

between member countries. Due to pricing variations within the European Union, it is possible that our overall margins on our branded drugs could be impacted negatively as a result of the importation of product from relatively lower-margin member countries to relatively higher-margin member countries.

In addition, the manufacture and sale of our products in Europe are subject to extensive regulation by European governmental authorities. Government efforts to control healthcare costs may result in further growth of generic competition to our proprietary products or a decrease in the selling prices of any of our proprietary products due to associated decreases in the amount the government health care authority will reimburse for any of those products. For example, we are aware of governmental efforts in France to limit or eliminate reimbursement for some of our products, particularly FONZYLANE, FONLIPOL and OLMIFON, which could impact revenues from our French operations.

## RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

In addition to ongoing clinical programs supporting our marketed products and internally generated compounds at various stages of clinical investigation, our discovery research and development efforts focus primarily on two therapeutic areas: disorders of the central nervous system and cancers. Our research strategy is guided by four core principles: 1) balancing risk; 2) utilizing multiple technologies within a disease focus; 3) establishing strategic alliances to complement internal expertise; and 4) innovative research and development that focuses on unmet medical needs.

In the area of CNS disorders, "neurodegenerative disorders" (e.g., Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases) remain significant areas of interest for us; however, we are continuing to explore new therapeutic approaches in sleep medicine, psychiatry, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and cognition with the goal of diversifying our pre-clinical CNS pipeline. In oncology, our efforts grew from our early, pioneering research in understanding pathways involved in cell survival and death. Cancers are characterized by the uncontrolled survival and proliferation of cells that form tumors. Our research has focused on kinases and proteases, which are key intracellular messenger systems integral to cellular integrity, cellular proliferation and survival. We have developed a proprietary library of novel, potent, small, orally-active synthetic kinase and protease inhibitors that specifically target key kinases/proteases involved in tumor growth, the inhibition of which can promote tumor regression. Furthermore, our efforts in understanding fundamental DNA damage and repair mechanisms necessary for cell survival and differentiation have allowed us to discover novel compounds that, when combined with standard chemo-or radiotherapy, may provide better, more durable tumor regression without exacerbating side effects.

## **CNS Disorders**

Our pioneering research programs in neurodegenerative diseases have been the foundation from which we have grown our overall research strategies. Discovery research in this area is relatively high risk given how little is known about disease etiology (molecular target selection) and progression (prolonged clinical investigation). As such, we have utilized our experience with products in sleep medicine, pain and psychiatry to complement and balance our ongoing CNS discovery efforts targeting unique G-Protein Coupled Receptors ("GPCR"), the targets through which many CNS drugs act. Our research is focused on discovering the next generation of medicines to treat psychiatric, cognitive and sleep disorders and pain. CEP-26401, a histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonist/inverse agonist, is the first GPCR-directed compound entering into IND-enabling development activities with the therapeutic potential for treatment of the cognitive disorders associated with the negative symptoms of schizophrenia and/or symptomatic improvement in the cognitive dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease.

17

## Oncology

Our current oncology research program includes two main therapeutic targets: solid tumors, which are associated with a broad range of cancers, and hematological cancers, including AML, multiple myeloma and myeloproliferative disorders ("MPD").

In normal tissues, cellular proliferation is balanced by cellular death. Generally, both processes are controlled in part by a class of molecules (known as growth factors) that bind to cell surface receptors (many of which are kinases). Kinases, on the cell surface or intracellular, control the lifecycle of a cell by regulating when it should replicate, cease replication, perform its functions in a healthy state, or undergo programmed cell death. In cancer, the normal mechanisms of cell death are either blocked or survival mechanisms overactive, allowing cells to escape/avoid programmed death and leaving cell proliferation unchecked.

Many current cancer therapies are designed to arrest and kill rapidly dividing cells non-selectively. Thus, traditional chemotherapy and radiation therapy kill all rapidly dividing cells, including both normal and cancerous cells, and the benefits of these therapies are often limited by their toxicity to normal cells. We are focusing our research on identifying the mechanisms blocking cell-death programs, enhancing tumor cell survival and/or understanding DNA damage and repair. We believe this foundation will enable us to develop selective therapies with improved clinical benefit and better side effect profiles than current cancer treatments.

## Solid Tumors

Solid tumors account for roughly 80 to 90 percent of all cancers. Cancers of the lung, breast, colon, and prostate—each of which involves the formation and spread of tumors—are among the most prevalent and deadly forms of cancer. Angiogenesis, the natural process used by the human body to produce blood vessels, occurs as a pathological process in the development of solid tumors such as breast and lung cancers. All living organisms, including tumors, need blood vessels to supply nutrients to survive and grow. Recently approved therapeutics in this area have targeted a receptor family/primary ligand responsible for survival of individual capillary cells and formation of the tumor blood vessel: a protein receptor kinase called VEGF or the ligand VEGF itself.

Our researchers have not only discovered proprietary, potent orally active inhibitors of the VEGF kinase but have also demonstrated the importance of the Tie-2 receptor kinase as a critical partner with VEGF in the process of angiogenesis. The Tie-2 receptor kinase works in concert with VEGF receptor systems to form new blood vessels. We have shown that inhibiting both kinases results in much greater tumor regression than would be observed with either one individually.

From this research, we have synthesized a number of proprietary, orally active molecules that are potent, dual inhibitors of VEGF and Tie-2 kinases. These molecules have been shown to potently inhibit the formation of blood vessels and thereby slow growth and/or induce regressions of a variety of tumors in pre-clinical models. A potential drug candidate, CEP-11981, has been identified incorporating both of these important mechanisms, and we are currently testing this molecule in Phase 1 clinical trials.

As noted above, many current cancer therapies are designed to arrest and kill rapidly dividing cells non-selectively via damage to DNA. Thus, traditional chemotherapy and radiation therapy kill all rapidly dividing cells, including both normal and cancerous cells, and the benefits of these therapies are often limited by their toxicity to normal cells. In addition, DNA repair mechanisms in tumor cells are up-regulated, further limiting the ability of these treatments to be completely successful. PARP is an integral DNA repair enzyme that corrects single and double strand DNA breaks in normal cells, cancer cells and after chemo-or radiation therapy. Using pre-clinical models, we have shown that inhibiting this key repair mechanism sensitizes the tumor to the anti-tumor killing effects of chemo- and radiation

therapy and thereby overcomes tumor resistance. CEP-9722, chosen from a library of proprietary potent, orally active PARP inhibitors is currently in IND-enabling activities.

## Hematological Cancers

Hematologic (blood) cancers such as leukemia, lymphoma, multiple myeloma and MPD continue to have a significant impact on human life. Hematologic cancers arise due to errors in the genetic information of an immature blood cell. As a consequence of these errors, cell development is arrested so that it does not mature further, but is instead replicated over and over again, resulting in a proliferation of abnormal blood cells that eventually crowd out and destroy normal blood cells.

In addition to AML and the oncogenic role of FLT-3 mutations, activating mutations in another kinase known as JAK-2 has been implicated as the causative event in MPD. MPD consists of a group of hematologic disorders (polycythemia vera, essential thrombocytopenia and chronic idiopathic myelofibrosis) characterized by excessive production of red blood cells by hematopoietic precursors. The clinical features of MPD include anemia, thrombosis, hemorrhage, bone marrow fibrosis and leukemic transformation. There currently are no effective treatments for these conditions. Our scientists have discovered that the multikinase inhibitor, CEP-701, is a potent inhibitor of the mutated JAK-2 kinase. CEP-701 has demonstrated anti-tumor effects in pre-clinical models of MPD and we currently are studying this molecule in a Phase 2 clinical trial for the treatment of MPD.

In addition, we are actively pursuing the development of novel inhibitors of the proteosome, a multifunctional protease integral to normal cellular functioning. Based on clinical and pre-clinical studies, we believe that proteosome inhibitors may have utility in the treatment of hematological cancers, particularly multiple myeloma. We have identified proprietary proteosome inhibitors that in preclinical models of cancer display greater efficacy and tolerability than currently available therapies. These proteosome inhibitors also may be useful in the treatment of solid tumors. CEP-18770, a potent, proprietary proteosome inhibitor, is currently in Phase 1 clinical investigation.

## **Neurotrophic Factors**

Under a collaboration with Chiron Corporation that was terminated in February 2001, we conducted clinical trials using IGF-I, also known as MYOTROPHIN® (mecasermin) Injection, in patients in North America and Europe suffering from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis ("ALS"). ALS is a fatal disorder of the nervous system characterized by the chronic, progressive degeneration of motor neurons, which leads to muscle weakness, muscle atrophy and, eventually, to the patient's death. In February 1997, we submitted an NDA to the FDA for approval to market MYOTROPHIN in the United States for the treatment of ALS. In May 1998, the FDA issued a letter stating that the NDA was "potentially approvable," under certain conditions. We do not believe those conditions can be met without conducting an additional Phase 3 clinical study, and we have no plans to conduct such a study at this time. However, certain physicians have obtained governmental and non-governmental funding to be used to conduct such a study. We have agreed with these physicians to allow reference to our investigational new drug application ("IND") and have agreed to supply MYOTROPHIN in quantities sufficient for them to conduct the study in exchange for the right to use any clinical data generated by such study in support of FDA approval of our pending NDA. The final patient completed this study in August 2007, but the collection and analysis of the study data is still ongoing. Even if positive, the results of this study may not be sufficient to obtain regulatory approval to market the product. Furthermore, we do not have a source for finished commercial supply of MYOTROPHIN in the event regulatory approval is obtained.

## Other Discovery Research Efforts

One of the key components of our discovery research strategy is the establishment of strategic alliances to complement our internal scientific expertise and to provide a more diverse therapeutic breadth and depth to our research efforts. For example, we have collaborations with Euroscreen s.a. to discover and develop small molecule therapeutics targeting GPCRs; Pharmacopeia Drug Discovery, Inc. to identify new chemical entities as candidates for drug development in various therapeutic areas; Psychogenics Inc. to broaden our pharmacological expertise; and AMBIT Biosciences Inc. to utilize AMBIT's technology in interrogating the kinome, that portion of the human genome that codes for protein kinases. In addition to these, we sponsor a number of external research collaborations with academic laboratories throughout the world.

## **Drug Delivery Research and Development**

We pursue collaborative relationships with pharmaceutical companies that leverage the capabilities of these partners with our drug delivery and manufacturing capabilities to deliver new products incorporating our ORASOLV® or DURASOLV® orally disintegrating drug delivery technologies or our ORAVESCENT drug delivery technology. Revenues from these arrangements consist of net sales of manufactured products to partners, product development and licensing fees and royalties, and totaled 4.2% of our total consolidated revenue for the year ended December 31, 2007. We currently collaborate with many partners, including AstraZeneca, Novartis, Organon, Schering-Plough and Wyeth. We have three manufacturing lines at our Eden Prairie, Minnesota facility for product requiring blister packaging and a manufacturing line at our Brooklyn Park, Minnesota facility for bottled product. We also have granulation and taste masking capabilities at our Eden Prairie facility. In January 2008, we announced our intentions to transition manufacturing activities at Eden Prairie to our manufacturing facility in Salt Lake City, Utah. The transition of these activities and the closure of the Eden Prairie facility is expected to be completed within two to three years.

Drug delivery technologies have been developed for a variety of therapeutic compounds, improving safety, efficacy, ease of patient use and patient compliance. In addition, drug delivery technologies can be used to expand markets for existing products, as well as to develop new products. We have focused our research and development efforts on developing new product applications using two primary drug delivery technologies: Orally Disintegrating Tablet ("ODT") technologies and Oral Transmucosal ("OTM") technologies.

ODT technology has emerged as an important drug delivery technology that enables tablets to disintegrate quickly in the mouth without the use of water or chewing. ODT may improve compliance with a prescribed drug regimen, may improve dosing accuracy relative to liquid formulations and often is preferred by patients to conventional tablets and other formulations. Our two primary ODT technologies are ORASOLV and DURASOLV. Our ORASOLV technology incorporates taste masked active drug ingredients in orally disintegrating tablets. The low level of compaction pressure applied to ORASOLV tablets allows higher porosity, faster disintegration time and larger amounts of taste masked active drug ingredients to be compressed into the tablets. The U.S. patent for our ORASOLV technology expires in 2010.

Our DURASOLV technology uses higher compaction forces than ORASOLV to produce orally disintegrating tablets incorporating active drug ingredients in a more durable orally disintegrating tablet. Due to their greater durability, DURASOLV tablets are easier to handle and package, and may cost less to produce and package. The U.S. patents for our DURASOLV technology expire in 2018. In the third quarter of 2007, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (the "PTO") notified us that, on re-examination, it has rejected the claims in the two U.S. patents for our DURASOLV ODT technology. We filed notices of appeal of the PTO's decisions in the fourth quarter of 2007.

In addition to our ORASOLV and DURASOLV technologies, we continue to develop our LYOC® technology to create ODT using freeze drying methods to manufacture tablets. We have a fully dedicated LYOC manufacturing site in Nevers, France, which we recently expanded to provide additional capacity for both in-house and third party manufacturing. We currently manufacture and sell several drugs in France using our LYOC technology, including SPASFON LYOC®, PARALYOC®, PROXALYOC® and LOPERAMIDE LYOC®.

OTM technologies are designed to increase the absorption of active drug ingredients across the mucosal membranes lining the oral cavity, gastrointestinal tract and colon. In the area of OTM technologies, we are investing in research and development of our proprietary ORAVESCENT technologies. Our ORAVESCENT drug delivery technologies include ORAVESCENT SL for drug delivery under the tongue ("sublingual") and ORAVESCENT BL for drug delivery between the gum and the cheek ("buccal"). The U.S. patents for our ORAVESCENT technology begin to expire in 2019. In addition to our ORAVESCENT technologies, we continue to assess the potential uses of certain other proprietary buccal delivery systems in several therapeutic areas in which we focus.

## **CUSTOMERS**

Our principal customers are wholesale drug distributors. These customers comprise a significant part of the distribution network for all pharmaceutical products in the United States. Three large wholesale drug distributors, Cardinal Health, Inc., McKesson Corporation and AmerisourceBergen Corporation, control a significant share of this network. These three wholesale customers, in the aggregate, accounted for 66% of our total consolidated gross sales for the year ended December 31, 2007.

## COMPETITION

We face intense competition and rapid technological change in the pharmaceutical marketplace. Large and small companies, academic institutions, governmental agencies, and other public and private research organizations conduct research, seek patent protection and establish collaborative arrangements for product development in competition with us. Products developed by any of these entities may compete directly with those we develop or sell. In addition, many of the companies and institutions that compete against us have substantially greater capital resources, research and development staffs and facilities than we have, and substantially greater experience in conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and manufacturing and marketing pharmaceutical products. These entities represent significant competition for us. Our products also face potential competition from companies seeking to develop and sell generic formulations of our products at a substantial price discount to the current price of our products. In addition, competitors who are developing products for the treatment of neurological or oncological disorders might succeed in developing technologies and products that are more effective than any that we develop or sell or that would render our technology and products obsolete or noncompetitive. Competition and innovation from these or other sources potentially could negatively affect sales of our products or make them obsolete. Advances in current treatment methods also may adversely affect the market for such products. In addition, we may be at a competitive marketing disadvantage against companies that have broader product lines and whose sales personnel are able to offer more complementary products than we can. Any failure to maintain our competitive position could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

As discussed in more detail above, our products face competition in the marketplace. We cannot be sure that we will be able to demonstrate the potential advantages of our products to prescribing physicians and their patients on an absolute basis and/or in comparison to other presently marketed products. We also need to demonstrate to physicians, patients and third party payers that the cost of

21

our products is reasonable and appropriate in the light of their safety and efficacy, the price of competing products and the related health care benefits to the patient.

## GOVERNMENT REGULATION

The manufacture and sale of therapeutics are subject to extensive regulation by U.S. and foreign governmental authorities. In particular, pharmaceutical products are subject to rigorous preclinical and clinical trials and other approval requirements as well as other post-approval requirements by the FDA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and by analogous agencies in countries outside the United States.

As an initial step in the FDA regulatory approval process, preclinical studies are typically conducted in animals to identify potential safety problems and, in some cases, to evaluate potential efficacy. The results of the preclinical studies are submitted to regulatory authorities as a part of an IND that is filed with regulatory agencies prior to beginning studies in humans. However, for several of our drug candidates, no animal model exists that is potentially predictive of results in humans. As a result, no in vivo indication of efficacy is available until these drug candidates progress to human clinical trials.

Clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases, although the phases may overlap. Phase 1 typically begins with the initial introduction of the drug into human subjects prior to introduction into patients. In Phase 1, the compound is tested for safety, dosage tolerance, absorption, biodistribution, metabolism, excretion and clinical pharmacology, as well as, if possible, to gain early information on effectiveness. Phase 2 typically involves studies in a small sample of the intended patient population to assess the efficacy of the drug for a specific indication, determine dose tolerance and the optimal dose range, and to gather additional information relating to safety and potential adverse effects. Phase 3 trials are undertaken to further evaluate clinical safety and efficacy in an expanded patient population, generally at multiple study sites, to determine the overall risk-benefit ratio of the drug and to provide an adequate basis for product labeling. Each trial is conducted in accordance with certain standards under protocols that detail the objectives of the study, the parameters to be used to monitor safety and the efficacy criteria to be evaluated. In the United States, each protocol must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. Further, one or more independent Institutional Review Boards must evaluate each clinical study. The Institutional Review Board considers, among other things, ethical factors, the safety of the study, the adequacy of informed consent by human subjects and the possible liability of the institution. Similar procedures and requirements must be fulfilled to conduct studies in other countries. The process of completing clinical trials for a new drug is likely to take a number of years and require the expenditure of substantial resources.

Promising data from preclinical and clinical trials are submitted to the FDA in an NDA for marketing approval and to foreign regulatory authorities under applicable requirements. Preparing an NDA or foreign application involves considerable data collection, verification, analyses and expense, and there can be no assurance that the applicable regulatory authority will accept the application or grant an approval on a timely basis, if at all. The marketing or sale of pharmaceuticals in the United States may not begin without FDA approval. The approval process is affected by a number of factors, including primarily the safety and efficacy demonstrated in clinical trials and the severity of the disease. Regulatory authorities may deny an application if, in their sole discretion, they determine that applicable regulatory criteria have not been satisfied or if, in their judgment, additional testing or information is required to ensure the efficacy and safety of the product. One of the conditions for initial marketing approval, as well as continued post-approval marketing, is that a prospective manufacturer's quality control and manufacturing procedures conform to the current Good Manufacturing Practice regulations of the regulatory authority. In complying with these regulations, a manufacturer must continue to expend time, money and effort in the area of production, quality control and quality assurance to ensure full compliance. Manufacturing establishments, both foreign

and domestic, also are subject to inspections by or under the authority of the FDA and by other federal, state, local or foreign agencies. Discovery of previously unknown problems with a product or manufacturer may result in restrictions on such product or manufacturer, including withdrawal of the product from the market.

After regulatory approval has been obtained, further studies, including Phase 4 post-marketing studies, may be required to provide additional data on safety, to validate surrogate efficacy endpoints, or for other reasons, and the failure of such studies can result in a range of regulatory actions, including withdrawal of the product from the market. Further studies will be required to gain approval for the use of a product as a treatment for clinical indications other than those for which the product was initially approved. Results of post-marketing programs may limit or expand the further marketing of the products. Further, if there are any modifications to the drug, including any change in indication, manufacturing process, labeling or manufacturing facility, it may be necessary to submit an application seeking approval of such changes to the FDA or foreign regulatory authority. Finally, the FDA can place restrictions on approval and marketing utilizing its authority under applicable regulations. For example, ACTIQ was approved under subpart H of FDA approval regulations, which gives the FDA the authority to pre-approve promotional materials and permits an expedited market withdrawal procedure if issues arise regarding the safe use of ACTIQ. Moreover, marketed products are subject to continued regulatory oversight by the Office of Medical Policy Division of Drug Marketing, Advertising, and Communications, and the failure to comply with applicable regulations could result in marketing restrictions, financial penalties and/or other sanctions.

Whether or not FDA approval has been obtained, approval of a product by regulatory authorities in foreign countries must be obtained prior to the commencement of commercial sales of the product in such countries. The requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials and product approvals vary widely from country to country, and the time required for approval may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval. Although there are procedures for unified filings for most European countries, in general, each country also has its own additional procedures and requirements, especially related to pricing of new pharmaceuticals. Further, the FDA and other federal agencies regulate the export of products produced in the United States and, in some circumstances, may prohibit or restrict the export even if such products are approved for sale in other countries.

In the United States, the Orphan Drug Act provides incentives to drug manufacturers to develop and manufacture drugs for the treatment of rare diseases, currently defined as diseases that affect fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States, or for a disease that affects more than 200,000 individuals in the United States, where the sponsor does not realistically anticipate its product becoming profitable. For example, the FDA has designated CEP-701 as an orphan drug for use in treating AML, because this disease currently affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States. Under the Orphan Drug Act, a manufacturer of a designated orphan product can seek certain tax benefits, and the holder of the first FDA approval of a designated orphan product will be granted a seven-year period of marketing exclusivity for that product for the orphan indication. While the marketing exclusivity of an orphan drug would prevent other sponsors from obtaining approval of the same drug compound for the same indication unless the subsequent sponsors could demonstrate clinical superiority or a market shortage occurs, it would not prevent other sponsors from obtaining approval of the same compound for other indications or the use of other types of drugs for the same use as the orphan drug. Orphan drug designation generally does not confer any special or preferential treatment in the regulatory review process. The U.S. Congress has considered, and may consider in the future, legislation that would restrict the duration or scope of the market exclusivity of an orphan drug and, thus, we cannot be sure that the benefits of the existing statute will remain in effect. Additionally, we cannot be sure that other governmental regulations applicable to our products will not change.

In addition to the market exclusivity period under the Orphan Drug Act, the U.S. Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 permits a sponsor to petition for an extension of

the term of a patent for a period of time following the initial FDA approval of an NDA. The statute specifically allows a patent owner acting with due diligence to extend the term of the patent for a period equal to one-half the period of time elapsed between the approval of the IND and the filing of the corresponding NDA, plus the period of time between the filing of the NDA and FDA approval, up to a maximum of five years of patent term extension. Any such extension, however, cannot extend the patent term beyond a maximum term of fourteen years following FDA approval and is subject to other restrictions. Additionally, under this statute, five years of marketing exclusivity is granted for the first approval of a New Chemical Entity ("NCE"). During this period of exclusivity, an ANDA or a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted to the FDA for a drug product equivalent or identical to the NCE. An ANDA is the application form typically used by manufacturers seeking approval of a generic version of an approved drug. There is also a possibility that Congress will revise the underlying statute in the next few years, which may affect these provisions in ways that we cannot foresee. Additionally, the FDA regulates the labeling, storage, record keeping, advertising and promotion of prescription pharmaceuticals. Drug manufacturing establishments must register with the FDA and list their products with the FDA.

The Controlled Substances Act imposes various registration, record-keeping and reporting requirements, procurement and manufacturing quotas, labeling and packaging requirements, security controls and a restriction on prescription refills on certain pharmaceutical products. A principal factor in determining the particular requirements of this act, if any, applicable to a product is its actual or potential abuse profile. A pharmaceutical product may be listed as a Schedule II, III, IV or V substance, with Schedule II substances considered to present the highest risk of substance abuse and Schedule V substances the lowest. Modafinil, the active drug substance in PROVIGIL, has been scheduled under the Controlled Substances Act as a Schedule IV substance. Schedule IV substances are subject to special handling procedures relating to the storage, shipment, inventory control and disposal of the product. Fentanyl, the active ingredient in FENTORA, ACTIQ and generic OTFC, is a Schedule II controlled substance. Schedule II substances are subject to even stricter handling and record keeping requirements and prescribing restrictions than Schedule III or IV products. In addition to federal scheduling, PROVIGIL, FENTORA, ACTIQ and generic OTFC are subject to state controlled substance regulation, and may be placed in more restrictive schedules than those determined by the DEA and FDA. However, to date, neither modafinil nor fentanyl has been placed in a more restrictive schedule by any state.

In addition to the statutes and regulations described above, we also are subject to regulation under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Environmental Protection Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and other federal, state and local regulations.

## LEGAL MATTERS

For a summary of legal matters, see Note 13 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

## **EMPLOYEES**

As of December 31, 2007, we had a total of 2,796 full-time employees, of which 1,982 were employed in the United States and 814 were located at our various facilities in Europe. We believe that we have been successful in attracting skilled and experienced personnel; however, competition for such personnel is intense.

24

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below, in addition to the other information contained in this report, before making an investment decision. Our business, financial condition or results of operations could be harmed by any of these risks. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks not presently known to us or other factors not perceived by us to present significant risks to our business at this time also may impair our business operations.

A significant portion of our revenue is derived from our three largest products, and our future success will depend on the continued acceptance of PROVIGIL and the growth of FENTORA.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, 49% of our total consolidated net sales were derived from sales of PROVIGIL and 29% of our total consolidated net sales were derived from sales of our pain products, FENTORA and ACTIQ (including our generic OTFC product). Since September 2006, we have experienced meaningful erosion of branded ACTIQ sales in the United States and we expect this erosion will continue into 2008. In addition, sales of our own generic OTFC product could be significantly impacted by the entrance into the market of additional generic OTFC products, which could occur at any time. To counter this impact, we will need FENTORA, our next-generation pain product launched in October 2006, to achieve projected levels of growth. In September 2007, we issued a letter to healthcare professionals to clarify the appropriate patient selection, design and administration for FENTORA, following reports of serious adverse events in connection with the use of the product. With respect to PROVIGIL, we cannot be certain that it will continue to be accepted in its market. Specifically, the following factors, among others, could affect the level of market acceptance and/or growth of PROVIGIL and FENTORA:

- a change in the perception of the healthcare community of the safety and efficacy of the products, both in an absolute sense and relative to that of competing products;
- the level and effectiveness of our sales and marketing efforts and, with respect to PROVIGIL, those of our partner, Takeda;
- the extent to which the products are studied in clinical trials in the future and the results of any such studies;
- any unfavorable publicity regarding these or similar products;
- the price of the products relative to other competing drugs or treatments, including the impact of the availability of generic OTFC products on market acceptance of FENTORA;
- any changes in government and other third-party payer reimbursement policies and practices; and
- regulatory developments affecting the manufacture, marketing or use of these products, including, for example, the impact of any recent or future changes to the approved labels for these products.

Any adverse developments with respect to the sale or use of PROVIGIL or FENTORA could significantly reduce our product revenues and have a material adverse effect on our ability to generate net income and positive net cash flow from operations.

We may be unsuccessful in our efforts to obtain regulatory approval for new products or for new formulations of our existing products, which would significantly hamper future sales and earnings growth.

Our long-term prospects, particularly with respect to the growth of our future sales and earnings, depend to a large extent on our ability to obtain FDA approvals of new product candidates such as TREANDA or of expanded indications of our existing products such as FENTORA and NUVIGIL. There can be no assurance that our applications to market these and other product candidates will be

submitted or reviewed in a timely manner or that the FDA will approve the product candidates on the basis of the data contained in the applications. Even if approval is granted to market a product candidate, there can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully commercialize the product in the marketplace or achieve a profitable level of sales.

We may not be able to maintain adequate protection for our intellectual property or market exclusivity for our key products and, therefore, competitors may develop competing products, which could result in a decrease in sales and market share, cause us to reduce prices to compete successfully and limit our commercial success.

We place considerable importance on obtaining patent protection for new technologies, products and processes. To that end, we file applications for patents covering the compositions or uses of our drug candidates or our proprietary processes. The patent positions of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies can be highly uncertain and involve complex legal, scientific and factual questions. Accordingly, the patents and patent applications relating to our products, product candidates and technologies may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented by third parties and might not protect us against competitors with similar products or technology. Patent disputes in our industry are frequent and can preclude commercialization of products. If we ultimately engage in and lose any such disputes, we could be subject to competition or significant liabilities, we could be required to enter into third party licenses or we could be required to cease using the technology or product in dispute. In addition, even if such licenses are available, the terms of any license requested by a third party could be unacceptable to us.

## PROVIGIL / NUVIGIL

The U.S. composition of matter patent for modafinil expired in 2001. We own U.S. and foreign patent rights that expire between 2014 and 2015 and cover pharmaceutical compositions and uses of modafinil, specifically, certain particle sizes of modafinil contained in the pharmaceutical composition of PROVIGIL. With respect to NUVIGIL, we successfully obtained issuance of a U.S. patent in November 2006 claiming the Form I polymorph of armodafinil, the active drug substance in NUVIGIL. This patent is currently set to expire in 2023. Foreign patent applications directed to the Form I polymorph of armodafinil and its use in treating sleep disorders are pending in Europe and elsewhere. Ultimately, these patents might be found invalid as the result of a challenge by a third party, or a potential competitor could develop a competing product or product formulation that avoids infringement of these patents. While we intend to vigorously defend the validity of these patents and prevent infringement, these efforts will be both expensive and time consuming and, ultimately, may not be successful. The loss of patent protection for our modafinil-based products would significantly and negatively impact future sales.

As of the filing date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we are aware of seven ANDAs on file with the FDA for pharmaceutical products containing modafinil. Each of these ANDAs contains a Paragraph IV certification in which the ANDA applicant certified that the U.S. particle-size modafinil patent covering PROVIGIL either is invalid or will not be infringed by the ANDA product. In March 2003, we filed a patent infringement lawsuit against four companies—Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ranbaxy Laboratories Limited and Barr Laboratories, Inc.—based upon the ANDAs filed by each of these companies with the FDA seeking approval to market a generic form of modafinil. We believe that these four companies were the first to file ANDAs with Paragraph IV certifications and thus are eligible for the 180-day period of marketing exclusivity provided by the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. In early 2005, we also filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Carlsbad Technology, Inc. based upon the Paragraph IV ANDA related to modafinil that Carlsbad filed with the FDA.

26

In late 2005 and early 2006, we entered into settlement agreements with each of Teva, Mylan, Ranbaxy and Barr; in August 2006, we entered into a settlement agreement with Carlsbad and its development partner, Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which we understand has the right to commercialize the Carlsbad product if approved by the FDA. As part of these separate settlements, we agreed to grant to each of these parties a non-exclusive royalty-bearing license to market and sell a generic version of PROVIGIL in the United States, effective in April 2012, subject to applicable regulatory considerations. Under the agreements, the licenses could become effective prior to April 2012 only if a generic version of PROVIGIL is sold in the United States prior to this date.

We filed each of the settlements with both the FTC and the Antitrust Division of the DOJ as required by the Medicare Modernization Act. The FTC conducted an investigation of each of the PROVIGIL settlements and, in February 2008, filed suit against us in U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia challenging the validity of the settlements and related agreements entered into by us with each of Teva, Mylan, Ranbaxy and Barr. The complaint alleges a violation of Section 5(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act and seeks to permanently enjoin us from maintaining or enforcing these agreements. We believe the FTC complaint is without merit. While we intend to vigorously defend ourselves and the propriety of the settlement agreements, these efforts will be both expensive and time consuming and, ultimately, due to the nature of litigation, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be successful.

We also are aware of numerous private antitrust complaints filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, each naming Cephalon, Barr, Mylan, Teva and Ranbaxy as co-defendants and claiming, among other things, that the PROVIGIL settlements violate the antitrust laws of the United States and, in some cases, certain state laws. All but one of these actions have been consolidated into a complaint on behalf of a class of direct purchasers of PROVIGIL and a separate complaint on behalf of a class of consumers and other indirect purchasers of PROVIGIL. A separate complaint filed by an indirect purchaser of PROVIGIL was filed in September 2007. The plaintiffs in all of these actions are seeking monetary damages and/or equitable relief. We moved to dismiss the class action complaints in November 2006.

Separately, in June 2006, Apotex, Inc., a subsequent ANDA filer seeking FDA approval of a generic form of modafinil, filed suit against us, also in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, alleging similar violations of antitrust laws and state law. Apotex asserts that the PROVIGIL settlement agreements improperly prevent it from obtaining FDA approval of its ANDA, and seeks monetary and equitable remedies. Apotex also seeks a declaratory judgment that the '516 Patent is invalid, unenforceable and/or not infringed by its proposed generic. In late 2006, we filed a motion to dismiss the Apotex case, which is pending. Separately, we are seeking a judicial order in Canada to prevent regulatory approval of Apotex's generic modafinil tablets in Canada. We expect a decision by the Federal Court of Canada in this matter in the third quarter of 2008. We believe that the private antitrust complaints described in the preceding paragraph and the Apotex antitrust complaint are without merit. While we intend to vigorously defend ourselves and the propriety of the settlement agreements, these efforts will be both expensive and time consuming and, ultimately, due to the nature of litigation, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be successful.

In November 2005 and March 2006, we received notice that Caraco Pharmaceutical Laboratories, Ltd. and Apotex, Inc., respectively, also filed Paragraph IV ANDAs with the FDA in which each firm is seeking to market a generic form of PROVIGIL. We have not filed patent infringement lawsuits against either Caraco or Apotex as of the filing date of this report, although Apotex has filed suit against us, as described above.

DURASOLV

In the third quarter of 2007, the PTO notified us that, on re-examination, it has rejected the claims in the two U.S. patents for our DURASOLV ODT technology. We filed notices of appeal of the PTO's

27

decisions in the fourth quarter of 2007. While we intend to vigorously defend these patents, these efforts, ultimately, may not be successful. The invalidity of the DURASOLV patents could have a material adverse impact on revenues from our drug delivery business.

We also rely on trade secrets, know-how and continuing technological advancements to support our competitive position. Although we have entered into confidentiality and invention rights agreements with our employees, consultants, advisors and collaborators, these parties could fail to honor such agreements or we could be unable to effectively protect our rights to our unpatented trade secrets and know-how. Moreover, others could independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets and know-how. In addition, many of our scientific and management personnel have been recruited from other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies where they were conducting research in areas similar to those that we now pursue. As a result, we could be subject to allegations of trade secret violations and other claims.

Our activities and products are subject to significant government regulations and approvals, which are often costly and could result in adverse consequences to our business if we fail to comply.

We currently have a number of products that have been approved for sale in the United States, foreign countries or both. All of our approved products are subject to extensive continuing regulations relating to, among other things, testing, manufacturing, quality control, labeling, and promotion. The failure to comply with any rules and regulations of the FDA or any foreign medical authority, or the post-approval discovery of previously unknown problems relating to our products, could result in, among other things:

- fines, recalls or seizures of products;
- total or partial suspension of manufacturing or commercial activities;
- non-approval of product license applications;
- restrictions on our ability to enter into strategic relationships; and
- criminal prosecution.

Over the past few years, a significant number of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies have been the target of inquiries and investigations by various federal and state regulatory, investigative, prosecutorial and administrative entities, including the DOJ and various U.S. Attorney's Offices, the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, the FDA, the FTC and various state Attorneys General offices. These investigations have alleged violations of various federal and state laws and regulations, including claims asserting antitrust violations, violations of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, the False Claims Act, the Prescription Drug Marketing Act, anti-kickback laws, and other alleged violations in connection with off-label promotion of products, pricing and Medicare and/or Medicaid reimbursement.

Because of the broad scope and complexity of these laws and regulations, the high degree of prosecutorial resources and attention being devoted to the sales practices of pharmaceutical companies by law enforcement authorities, and the risk of potential exclusion from federal government reimbursement programs, numerous companies have determined that it is highly advisable that they enter into settlement agreements in these matters, particularly those brought by federal authorities. Companies that have chosen to settle these alleged violations have typically paid multi-million dollar fines to the government and agreed to abide by corporate integrity agreements.

In early November 2007, we announced that we had reached an agreement in principle with the USAO in Philadelphia and the DOJ with respect to the USAO investigation that began in September 2004. The investigation was focused on our sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, GABITRIL and PROVIGIL. Under this agreement, we expect to pay \$425.0 million as part of a comprehensive settlement of all Federal and related state Medicaid claims. In addition, we will agree to

a single federal misdemeanor violation of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and enter into a corporate integrity agreement with the Office of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The terms described above are subject to negotiation and the execution of the final settlement and corporate integrity agreements. There can be no assurance that the settlement will be finalized on the terms outlined above.

In September 2004, we announced that we had received a voluntary request for information from the Office of the Connecticut Attorney General that also appears to be focused on our sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, GABITRIL and PROVIGIL. We are cooperating with this Office, are providing documents and other information in response to these and additional requests and are engaged in ongoing discussions with them. In late October 2007, we also received a civil demand for information from the Office of the Massachusetts Attorney General that is focused on sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, FENTORA and certain of our other products. We intend to cooperate with this request. Both of these matters may involve civil penalties and/or fines. The payment of any settlement or judgment amount and/or fines could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity and results of operations. Furthermore, it is reasonably likely that we will face future additional requests for information from other state attorneys general focused on historical sales and promotional practices for our U.S. products. If civil penalties and/or fines were to result from such investigations, it could materially and adversely effect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

In late 2007, we were served with a series of putative class action complaints filed on behalf of entities that claim to have purchased ACTIQ for use outside of the product's approved label. The complaints allege violations of various state consumer protection laws, as well as the violation of the common law of unjust enrichment, and seek an unspecified amount of money in actual, punitive and/or treble damages, with interest, and/or disgorgement of profits. We believe the allegations in the complaints are without merit, and we intend to vigorously defend ourselves in these matters and in any similar actions that may be filed in the future.

In March 2007, we received a letter requesting information related to ACTIQ and FENTORA from Congressman Henry A. Waxman in his capacity as Chairman of the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. The letter cites two articles concerning ACTIQ published in The Wall Street Journal in November 2006 and requests information concerning our sales and marketing practices for ACTIQ and FENTORA, among other things. We are cooperating with this request and are continuing to provide documents and other information to the Committee.

It is both costly and time-consuming for us to comply with these inquiries and with the extensive regulations to which we are subject. Additionally, incidents of adverse drug reactions, unintended side effects or misuse relating to our products could result in additional regulatory controls or restrictions, or even lead to withdrawal of a product from the market.

With respect to our product candidates, we conduct research, preclinical testing and clinical trials, each of which requires us to comply with extensive government regulations. We cannot market these product candidates or these new indications in the United States or other countries without receiving approval from the FDA or the appropriate foreign medical authority. The approval process is highly uncertain and requires substantial time, effort and financial resources. Ultimately, we may never obtain approval in a timely manner, or at all. Without these required approvals, our ability to substantially grow revenues in the future could be adversely affected.

In addition, because PROVIGIL, NUVIGIL, FENTORA, ACTIQ and generic OTFC contain active ingredients that are controlled substances, we are subject to regulation by the DEA and analogous foreign organizations relating to the manufacture, shipment, sale and use of the applicable products. These regulations also are imposed on prescribing physicians and other third parties, making the storage, transport and use of such products relatively complicated and expensive. With the

29

increased concern for safety by the FDA and the DEA with respect to products containing controlled substances and the heightened level of media attention given to this issue, it is possible that these regulatory agencies could impose additional restrictions on marketing or even withdraw regulatory approval for such products. In addition, adverse publicity may bring about a rejection of the product by the medical community. If the DEA, FDA or analogous foreign authorities withdrew the approval of, or placed additional significant restrictions on the marketing of any of our products, our ability to promote our products and product sales could be substantially affected.

We rely on third parties for the timely supply of specified raw materials, equipment, contract manufacturing, formulation or packaging services, product distribution services, customer service activities and product returns processing. Although we actively manage these third party relationships to ensure continuity and quality, some events beyond our control could result in the complete or partial failure of these goods and services. Any such failure could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and result of operations.

Manufacturing, supply and distribution problems may create supply disruptions that could result in a reduction of product sales revenue and an increase in costs of sales, and damage commercial prospects for our products.

The manufacture, supply and distribution of pharmaceutical products, both inside and outside the United States, is highly regulated and complex. We, and the third parties we rely upon for the manufacturing and distribution of our products, must comply with all applicable regulatory requirements of the FDA and foreign authorities, including current Good Manufacturing Practice regulations.

We also must comply with all applicable regulatory requirements of the DEA and analogous foreign authorities for certain of our products that contain controlled substances. The DEA also has authority to grant or deny requests for quota of controlled substances such as the fentanyl citrate that is the active ingredient in ACTIQ and generic OTFC. Under our license and supply agreement with Barr, we are obligated to sell generic OTFC to Barr for its resale in the United States. While we currently have available fentanyl quota to produce ACTIQ and generic OTFC, in the future we could face shortages of quota that could negatively impact our ability to supply product to Barr or to produce ACTIQ or our generic OTFC product. If we are unable to provide product to Barr, it is possible that either Barr or the FTC could claim that such a failure would constitute a breach of our agreements with these parties.

The facilities used to manufacture, store and distribute our products also are subject to inspection by regulatory authorities at any time to determine compliance with regulations. These regulations are complex, and any failure to comply with them could lead to remedial action, civil and criminal penalties and delays in production or distribution of material.

For certain of our products in the United States and abroad, we depend upon single sources for the manufacture of both the active drug substances contained in our products and for finished commercial supplies. The process of changing or adding a manufacturer or changing a formulation requires prior FDA and/or analogous foreign medical authority approval and is very time-consuming. If we are unable to manage this process effectively or if an unforeseen event occurs at any facility, we could face supply disruptions that would result in significant costs and delays, undermine goodwill established with physicians and patients, damage commercial prospects for our products and adversely affect operating results.

30

As our products are used commercially, unintended side effects, adverse reactions or incidents of misuse may occur that could result in additional regulatory controls, changes to product labeling, adverse publicity and reduced sales of our products.

During research and development, the use of pharmaceutical products, such as ours, is limited principally to clinical trial patients under controlled conditions and under the care of expert physicians. The widespread commercial use of our products could identify undesirable or unintended side effects that have not been evident in our clinical trials or the commercial use as of the filing date of this report. For example, in September 2007, we issued a letter to healthcare professionals to clarify the appropriate patient selection, design and administration for FENTORA, following reports of serious adverse events in connection with the use of the product. Likewise, in February 2005, working with the FDA, we updated our prescribing information for GABITRIL to include a bolded warning describing the risk of new onset seizures in patients without epilepsy. In addition, in patients who take multiple medications, drug interactions could occur that can be difficult to predict. Additionally, incidents of product misuse, product diversion or theft may occur, particularly with respect to products such as FENTORA, ACTIQ, generic OTFC and PROVIGIL, which contain controlled substances.

These events, among others, could result in adverse publicity that harms the commercial prospects of our products or lead to additional regulatory controls that could limit the circumstances under which the product is prescribed or even lead to the withdrawal of the product from the market. In particular, FENTORA and ACTIQ have been approved under regulations concerning drugs with certain safety profiles, under which the FDA has established special restrictions to ensure safe use. Any violation of these special restrictions could lead to the imposition of further restrictions or withdrawal of the product from the market.

## We face significant product liability risks, which may have a negative effect on our financial performance.

The administration of drugs to humans, whether in clinical trials or commercially, can result in product liability claims whether or not the drugs are actually at fault for causing an injury. Furthermore, our products may cause, or may appear to have caused, adverse side effects (including death) or potentially dangerous drug interactions that we may not learn about or understand fully until the drug has been administered to patients for some time. As our products are used more widely and in patients with varying medical conditions, the likelihood of an adverse drug reaction, unintended side effect or incidence of misuse may increase. Product liability claims can be expensive to defend and may result in large judgments or settlements against us, which could have a negative effect on our financial performance. The cost of product liability insurance has increased in recent years, and the availability of coverage has decreased. Nevertheless, we maintain product liability insurance in amounts we believe to be commercially reasonable but which would be unlikely to cover the potential liability associated with a significant unforeseen safety issue. Any claims could easily exceed our current coverage limits. Even if a product liability claim is not successful, the adverse publicity and time and expense of defending such a claim may interfere with our business.

Our product sales and related financial results will fluctuate, and these fluctuations may cause our stock price to fall, especially if investors do not anticipate them.

A number of analysts and investors who follow our stock have developed models to attempt to forecast future product sales and expenses, and have established earnings expectations based upon those models. These models, in turn, are based in part on estimates of projected revenue and earnings that we disclose publicly. Forecasting future revenues is difficult, especially when we only have a few years of commercial history and when the level of market acceptance of our products is changing rapidly. As a result, it is reasonably likely that our product sales will fluctuate to an extent that may not

31

meet with market expectations and that also may adversely affect our stock price. There are a number of other factors that could cause our financial results to fluctuate unexpectedly, including:

- cost of product sales;
- achievement and timing of research and development milestones;
- collaboration revenues;
- cost and timing of clinical trials, regulatory approvals and product launches;
- marketing and other expenses;
- manufacturing or supply disruptions; and
- costs associated with the operations of recently-acquired businesses and technologies.

# We may be unable to repay our substantial indebtedness and other obligations.

All of our convertible notes outstanding contain restricted conversion prices that are either below or close to our stock price as of December 31, 2007. As a result, our convertible notes have been classified as current liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2007. Under the terms of the indentures governing the notes, we are obligated to repay in cash the aggregate principal balance of any such notes presented for conversion. As of the filing date of this report, we do not have available cash, cash equivalents and investments sufficient to repay all of the convertible notes, if presented. In addition, there are no restrictions on our use of this cash and the cash available to repay indebtedness may decline over time. If we do not have sufficient funds available to repay the principal balance of notes presented for conversion, we will be required to raise additional funds. Because the financing markets may be unwilling to provide funding to us or may only be willing to provide funding on terms that we would consider unacceptable, we may not have cash available or be able to obtain funding to permit us to meet our repayment obligations, thus adversely affecting the market price for our securities.

Our research and development and marketing efforts are often dependent on corporate collaborators and other third parties who may not devote sufficient time, resources and attention to our programs, which may limit our efforts to develop and market potential products.

To maximize our growth opportunities, we have entered into a number of collaboration agreements with third parties. For example, in the United States, we have an agreement with Takeda under which it will co-promote PROVIGIL until mid-2009. If Takeda fails to meet its obligations under the co-promotion agreement, is ineffective in its efforts, or if we or they determine to terminate the agreement prior to the end of its term, the growth of PROVIGIL sales could be impacted.

In certain countries outside the United States, we have entered into agreements with a number of partners with respect to the development, manufacturing and marketing of our products. In some cases, our collaboration agreements call for our partners to control:

- the supply of bulk or formulated drugs for use in clinical trials or for commercial use;
- the design and execution of clinical studies;
- the process of obtaining regulatory approval to market the product; and/or
- marketing and selling of an approved product.

In each of these areas, our partners may not support fully our research and commercial interests because our program may compete for time, attention and resources with the internal programs of our corporate collaborators. As such, our program may not move forward as effectively, or advance as rapidly, as it might if we had retained complete control of all research, development, regulatory and commercialization decisions. We also rely on some of these collaborators and other third parties for the

production of compounds and the manufacture and supply of pharmaceutical products. Additionally, we may find it necessary from time to time to seek new or additional partners to assist us in commercializing our products, though we ultimately might not be successful in establishing any such new or additional relationships.

# The efforts of government entities and third party payers to contain or reduce the costs of health care may adversely affect our sales and limit the commercial success of our products.

In certain foreign markets, pricing or profitability of pharmaceutical products is subject to various forms of direct and indirect governmental control, including the control over the amount of reimbursements provided to the patient who is prescribed specific pharmaceutical products. For example, we are aware of governmental efforts in France to limit or eliminate reimbursement for some of our products, particularly FONZYLANE, FONLIPOL and OLMIFON, which could impact revenues from our French operations.

In the United States, there have been, and we expect there will continue to be, various proposals to implement similar controls. The commercial success of our products could be limited if federal or state governments adopt any such proposals. In addition, in the United States and elsewhere, sales of pharmaceutical products depend in part on the availability of reimbursement to the consumer from third party payers, such as government and private insurance plans. These third party payers are increasingly utilizing their significant purchasing power to challenge the prices charged for pharmaceutical products and seek to limit reimbursement levels offered to consumers for such products. Moreover, many governments and private insurance plans have instituted reimbursement schemes that favor the substitution of generic pharmaceuticals for more expensive brand-name pharmaceuticals. In the United States in particular, generic substitution statutes have been enacted in virtually all states and permit or require the dispensing pharmacist to substitute a less expensive generic drug instead of an original branded drug. These third party payers are focusing their cost control efforts on our products, especially with respect to prices of and reimbursement levels for products prescribed outside their labeled indications. In these cases, their efforts may negatively impact our product sales and profitability.

# We experience intense competition in our fields of interest, which may adversely affect our business.

Large and small companies, academic institutions, governmental agencies and other public and private research organizations conduct research, seek patent protection and establish collaborative arrangements for product development in competition with us. Products developed by any of these entities may compete directly with those we develop or sell.

The conditions that our products treat, and some of the other disorders for which we are conducting additional studies, are currently treated with many drugs, several of which have been available for a number of years or are available in inexpensive generic forms. With respect to PROVIGIL, and, when launched, NUVIGIL, there are several other products used for the treatment of excessive sleepiness or narcolepsy in the United States, including methylphenidate products, and in our other territories, many of which have been available for a number of years and are available in inexpensive generic forms. With respect to AMRIX, we face significant competition from SKELAXIN®, FLEXERIL® and other inexpensive generic forms of muscle relaxants. With respect to FENTORA, we face competition from numerous short-and long-acting opioid products, including three products—Johnson & Johnson's DURAGESIC® and Purdue Pharmaceutical's OXYCONTIN® and MS-CONTIN®—that dominate the market. In addition, we are aware of numerous other companies developing other technologies for rapidly delivering opioids to treat breakthrough pain that will compete against FENTORA in the market for breakthrough cancer pain in opioid-tolerant patients. It also is possible that the existence of generic OTFC could negatively impact the growth of FENTORA. With respect to ACTIQ, generic competition from Barr has meaningfully eroded branded ACTIQ sales

33

and impacted sales of our own generic OTFC through Watson. Our generic sales also could be significantly impacted by the entrance into the market of additional generic OTFC products, which could occur at any time. With respect to VIVITROL, we face competition from CAMPRAL® and oral naltrexone. With respect to TRISENOX, the pharmaceutical market for the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory APL is served by a number of available therapeutics, such as VESANOID® by Roche in combination with chemotherapy.

For all of our products, we need to demonstrate to physicians, patients and third party payers that the cost of our products is reasonable and appropriate in the light of their safety and efficacy, the price of competing products and the related health care benefits to the patient.

Many of our competitors have substantially greater capital resources, research and development staffs and facilities than we have, and substantially greater experience in conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and manufacturing and marketing pharmaceutical products. These entities represent significant competition for us. In addition, competitors who are developing products for the treatment of neurological or oncological disorders might succeed in developing technologies and products that are more effective than any that we develop or sell or that would render our technology and products obsolete or noncompetitive. Competition and innovation from these or other sources, including advances in current treatment methods, could potentially affect sales of our products negatively or make our products obsolete. Furthermore, we may be at a competitive marketing disadvantage against companies that have broader product lines and whose sales personnel are able to offer more complementary products than we can. Any failure to maintain our competitive position could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We plan to consider and, as appropriate, make acquisitions of technologies, products and businesses, which may subject us to a number of risks and/or result in us experiencing significant charges to earnings that may adversely affect our stock price, operating results and financial condition.

As part of our efforts to acquire businesses or to enter into other significant transactions, we conduct business, legal and financial due diligence with the goal of identifying and evaluating material risks involved in the transaction. Despite our efforts, we ultimately may be unsuccessful in ascertaining or evaluating all such risks and, as a result, we might not realize the intended advantages of the acquisition. If we fail to realize the expected benefits from acquisitions we have consummated or may consummate in the future, whether as a result of unidentified risks, integration difficulties, regulatory setbacks or other events, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected. In connection with an acquisition, we must estimate the value of the transaction by making certain assumptions about, among other things, likelihood of regulatory approval for unapproved products and the market potential for marketed products and/or product candidates. Ultimately, our assumptions may prove to be incorrect, which could cause us to fail to realize the anticipated benefits of a transaction.

In addition, we have experienced, and will likely continue to experience, significant charges to earnings related to our efforts to consummate acquisitions. For transactions that ultimately are not consummated, these charges may include fees and expenses for investment bankers, attorneys, accountants and other advisers in connection with our efforts. Even if our efforts are successful, we may incur as part of a transaction substantial charges for closure costs associated with the elimination of duplicate operations and facilities and acquired in-process research and development charges. In either case, the incurrence of these charges could adversely affect our results of operations for particular quarterly or annual periods.

34

We may be unable to successfully consolidate and integrate the operations of businesses we acquire, which may adversely affect our stock price, operating results and financial condition.

We must consolidate and integrate the operations of acquired businesses with our business. Integration efforts often take a significant amount of time, place a significant strain on our managerial, operational and financial resources and could prove to be more difficult and expensive than we predicted. The diversion of our management's attention and any delays or difficulties encountered in connection with these recent acquisitions, and any future acquisitions we may consummate, could result in the disruption of our ongoing business or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that could negatively affect our ability to maintain relationships with customers, suppliers, employees and others with whom we have business dealings.

The results and timing of our research and development activities, including future clinical trials, are difficult to predict, subject to potential future setbacks and, ultimately, may not result in viable pharmaceutical products, which may adversely affect our business.

In order to sustain our business, we focus substantial resources on the search for new pharmaceutical products. These activities include engaging in discovery research and process development, conducting preclinical and clinical studies and seeking regulatory approval in the United States and abroad. In all of these areas, we have relatively limited resources and compete against larger, multinational pharmaceutical companies. Moreover, even if we undertake these activities in an effective and efficient manner, regulatory approval for the sale of new pharmaceutical products remains highly uncertain because the majority of compounds discovered do not enter clinical studies and the majority of therapeutic candidates fail to show the human safety and efficacy necessary for regulatory approval and successful commercialization.

In the pharmaceutical business, the research and development process generally takes 12 years or longer, from discovery to commercial product launch. During each stage of this process, there is a substantial risk of failure. Preclinical testing and clinical trials must demonstrate that a product candidate is safe and efficacious. The results from preclinical testing and early clinical trials may not be predictive of results obtained in subsequent clinical trials, and these clinical trials may not demonstrate the safety and efficacy necessary to obtain regulatory approval for any product candidates. A number of companies in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials, even after obtaining promising results in earlier trials. For ethical reasons, certain clinical trials are conducted with patients having the most advanced stages of disease and who have failed treatment with alternative therapies. During the course of treatment, these patients often die or suffer other adverse medical effects for reasons that may not be related to the pharmaceutical agent being tested. Such events can have a negative impact on the statistical analysis of clinical trial results.

The completion of clinical trials of our product candidates may be delayed by many factors, including the rate of enrollment of patients. Neither we nor our collaborators can control the rate at which patients present themselves for enrollment, and the rate of patient enrollment may not be consistent with our expectations or sufficient to enable clinical trials of our product candidates to be completed in a timely manner or at all. In addition, we may not be permitted by regulatory authorities to undertake additional clinical trials for one or more of our product candidates. Even if such trials are conducted, our product candidates may not prove to be safe and efficacious or receive regulatory approvals. Any significant delays in, or termination of, clinical trials of our product candidates could impact our ability to generate product sales from these product candidates in the future.

35

The price of our common stock has been and may continue to be highly volatile, which may make it difficult for stockholders to sell our common stock when desired or at attractive prices.

The market price of our common stock is highly volatile, and we expect it to continue to be volatile for the foreseeable future. For example, from January 1, 2007 through February 15, 2008 our common stock traded at a high price of \$84.83 and a low price of \$56.20. Negative announcements, including, among others:

- adverse regulatory decisions;
- disappointing clinical trial results;
- legal challenges, disputes and/or other adverse developments impacting our patents or other proprietary products; or
- sales or operating results that fall below the market's expectations

could trigger significant declines in the price of our common stock. In addition, external events, such as news concerning economic conditions, our competitors or our customers, changes in government regulations impacting the biotechnology or pharmaceutical industries or the movement of capital into or out of our industry, also are likely to affect the price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance.

Our internal controls over financial reporting may not be considered effective, which could result in possible regulatory sanctions and a decline in our stock price.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to furnish annually a report on our internal controls over financial reporting and to maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting. In order for management to evaluate our internal controls, we must regularly review and document our internal control processes and procedures and test such controls. Ultimately, we or our independent auditors could conclude that our internal control over financial reporting may not be effective if, among others things:

- any material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting exist; or
- we fail to remediate assessed deficiencies.

During 2008, we are expanding our SAP® implementation to include additional capabilities. This expansion will require changes to certain aspects of our existing system of internal controls over financial reporting. Due to the number of controls to be examined, both with respect to this phase of the implementation and our other internal controls over financial reporting, the complexity of our processes, and the subjectivity involved in determining the effectiveness of controls, we cannot be certain that, in the future, all of our controls will continue to be considered effective by management or, if considered effective by our management, that our auditors will agree with such assessment.

If, in the future, we are unable to assert that our internal control over financial reporting is effective, or if our auditors are unable to express an opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, we could be subject to regulatory sanctions or lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, either of which could have an adverse effect on the market price for our securities.

A portion of our revenues and expenses is subject to exchange rate fluctuations in the normal course of business, which could adversely affect our reported results of operations.

Historically, a portion of our revenues and expenses has been earned and incurred, respectively, in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. For the year ended December 31, 2007, 19% of our revenues were denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. We translate revenues earned and expenses incurred into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rate applicable during the relevant period. A weakening of the U.S. dollar would, therefore, increase both our revenues and expenses. Fluctuations

in the rate of exchange between the U.S. dollar and the euro and other currencies may affect period-to-period comparisons of our operating results. Historically, we have not hedged our exposure to these fluctuations in exchange rates.

#### Our customer base is highly concentrated.

Our principal customers are wholesale drug distributors. These customers comprise a significant part of the distribution network for pharmaceutical products in the United States. Three large wholesale distributors, Cardinal Health, Inc., McKesson Corporation and AmerisourceBergen Corporation, control a significant share of this network. These three wholesaler customers, in the aggregate, accounted for 66% of our total consolidated gross sales for the year ended December 31, 2007. Fluctuations in the buying patterns of these customers, which may result from seasonality, wholesaler buying decisions or other factors outside of our control, could significantly affect the level of our net sales on a period to period basis. Because of this, the amounts purchased by these customers during any quarterly or annual period may not correlate to the level of underlying demand evidenced by the number of prescriptions written for such products, as reported by IMS Health Incorporated.

# We are involved, or may become involved in the future, in legal proceedings that, if adversely adjudicated or settled, could materially impact our financial condition.

As a biopharmaceutical company, we are or may become a party to litigation in the ordinary course of our business, including, among others, matters alleging employment discrimination, product liability, patent or other intellectual property rights infringement, patent invalidity or breach of commercial contract. In general, litigation claims can be expensive and time consuming to bring or defend against and could result in settlements or damages that could significantly impact results of operations and financial condition. We currently are vigorously defending ourselves against those matters specifically described in Note 13 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K as well as numerous other litigation matters. While we currently do not believe that the settlement or adverse adjudication of these other litigation matters would materially impact our results of operations or financial condition, the final resolution of these matters and the impact, if any, on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows is unknown but could be material.

# Our dependence on key executives and scientists could impact the development and management of our business.

We are highly dependent upon our ability to attract and retain qualified scientific, technical and managerial personnel. There is intense competition for qualified personnel in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries, and we cannot be sure that we will be able to continue to attract and retain the qualified personnel necessary for the development and management of our business. Although we do not believe the loss of one individual would materially harm our business might be harmed by the loss of the services of multiple existing personnel, as well as the failure to recruit additional key scientific, technical and managerial personnel in a timely manner. Much of the know-how we have developed resides in our scientific and technical personnel and is not readily transferable to other personnel. While we have employment agreements with our key executives, we do not ordinarily enter into employment agreements with our other key scientific, technical and managerial employees. We do not maintain "key man" life insurance on any of our employees.

# We may be required to incur significant costs to comply with environmental laws and regulations, and our related compliance may limit any future profitability.

Our research and development activities involve the controlled use of hazardous, infectious and radioactive materials that could be hazardous to human health and safety or the environment. We store these materials, and various wastes resulting from their use, at our facilities pending ultimate use and

37

disposal. We are subject to a variety of federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the use, generation, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of these materials and wastes, and we may be required to incur significant costs to comply with related existing and future environmental laws and regulations.

While we believe that our safety procedures for handling and disposing of these materials comply with foreign, federal, state and local laws and regulations, we cannot completely eliminate the risk of accidental injury or contamination from these materials. In the event of an accident, we could be held liable for any resulting damages, which could include fines and remedial costs. These damages could require payment by us of significant amounts over a number of years, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Anti-takeover provisions may delay or prevent changes in control of our management or deter a third party from acquiring us, limiting our stockholders' ability to profit from such a transaction.

Our Board of Directors has the authority to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, of which 1,000,000 have been reserved for issuance in connection with our stockholder rights plan, and to determine the price, rights, preferences and privileges of those shares without any further vote or action by our stockholders. Our stockholder rights plan could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock.

We are subject to the anti-takeover provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits us from engaging in a "business combination" with an "interested stockholder" for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person becomes an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. The application of Section 203 could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control of Cephalon. Section 203, the rights plan, and certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation, our bylaws and Delaware corporate law, may have the effect of deterring hostile takeovers, or delaying or preventing changes in control of our management, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then-current market prices.

## ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We lease our corporate headquarters, which is located in Frazer, Pennsylvania and consists of approximately 190,000 square feet of administrative office space. We own approximately 160,000 square feet of research and office space in West Chester, Pennsylvania, at the site of our former corporate headquarters. We also lease approximately 215,000 square feet of office, administrative, research and warehouse space that is near our Frazer and West Chester facilities. In Salt Lake City, Utah, we own approximately 200,000 square feet of manufacturing, warehousing and laboratory space and lease approximately 123,000 square feet for administrative, research and pilot plant functions. At our facilities in Eden Prairie and Brooklyn Park, Minnesota, we own approximately 200,000 square feet of space, most of which is dedicated to our manufacturing and warehousing operations. In January 2008, we announced our intentions to transition manufacturing activities at Eden Prairie to our manufacturing facility in Salt Lake City, Utah.

In France, we own administrative facilities, an executive and development facility, a manufacturing facility, a packaging facility and various warehouses totaling approximately 326,000 square feet. We also lease the site of our other manufacturing facility in France totaling approximately 29,000 square feet. We lease office space for our European operations in the U.K. as well as space for our satellite offices in a number of major European countries. We believe that our current facilities are adequate for our present purposes.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information set forth in Note 13 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is incorporated herein by reference.

38

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

We did not submit any matters to the vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2007.

## **Executive Officers of the Registrant**

The names, ages and positions held by our executive officers as of the filing date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are as follows:

Name	Age	Position						
Frank Baldino, Jr., Ph.D.	54	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer						
Valli F. Baldassano.	47	Executive Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer						
J. Kevin Buchi	52	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer						
Peter E. Grebow, Ph.D.	61	Executive Vice President, Worldwide Technical Operations						
John E. Osborn	50	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary						
Robert P. Roche, Jr.	52	Executive Vice President, Worldwide Pharmaceutical Operations						
Lesley Russell, MB.Ch.B., MRCP.	47	Executive Vice President, Worldwide Medical and Regulatory Operations						
Carl A. Savini	58	Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer						
Jeffry L. Vaught, Ph.D.	57	Executive Vice President and President, Research and Development						

All executive officers are elected by the Board of Directors to serve in their respective capacities until their successors are elected and qualified or until their earlier resignation or removal.

Dr. Baldino founded Cephalon and has served as Chief Executive Officer and a director since its inception. He was appointed Chairman of the Board of Directors in December 1999. Dr. Baldino received his Ph.D. degree from Temple University, holds several adjunct academic appointments and is a trustee of Temple University. Dr. Baldino currently serves as a director of Pharmacopeia, Inc., a developer of proprietary technology platforms for pharmaceutical companies, Acusphere, Inc., a specialty pharmaceutical company and NicOx S.A., a company engaged in the research, development and commercialization of nitric oxide therapeutics.

Ms. Baldassano joined Cephalon in October 2007 as Executive Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer. From April to September 2007, Ms. Baldassano served as Partner with Fox Rothschild LLP in Philadelphia where she was a member of the Litigation Department. Between January 2004 and March 2007, Ms. Baldassano served as Vice President Global Compliance for Schering-Plough. Between 1999 and 2003, Ms. Baldassano served as Senior Director, Global Compliance and Associate General Counsel for Pharmacia. Between 1990 and 1998, Ms. Baldassano was an Assistant U.S. Attorney with the criminal division of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Ms. Baldassano graduated from Georgetown University and received her J.D. from Syracuse University.

Mr. Buchi joined Cephalon in March 1991 and has held the position of Chief Financial Officer since 1996. Between 1985 and 1991, Mr. Buchi served in a number of financial positions with E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company. Mr. Buchi received a master of management degree from the J.L. Kellogg Graduate School of Management, Northwestern University in 1982. Mr. Buchi serves as a member of the board of directors of Lorus Therapeutics Inc., a publicly-traded Canadian biotechnology company, and Encysive Pharmaceuticals Inc., a publicly-traded pharmaceutical company.

Dr. Grebow joined Cephalon in January 1991, and since February 2005 has served as Executive Vice President, Worldwide Technical Operations. Dr. Grebow also has served as Senior Vice President, Worldwide Technical Operations, Senior Vice President, Business Development, and Vice President,

Drug Development. From 1988 to 1990, Dr. Grebow served as Vice President of Drug Development for Rorer Central Research, a division of Rhone-Poulenc Rorer Pharmaceuticals Inc., a pharmaceutical company. Dr. Grebow received a Ph.D. in chemistry from the University of California, Santa Barbara.

Mr. Osborn joined Cephalon in March 1997 and was appointed Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary in 1998, and Executive Vice President in 2006. From 1992 to 1997, Mr. Osborn was employed by The DuPont Merck Pharmaceutical Company, most recently as Vice President and Associate General Counsel. Prior to that, he served in the George H.W. Bush administration with the U.S. Department of State, practiced corporate law in Boston with the firm of Hale and Dorr, and clerked for a U.S. Court of Appeals judge. He holds a visiting research appointment in politics at Princeton University, and has been elected to membership in the American Law Institute and the Council on Foreign Relations. Mr. Osborn is a member of the board of governors of the East-West Center in Honolulu, an education and research organization established by the U.S. Congress to study the Asia Pacific region and its relationship with the United States. He also serves as a member of the board of directors of Incept BioSystems, Inc., a privately-held biomedical device company in Ann Arbor, Michigan. Mr. Osborn earned a law degree from the University of Virginia and a master's degree in international public policy from The Johns Hopkins University. On February 8, 2008, we announced Mr. Osborn's intention to resign from Cephalon, effective March 31, 2008.

Mr. Roche joined Cephalon in January 1995 and has served as Executive Vice President, Worldwide Pharmaceutical Operations since February 2005. From November 2000 to February 2005, Mr. Roche was Senior Vice President, Pharmaceutical Operations. In June 1999, he was appointed to Senior Vice President of Sales and Marketing, and prior to that was Vice President, Sales and Marketing. Previously, Mr. Roche served as Director and Vice President, Worldwide Strategic Product Development, for SmithKline Beecham's central nervous system and gastrointestinal products business, and held senior marketing and management positions with that company in the Philippines, Canada and Spain. Mr. Roche serves as a member of the board of directors of LifeCell Corporation, a publicly-traded biotechnology company. Mr. Roche graduated from Colgate University and received a master of business administration degree from The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania.

Dr. Russell joined Cephalon in January 2000, and since November 2006, has served as Executive Vice President, Worldwide Medical and Regulatory Operations. Dr. Russell was Senior Vice President, Worldwide Medical and Regulatory Operations from August 2006 to October 2006, Senior Vice President of Worldwide Clinical Research from February 2005 to August 2006, and Vice President, Clinical Research prior to such time. From July 1996 to January 2000, Dr. Russell was employed by US Bioscience Inc./ MedImmune Oncology, most recently as Vice President Clinical Research. In that capacity, Dr. Russell was responsible for directing and implementing the clinical programs in oncology and HIV research. Prior to this, Dr. Russell was a Clinical Research Physician at Eli Lilly UK where she focused on oncology clinical programs. Before joining the pharmaceutical industry, Dr. Russell studied in Hematology/Oncology at Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, and Royal Hospital for Sick Children Edinburgh UK and was a Research Fellow at University of Edinburgh Faculty of Medicine. Dr. Russell received MB.Ch.B. from University of Edinburgh, Scotland, Faculty of Medicine and is a member of the Royal College of Physicians, UK.

Mr. Savini joined Cephalon in June 1993, was appointed Senior Vice President in 1999, and has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer since February 2006. Mr. Savini has served in various capacities with the Company, including Senior Vice President, Administration and Senior Vice President, Human Resources. From 1983 to 1993, Mr. Savini was employed by Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and from 1981 to 1983 he was employed by Johnson & Johnson's McNeil Pharmaceuticals. Mr. Savini graduated from The Pennsylvania State University and received a master of business administration degree from La Salle College.

Dr. Vaught joined Cephalon in August 1991, and since that time has been responsible for directing Cephalon's research operations. He currently serves as Executive Vice President and President, Research and Development. Prior to joining Cephalon, Dr. Vaught was employed by the R. W. Johnson Pharmaceutical Research Institute, a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson. Dr. Vaught received a Ph.D. in pharmacology from the University of Minnesota.

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol "CEPH." The following table sets forth the range of high and low sale prices for the common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Market for the periods indicated below.

	I	High		Low
			_	
2007				
First Quarter	\$	76.65	\$	64.65
Second Quarter		84.83		72.80
Third Quarter		83.25		66.52
Fourth Quarter		79.10		70.00
2006				
First Quarter	\$	82.92	\$	59.55
Second Quarter		68.40		51.58
Third Quarter		67.25		53.70
Fourth Quarter		78.38		61.42

As of February 15, 2008, there were 463 holders of record of our common stock. On February 15, 2008, the last reported sale price of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Market was \$60.60 per share.

We have not paid any dividends on our common stock since our inception and do not anticipate paying any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

# **Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Total Number of Shares of Common Stock Purchased(1)			Total Number of Shares of Common Stock Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Common Stock that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
_	\$	_	_	_
_		_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
93,744		74.42	<del>-</del>	_
93,744	\$	74.42		
	of Shares of Common Stock Purchased(1)	of Shares of Common Stock Purchased(1)  \$ \$ 93,744	of Shares of Common Stock Purchased(1)  \$ 93,744  Average Price Paid Per Share(2)	Total Number of Shares of Common Stock Purchased (1)  Average Price Paid Per Share(2)  Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs  - \$

(1) This column reflects the following transactions during the fourth quarter of 2007: (i) 1,273 shares repurchased from employees and (ii) the surrender to Cephalon of 92,471 shares of common stock to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock units issued to employees.

(2) Price paid per share is a weighted average based on the closing price of our common stock on the various vesting dates.

# Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table gives information about our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of stock options, warrants and rights under all of our existing equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2007, including the 1987 Stock Option Plan (which expired in 1997) (the "1987 Plan"),

the 2004 Equity Compensation Plan (the "2004 Plan") and the 2000 Equity Compensation Plan for Employees and Key Advisors (the "2000 Plan").

# **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights(1)	(b) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights(1)	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance (Excludes Securities Reflected in Column (a))(2)	
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	5,941,167(3)\$	51.79	712,632	
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders(4)	1,594,792 \$	61.55	94,662	
Total	7,535,959 \$	53.86	807,294	

- The foregoing does not include stock options assumed under the Anesta Corp. 1993 Stock Option Plan (the "Anesta Plan") as a result of our acquisition of Anesta Corp. in 2000. As of December 31, 2007, there were 16,988 shares of common stock subject to outstanding stock options under the Anesta Plan, with a weighted average exercise price of these stock options of \$26.75 per share. No additional shares are reserved for issuance under the Anesta Plan.
- The 2004 Plan permits our Board of Directors or the Stock Option and Compensation Committee of our Board to award stock options to participants. Up to 75,150 of the shares remaining available for issuance under equity compensation plans approved by stockholders may be issued as restricted stock units. Restricted stock unit awards are not permitted to be made under the terms of the 2000 Plan.
- Includes awards covering 747,050 shares of unvested restricted stock units that are outstanding under the 2004 Plan. There are no outstanding stock options or shares that remain available for grant under the 1987 Plan.
- (4) Issued under the 2000 Plan, which does not require the approval of, and has not been approved by, Cephalon stockholders.

# 2000 Equity Compensation Plan for Employees and Key Advisors

On December 13, 2000, our Board of Directors adopted the 2000 Plan. The 2000 Plan has been amended several times since its adoption, with the most recent amendment to the 2000 Plan on July 25, 2002. The 2000 Plan provides that stock options may be granted to our employees who are not officers or directors of Cephalon and consultants and advisors who perform services for Cephalon. At the time of its initial approval, the 2000 Plan was not submitted to, nor was it required to be submitted to, our stockholders for approval. Amendments to the 2000 Plan, including amendments increasing the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 2000 Plan, also did not require approval of our stockholders. In light of changes to the NASDAQ shareholder approval requirements for stock option plans, our Board of Directors has decided that it will not further increase the number of shares authorized for issuance under the 2000 Plan, but will continue to use any shares authorized for issuance under the 2000 Plan for future grants until the 2000 Plan expires according to its terms in 2010.

The purpose of the 2000 Plan is to promote our success by linking the personal interests of our non-executive employees and consultants and advisors to those of our stockholders and by providing

participants with an incentive for outstanding performance. The 2000 Plan currently authorizes the granting of "non-qualified stock options" ("NQSOs") only. The 2000 Plan is administered and interpreted by the Stock Option and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors subject to ratification by the Board of Directors. The Stock Option and Compensation Committee determines the individuals who will receive a NQSO grant under the 2000 Plan, the number of shares of common stock subject to the NQSO, the period during which the NQSO becomes exercisable, the term of the NQSO (but not to exceed 10 years from the date of grant) and the other terms and conditions of the NQSO consistent with the terms of the 2000 Plan. All of the NQSOs that are currently outstanding under the 2000 Plan become exercisable ratably over a four-year period beginning on the date of grant and expire ten years from the date of grant. The exercise price of a NQSO granted under the 2000 Plan will be determined by the Stock Option and Compensation Committee, but may not be less than the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. A grantee may exercise a NQSO granted under the 2000 Plan by delivering notice of exercise to the Stock Option and Compensation Committee and paying the exercise price (i) in cash, (ii) with approval of the Stock Option and Compensation Committee, by delivering shares of common stock already owned by the grantee and having a fair market value on the date of exercise equal to the exercise price, or through attestation to ownership of such shares, or (iii) through such other method as the Stock Option and Compensation Committee may approve. In the event of a "Corporate Transaction," (e.g., a merger in which 50% or more of the common stock is transferred to a third party), all outstanding stock options will automatically accelerate and become immediately exercisable, subject to certain limitations.

The Board of Directors has the authority to amend or terminate the 2000 Plan at any time without stockholder approval. The 2000 Plan will terminate on December 12, 2010, unless it is terminated earlier or extended by the Board of Directors. No amendment or termination of the 2000 Plan may adversely affect any stock option previously granted under the 2000 Plan without the written consent of the participant, unless required by applicable law.

43

# ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

(In thousands, except per share data)

We completed the acquisitions of AMRIX® in August 2007, the issued share capital of Zeneus Holdings Limited on December 22, 2005, substantially all assets related to the TRISENOX® (arsenic trioxide) injection business from CTI and CTI Technologies, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of CTI on July 18, 2005, outstanding capital stock of Salmedix, Inc. on June 14, 2005 and the outstanding shares of capital stock of CIMA LABS on August 12, 2004. These acquisitions have been accounted for either as business combinations or asset purchases.

# Year Ended December 31,

Statement of operations data		2007		2006		2005		2004		2003	
Sales	\$	1,727,299	\$	1,720,172	\$	1,156,518	\$	980,375	\$	685,250	
Other revenues		45,339		43,897		55,374	_	35,050		29,557	
Total revenues		1,772,638		1,764,069		1,211,892		1,015,425		714,807	
Settlement reserve		425,000		_				_			
Impairment charges		´—		12,417		20,820		30,071		_	
Acquired in-process research and development		_		5,000		366,815		185,700		_	
Debt exchange expense		_		48,122		· —		28,230		_	
Write-off of deferred debt issuance costs		_		13,105		27,109		_		_	
Income tax expense (benefit)		123,285		93,438		(70,164)		45,629		46,456	
Net income (loss)	\$	(191,704)	\$	144,816	\$	(174,954)	\$	(73,813)	\$	83,858	
Basic income (loss) per common share	\$	(2.88)	\$	2.39	\$	(3.01)	\$	(1.31)	\$	1.49	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding		66,597		60,507		58,051		56,489		55,560	
Diluted income (loss) per common share	\$	(2.88)	\$	2.08	\$	(3.01)	\$	(1.31)	\$	1.42	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding—assuming dilution		66,597		69,672		58,051		56,489		64,076	

# December 31,

Balance sheet data	2007		2006		2005		2004		2003
Cash, cash equivalents and investments	\$	826,265	\$	521,724	\$	484,090	\$	791,676	\$ 1,155,163
Total assets		3,506,269		3,045,497		2,819,206		2,396,922	2,381,656
Current portion of long-term debt		1,237,169		1,023,312		933,160		5,114	9,637
Long-term debt (excluding current portion)		3,788		224,992		763,097		1,284,410	1,409,417
Accumulated deficit		(624,128)		(425,256)		(570,072)		(395,118)	(321,305)
Stockholders' equity		1,302,067		1,309,460		612,171		830,044	770,370
				44					

# ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") is intended to provide information to assist you in better understanding and evaluating our financial condition and results of operations. We encourage you to read this MD&A in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the "Risk Factors" contained in Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Cephalon, Inc. is an international biopharmaceutical company dedicated to the discovery, development and marketing of innovative products to treat human diseases. We currently focus our efforts in four core therapeutic areas: central nervous system ("CNS") disorders, pain, oncology and addiction. In addition to conducting an active research and development program, we market seven proprietary products in the United States and numerous products in various countries throughout Europe. Consistent with our core therapeutic areas, we have aligned our approximately 690-person U.S. field sales and sales management teams by area. In Europe, we have a sales and marketing organization numbering approximately 400 persons that supports our presence in nearly 20 European countries, including France, the United Kingdom, Germany, Italy and Spain.

Our most significant product is PROVIGIL, which comprised 49% of our total consolidated net sales for the year ended December 31, 2007, of which 94% was in the U.S. market. For the year ended December 31, 2007, consolidated net sales of PROVIGIL increased 16% over the year ended December 31, 2006. PROVIGIL is indicated for the treatment of excessive sleepiness associated with narcolepsy, obstructive sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome ("OSA/HS") and shift work sleep disorder ("SWSD"). We co-promote PROVIGIL in the United States with our partner, Takeda Pharmaceuticals North America, Inc. Together with our CNS field sales team, we now have approximately 900 persons focused on detailing PROVIGIL in the United States. In June 2007, we secured final FDA approval of NUVIGIL for the same indications as PROVIGIL. NUVIGIL is a single-isomer formulation of modafinil, the active ingredient in PROVIGIL. The product is protected by a composition of matter patent that will expire on December 18, 2023 and covers a novel polymorphic form of armodafinil, the active pharmaceutical ingredient in NUVIGIL. We currently intend to launch NUVIGIL around 2010.

Our two next most significant products are FENTORA® (fentanyl buccal tablet) [C-II] and ACTIQ® (oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate) [C-II] (including our generic version of ACTIQ ("generic OTFC")). Together, these products comprise 29% of our total consolidated net sales for the year ended December 31, 2007, of which 92% was in the U.S. market. In October 2006, we launched in the United States FENTORA, our next-generation proprietary pain product. FENTORA is indicated for the management of breakthrough pain in patients with cancer who are already receiving and are tolerant to opioid therapy for their underlying persistent cancer pain. We have focused our clinical strategy for FENTORA on studying the product in opioid-tolerant patients with breakthrough pain associated with chronic pain conditions, such as neuropathic pain and back pain. In November 2007, we submitted a supplemental new drug application ("sNDA") to the FDA seeking approval to market FENTORA for the management of breakthrough pain in opioid tolerant patients with chronic pain conditions. The FDA has set September 13, 2008 as the action date for its review of this sNDA and has indicated that it will hold an Advisory Committee meeting in May 2008 to discuss this sNDA. With respect to ACTIQ, its sales have been meaningfully eroded by generic OTFC products sold since September 2006 by Barr and by us through our sales agent, Watson, and we expect this erosion will continue into 2008.

In August 2007, we acquired the North American rights to AMRIX for \$100.1 million from E. Claiborne Robins Company, Inc., a privately-held company d/b/a ECR Pharmaceuticals ("ECR"). Under the acquisition agreement, ECR also could receive up to an additional \$255 million in milestone

payments that are contingent on attainment of certain agreed-upon sales levels of AMRIX. Two dosage strengths of AMRIX (15 mg and 30 mg) were approved in February 2007 by the FDA for short-term use as an adjunct to rest and physical therapy for relief of muscle spasm associated with acute, painful musculoskeletal conditions. We made the product available in the United States in October 2007 and commenced a full U.S. launch in November 2007. In February 2008, we entered into an agreement with a contract sales provider to add 120 sales representatives to our field sales team detailing AMRIX.

In September and December 2007, we submitted new drug applications ("NDA") to the FDA requesting approval of TREANDA® (bendamustine hydrochloride) for the treatment of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia ("CLL") and patients with indolent B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma ("NHL") who have progressed during or following treatment with rituximab or a rituximab-containing regimen, respectively. The FDA has accepted both NDAs and has granted priority review and an orphan drug designation to TREANDA for the CLL indication.

As a biopharmaceutical company, our future success is highly dependent on obtaining and maintaining patent protection or regulatory exclusivity for our products and technology. We intend to vigorously defend the validity, and prevent infringement, of our patents. The loss of patent protection or regulatory exclusivity on any of our existing products, whether by third-party challenge, invalidation, circumvention, license or expiration, could materially impact our results of operations. In late 2005 and early 2006, we entered into settlement agreements with each of Teva, Mylan, Ranbaxy and Barr. As part of these separate settlements, we agreed to grant to each of these parties a non-exclusive royalty-bearing license to market and sell a generic version of PROVIGIL in the United States, effective in April 2012. In February 2008, the U.S. Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") filed suit against us in U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia challenging the validity of the settlement and related agreements. For more information concerning these settlements, see "Central Nervous System Disorders—Modafinil Products—Intellectual Property Position" below.

Our activities and operations are subject to significant government regulations and oversight. In early November 2007, we announced that we had reached an agreement in principle with the U.S. Attorney's Office ("USAO") in Philadelphia and the U.S. Department of Justice (the "DOJ") with respect to the USAO investigation that began in September 2004. The investigation was focused on our sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, GABITRIL® (tiagabine hydrochloride) and PROVIGIL. Under this agreement, we expect to pay \$425.0 million as part of a comprehensive settlement of all Federal and related state Medicaid claims. In addition, we will agree to a single federal misdemeanor violation of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and enter into a corporate integrity agreement with the Office of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The terms described above are subject to negotiation and the execution of the final settlement and corporate integrity agreements. There can be no assurance that the settlement will be finalized on the terms outlined above.

In addition, in September 2004, we announced that we had received a voluntary request for information from the Office of the Connecticut Attorney General that also appears to be focused on our sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, GABITRIL and PROVIGIL. We are cooperating with this Office, are providing documents and other information in response to these and additional requests and are engaged in ongoing discussions with them. In late October 2007, we also received a civil demand for information from the Office of the Massachusetts Attorney General that is focused on sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, FENTORA and certain of our other products. We intend to cooperate with this request as well. Both of these matters may involve civil penalties and/or fines. The payment of any settlement or judgment amount and/or fines could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity and results of operations. Furthermore, it is reasonably likely that we will face future additional requests for information from other state attorneys general focused on historical sales and promotional practices for our U.S. products. If civil penalties and/or fines were to result from such investigations, it could materially and adversely effect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

We have significant levels of indebtedness outstanding, nearly all of which consists of convertible notes. Under the terms of the indentures governing nearly all of our notes, we are obligated to repay in cash the aggregate principal balance of any such notes presented for conversion. For a more complete description of these notes, see Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We do not have available cash, cash equivalents and investments sufficient to repay all of the convertible notes, if presented. In addition, there are no restrictions on our use of this cash, and the cash available to repay indebtedness may decline over time.

As of December 31, 2007, the fair value of both the 2.0% convertible senior subordinated notes due June 1, 2015 (the "2.0% Notes") and Zero Coupon Convertible Notes due June 2033, first putable June 15, 2008 and June 15, 2010 (collectively, the "Zero Coupon Notes") is greater than the value of the shares into which such notes are convertible. We believe that the share price of our common stock would have to significantly increase over the market price as of the filing date of this report before the fair value of the convertible notes would be less than the value of the common stock shares underlying the notes. As such, we believe it is highly unlikely that holders of the 2.0% Notes or Zero Coupon Notes will present significant amounts of such notes for conversion under the current terms. In the unlikely event that a significant conversion did occur, we believe that we have the ability to raise sufficient cash to repay the principal amounts due through a combination of utilizing our existing cash on hand, raising money in the capital markets or selling our note hedge instruments for cash. Because the financing markets may be unwilling to provide funding to us or may only be willing to provide funding on terms that we would consider unacceptable, we may not have cash available or be able to obtain funding to permit us to meet our repayment obligations, thus adversely affecting the market price for our securities.

While we seek to increase profitability and cash flow from operations, we will need to continue to achieve growth of product sales and other revenues sufficient for us to attain these objectives. The rate of our future growth will depend, in part, upon our ability to obtain and maintain adequate intellectual property protection for our currently marketed products, and to successfully develop or acquire and commercialize new product candidates.

# RECENT ACQUISITIONS AND TRANSACTIONS

For additional information related to each of the following acquisitions and transactions, see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

# **AMRIX Acquisition**

In August 2007, we acquired the North American rights to AMRIX® (cyclobenzaprine hydrochloride extended-release capsules) from E. Claiborne Robins Company, Inc., a privately-held company d/b/a ECR Pharmaceuticals ("ECR"). We made an initial payment of \$100.1 million cash to ECR upon the closing of the acquisition, \$0.9 million and \$99.2 million of which was capitalized as inventory and an intangible asset, respectively. Under the acquisition agreement, ECR also could receive up to an additional \$255 million in milestone payments that are contingent on attainment of certain agreed-upon sales levels of AMRIX. Two dosage strengths of AMRIX (15 mg and 30 mg) were approved in February 2007 by the FDA for short-term use as an adjunct to rest and physical therapy for relief of muscle spasm associated with acute, painful musculoskeletal conditions. We made the product available in the United States in October 2007 and commenced a full U.S. launch in November 2007.

# Co-Promotion Agreement with Takeda

Under our co-promotion agreement, Takeda sales representatives promote PROVIGIL to primary care physicians and other appropriate health care professionals in the United States. Effective in April 2008, Takeda sales representatives, 500 in total, will detail PROVIGIL in the first position. Together with our CNS field sales team, we will have approximately 900 persons focused on detailing

47

PROVIGIL in the United States. We also have an option to utilize the Takeda sales force for the promotion of NUVIGIL. The parties have formed a joint commercialization committee to manage the promotion of PROVIGIL. We have retained all responsibility for the development, manufacture, distribution and sale of the product.

The co-promotion agreement expires in June 2009. In certain circumstances, the agreement may be terminated by either party in June 2008; if we terminate the agreement at that time, we will be obligated to make specified royalty payments to Takeda during the three years following termination. In addition, if we undergo a change of control prior to June 2009, we have the option to terminate the co-promotion agreement, subject to our obligation to make certain specified payments to Takeda. We pay Takeda a royalty based on certain sales criteria for PROVIGIL and NUVIGIL during the three-year term and, if specified sales levels are reached, during the three calendar years following the expiration of the co-promotion agreement.

# **Zeneus Holdings Limited Acquisition**

On December 22, 2005, we completed our acquisition of all of the issued share capital of Zeneus Holdings Limited. Total consideration paid in connection with the acquisition was \$365.8 million, net of cash acquired.

#### TRISENOX Acquisition

On July 18, 2005, we completed our acquisition of substantially all assets related to TRISENOX from Cell Therapeutics, Inc. and CTI Technologies, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of CTI. The results of operations of TRISENOX have been included in the consolidated statements of operations since the acquisition date.

# **VIVITROL License and Collaboration**

Under our license and collaboration agreement with Alkermes, we have agreed to pay Alkermes up to \$220 million in milestone payments that are contingent on attainment of certain agreed-upon sales levels of VIVITROL and in exchange have received a license to several U.S. patents and patent applications directed to VIVITROL that will expire between 2013 and 2024. Pre-tax profit, as adjusted for certain items, and losses incurred currently are split equally between the parties. We work together with Alkermes to develop the commercial strategy for VIVITROL. We have primary responsibility for all marketing and sale efforts and currently have approximately 50 persons focused on the marketing and sale of VIVITROL; Alkermes is augmenting this effort with a team of approximately 15 managers of market development.

We also have a supply agreement with Alkermes under which Alkermes provides us with finished commercial supplies of VIVITROL. We have agreed to purchase two VIVITROL manufacturing lines (and related equipment) from Alkermes and have granted Alkermes an option, exercisable after two years, to purchase these manufacturing lines at the then-current net book value of the assets. As of December 31, 2007, we have incurred \$33.2 million related to the construction of these two manufacturing lines. We expect to incur up to an additional \$37.8 million to complete these two manufacturing lines.

# Salmedix, Inc. Acquisition

On June 14, 2005, we completed our acquisition of Salmedix, Inc. As a result of the acquisition, we obtained U.S. and Canadian rights to TREANDA. The results of operations for Salmedix have been included in our consolidated financial statements as of the acquisition date.

48

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands)

Year ended December 31, 2007 compared to year ended December 31, 2006:

## Year Ended December 31,

			2007			2006	% Increase (Decrease)			
		United States	Europe	United states		Europe	Total	United States	Europe	Total
Sales:										
PROVIGIL	\$	801,639	\$ 50,408	\$ 852,047	\$ 691,779	\$ 43,052	\$ 734,831	16%	17%	16%
GABITRIL		50,642	6,668	57,310	54,971	4,316	59,287	(8)%	54%	(3)%
	_									
CNS		852,281	57,076	909,357	746,750	47,368	794,118	14%	20%	15%
ACTIO		199,407	40,665		,	,	577,642	(64)%		(58)%
Generic OTFC		129,033	´ —	129,033		´—	54,801	135%	%	135%
FENTORA		135,136	_	135,136	29,250	_	29,250	362%	%	362%
AMRIX		8,401	_	8,401	_	_	_	100%	%	100%
	_									
Pain		471,977	40,665	512,642	634,441	27,252	661,693	(26)%	49%	(23)%
Other		69,263	236,037	305,300	56,084	208,277	264,361	23%	13%	15%
	_									
Total Sales		1,393,521	333,778	1,727,299	1,437,275	282,897	1,720,172	(3)%	18%	%
Other Revenues		40,149	5,190			8,498	43,897	13%	(39)%	
					·					
Total Revenues	\$	1,433,670	\$ 338,968	\$ 1,772,638	\$ 1,472,674	\$ 291,395	\$ 1,764,069	(3)%	16%	%
		,,		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			(-)		

Sales—In the United States, we sell our proprietary products to pharmaceutical wholesalers, the largest three of which accounted for 66% and 71% of our total consolidated gross sales for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Decisions made by these wholesalers regarding the levels of inventory they hold (and thus the amount of product they purchase from us) can materially affect the level of our sales in any particular period and thus may not necessarily correlate to the number of prescriptions written for our products as reported by IMS Health Incorporated.

We have distribution service agreements with our major wholesaler customers. These agreements obligate the wholesalers to provide us with periodic retail demand information and current inventory levels for our products held at their warehouse locations; additionally, the wholesalers have agreed to manage the variability of their purchases and inventory levels within specified limits based on product demand.

As of December 31, 2007, we received information from substantially all of our U.S. wholesaler customers about the levels of inventory they held for our U.S. branded products. Based on this information, which we have not independently verified, we believe that total inventory held at these wholesalers is approximately two weeks supply of our U.S. branded products at our current sales levels. At December 31, 2007, we believe that inventory held at wholesalers and retailers of our generic OTFC product, launched in October 2006, is approximately three months supply.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, sales were impacted by changes in the product sales allowances deducted from gross sales as described further below and by changes in the relative levels of the number of units of inventory held at wholesalers and retailers. For the year ended December 31, 2007, total sales remained consistent over the prior year. The other key factors that contributed to the changes in sales are summarized by product as follows:

In CNS, sales of PROVIGIL increased 16 percent. Demand for PROVIGIL increased as evidenced by an increase in U.S. prescriptions for PROVIGIL of 9%, according to IMS Health. For the year ended December 31, 2007, sales of PROVIGIL also were impacted by domestic price increases of 5% from period to period. European sales increased due to the favorable

effect of exchange rate changes, stronger sales in substantially all territories and higher prices for GABITRIL.

In Pain, sales decreased 23 percent. Sales of ACTIQ were impacted by an increase in domestic prices of 48% from period to period, offset by an 81% decrease in U.S. prescriptions, according to IMS Health. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized \$129.0 million of revenue related to sales of our own generic OTFC and shipments of our generic OTFC to Barr, as compared to \$54.8 million in 2006 following our launch of generic OTFC in late September 2006. We recognized \$135.1 million of revenue related to sales of FENTORA for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to \$29.3 million in 2006 following the launch of the product in October 2006. We also recognized \$8.4 million of revenue related to sales of AMRIX. European sales of ACTIQ were favorably impacted by the efforts of our co-promotion partner in France that started during 2006 and the favorable effect of exchange rate changes. In 2008, we expect overall sales of our Pain products to remain relatively consistent as compared to 2007 based on a continued shift in market share from ACTIQ to generic OTFC and the potential for further generic entrants into the market, partially offset by the increase in sales of FENTORA and AMRIX.

Other sales, which consist primarily of sales of other products and certain third party products, increased 15 percent. The increase is attributable to an increase of \$27.8 million in sales of our European products, primarily driven by sales in France and sales of oncology products in Europe and the favorable effect of exchange rate changes. In addition, other sales in the U.S. increased \$13.2 million, primarily driven by increases in sales of VIVITROL and TRISENOX.

Other Revenues—The increase of 3% from period to period is primarily due to an increase in revenues from our collaborators including royalties, milestone payments and fees.

Analysis of gross sales to net sales—The following table presents the product sales allowances deducted from gross sales to arrive at a net sales figure:

Year Ended December 31,							
2007			2006		Change	% Change	
\$	1,941,097	\$	1,890,836	\$	50,261	3%	
	31,814		32,384		(570)	(2)%	
	22,172		2,939		19,233	654%	
	14,116		24,735		(10,619)	(43)%	
	25,419		26,853		(1,434)	(5)%	
	37,528		45,267		(7,739)	(17)%	
	82,749		38,486		44,263	115%	
	213,798		170,664		43,134		
\$	1,727,299	\$	1,720,172	\$	7,127	%	
	11.0%	6	9.0%	<b></b>			
	_	2007 \$ 1,941,097 31,814 22,172 14,116 25,419 37,528 82,749 213,798 \$ 1,727,299	\$ 1,941,097 \$ 31,814 22,172 14,116 25,419 37,528 82,749 213,798	2007     2006       \$ 1,941,097     \$ 1,890,836       31,814     32,384       22,172     2,939       14,116     24,735       25,419     26,853       37,528     45,267       82,749     38,486       213,798     170,664       \$ 1,727,299     \$ 1,720,172	2007     2006       \$ 1,941,097     \$ 1,890,836     \$       31,814     32,384     22,172     2,939       14,116     24,735     25,419     26,853       37,528     45,267     82,749     38,486       213,798     170,664       \$ 1,727,299     \$ 1,720,172     \$	2007         2006         Change           \$ 1,941,097         \$ 1,890,836         \$ 50,261           31,814         32,384         (570)           22,172         2,939         19,233           14,116         24,735         (10,619)           25,419         26,853         (1,434)           37,528         45,267         (7,739)           82,749         38,486         44,263           213,798         170,664         43,134           \$ 1,727,299         \$ 1,720,172         \$ 7,127	

Product sales allowances as a percentage of gross sales

11.0%

9.0%

Prompt payment discounts, generally granted at 2% of sales, decreased for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 due to a decrease in U.S. sales that are eligible for the discount. Wholesaler discounts increased \$19.2 million period over period because cumulative price increases as of December 31, 2006 produced wholesaler credits that significantly offset the wholesaler discounts that would have been recorded for 2006. Returns decreased as a result of our historical returns experience, particularly related to our CNS products, that is used in the calculation of our returns reserve requirements and due to an overall decrease in U.S. sales.

Coupons decreased for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 as a result of the elimination and expiration of ACTIQ coupons on September 30, 2006, offset by the distribution of coupons for FENTORA, which was launched in October 2006.

Medicaid discounts decreased for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 due to the lower sales and Medicaid utilization of our Pain products, particularly branded ACTIQ, offset by an increase in the reimbursement rate for ACTIQ and generic OTFC as a result of the application of the provisions of the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 effective October 1, 2007. Managed care and governmental contracts increased for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 due to additional rebates for certain managed care and governmental programs, particularly with respect to sales of PROVIGIL and our generic OTFC product. In addition, we recognized a reduction in the managed care and governmental contracts allowance of \$13.3 million in the third quarter of 2006, representing amounts paid to the U.S. Department of Defense ("DoD") under the Tricare program from October 2004 through June 30, 2006. In October 2006, the DoD announced that it would reimburse all companies that had voluntarily made such payments under the Tricare program due to the U.S. Court of Appeals September 2006 ruling. In the future, we expect product sales allowances as a percentage of gross sales to trend upward due to the impact of potential future price increases on Medicaid discounts and potential increases related to Medicaid, managed care and governmental contracts sales.

		Year Ended l	Decem	ber 31,			
	2007			2006	Change		% Change
Costs and expenses:							
Cost of sales	\$	341,867	\$	338,784	\$	3,083	1%
Research and development		369,115		424,239		(55,124)	(13)%
Selling, general and administrative		735,799		689,492		46,307	7%
Settlement reserve		425,000		_		425,000	100%
Impairment charge		_		12,417		(12,417)	(100)%
Acquired in-process research and development		_		5,000		(5,000)	(100)%
					_		
	\$	1,871,781	\$	1,469,932	\$	401,849	27%

Cost of Sales—The cost of sales was 19.8% of net sales for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 19.7% of net sales for the year ended December 31, 2006. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we recognized \$90.5 million and \$81.7 million of amortization expense included in cost of sales, respectively. The remainder of this fluctuation is primarily due to the following factors: lower royalty expenses for ACTIQ resulting from the decline in the royalty rate upon the expiration of the ACTIQ patents in September 2006; the favorable mix of product margins for certain of our product sales for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, offset by a decrease in product margin for our Pain products resulting from the shift in market share from ACTIQ to generic OTFC; the net effect of price increases in 2006 on U.S. products; an \$8.6 million inventory reserve related to SPARLON™ (modafinil) Tablets [C-IV] recorded in the second quarter of 2006; and a charge of \$3.5 million in the first quarter of 2007 for the termination of a materials supply agreement.

Research and Development Expenses—Research and development expenses decreased \$55.1 million, or 13%, for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we recognized \$28.5 million and \$80.5 million, respectively, in up-front payments related to rights acquired to certain development stage products. We also recognized a \$15.0 million milestone payment related to our NDA filing for TREANDA in the third quarter of 2007. This decrease is also attributable to lower expenses associated with reduced levels of clinical activity in 2007 as compared to 2006. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we

recognized \$20.6 million and \$20.9 million of depreciation expense included in research and development expenses, respectively.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses—Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$46.3 million, or 7%, for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 primarily due to the cessation of the reimbursement of expenses from Alkermes related to the promotion of VIVITROL of \$23.8 million, increased sales and marketing spending on oncology products, expenses incurred under our agreements with Takeda and Watson and \$7.2 million for severance costs primarily related to the reorganization of our sales force. These increases were offset by reduced spending on marketing expenses and continuing medical education grants for our existing products and \$6.0 million of one-time payments made for the year ended December 31, 2006 in connection with PROVIGIL settlement agreements. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we recognized \$12.7 million and \$13.9 million of depreciation expense included in selling, general and administrative expenses, respectively.

Settlement Reserve—For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded a settlement reserve of \$425.0 million related to the terms of the agreement in principle reached with the U.S. Attorney's Office. See Note 13 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Impairment charge—In June 2006, we announced that data from our Phase 3 clinical program evaluating GABITRIL for the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder ("GAD") did not reach statistical significance on the primary study endpoints. As a result, we performed a test of impairment on the carrying value of our investment in GABITRIL product rights and recorded an impairment charge of \$12.4 million in the second quarter of 2006 related to our European rights.

	Year Ended December 31,						
	2007		2006		Change		% Change
Other income (expense):							
Interest income	\$	32,816	\$	25,438	\$	7,378	29%
Interest expense		(19,833)		(18,922)		(911)	(5)%
Debt exchange expense				(48,122)		48,122	100%
Write-off of deferred debt issuance costs		_		(13,105)		13,105	100%
Gain on extinguishment of debt		5,319				5,319	100%
Gain on sale of investment		5,791		_		5,791	100%
Other income (expense), net		6,631		(1,172)		7,803	666%
					_		
	\$	30,724	\$	(55,883)	\$	86,607	155%

Other Income (Expense)—Other income (expense) increased \$86.6 million, or 155%, for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase was attributable to the following factors:

- an increase in interest income for the year ended December 31, 2007 due to higher average investment balances;
  - a \$48.1 million charge resulting from the exchange of \$336.9 million of Zero Coupon Notes and \$100.0 million of 2.0% Notes in December 2006;
  - a \$13.1 million write-off in 2006 of deferred debt issuance costs related to our Zero Coupon Notes;
  - a \$5.3 million gain on extinguishment of debt related to the Pennsylvania Industrial Development Board loan forgiveness in 2007;

a \$5.8 million gain on the sale of an investment in a privately-held company in 2007; and

a \$7.8 million increase in other income (expense), net primarily due to fluctuations in foreign currency gains and losses in the comparable periods.

	Year Ended D	ecemb	er 31,		
	2007		2006	 Change	% Change
Income tax expense	\$ 123,285	\$	93,438	\$ 29,847	32%

Income Taxes—For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized \$123.3 million of income tax expense on loss before income taxes of \$68.4 million, as we have not yet recognized a tax benefit for the \$425.0 million settlement reserve recorded as of December 31, 2007 due to the uncertainty associated with the tax treatment of the settlement. This compared to income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 of \$93.4 million on income before income taxes of \$238.3 million, resulting in an effective tax rate of 39.2 percent. See Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a reconciliation of the United States Federal statutory rate to our effective tax rate.

# Year ended December 31, 2006 compared to year ended December 31, 2005:

Vacu	Endad	Decem	h	21	
Year	Ended	i Decem	ner	.31	

			2006			2005	% Increase (Decrease)			
		United States	Europe	Total	United States	Europe	Total	United States	Europe	Total
Sales:										
PROVIGIL	\$	691,779	\$ 43,052	\$ 734,831	\$ 475,557	\$ 37,248	\$ 512,805	45%	16%	43%
GABITRIL		54,971	4,316	59,287	66,517	5,741	72,258	(17)%	(25)%	(18)%
	_							, í	, i	Ì
CNS		746,750	47,368	794,118	542,074	42,989	585,063	38%	10%	36%
ACTIQ		550,390	27,252	577,642		17,102	411,778	39%	59%	40%
Generic OTFC		54,801	´ —	54,801	´ —	´ —		100%	%	100%
FENTORA		29,250	_	29,250	_	_	_	100%	%	100%
Pain		634,441	27,252	661,693	394,676	17,102	411,778	61%	59%	61%
Other		56,084	208,277	264,361	49,695	109,982	159,677	13%	89%	66%
	_									
Total Sales		1,437,275	282,897	1,720,172	986,445	170,073	1,156,518	46%	66%	49%
Other Revenues		35,399	8,498	43,897	47,587	7,787	55,374	(26)%		(21)%
								` '		, ,
Total Revenues	\$	1,472,674	\$ 291,395	\$ 1,764,069	\$ 1,034,032	\$ 177,860	\$ 1,211,892	42%	64%	46%

Sales—In the United States, we sell our proprietary products to pharmaceutical wholesalers, the largest three of which accounted for 71% and 75% of our total consolidated gross sales for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Decisions made by these wholesalers regarding the levels of inventory they hold (and thus the amount of product they purchase from us) can materially affect the level of our sales in any particular period and thus may not necessarily correlate to the number of prescriptions written for our products as reported by IMS Health Incorporated.

In 2005, we finalized distribution service agreements with our major wholesaler customers. These agreements obligate the wholesalers to provide us with periodic retail demand information and current inventory levels for our products held at their warehouse locations; additionally, the wholesalers have agreed to manage the variability of their purchases and inventory levels within specified limits based on product demand.

As of December 31, 2006, we received information from substantially all of our U.S. wholesaler customers about the levels of inventory they held for our U.S. branded products. Based on this information, which we have not independently verified, we believe that total inventory held at these wholesalers is approximately two weeks supply of our U.S. branded products at our current sales levels. During the fourth quarter of 2006, we shipped launch quantities of our generic OTFC. At December 31, 2006, we believe that generic OTFC inventory held at wholesalers and retailers is approximately two to three months.

Sales of our generic OTFC product to wholesalers and retailers include both the right of return of expired product and retroactive price reductions under certain conditions, while sales of FENTORA also include the right of return of expired product. Based on the sales levels and the prescription data during the fourth quarter of 2006, and based on the number of units on hand in the pipeline at December 31, 2006 relative to the overall demand for the products, we have estimated and recorded all applicable product sales allowances related to generic OTFC and FENTORA as of December 31, 2006. We have therefore recognized revenues for generic OTFC and FENTORA based on a fixed and determinable sales price in 2006.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, sales were impacted by changes in the product sales allowances deducted from gross sales as described further below and by changes in the relative levels of the number of units of inventory held at wholesalers and retailers. For the year ended December 31, 2006, total sales increased by 49% over the prior year. The other key factors that contributed to the changes in sales are summarized by product as follows:

- In CNS, sales of PROVIGIL increased 43 percent. Demand for PROVIGIL increased as evidenced by an increase in U.S. prescriptions for PROVIGIL of 18%, according to IMS Health. For the year ended December 31, 2006, sales of PROVIGIL also were impacted by domestic price increases of 12% from period to period.
- In Pain, sales increased 61 percent. Sales of ACTIQ were impacted by an increase in domestic prices of 68% from period to period, offset slightly by a decline in demand for ACTIQ as evidenced by a 17% decrease in U.S. prescriptions, according to IMS Health. For the year ended December 31, 2006, we recognized \$54.8 million of revenue related to shipments of our generic OTFC to Barr and sales of our own generic OTFC and \$29.3 million of revenue related to sales of FENTORA.
- Other sales, which consist primarily of sales of other products and certain third party products, increased 66 percent. This increase is attributable to sales of products acquired in the TRISENOX and Zeneus acquisitions in July 2005 and December 2005, respectively.

Other Revenues—The decrease of 21% from period to period is primarily due to a decrease in partner reimbursements on our CEP-1347 program, which was halted in 2006, and a decrease in revenues from clinical studies performed for collaborators.

Analysis of gross sales to net sales—The following table presents the product sales allowances deducted from gross sales to arrive at a net sales figure:

	Year Ended December 31,						
		2006 2005		2005			% Change
Gross sales	\$	1,890,836	\$	1,335,602	\$	555,234	42%
Product sales allowances:							
Prompt payment discounts		32,384		22,284		10,100	45%
Wholesaler discounts		2,939		24,373		(21,434)	(88)%
Returns		24,735		15,853		8,882	56%
Coupons		26,853		23,313		3,540	15%
Medicaid discounts		45,267		67,245		(21,978)	(33)%
Managed care and governmental contracts		38,486		26,016		12,470	48%
		170,664		179,084		(8,420)	
Net sales	\$	1,720,172	\$	1,156,518	\$	563,654	49%
		2.20	,	12.40	,		
Product sales allowances as a percentage of gross sales		9.0%	0	13.4%	0		

Product sales allowances as a percentage of gross sales Prompt payment discounts and returns allowances increased for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005 due to the increase in sales. Wholesaler discounts decreased due to the fact that fewer incremental wholesaler discounts were required for the year ended December 31, 2006 as a result of 2006 price increases. Decreases in product sales allowances were also caused by lower Medicaid discounts resulting from a significant number of participants transferring to Part D of the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 program effective January 1, 2006. Managed care and governmental contracts increased for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005 due to additional rebates for certain managed care and governmental programs, offset by a reduction of \$13.3 million recognized in the third quarter of 2006, representing amounts paid to the DoD under the Tricare program from October 2004 through June 30, 2006. In October 2006, the DoD announced that it would reimburse all companies that had voluntarily made such payments under the Tricare program due to the U.S. Court of Appeals September 2006 ruling. In the future, we expect product sales allowances as a percentage of gross sales to trend upward due to the impact of potential future price increases on Medicaid discounts and potential increases related to Medicaid, managed care and governmental contracts sales.

## Year Ended December 31,

		2006		2005		Change	% Change
Costs and expenses:							
Cost of sales	\$	338,784	\$	221,874	\$	116,910	53%
Research and development		424,239		370,818		53,421	14%
Selling, general and administrative		689,492		454,523		234,969	52%
Impairment charges		12,417		20,820		(8,403)	(40)%
Acquired in-process research and development		5,000		366,815		(361,815)	(99)%
	_		_				
	\$	1,469,932	\$	1,434,850	\$	35,082	2%

Cost of Sales—The cost of sales was 19.7% of net sales for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 19.2% of net sales for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase is primarily due to an \$8.6 million inventory reserve related to SPARLON recorded in the second quarter of 2006 (see Note 6 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K), a \$8.9 million inventory reserve related to NUVIGIL recorded throughout 2006 as a result

of FDA postponement of their final approval decision until 2007, the inclusion of Zeneus' product sales for the year ended December 31, 2006 for which the margins are lower than the average margin of our other products, as well as additional royalty expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 for PROVIGIL. These increases were partially offset by the net effect of price increases and lower product sales allowances on our three major U.S. products. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, we recognized \$81.7 million and \$57.7 million of amortization expense included in cost of sales, respectively.

Research and Development Expenses—Research and development expenses increased \$53.4 million, or 14%, for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. This increase is primarily attributable to \$80.5 million of payments for four research and development collaborations and the recognition of \$15.3 million of stock-based compensation expense (representing one-half of the total stock-based compensation expense recorded during the year ended December 31, 2006 based on the employees' compensation allocation) as a result of the adoption of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement No. 123(R), "Share Based Payment" ("SFAS 123(R)"), partially offset by lower expenses associated with reduced levels of clinical activity in 2006. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, we recognized \$20.9 million and \$16.0 million of depreciation expense included in research and development expenses, respectively.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses—Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$235.0 million, or 52%, for the year ended December 31, 2005. \$138.6 million of the increase was due to higher selling and marketing costs in the United States resulting from the expansion of our sales force, increased promotional spending on PROVIGIL, VIVITROL and FENTORA and expenses under our agreements with Takeda and Watson. The increase was also due to the inclusion of Zeneus selling, general and administrative expenses (approximately \$51.5 million) for the year ended December 31, 2006. In addition, we recognized \$15.3 million of stock-based compensation expense (representing one-half of the total stock-based compensation expense recorded during the year ended December 31, 2006 based on the employees' compensation allocation) as a result of the adoption of SFAS 123(R). For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, we recognized \$13.9 million and \$10.7 million of depreciation expense included in selling, general and administrative expenses, respectively.

Impairment charges—In June 2006, we announced that data from our Phase 3 clinical program evaluating GABITRIL for the treatment of GAD did not reach statistical significance on the primary study endpoints. We have no plans to continue further study of GABITRIL for the treatment of GAD. As a result, we performed a test of impairment on the carrying value of our investment in GABITRIL product rights and recorded an impairment charge of \$12.4 million in the second quarter of 2006 related to our European rights. For the year ended December 31, 2005, we recorded an impairment charge of \$20.8 million for the write-off of an intangible asset resulting from the termination of a distribution agreement in the United Kingdom.

56

Acquired in-process research and development—For the year ended December 31, 2005, we recorded acquired in-process research and development expense of \$71.2 million for Zeneus, \$130.1 million for Salmedix and \$160.0 million for VIVITROL.

		Tear Ended December 31,						
		2006		2005		Change		% Change
Ot	her income (expense):							
	Interest income	\$	25,438	\$	26,171	\$	(733)	(3)%
	Interest expense		(18,922)		(25,235)		6,313	25%
	Debt exchange expense		(48,122)		`		(48,122)	(100)%
	Write-off of deferred debt issuance costs		(13,105)		(27,109)		14,004	52%
	Gain on extinguishment of debt		`		2,085		(2,085)	(100)%
	Other income (expense), net		(1,172)		1,928		(3,100)	(161)%
						_		
		\$	(55,883)	\$	(22,160)	\$	(33,723)	(152)%

Vear Ended December 31

Other Income (Expense)—Other income (expense) decreased \$33.7 million, or 152%, for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. The decrease was attributable to the following factors:

- a decrease in interest income for the year ended December 31, 2006 due to lower average investment balances, partially offset by higher investment returns;
- a decrease in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 due primarily to the repurchase of substantially all of our 2.5% convertible subordinated notes due December 2006 (the "2.5% Notes") in July 2005 and to the write-off of deferred debt issuance costs related to our 2.0% Notes in December 2005 and our Zero Coupon Notes in January 2006. This decrease was partially offset by a full year of interest expense on our 2.0% Notes, which were issued in June 2005;
- a \$48.1 million charge resulting from the exchange of \$336.9 million of Zero Coupon Notes and \$100.0 million of 2.0% Notes in December 2006;
  - a \$13.1 million write-off in 2006 of deferred debt issuance costs related to our Zero Coupon Notes, as compared to a \$27.1 million write-off in 2005 of deferred debt issuance costs related to our 2.0% Notes;
  - a \$2.1 million net gain on extinguishment of debt in 2005 related to the 2.5% Notes; and
- a \$3.1 million decrease in other income (expense), net primarily due to fluctuations in foreign currency gains and losses in the comparable periods.

	 Year Ended	Decemb	per 31,		
	2006		2005	Change	% Change
Income tax expense (henefit)	\$ 93 438	\$	(70 164)	\$ 163 602	233%

Income Taxes—For the year ended December 31, 2006, we recognized \$93.4 million of income tax expense on income before income taxes of \$238.3 million, resulting in an overall effective tax rate of 39.2 percent. This compared to income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2005 of \$70.2 million on loss before income taxes of \$245.1 million, resulting in an effective tax rate of (28.6) percent. The 2006 effective tax rate was impacted by an increase in the valuation allowance. The 2005 effective tax rate was impacted by non-deductible acquired in-process research and development charges related to the Salmedix and Zeneus acquisitions for which no tax benefit was recorded, offset by a decrease in the valuation allowance. See Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a reconciliation of the United States Federal statutory rate to our effective tax rate.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

(In thousands, except per share data)

Cash, cash equivalents and investments at December 31, 2007 were \$826.3 million, representing 24% of total assets, as compared to \$521.7 million, representing 17% of total assets, at December 31, 2006 and as compared to \$484.1 million, representing 17% of total assets, at December 31, 2005.

Our working capital deficit, which is calculated as current assets less current liabilities, was \$583.8 million at December 31, 2007 as compared to \$268.6 million at December 31, 2006. This change was primarily driven by an increase in accrued expenses year over year as a result of the agreement in principle reached in 2007 with the U.S. Attorney's Office for which \$425.0 million has been accrued but not paid as of December 31, 2007. Certain of our convertible subordinated notes contain conversion terms that will impact whether these notes are classified as current or long-term liabilities and consequently affect our working capital position. At December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, \$1,233.7 million and \$1,019.8 million, respectively, of our convertible subordinated notes were convertible into cash and shares of common stock and were therefore classified as current liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets.

The change in cash and cash equivalents is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,								
		2007		2006		2005			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	384,856	\$	319,917	\$	185,731			
Net cash used for investing activities		(172,946)		(20,376)		(780,480)			
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities		96,935		(22,624)		231,841			
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		13,312		14,535		(6,276)			
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$	322,157	\$	291,452	\$	(369,184)			

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2007, cash provided by operating activities was primarily driven by income from sales of our products offset by the timing of receipts and payments in the ordinary course of business. The net loss for the year ended December 31, 2007 was offset by changes in accounts payable and accrued expenses resulting from the agreement in principle with the U.S. Attorney's Office for which \$425.0 million has been accrued but not yet paid. See Note 13 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, cash provided by operating activities was primarily driven by growth in income from sales of our products offset by the timing of receipts and payments in the ordinary course of business. While income from sales of PROVIGIL and ACTIQ accounted for a significant portion of the growth in sales in 2006, sales of generic OTFC, FENTORA, TRISENOX and the portfolio of products obtained from the acquisition of Zeneus, all of which were new sources of sales income in 2006, also contributed to our growth in operating income over the prior year.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, growth in sales of PROVIGIL and ACTIQ provided the most significant source of income from operations. In conjunction with our acquisition of certain businesses in 2005, we recorded acquired in-process research and development expense of \$201.8 million. These amounts are shown in the statements of cash flows for those years as adjustments to reconcile the net loss to net cash provided by operating activities since they are reflected in investing activities as acquisition of businesses. Conversely, aggregate cash payments of \$165.0 million made in 2005 were recorded directly to acquired in-process research and development expense and are reflected within the net loss from operations.

## Net Cash Used for Investing Activities

Cash used for investing activities primarily relates to acquisitions of business, technologies, products and product rights and funds invested in our administrative and manufacturing facilities to accommodate our growth. These uses of cash are offset by sales, maturities or purchases of investments associated with our portfolio of available-for-sale investments.

Net cash used for investing activities was \$172.9 million in 2007 as compared to \$20.4 million in 2006. The \$152.6 million decrease in cash flow in 2007 is primarily attributable to:

- a \$236.5 million decrease in cash flow mainly stemming from the sale of marketable securities in 2006;
- a \$63.0 million increase in cash flow from lower capital expenditures in 2007 as compared to 2006;
- a \$12.3 million increase in cash flow for proceeds from the sale of an investment in 2007; and
- a \$8.6 million increase in cash flow from lower expenditures on intangible assets in 2007 as compared to 2006.

Net cash used for investing activities was \$20.4 million in 2006 as compared to \$780.5 million in 2005. The \$760.1 million increase in cash flow in 2006 is primarily attributable to:

- a \$566.2 million increase in cash flow mainly stemming from the purchase of Salmedix, Zeneus and TRISENOX in 2005;
- a \$318.1 million increase in cash flow mainly from the net sale of marketable securities in 2006 as compared to the net purchase of marketable securities in 2005;
  - a \$82.4 million decrease in cash flow from higher expenditures on intangible assets in 2006 as compared to 2005; and
- a \$41.8 million decrease in cash flow from higher capital expenditures in 2006 as compared to 2005.

Net Cash Provided by (Used for) Financing Activities

Cash provided by (used for) financing activities primarily relates to proceeds and payments on long-term debt and employee stock option activity.

For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, proceeds from stock option exercises were \$93.9 million, \$143.5 million and \$11.5 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the corresponding incremental windfall tax benefits from stock-based compensation was \$14.0 million. The cumulative pool of windfall tax benefits from stock-based compensation was \$27.2 million as of December 31, 2006.

In 2006, we paid \$175.3 million in connection with our exchange of \$437.3 million of our outstanding convertible notes for cash and common stock and retired the remaining obligation of \$10.0 million of our 2.5% Notes due December 2006.

In 2005, we received net proceeds of \$892.0 million from the sale of 2.0% Notes. Concurrent with the sale of the 2.0% Notes, we purchased a convertible note hedge for \$382.3 million and also sold warrants to purchase an aggregate of 19,700,214 shares of our common stock for net proceeds of \$217.1 million. The net proceeds from the combined issuance of the notes, sale of warrants and purchase of convertible note hedge was partially offset by our tender offer whereby we purchased a significant portion of our 2.5% Notes for \$499.0 million in cash.

-Legal Proceedings

For a complete description of legal proceedings, see Note 13 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

—Other Commitments and Contingencies

The following table summarizes our obligations to make future payments under current contracts:

## Payments due by period

Contractual obligations		Total	2008	2	009 and 2010	201	1 and 2012	20	13 and thereafter
Debt obligations	\$	4,395	\$ 1,615	\$	2,609	\$	171	\$	_
Convertible notes		1,233,721	1,233,721		· —		_		_
Purchase obligations		109,020	47,455		46,122		15,381		62
Capital lease obligations		2,841	1,833		1,008		_		_
Interest payments on debt		115,323	16,729		32,989		32,805		32,800
Operating leases		116,495	22,398		33,366		19,804		40,927
Pension obligations		9,161	143		574		1,319		7,125
Total contractual obligations	\$	1,590,956	\$ 1,323,894	\$	116,668	\$	69,480	\$	80,914

As of December 31, 2007, all of our notes are convertible because the closing price of our common stock on that date was higher than the restricted conversion prices of these notes. As a result, such notes have been classified as current liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2007 and are therefore included under the 2008 column in the table above. In addition, our Zero Coupon Notes first putable June 15, 2008 are considered to be current liabilities based on maturity. For a discussion of our obligations under our convertible notes, see "—Outlook—Indebtedness" below.

In addition to the above, we have committed to make potential future "milestone" payments to third parties as part of our in-licensing and development programs primarily in the area of research and development agreements. Payments generally become due and payable only upon the achievement of certain developmental, regulatory and/or commercial milestones. Because the achievement of these milestones is neither probable nor reasonably estimable, we have not recorded a liability on our balance sheet for any such contingencies. As of December 31, 2007, the potential milestone and other contingency payments due under current contractual agreements are \$965.2 million.

The table above excludes our liability for net unrecognized tax benefits, which totaled \$79.6 million as of December 31, 2007, since we cannot predict with reasonable reliability the timing of cash settlements to the respective taxing authorities.

# Outlook

We expect to use our cash, cash equivalents and investments for working capital and general corporate purposes, including the expected payment during 2008 of the \$425.0 million settlement with the U.S. Attorney's Office, acquisition of businesses, products, product rights, or technologies, the settlement of outstanding litigation or the resolution, if any, of the ongoing investigation by the Office of the Connecticut Attorney General described above, the payment of contractual obligations, including scheduled interest payments on our convertible notes and regulatory or sales milestones that may become due, and/or the purchase, redemption or retirement of our convertible notes, including the likely repayment in June 2008 of \$213.2 million of our 2008 Zero Coupon Convertible Notes. However, we expect that sales of our currently marketed products, together with sales of our near-term product candidates, assuming approval in the anticipated time frames, should allow us to continue to generate positive cash flow from operations in 2008. At this time, we cannot accurately predict the effect of

certain developments on the rate of sales growth in 2009 and beyond, such as the degree of market acceptance, patent protection and exclusivity of our products, the impact of competition, the effectiveness of our sales and marketing efforts and the outcome of our current efforts to develop, receive approval for and successfully launch our near-term product candidates.

Based on our current level of operations, projected sales of our existing products and estimated sales from our product candidates, if approved, combined with other revenues and interest income, we also believe that we will be able to service our existing debt and meet our capital expenditure and working capital requirements in the near term. However, we cannot be sure that our anticipated revenue growth will be realized or that we will continue to generate significant positive cash flow from operations. We may need to obtain additional funding for future significant strategic transactions, to repay our outstanding indebtedness, particularly if such indebtedness is presented for conversion by holders (see "—Indebtedness" below), or for our future operational needs, and we cannot be certain that funding will be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

## Marketed Products and Product Candidates

Continued sales growth of PROVIGIL depends, in part, on the continued effectiveness of the various settlement agreements we entered into in late 2005 and early 2006, as well as our maintenance of protection in the United States and abroad of the modafinil particle-size patent through its expiration beginning in 2014. See Note 13 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. During 2007, we experienced a moderation in prescription growth of PROVIGIL. We have undertaken a number of initiatives, including changes to our sales force and the initiation of direct-to-consumer print and web-based advertising that we believe will stimulate prescription growth. Finally, growth of our modafinil-based product sales in the future may depend in part on our ability to successfully launch NUVIGIL around 2010.

The growth of our pain franchise depends in large part on our ability to successfully market FENTORA within its current indication and to secure FDA approval of a broader labeled indication for the product outside of breakthrough cancer pain. Sales of our other pain product, ACTIQ, have been meaningfully eroded by generic competition since September 2006 and we expect this erosion will continue into 2008. In addition, sales of our own generic OTFC could be significantly impacted by the entrance into the market of additional generic OTFC products, which could occur at any time.

Our future growth also depends, in part, on our ability to achieve commercial success with AMRIX, which we launched in October 2007, and to secure FDA approval in 2008 of TREANDA for the treatment of patients with CLL and patients with indolent B-cell NHL who have progressed during or following treatment with rituximab or a rituximab-containing regimen.

#### Clinical Studies

Over the past few years, we have incurred significant expenditures related to conducting clinical studies to develop new pharmaceutical products and exploring the utility of our existing products in treating disorders beyond those currently approved in their respective labels. In 2008, we expect to continue to incur significant levels of research and development expenditures. We also expect to continue or begin a number of significant clinical programs including, among others: possible studies of TREANDA for the treatment of small cell lung cancer and mantle cell lymphoma; a Phase 3 program evaluating CEP-701 for the treatment of acute myelogenous leukemia and other possible studies in patients with myeloproliferative disorder; and clinical programs with NUVIGIL focused on excessive sleepiness associated with jet lag disorder, traumatic brain injury, restless legs syndrome and remitted major depressive disorder, "negative" symptoms in patients with schizophrenia, and bi-polar depression. We may seek to mitigate the risk in, and expense of, our research and development programs by entering into collaborative arrangements with third parties. However, we intend to retain a portion of the commercial rights to these programs and, as a result, we still expect to spend significant funds on our share of the cost of these programs, including the costs of research, preclinical development, clinical research and manufacturing.

61

In 2008, we expect to continue to incur significant expenditures associated with manufacturing, selling and marketing our products. We expect to continue in-process capital expenditure projects at our research and development facilities in France and West Chester, Pennsylvania. The aggregate amount of our sales and marketing expenses in 2008 is expected to be higher than that incurred in 2007, primarily as a result of higher expenses associated with our promotional efforts related to PROVIGIL and AMRIX and launch expenses associated with TREANDA, assuming FDA approval.

## Indebtedness

We have significant indebtedness outstanding, consisting principally of indebtedness on convertible subordinated notes. The following table summarizes the principal terms of our most significant convertible subordinated notes outstanding as of December 31, 2007:

Security		Outstanding		Conversion Price	Redemption Rights and Obligations
		(in millions)		_	
2.0% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due June 2015 (the "2.0% Notes")	\$	820.0	\$	46.70*	Generally not redeemable by the holder prior to December 2014.
Zero Coupon Convertible Notes due June 2033, first putable June 15, 2008 (the "2008 Zero"	Ф		ф		Redeemable on June 15, 2008 at either option of holder or us at a redemption price of 100.25% of the principal
Coupon Notes") Zero Coupon Convertible Notes due June 2033,	\$	213.2	\$	59.50*	amount redeemed. Redeemable on June 15, 2010 at either option of holder
first putable June 15, 2010 (the "2010 Zero Coupon Notes")	\$	199.5	\$	56.50*	or us at a redemption price of 100.25% of the principal amount redeemed.

Stated conversion prices as per the terms of the notes. However, each convertible note contains certain terms restricting a holder's ability to convert the notes, including that a holder may only convert if the closing price of our stock on the day prior to conversion is higher than \$56.04, \$71.40 or \$67.80 with respect to the 2.0% Notes, the 2008 Zero Coupon Notes or the 2010 Zero Coupon Notes, respectively. For a more complete description of these notes, including the associated convertible note hedge, see Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

All of our notes are convertible as of December 31, 2007 and, under the terms of the indentures governing the notes, we are obligated to repay in cash the aggregate principal balance of any such notes presented for conversion. As of the filing date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we do not have available cash, cash equivalents and investments sufficient to repay all of the convertible notes, if presented. In addition, there are no restrictions on our use of this cash and the cash available to repay indebtedness may decline over time. If we do not have sufficient funds available to repay any principal balance of notes presented for conversion, we will be required to raise additional funds. Because the financing markets may be unwilling to provide funding to us or may only be willing to provide funding on terms that we would consider unacceptable, we may not have cash available or be able to obtain funding to permit us to meet our repayment obligations, thus adversely affecting the market price for our securities.

As of December 31, 2007, all of our notes are convertible because the closing price of our common stock on that date was higher than the restricted conversion prices of these notes. As a result, such notes have been classified as current liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet as of

December 31, 2007. In addition, our Zero Coupon Notes first putable June 15, 2008 are considered to be current liabilities based on maturity. See Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for summary of our convertible debt, note hedge and call warrant. As of February 15, 2008, the fair value of both the 2.0% Notes and the Zero Coupon Notes is greater than the value of the shares into which such notes are convertible. We believe that the share price of our common stock would have to significantly increase over the market price as of the filing date of this report before the fair value of the convertible notes would be less than the value of the common stock shares underlying the notes and, as such, we believe it is highly unlikely that holders of the 2.0% Notes or the Zero Coupon Notes will present significant amounts of such notes for conversion under the current terms. In the unlikely event that a significant conversion did occur, we believe that we have the ability to raise sufficient cash to repay the principal amounts due through a combination of utilizing our existing cash on hand, raising money in the capital markets or selling our note hedge instruments for cash.

The annual interest payments on our convertible notes outstanding as of December 31, 2007 are \$16.4 million, payable semi-annually on June 1 and December 1. In the future, we may agree to exchanges of the notes for shares of our common stock or debt, or may determine to use a portion of our existing cash on hand to purchase or retire all or a portion of the outstanding convertible notes.

Our 2.0% Notes and 2008 and 2010 Zero Coupon Notes each are considered Instrument C securities as defined by Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 90-19, "Convertible Bonds with Issuer Option to Settle for Cash upon Conversion" ("EITF 90-19"); therefore, these notes are included in the dilutive earnings per share calculation using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, we must calculate the number of shares issuable under the terms of these notes based on the average market price of our common stock during the period, and include that number in the total diluted shares figure for the period. At the time we sold our 2.0% Notes and Zero Coupon Notes we entered into convertible note hedge and warrant agreements that together are intended to have the economic effect of reducing the net number of shares that will be issued upon conversion of the notes by increasing the effective conversion price for these notes, from our perspective, to \$67.92 and \$72.08, respectively. However, from an accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") perspective, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 128, "Earnings Per Share" ("SFAS 128") considers only the impact of the convertible notes and the warrant agreements; since the impact of the convertible note hedge agreements is always anti-dilutive, SFAS 128 requires that we exclude from the calculation of fully diluted shares the number of shares of our common stock that we would receive from the counterparties to these agreements upon settlement.

Under the treasury stock method, changes in the share price of our common stock can have a significant impact on the number of shares that we must include in the fully diluted earnings per share calculation. The following table provides examples of how changes in our stock price will require the inclusion of additional shares in the denominator of the fully diluted earnings per share calculation ("Total Treasury Stock Method Incremental Shares"). The table also reflects the impact on the number

63

of shares we could expect to issue upon concurrent settlement of the convertible notes, the warrant and the convertible note hedge ("Incremental Shares Issued by Cephalon upon Conversion"):

Share Price	Convertible Notes Shares	Warrant Shares	Total Treasury Stock Method Incremental Shares(1)	Shares Due to Cephalon under Note Hedge	Incremental Shares Issued by Cephalon upon Conversion(2)
\$65.00	5,710	_	5,710	(5,710)	_
\$75.00	8,239	2,137	10,376	(8,239)	2,137
\$85.00	10,173	5,041	15,214	(10,173)	5,041
\$95.00	11,699	7,334	19,033	(11,699)	7,334
\$105.00	12,935	9,189	22,124	(12,935)	9,189

- (1) Represents the number of incremental shares that must be included in the calculation of fully diluted shares under U.S. GAAP.
- (2)

  Represents the number of incremental shares to be issued by us upon conversion of the convertible notes, assuming concurrent settlement of the convertible note hedges and warrants.

# Acquisition Strategy

As part of our business strategy, we plan to consider and, as appropriate, make acquisitions of other businesses, products, product rights or technologies. Our cash reserves and other liquid assets may be inadequate to consummate such acquisitions and it may be necessary for us to issue stock or raise substantial additional funds in the future to complete future transactions. In addition, as a result of our acquisition efforts, we are likely to experience significant charges to earnings for merger and related expenses (whether or not our efforts are successful) that may include transaction costs, closure costs or acquired in-process research and development charges.

# Other

We may experience significant fluctuations in quarterly results based primarily on the level and timing of:

- cost of product sales;
- achievement and timing of research and development milestones;
- collaboration revenues;
- cost and timing of clinical trials, regulatory approvals and product launches;
- marketing and other expenses;
- manufacturing or supply disruptions; and
- costs associated with the operations of recently-acquired businesses and technologies.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

(In thousands)

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discusses our consolidated financial statements, which we have prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. In preparing these financial statements, we must make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We develop and periodically change these estimates and assumptions based on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2007 included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Securities and Exchange Commission defines critical accounting policies as those that are, in management's view, most important to the portrayal of the company's financial condition and results of operations and most demanding of their judgment. Management considers the following policies to be critical to an understanding of our consolidated financial statements and the uncertainties associated with the complex judgments made by us that could impact our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Revenue recognition—In the United States, we sell our proprietary products to pharmaceutical wholesalers, the largest three of which account for 66% of our total consolidated gross sales for the year ended December 31, 2007. Decisions made by these wholesalers regarding the levels of inventory they hold (and thus the amount of product they purchase from us) may have materially affected the level of our sales in any particular period and thus our sales may not correlate to the number of prescriptions written for our products as reported by IMS Health.

We have distribution service agreements with our major wholesaler customers. These agreements obligate the wholesalers to provide us with periodic retail demand information and current inventory levels for our products held at their warehouse locations; additionally, the wholesalers have agreed to manage the variability of their purchases and inventory levels within specified limits based on product demand.

Product sales are recognized upon the transfer of ownership and risk of loss for the product to the customer. In the United States, we sell all commercial products F.O.B. destination. Transfer of ownership and risk of loss for the product pass to the customer at the point that the product is received by the customer. In Europe, product sales are recognized predominantly upon customer receipt of the product, except in certain contractual arrangements where different terms may be specified.

Payments under co-promotional or managed services agreements are recognized over the period when the products are sold or the promotional activities are performed. The portion of the payments that represent reimbursement of our expenses is recognized as an offset to those expenses in our results of operations.

We recognize revenue on new product launches when sales returns can be reasonably estimated and all other revenue recognition requirements have been met. When determining if returns can be estimated, we consider actual returns of similar products as well as sales returns with similar customers. In cases in which a new product is not an extension of an existing line of product or where we have no history of experience with products in a similar therapeutic category such that we can not estimate expected returns of the new product, we defer recognition of revenue until the right of return no longer exists or until we have developed sufficient historical experience to estimate sales returns. In

developing estimates for sales returns, we consider inventory levels in the distribution channel, shelf life of the product and expected demand based on market data and prescriptions.

As of December 31, 2007, we received information from substantially all of our U.S. wholesaler customers about the levels of inventory they held for our U.S. branded products. Based on this information, which we have not independently verified, we believe that total inventory held at these wholesalers is approximately two to three weeks supply of our U.S. branded products at our current sales levels. At December 31, 2007, we believe that inventory held at wholesalers and retailers of our generic OTFC product, launched in October 2006, is approximately three months supply.

In October 2007, we launched AMRIX. Sales of AMRIX to wholesalers and retailers include the right of return of expired product. Based on the sales levels and the prescription data during the fourth quarter of 2007, and based on the number of units on hand in the pipeline at December 31, 2007 relative to the overall demand for the products, we have estimated and recorded all applicable product sales allowances related to AMRIX as of December 31, 2007. We have therefore recognized revenues for AMRIX based on a fixed and determinable sales price in 2007.

In September 2006, we launched generic OTFC, utilizing Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. as our sales agent in this effort. We pay our sales agent a commission for these services and record this commission as selling, general and administrative expense. In October 2006, we launched FENTORA® (fentanyl buccal table) [C-II]. Sales of our generic OTFC product to wholesalers and retailers include both the right of return of expired product and retroactive price reductions under certain conditions, while sales of FENTORA also include the right of return of expired product. Based on the sales levels and the prescription data during the fourth quarter of 2006, and based on the number of units on hand in the pipeline at December 31, 2006 relative to the overall demand for the products, we have estimated and recorded all applicable product sales allowances related to generic OTFC and FENTORA as of December 31, 2006. We have therefore recognized revenues for generic OTFC and FENTORA based on a fixed and determinable sales price in 2006 and 2007.

Sales of our generic OTFC product could be subject to retroactive price reductions for units that remain in the pipeline if the price of generic OTFC is reduced, including as a result of another generic entrant into the market, and as a result any estimated impact of such adjustments is recorded at the time revenue is recognized. This estimate of both the potential timing of a generic entrant and the amount of the price reduction is highly subjective. At December 31, 2007, we are not aware of any expected additional entrants into the generic OTFC market that would result in a price reduction to customers for inventory already purchased from us, and do not believe that any revenue recognized as of December 31, 2007 would be effected by a retroactive shelf stock adjustment. If an additional generic entrant had occurred at the beginning of the first quarter on January 1, 2008, and generic OTFC prices were reduced by 15%, then a reduction of our reported revenues of \$6.5 million would result. We utilize Watson as our sales agent for the sales and distribution of our generic OTFC. We pay our sales agent a commission for these services and record this commission as selling, general and administrative expense.

Product Sales Allowances—We record product sales net of the following significant categories of product sales allowances: prompt payment discounts, wholesaler discounts, returns, coupons, Medicaid discounts and managed care and governmental contracts. Calculating each of these items involves significant estimates and judgments and requires us to use information from external sources. In certain of the product sales allowance categories, we have calculated the impact of changes in our estimates, which we believe represent reasonably likely changes to these estimates based on historical data adjusted for certain unusual items such as changes in government contract rules.

1) Prompt payment discounts—We offer our U.S. wholesaler customers a 2% prompt-pay cash discount as an incentive to remit payment within the first thirty-five days after the date of the invoice. Prompt-pay discount calculations are based on the gross amount of each invoice. We account for these

discounts by reducing sales by the 2% discount amount when product is sold, and apply earned cash discounts at the time of payment. Since we began selling our products commercially in 1999, our customers have routinely taken advantage of this discount. Based on common industry practices and our customers' overall payment performance, we accrue for cash discounts on all U.S. sales recorded during the period. We adjust the accrual to reflect actual experience as necessary and, as a result, the actual amount recognized in any period may be slightly different from our accrual amount.

2)Wholesaler discounts—We have distribution service agreements with a number of our wholesaler customers that provide our wholesalers with the opportunity to earn up to 2% in additional discounts in exchange for the performance of certain services. We have therefore recorded a provision equal to 2% of U.S. gross sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, less inventory appreciation adjustments for 2007 price increases. In addition, at our discretion, we may provide additional discounts to wholesalers such as the additional discount offered to wholesalers on initial stocking orders of FENTORA and AMRIX. Actual discounts provided could therefore exceed historical experience and our estimates of expected discounts. If these discounts were to increase by 1.0% of 2007 gross sales from our seven proprietary products marketed in the U.S., then an additional provision of \$15.3 million would result.

3)Returns—Customers can return short-dated or expired product that meets the guidelines set forth in our return goods policy. Product shelf life from the date of manufacture for PROVIGIL is three years, GABITRIL is two to three years, depending on product strength, and ACTIQ and FENTORA are each two years. Returns are accepted from wholesalers and retail pharmacies. Wholesaler customers can return short dated product with six months or less shelf life remaining and expired product within twelve months following the expiration date. Retail pharmacies are not permitted to return short-dated product but can return full or partial quantities of expired product only within twelve months following the expiration date. We base our estimates of product returns for each of our products on the percentage of returns that we have experienced historically. Notwithstanding this, we may adjust our estimate of product returns if we are aware of other factors that we believe could meaningfully impact our expected return percentages. These factors could include, among others, our estimates of inventory levels of our products in the distribution channel, known sales trends and existing or anticipated competitive market forces such as product entrants and/or pricing changes.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded a provision for returns at a weighted average rate of 0.7% of gross sales, which is consistent with our actual historical return percentages. The other factors described above did not have a significant impact for the year ended December 31, 2007 on our estimate of product returns. Actual returns could exceed historical experience and our estimates of expected future returns activity because of several factors, including, among other things, wholesaler and retailer stocking patterns and/or competition. If the returns provision percentage were to increase by 0.5% of 2007 gross sales from our seven proprietary products marketed in the U.S., then an additional provision of \$7.7 million would result.

Based on fourth quarter sales, we believe a reasonable estimate of our maximum exposure for potential returns related to product in our total supply pipeline as of December 31, 2007 is \$216.7 million.

4) Coupons—We offer patients the opportunity to obtain free samples of our products through a program whereby physicians provide coupons to qualified patients for redemption at retail pharmacies. We reimburse retail pharmacies for the cost of these products through a third party administrator. We recognize the estimated cost of this reimbursement as a reduction of gross sales when product is sold. In addition, we maintain an accrual for unused coupons based on inventory in the distribution channel and historical coupon usage rates and adjust this accrual whenever changes in such coupon usage rates occur.

67

For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded a provision for coupons at a weighted average rate of 1.3% of gross sales. Actual coupon usage could exceed historical experience and our estimates of expected future coupon activity. If the coupons provision percentage were to increase by 0.5% of 2007 gross sales from our seven proprietary products marketed in the U.S., then an additional provision of \$7.7 million would result.

5) Medicaid discounts—We record accruals for rebates to be provided through governmental rebate programs, such as the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program, as a reduction of sales when product is sold. These reductions are based on historical rebate amounts and trends of sales eligible for these governmental programs for a period, as well as any expected changes to the trends of our total product sales. In addition, we estimate the expected unit rebate amounts to be used and adjust our rebate accruals based on the expected changes in rebate pricing. Rebate amounts are generally invoiced and paid quarterly in arrears, so that our accrual consists of an estimate of the amount expected to be incurred for the current quarter's activity, plus an accrual for prior quarters' unpaid rebates and an accrual for inventory in the distribution channel.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded a provision for Medicaid discounts at a weighted average rate of 1.9% of gross sales. Actual Medicaid discounts could exceed historical experience and our estimates of expected future Medicaid patient activity or unit rebate amounts. If the Medicaid discounts provision percentage were to increase by 0.5% of 2007 gross sales from our seven proprietary products marketed in the U.S., then an additional provision of \$7.7 million would result.

6)Managed care and governmental contracts—We have entered into agreements with certain managed care customers whereby we provide agreed-upon discounts to such entities based on market share. We record accruals for these discounts as a reduction of sales when product is sold based on the discount rates and expected levels of market share of these managed care customers during a period. We estimate eligible sales based on historical amounts and trends of sales by these entities and on any expected changes to the trends of our product sales. Discounts are generally invoiced and paid quarterly in arrears, so that our accrual consists of an estimate of the amount expected to be incurred for the current quarter's activity, plus an accrual for prior quarters' unpaid rebates and an accrual for inventory in the distribution channel.

We have entered into agreements with certain governmental customers (other than Medicaid) whereby we provide legislatively mandated discounts and rebates to such entities. We record accruals for these discounts and rebates as a reduction of sales when product is sold based on the discount amounts and expected levels of performance of these governmental customers during a period. We estimate eligible sales based on historical sales amounts and trends of sales by these entities and on any expected changes to the trends of our product sales. Generally, discounts are granted to governmental customers by our wholesalers at time of purchase. In other cases, rebates are paid directly to governmental customers based on reported levels of patient usage. Wholesalers charge these discounts and rebates back to us generally within one to three months. We record accruals for our estimate of unprocessed chargebacks related to sales made during the period based on an estimate of the amount expected to be incurred for the current quarter's sales, plus an accrual based on the amount of inventory in the distribution channel.

We recognized a reduction in the managed care and governmental contracts allowance of \$13.3 million in the third quarter of 2006, representing amounts paid to the DoD under the Tricare program from October 2004 through June 30, 2006. In October 2006, the DoD announced that it would reimburse all companies that had voluntarily made such payments under the Tricare program due to the U.S. Court of Appeals September 2006 ruling and we received this reimbursement in December 2006.

68

For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded a provision for managed care and governmental contracts at a weighted average rate of 4.3% of gross sales. Actual chargebacks and rebates could exceed historical experience and our estimates of expected future participation in these programs. If the chargebacks and rebates provision percentage were to increase by 0.5% of 2007 gross sales from our seven proprietary products marketed in the U.S., then an additional provision of \$7.7 million would result.

The following table summarizes activity in each of the above categories for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007:

	I	Prompt Payment viscounts	Wholesaler Discounts	Returns*	Coupons	Medicaid Discounts	Managed Care & Governmental Contracts	Total
Balance at January 1, 2006	\$	(1,917) \$	(2,728)	\$ (22,598) \$	(4,695)	\$ (33,454)	\$ (6,566)	\$ (71,958)
Provision:			_					
Current period		(32,384)	(2,939)	(24,735)	(26,169)	(45,990)	(45,929)	(178,146)
Prior periods					(684)	723	7,443	7,482
Total		(32,384)	(2,939)	(24,735)	(26,853)	(45,267)	(38,486)**	(170,664)
Actual:								
Current period		28,836	2,629	_	21,508	19,588	26,434	98,995
Prior periods		1,917	2,728	18,490	5,378	32,731	(877)	60,367
Total		30,753	5,357	18,490	26,886	52,319	25,557**	159,362
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$	(3,548) \$	(310)					
Descriptions								
Provision: Current period		(31,819)	(22,172)	(16,793)	(25,591)	(37,681)	(82,958)	(217,014)
Prior periods		5	(22,172)	2,677	172	153	209	3,216
Total		(31,814)	(22,172)	(14,116)	(25,419)	(37,528)	(82,749)	(213,798)
Actual:								
Current period		28,737	15,723	_	18,338	18,242	58,986	140,026
Prior periods		3,543	310	17,624	4,490	25,805	18,994	70,766
Total		32,280	16.033	17,624	22,828	44.047	77,980	210,792
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$	(3,082) \$	(6,449)		(7,253)			\$ (86,266)
	_							

Given our return goods policy, we assume that all returns in a current year relate to prior period sales.

Includes \$13.3 million related to the DoD Tricare program of which \$5.5 million related to the current period and \$7.8 million related to prior periods.

Inventories—Our inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market, and include the cost of raw materials, labor, overhead and shipping and handling costs. Cost is computed on domestic inventories and certain of our foreign inventories using the last-in, first-out method. For the majority of our foreign inventories, the first-in, first-out method is utilized. The majority of our inventories are subject to expiration dating. We regularly evaluate the carrying value of our inventories and when, in our opinion, factors indicate that impairment has occurred, we establish a reserve against the inventories' carrying value. Our determination that a valuation reserve might be required, in addition to the quantification of such reserve, requires us to utilize significant judgment. We base our analysis, in part, on the level of inventories on hand in relation to our estimated forecast of product demand, production requirements for forecasted product demand and the expiration dates of inventories. Although we make every effort to ensure the accuracy of forecasts of future product demand, any significant unanticipated decreases in demand could have a material impact on the carrying value of our inventories and our reported operating results. To date, inventory adjustments have not been material.

We expense pre-approval inventory unless we believe it is probable that the inventory will be saleable. We have capitalized inventory costs associated with marketed products and certain products

prior to regulatory approval and product launch, based on management's judgment of probable future commercial use and net realizable value. With respect to capitalization of unapproved product candidates, we seek to produce inventory in preparation for the launch of the product and in amounts sufficient to support forecasted initial market demand. Typically, capitalization of this inventory does not begin until the product candidate is considered to have a high probability of regulatory approval. This may occur when either the product candidate is in Phase 3 clinical trials or when it is a new formulation or dosage strength of a presently approved product for which we believe there is a high probability of receiving FDA approval. If we are aware of any specific risks or contingencies that are likely to impact the expected regulatory approval process or if there are any specific issues identified during the research process relating to safety, efficacy, manufacturing, marketing or labeling of the product candidate, we would not capitalize the related inventory.

When manufacturing and capitalizing inventory costs of product candidates and at each subsequent balance sheet date, we consider both the expiration dates of the inventory and anticipated future sales once approved. Since expiration dates are impacted by the stage of completion, we seek to avoid product expiration issues by managing the levels of inventory at each stage to optimize the shelf life of the inventory relative to anticipated market demand following launch.

Once we have determined to capitalize inventory for a product candidate that is not yet approved, we will monitor, on a quarterly basis, the status of this candidate within the regulatory approval process. We could be required to expense previously capitalized costs related to pre-approval inventory upon a change in our judgment of future commercial use and net realizable value, due to a denial or delay of approval by regulatory bodies, a delay in the timeline for commercialization or other potential factors.

On a quarterly basis, we evaluate all inventory, including inventory capitalized for which regulatory approval has not yet been obtained, to determine if any lower of cost or market adjustment is required. As it relates to pre-approval inventory, we consider several factors including expected timing of FDA approval, projected sales volume and estimated selling price. Projected sales volume is based on several factors including market research, sales of similar products and competition in the market. Estimated sales price is based on the price of existing products sold for the same indications and expected market demand.

At December 31, 2007, we had \$0.4 million of capitalized inventory costs related to TREANDA included in inventory. In June 2007, we secured final FDA approval of NUVIGIL. However, as we do not presently intend to launch NUVIGIL commercially until around 2010, we have included net NUVIGIL inventory balances of \$120.0 million and \$89.1 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, in other assets, rather than inventory. Based on the expiration dates and our current estimates of sales demand for NUVIGIL, no additional reserve related to NUVIGIL is required at this time. At December 31, 2006, we had an \$8.6 million inventory reserve related to the FDA's determination that the SPARLON sNDA was not approvable (see Note 6 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K).

We have committed to make future minimum payments to third parties for certain raw material inventories. The minimum purchase commitments total \$83.0 million as of December 31, 2007, the majority of which relate to modafinil and armodafinil. We expect to fully utilize these contracts.

Valuation of Property and Equipment, Intangible Assets, Goodwill and Investments—Our property and equipment have been recorded at cost and are being depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of those assets.

We regularly assess our property and equipment, intangible assets, goodwill and other long lived assets to determine whether any impairment in these assets may exist and, if so, the extent of such impairment. To do this, in the case of goodwill, we estimate the fair value of each of our reporting

70

units and compare it to the book value of their net assets. In the case of intangibles and other long lived assets, we assess whether triggering events have occurred and if so, we compare the estimated cash flows of the related asset group and compare it to the book value of the asset group. Calculating fair value as well as future cash flows requires that we make a number of critical legal, economic, market and business assumptions that reflect our best estimates as of the testing date. We believe the methods we use to determine these underlying assumptions and estimates are reasonable and reflective of common practice. Notwithstanding this, our assumptions and estimates may differ significantly from actual results, or circumstances could change that would cause us to conclude that an impairment now exists or that we previously understated the extent of impairment.

For example, with respect to VIVITROL, we currently have \$97.2 million of intangible assets and \$33.2 million of construction in progress assets as of December 31, 2007. The carrying value of these assets is based on our projections for future revenue growth of VIVITROL. If actual future revenue growth of the product is materially less than our projections, we may conclude that the VIVITROL intangible assets and the construction in progress assets were impaired. With respect to our DURASOLV intangible assets, in the third quarter of 2007, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") notified us that, on re-examination, it has rejected the claims in the two U.S. patents for our DURASOLV ODT technology. We filed notices of appeal of the PTO's decisions in the fourth quarter of 2007. While we intend to vigorously defend these patents, these efforts, ultimately, may not be successful. The invalidity of the DURASOLV patents could have a material adverse impact on the \$53.6 million carrying value of the DURASOLV intangible assets. For additional information regarding our significant accounting policies with respect to goodwill, intangibles and other long-lived assets, see Note 1 of our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Income taxes.—We provide for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," which requires that income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. We provide for income taxes at a rate equal to our estimated annual combined federal, state and foreign statutory effective rates. Subsequent adjustments to our estimates of our ability to recover the deferred tax assets or other changes in circumstances or estimates could cause our provision for income taxes to vary from period to period, as it has for the current year ended December 31, 2007.

At December 31, 2007, we have a valuation allowance of \$132.9 million, against a total deferred tax asset balance of \$529.9 million. This valuation allowance is composed entirely of state and foreign net operating losses, and state tax credits where we have concluded at this time that it is not more likely than not that these deferred tax assets will be realized. We will continue to review and analyze the likelihood of realizing tax benefits related to deferred tax assets as there is more certainty surrounding our future levels of profitability related to specific company operations and the related taxing jurisdictions.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48") which addresses the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. Under FIN 48, a company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based solely on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in

71

the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. See Note 14 of our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The recognition and measurement of certain tax benefits includes estimates and judgments by management and inherently includes subjectivity. Changes in estimates may create volatility in our effective tax rate in future periods due to settlements with various tax authorities (either favorable or unfavorable), the expiration of the statute of limitations on some tax positions and obtaining new information about particular tax positions that may cause management to change its estimates.

Stock-based compensation—Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective method, in which compensation cost was recognized based on the requirements of SFAS 123(R) for (a) all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS 123(R) that remain unvested on the effective date. SFAS 123(R) requires the use of judgment and estimates in performing multiple calculations. We estimate the fair value using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model when assessing the fair value of stock options granted. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires several inputs, one of which is volatility. The fair value of stock options is most sensitive to the volatility input. Our estimate of volatility is based upon the historical volatility experienced in our stock price as well as the implied volatility from publicly traded stock options on our stock. To the extent volatility of our stock price or the option market on our stock increases in the future, our estimates of the fair value of stock options granted in the future could increase, thereby increasing stock-based compensation expense in future periods. For instance, an increase in estimated volatility of ten percentage points would have resulted in additional annual pre-tax stock-based compensation expense of \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. In addition, we apply an expected forfeiture rate when amortizing stock-based compensation expense. Our estimate of the forfeiture rate is based primarily upon historical experience of employee turnover. To the extent we revise this estimate in the future or actual experience differs from this estimate, our stock-based compensation expense could be materially impacted. An estimated forfeiture rate of one percentage point lower would have resulted in an insignificant increase in stock-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2007. Beginning with our December 2007 stock option grant, our expected term of stock options granted was derived from our historical data as we have assumed that our historical stock option exercise experience is a relevant indicator of future exercise patterns. Prior to the December 2007 stock option grant, our expected term of stock options granted was derived from the average midpoint between vesting and the contractual term, as described in SEC's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, "Share-Based Payment."

## RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In July 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48. FIN 48 addresses the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. Under FIN 48, the Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based solely on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. See Note 14 herein.

72

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 clarifies the definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the disclosures on fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 157 to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 allows companies to choose, at specific election dates, to measure eligible financial assets and liabilities at fair value that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. If a company elects the fair value option for an eligible item, changes in that item's fair value in subsequent reporting periods must be recognized in current earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 159 to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2007, the EITF reached a final consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-3, "Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities" ("EITF 07-3"). EITF 07-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. EITF 07-3 requires non-refundable advance payments for future research and development activities to be capitalized until the goods have been delivered or related services have been performed. Adoption is on a prospective basis and could impact the timing of expense recognition for agreements entered into after December 31, 2007. We do not expect the adoption of EITF 07-3 to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2007, the EITF reached a final consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-1, "Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements Related to the Development and Commercialization of Intellectual Property" ("EITF 07-1"). EITF 07-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. Adoption is on a retrospective basis to all prior periods presented for all collaborative arrangements existing as of the effective date. We are currently evaluating the impact of EITF 07-1 adoption on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141(R)"). SFAS 141(R) will significantly change the accounting for business combinations in a number of areas including the treatment of contingent consideration, contingencies, acquisition costs, in-process research and development and restructuring costs. In addition, under SFAS 141(R), changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties in a business combination after the measurement period will impact income tax expense. SFAS 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Early application is not permitted. The effect of SFAS 141(R) on our consolidated financial statements will be dependent on the nature and terms of any business combinations that occur after its effective date.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements" ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 amends Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling (minority) interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements and establishes a single method of accounting for changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 160 to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements unless a future transaction results in a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange risk related to our operations in European subsidiaries that have transactions, assets, and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies that are translated into U.S. dollars for consolidated financial reporting purposes. Historically, we have not hedged any of these foreign currency exchange risks. For the year ended December 31, 2007, an average 10% weakening of the U.S. dollar relative to the currencies in which our European subsidiaries operate would have resulted in an increase of \$33.9 million in reported total revenues and a corresponding increase in reported expenses. This sensitivity analysis of the effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates does not assume any changes in the level of operations of our European subsidiaries.

Our exposure to market risk for a change in interest rates relates to our investment portfolio, since all of our outstanding debt is fixed rate. Our investments are classified as short-term and as "available-for-sale." We do not believe that short-term fluctuations in interest rates would materially affect the value of our securities.

74

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

## REPORT OF MANAGEMENT

## Management's Report on Financial Statements

Our management is responsible for the preparation, integrity and fair presentation of information in our consolidated financial statements, including estimates and judgments. The consolidated financial statements presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our management believes the consolidated financial statements and other financial information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K fairly present, in all material respects, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows as of and for the periods presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated financial statements have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included herein.

## Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that our transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of our financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorization of our management and our directors; and
  - provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of such controls in future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Our management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, our management concluded that, as of December 31, 2007, our internal controls over financial reporting were effective to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Cephalon, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1), present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Cephalon, Inc., and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2), presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in "Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting" appearing under Item 8. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" and, as discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123(R) "Share-Based Payment (revised 2004)."

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania February 28, 2008

77

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2007			December 31, 2006
ASSETS				
CURRENT ASSETS:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	818,669	\$	496,512
Investments		7,596		25,212
Receivables, net		276,776		270,045
Inventory, net		99,098		85,239
Deferred tax assets, net		176,619		184,518
Other current assets		43,267		47,278
Total current assets		1,422,025		1,108,804
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net		500,396		453,010
GOODWILL		476,515		467,167
INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net		817,828		793,037
DEFERRED TAX ASSETS, net		141,752		118,192
OTHER ASSETS		147,753		105,287
	\$	3,506,269	\$	3,045,497
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
CURRENT LIABILITIES:				
Current portion of long-term debt	\$	1,237,169	\$	1,023,312
Accounts payable		91,437	•	90,586
Accrued expenses		677,184		263,478
Total current liabilities		2,005,790		1,377,376
LONG-TERM DEBT		2 700		224,992
DEFERRED TAX LIABILITIES, net		3,788 56,540		72,491
OTHER LIABILITIES		138,084		61,178
OTHER EIABIETHES		130,004		01,170
Total liabilities		2,204,202		1,736,037
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 13)		_		_
CTOOKHOLDERGI FOLIITA				
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 2,500,000 shares issued, and none outstanding				
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 400,000,000 and 200,000,000 shares authorized, 69,956,790 and				
67,853,389 shares issued, and 67,604,187 and 65,596,227 shares outstanding		700		678
Additional paid-in capital		1,934,965		1,780,749
Treasury stock, at cost, 2,352,603 and 2,257,162 shares outstanding		(158,173)		(151,068)
Accumulated deficit		(624,128)		(425,256)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		148,703		104,357
Total stockholders' equity		1,302,067		1,309,460
	\$	3,506,269	\$	3,045,497
	-	- ,,,-	-	- ,~ , /

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share data)

Year Ended December 31,

		2007		2006		2005
REVENUES:						
Sales	\$	1,727,299	\$	1,720,172	\$	1,156,518
Other revenues	J	45,339	Ф	43,897	Ψ	55,374
		1,772,638		1,764,069		1,211,892
		1,772,030	_	1,704,007	_	1,211,072
COSTS AND EXPENSES:						
Cost of sales		341,867		338,784		221,874
Research and development		369,115		424,239		370,818
Selling, general and administrative		735,799		689,492		454,523
Settlement reserve		425,000		007,172		15 1,525
Impairment charges		423,000		12,417		20,820
A minution trianges		_				
Acquired in-process research and development				5,000		366,815
		1,871,781		1,469,932		1,434,850
	_	1,071,701	_	1,100,002	_	1,15 1,00 0
INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS		(99,143)		294,137		(222,958)
			_		_	
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):						
Interest income		32,816		25,438		26,171
Interest expense		(19,833)		(18,922)		(25,235)
Debt exchange expense		`		(48,122)		`
Write-off of deferred debt issuance costs		_		(13,105)		(27,109)
Gain on extinguishment of debt		5,319		(15,100)		2,085
Gain on extinguisiment of debt		5,791				2,003
Other income (expense), net		6,631		(1,172)		1,928
· · · //	_		_			
		30,724		(55,883)		(22,160)
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES		(68,419)		238,254		(245,118)
INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT)		123,285		93,438		(70,164)
	_			70,00		(,,,,,,,
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$	(191,704)	\$	144,816	\$	(174,954)
BASIC INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE	\$	(2.88)	\$	2.39	\$	(3.01)
DILLITED INCOME (LOSS) DED COMMON CHARE	¢	(2.00)	¢.	2.00	¢.	(2.01)
DILUTED INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE	\$	(2.88)	<b></b>	2.08	\$	(3.01)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING		66,597		60,507		58,051
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING—ASSUMING DILUTION		66,597		69,672		58,051
		, , , , ,		,,,,,		,

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

79

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

# (In thousands, except share data)

			Commo	n Stock		Treas	ury Stock		
	Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Shares	Amount	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
BALANCE, JANUARY 1, 2005 Net loss	\$ (174,95	\$ 830,044 (174,954)	57,973,050	\$ 580	\$ 1,172,499	332,784	\$ (14,860)	(395,118) (174,954)	
Foreign currency translation loss	(33,31	17)							
Change in unrealized investment gains and losses	(1,00								
Other comprehensive loss	(34,32	25) (34,325)							(34,325)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (209,27	79)							
Sale of warrants associated with convertible subordinated notes		217,071			217,071				
Purchase of convertible note hedge associated with		217,071			217,071				
convertible subordinated notes  Tax benefit from the		(382,261)			(382,261)	ı			
purchase of convertible note hedge		133,791	246 720	2	133,791				
Stock options exercised  Tax benefit from the exercise of stock options, net of adjustment		11,460 2,826	346,730	3	11,457 2,826				
Restricted stock unit awards		10,784	125,625	1	10,783	40.050	(2.265)		
Treasury stock acquired		(2,265)				40,059	(2,265)		
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2005 Net income	\$ 144,81	612,171 16 144,816	58,445,405	584	1,166,166	372,843	(17,125)	(570,072) 144,816	32,618
Foreign currency translation gain	70,74	13							
Change in unrealized investment gains and losses	99	96							
Other comprehensive income	71,73	71,739							71,739
Comprehensive income	\$ 216,55	55							
Issuance of common stock upon conversions and exchanges of convertible notes		310,155	6,169,429	62	310,093				
Termination of warrants and convertible note hedge upon exchanges of convertible									
notes Tax effect of conversions and exchanges of convertible notes		(38,490)			(38,490)	1,823,847	(129,525)		
Stock options exercised Tax benefit from equity		143,491	3,058,430	30	143,461				
compensation Stock-based compensation		27,189			27,189				
expense Treasury stock acquired		42,807 (4,418)	180,125	2	42,805	60,472	(4,418)		
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2006 Net loss	\$ (191,70	1,309,460 (191,704)	67,853,389	678	1,780,749	2,257,162	(151,068)	(425,256) (191,704)	
Foreign currency translation gain Prior service gains and losses	42,66	52							
on retirement-related plans Change in unrealized	44	16							
investment gains and losses	2	20							
Other comprehensive income	43,12	28 43,128							43,128

Comprehensive loss	\$	(148,576)								
	_									
Adoption of FIN 48			(7,168)						(7,168)	
Issuance of common stock upon conversions and exchanges of convertible										
notes			10	124	_	10				
Stock options exercised			93,900	1,853,152	19	93,881				
Tax benefit from equity compensation			13,633			13,633				
Stock-based compensation expense			46,695	250,125	3	46,692				
Treasury stock acquired			(7,105)				95,441	(7,105)		
Other			1,218							1,218
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2007	7	9	1,302,067	69,956,790	\$ 700	1,934,965	2,352,603	\$ (158,173) \$	(624,128) \$	148,703

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

80

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands)

Year Ended December 31, 2007 2005 2006 CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net income (loss) \$ (191,704)144,816 (174,954)Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: Deferred income tax expense (benefit) (958)28,064 (77,341)Shortfall tax benefits from stock-based compensation (360)Tax benefit from stock-based compensation 5,826 Debt exchange expense 48,122 Depreciation and amortization 141.358 126,531 89.967 Amortization of debt issuance costs 241 493 7,301 13 105 Write-off of debt issuance costs associated with convertible subordinated notes 27 109 46.695 10.784 Stock-based compensation expense 42,807 (5,319)Gain on extinguishment of debt (4,549)Gain on sale of investment (5,791) Loss on disposals of property and equipment 3,346 3,292 1,107 Impairment charges 12,417 20,820 Acquired in-process research and development 201,815 Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect from acquisitions: 35.070 (63,932)Receivables (601)(17,428) (17,315) Inventory (6,023)22,640 (7.033)Other assets (54.967)Accounts payable and accrued expenses 382,898 (19,764)94,523 Other liabilities 76,041 (31,641)(17,004)Net cash provided by operating activities 319,917 185,731 384,856 CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Purchases of property and equipment (96,867)(159,917)(118,050)Acquisition of Salmedix, net of cash acquired (130,733) Acquisition of TRISENOX (69,722) (365,786) Acquisition of Zeneus, net of cash acquired (107,246) (115,850)Acquisition of intangible assets (33,459) 12,291 Proceeds from sale of investment 99,131 Sales and maturities of available-for-sale investments 260,082 149,072 Purchases of available-for-sale investments (80,255)(4,691)(211,802)Net cash used for investing activities (172,946)(20,376)(780,480)CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: 93,900 143,491 11,460 Proceeds from exercises of common stock options 13,993 Windfall tax benefits from stock-based compensation 27,189 (7,105)(4,418)(2,265)Acquisition of treasury stock Payments on and retirements of long-term debt (3,853)(504,113)(188,886)Net proceeds from issuance of convertible subordinated notes 891,949 Proceeds from sale of warrants 217,071 (382,261) Purchase of convertible note hedge Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities 96,935 (22,624)231,841 EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS 14,535 13,312 (6,276)NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EOUIVALENTS 322,157 291.452 (369.184)CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR 496,512 205,060 574.244 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR 818,669 496,512 205,060 Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: Cash payments for interest, net of capitalized interest \$ 17,814 20.272 16 595 Cash payments for income taxes 84,879 36,954 15,963 Non-cash investing and financing activities: 1,335 2,134 2.303 Capital lease additions Tax benefit from the purchase of convertible note hedge 133,791 Acquisition of treasury stock associated with termination of convertible note hedge and warrant agreements 129,525 262,033

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Exchange of convertible notes into common stock, net of debt exchange expense

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 1. NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Business**

Cephalon is an international biopharmaceutical company dedicated to the discovery, development and marketing of innovative products in four core therapeutic areas: central nervous system ("CNS") disorders, pain, oncology and addiction. In addition to conducting an active research and development program, we market seven proprietary products in the United States and numerous products in various countries throughout Europe.

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses, and related disclosure of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

## **Principles of Consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements include the results of our operations and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. We completed the acquisitions of AMRIX® in August 2007, the issued share capital of Zeneus Holdings Limited and its wholly-owned subsidiaries on December 22, 2005, substantially all assets related to the TRISENOX® (arsenic trioxide) injection business from Cell Therapeutics, Inc. and CTI Technologies, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of CTI on July 18, 2005 and outstanding capital stock of Salmedix, Inc. on June 14, 2005. These acquisitions have been accounted for either as business combinations or asset purchases.

### **Foreign Currency**

For most of our foreign operating entities with currencies other than the U.S. dollar, the local currency is the functional currency. In cases where our foreign entity primarily operates in an economic environment using a currency other than their local currency, the currency in which the entity conducts a majority of its operations is the functional currency. We translate asset and liability balances at exchange rates in effect at the end of the period and income and expense transactions at the average exchange rates in effect during the period. Resulting translation adjustments are reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income included in stockholders' equity. Gains and losses from foreign currency transactions are included in the consolidated statements of operations.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 95, "Statement of Cash Flows" requires that the effect of exchange rate changes on cash held in foreign currencies be reported as a separate item in the reconciliation of beginning and ending cash and cash equivalents. All other foreign currency cash flows are reported in the applicable line of the consolidated statement of cash flows using an approximation of the exchange rate in effect at the time of the cash flows.

## **Cash Equivalents and Investments**

Cash equivalents include investments in liquid securities with original maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase. In accordance with SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," we consider our investments to be "available-for-sale." We classify

82

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 1. NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

these investments as short-term and carry them at fair market value. Unrealized gains and losses have been recorded as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income included in stockholders' equity. All realized gains and losses on our available-for-sale securities are recognized in results of operations.

## Major U.S. Customers and Concentration of Credit Risk

Our three most significant products are PROVIGIL® (modafinil) Tablets [C-IV], FENTORA® (fentanyl buccal tablet) [C-II] and ACTIQ® (oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate) [C-II] (including our generic version of ACTIQ ("generic OTFC"). These products comprised the following for the years ended December 31:

	% of total	consolidated n	et sales	% of net sales in U.S. market			
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005	
PROVIGIL sales	49%	43%	44%	94%	94%	93%	
FENTORA sales ACTIQ sales (including generic OTFC)	8% 21%	2% 36%	% 36%	100%	100%		
FENTORA and ACTIQ sales (including generic	2170	3070	3070	0,70	7070	7070	
OTFC)	29%	38%	36%	92%	96%	96	

In the United States, we sell our products primarily to a limited number of pharmaceutical wholesalers without requiring collateral. We periodically assess the financial strength of these customers and establish allowances for anticipated losses, if necessary.

	, , , , , , ,	al trade acco eceivable	ounts	% of total o	consolidated gro	oss sales	
	At I	December 31,	,	Year Ended December 31,			
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005	
Major U.S. customers:							
AmerisourceBergen Corporation	7%	8%	10%	13%	15%	17%	
Cardinal Health, Inc.	17%	17%	33%	28%	30%	32%	
McKesson Corporation	15%	15%	12%	25%	26%	26%	
Total	39%	40%	55%	66%	71%	75%	

## Inventory

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market value. Our domestic inventories and certain of our foreign inventories are valued using the last-in, first-out ("LIFO") method. The majority of our foreign inventories are valued using the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method. See Note 6 herein.

We expense pre-approval inventory unless we believe it is probable that the inventory will be saleable. We have capitalized inventory costs associated with marketed products and certain products prior to regulatory approval and product launch, based on management's judgment of probable future

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

### 1. NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

commercial use and net realizable value. With respect to capitalization of unapproved product candidates, we seek to produce inventory in preparation for the launch of the product and in amounts sufficient to support forecasted initial market demand. Typically, capitalization of this inventory does not begin until the product candidate is considered to have a high probability of regulatory approval. This may occur when either the product candidate is in Phase 3 clinical trials or when it is a new formulation or dosage strength of a presently approved product for which we believe there is a high probability of receiving U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA") approval. If we are aware of any specific risks or contingencies that are likely to impact the expected regulatory approval process or if there are any specific issues identified during the research process relating to safety, efficacy, manufacturing, marketing or labeling of the product candidate, we would not capitalize the related inventory.

When manufacturing and capitalizing inventory costs of product candidates and at each subsequent balance sheet date, we consider both the expiration dates of the inventory and anticipated future sales once approved. Since expiration dates are impacted by the stage of completion, we seek to avoid product expiration issues by managing the levels of inventory at each stage to optimize the shelf life of the inventory relative to anticipated market demand following launch.

Once we have determined to capitalize inventory for a product candidate that is not yet approved, we will monitor, on a quarterly basis, the status of this candidate within the regulatory approval process. We could be required to expense previously capitalized costs related to pre-approval inventory upon a change in our judgment of future commercial use and net realizable value, due to a denial or delay of approval by regulatory bodies, a delay in the timeline for commercialization or other potential factors.

On a quarterly basis, we evaluate all inventory, including inventory capitalized for which regulatory approval has not yet been obtained, to determine if any lower of cost or market adjustment is required. As it relates to pre-approval inventory, we consider several factors including expected timing of FDA approval, projected sales volume and estimated selling price. Projected sales volume is based on several factors including market research, sales of similar products and competition in the market. Estimated sales price is based on the price of existing products sold for the same indications and expected market demand. See Note 6 herein.

## **Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from three to 40 years. Property and equipment under capital leases are depreciated or amortized over the shorter of the lease term or the expected useful life of the assets. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred, while major renewals and betterments are capitalized. See Note 7 herein.

We capitalize interest in connection with the construction of plant and equipment.

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate the respective fair values. The market value of our 2.0%

84

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 1. NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

convertible senior subordinated notes was \$1.4 billion as compared to a carrying value of \$820.0 million and the market value of our Zero Coupon convertible subordinated notes was \$533.6 million as compared to a carrying value of \$413.7 million, at December 31, 2007, based on quoted market values. The majority of our other debt instruments that were outstanding as of December 31, 2007 do not have readily ascertainable market values; however, management believes that the carrying values approximate the respective fair values. See Note 11 herein.

## Goodwill, Intangible Assets and Other Long-Lived Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over net assets acquired. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," goodwill is not amortized; rather, it is subject to a periodic assessment for impairment by applying a fair-value-based test. We perform our annual test of impairment of goodwill as of July 1. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," we review amortizable assets for impairment on an annual basis or whenever changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable. If impairment is indicated, we measure the amount of such impairment by comparing the carrying value of the assets to the present value of the expected future cash flows associated with the use of the asset. See Note 8 herein.

### **Revenue Recognition**

In the United States, we sell our proprietary products to pharmaceutical wholesalers, the largest three of which account for 66% of our total consolidated gross sales for the year ended December 31, 2007. Decisions made by these wholesalers regarding the levels of inventory they hold (and thus the amount of product they purchase from us) can materially affect the level of our sales in any particular period and thus may not correlate to the number of prescriptions written for our products as reported by IMS Health Incorporated. We believe that speculative buying of product, particularly in anticipation of possible price increases, has been the historic practice of many pharmaceutical wholesalers. In past years, we attempted to minimize these fluctuations both by providing, from time to time, discounts to our customers to stock normal amounts of inventory (which we had historically defined as approximately one month's supply at our current sales level) and by canceling orders if we believe a particular customer is speculatively buying inventory in anticipation of possible price increases.

We have distribution service agreements that obligate the wholesalers to provide us with periodic retail demand information and current inventory levels for our products held at their warehouse locations; additionally, the wholesalers have agreed to manage the variability of their purchases and inventory levels within specified limits based on product demand. As of December 31, 2007, we received information from substantially all of our U.S. wholesaler customers about the levels of inventory they held for our U.S. branded products. Based on this information, which we have not independently verified, we believe that total inventory held at these wholesalers is approximately two to three weeks supply of our U.S. branded products at our current sales levels. At December 31, 2007, we believe that inventory held at wholesalers and retailers of our generic OTFC product, launched in October 2006, is approximately three months supply.

Product sales are recognized upon the transfer of ownership and risk of loss for the product to the customer. In the United States, we sell all commercial products F.O.B. destination. Transfer of

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 1. NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

ownership and risk of loss for the product pass to the customer at the point that the product is received by the customer. In Europe, product sales are recognized predominantly upon customer receipt of the product except in certain contractual arrangements where different terms may be specified. We record product sales net of estimated reserves for contractual allowances, discounts and returns. Contractual allowances result from sales under contracts with managed care organizations and government agencies.

Other revenue, which includes revenues from collaborative agreements, consists primarily of up-front fees, ongoing research and development funding, milestone payments and payments under co-promotional or managed services agreements. Non-refundable up-front fees are deferred and amortized to revenue over the related performance period. We estimate our performance period based on the specific terms of each collaborative agreement. We adjust the performance periods, if appropriate, based upon available facts and circumstances. We recognize periodic payments over the period that we perform the related activities under the terms of the agreements. Revenue resulting from the achievement of milestone events stipulated in the agreements is recognized when the milestone is achieved. Milestones are based upon the occurrence of a substantive element specified in the contract or as a measure of substantive progress towards completion under the contract. In connection with these collaborations, we also incurred costs that are reflected in our operating expenses of \$14.0 million and \$33.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2007, incurred costs that are reflected in our operating expenses were insignificant in connection with these collaborations.

Payments under co-promotional or managed services agreements are recognized when the products are sold or the promotional activities are performed. The portion of the payments that represents reimbursement of our expenses is recognized as an offset to those expenses in our statement of income.

We recognize revenue on new product launches when sales returns can be reasonably estimated and all other revenue recognition requirements have been met. When determining if returns can be estimated, we consider actual returns of similar products as well as sales returns with similar customers. In cases in which a new product is not an extension of an existing line of product or where we have no history of experience with products in a similar therapeutic category such that we can not estimate expected returns of the new product, we defer recognition of revenue until the right of return no longer exists or until we have developed sufficient historical experience to estimate sales returns. In developing estimates for sales returns, we consider inventory levels in the distribution channel, shelf life of the product and expected demand based on market data and prescriptions.

In October 2007, we launched AMRIX® (cyclobenzaprine hydrochloride extended-release capsules). Sales of AMRIX to wholesalers and retailers include the right of return of expired product. Based on the sales levels and the prescription data during the fourth quarter of 2007, and based on the number of units on hand in the pipeline at December 31, 2007 relative to the overall demand for the products, we have estimated and recorded all applicable product sales allowances related to AMRIX as of December 31, 2007. We have therefore recognized revenues for AMRIX based on a fixed and determinable sales price in 2007.

In September 2006, we launched generic OTFC, utilizing Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. as our sales agent in this effort. We pay our sales agent a commission for these services and record this commission

86

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 1. NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

as selling, general and administrative expense. In October 2006, we launched FENTORA® (fentanyl buccal table) [C-II]. Sales of our generic OTFC product to wholesalers and retailers include both the right of return of expired product and retroactive price reductions under certain conditions, while sales of FENTORA also include the right of return of expired product. Based on the sales levels and the prescription data during the fourth quarter of 2006, and based on the number of units on hand in the pipeline at December 31, 2006 relative to the overall demand for the products, we have estimated and recorded all applicable product sales allowances related to generic OTFC and FENTORA as of December 31, 2006. We have therefore recognized revenues for generic OTFC and FENTORA based on a fixed and determinable sales price in 2006 and 2007.

Sales of our generic OTFC product could be subject to retroactive price reductions for units that remain in the pipeline if the price of generic OTFC is reduced, including as a result of another generic entrant into the market, and as a result any estimated impact of such adjustments is recorded at the time revenue is recognized. This estimate of both the potential timing of a generic entrant and the amount of the price reduction are highly subjective. At December 31, 2007, we are not aware of any expected additional entrants into the generic OTFC market that would result in a price reduction to customers for inventory already purchased from us, and do not believe that any revenue recognized as of December 31, 2007 would be effected by a retroactive shelf stock adjustment.

#### Research and Development

All research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred.

#### **Acquired In-Process Research and Development**

Acquired in-process research and development ("IPR&D") represents the estimated fair value assigned to research and development projects acquired in a purchase business combination that have not been completed at the date of acquisition and which have no future alternative use. Accordingly, these costs are charged to expense as of the acquisition date.

The value assigned to IPR&D is determined by estimating the costs to develop the acquired technology into commercially viable products, estimating the resulting net cash flows from the projects and discounting the net cash flows to their present value. The revenue projections used to value IPR&D were, in some cases, reduced based on the probability of developing a new drug, and considered the relevant market sizes and growth factors, expected trends in technology, and the nature and expected timing of new product introductions by us and our competitors. The resulting net cash flows from such projects are based on management's estimates of cost of sales, operating expenses and income taxes from such projects. The rates utilized to discount the net cash flows to their present value were based on estimated cost of capital calculations.

If these projects are not successfully developed, the sales and profitability of the combined company may be adversely affected in future periods. Additionally, the value of other acquired intangible assets may become impaired. We believed that the foregoing assumptions used in the IPR&D analysis were reasonable at the time of the acquisition. No assurance can be given, however, that the underlying assumptions used to estimate expected project sales, development costs or profitability or the events associated with such projects, will transpire as estimated.

87

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 1. NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

## Other Comprehensive Income

We follow SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income." This statement requires the classification of items of other comprehensive income by their nature and disclosure of the accumulated balance of other comprehensive income, separately within the equity section of the balance sheet. Comprehensive income is comprised of net earnings and other comprehensive income, which includes certain changes in equity that are excluded from net earnings.

At December 31, accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes, consisted of the following:

	2007		2006	
Foreign currency translation gains	s	147.031	\$	104,369
Prior service gains and losses on retirement-related plans Change in unrealized investment gains and losses	Ψ	1,664	Ψ	(12)
Change in ameanzed investment gains and losses	_		_	(12)
Other comprehensive income	\$	148,703	\$	104,357

#### **Stock-Based Compensation**

Prior to the January 1, 2006 adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), "Share Based Payment" ("SFAS 123(R)"), we accounted for stock option plans and restricted stock unit plans in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25"). Accordingly, no compensation expense has been recognized for stock options since all stock options granted had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying stock on the grant date. Restricted stock units have been recorded as compensation cost over the requisite vesting periods based on the market value on the date of grant. As permitted by SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ("SFAS 123"), stock-based compensation was presented as a pro forma disclosure in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. See Note 3 herein.

## **Income Taxes**

We provide for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," which requires that income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. We provide for income taxes at a rate equal to our estimated annual combined federal, state and foreign statutory effective rates. Subsequent adjustments to our estimates of our ability to recover the deferred tax assets or other changes in circumstances or estimates could cause our provision for income taxes to vary from period to period, as it has for the current year ended December 31, 2007.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 1. NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 addresses the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. Under FIN 48, the Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based solely on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. See Note 14 herein.

### Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications of prior year amounts have been made to conform to the current year presentation. The NUVIGIL® (armodafinil) Tablets [C-IV] inventory balance of \$89.1 million as of December 31, 2006 has been reclassified from inventory to other assets, as we do not presently intend to launch NUVIGIL commercially until around 2010. Amounts reported in prior periods as amortization are included now as a component of cost of sales; amounts previously reported as depreciation (other than depreciation related to facilities used in the production of commercial inventory and previously included in cost of sales) are included as a component of research and development or selling, general and administrative, as appropriate.

## **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FIN 48. FIN 48 addresses the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. Under FIN 48, the Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based solely on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. See Note 14 herein.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 clarifies the definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the disclosures on fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 157 to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159").

89

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

### 1. NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

SFAS 159 allows companies to choose, at specific election dates, to measure eligible financial assets and liabilities at fair value that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. If a company elects the fair value option for an eligible item, changes in that item's fair value in subsequent reporting periods must be recognized in current earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 159 to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") reached a final consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-3, "Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities" ("EITF 07-3"). EITF 07-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. EITF 07-3 requires non-refundable advance payments for future research and development activities to be capitalized until the goods have been delivered or related services have been performed. Adoption is on a prospective basis and could impact the timing of expense recognition for agreements entered into after December 31, 2007. We do not expect the adoption of EITF 07-3 to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2007, the EITF reached a final consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-1, "Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements Related to the Development and Commercialization of Intellectual Property" ("EITF 07-1"). EITF 07-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. Adoption is on a retrospective basis to all prior periods presented for all collaborative arrangements existing as of the effective date. We are currently evaluating the impact of EITF 07-1 adoption on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141(R)"). SFAS 141(R) will significantly change the accounting for business combinations in a number of areas including the treatment of contingent consideration, contingencies, acquisition costs, IPR&D and restructuring costs. In addition, under SFAS 141(R), changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties in a business combination after the measurement period will impact income tax expense. SFAS 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Early application is not permitted. The effect of SFAS 141(R) on our consolidated financial statements will be dependent on the nature and terms of any business combinations that occur after its effective date.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements" ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 amends Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling (minority) interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements and establishes a single method of accounting for changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 160 to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements unless a future transaction results in a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary.

90

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

### 2. ACQUISITIONS AND TRANSACTIONS

## AMRIX

In August 2007, we acquired the North American rights to AMRIX® (cyclobenzaprine hydrochloride extended-release capsules) from E. Claiborne Robins Company, Inc., a privately-held company d/b/a ECR Pharmaceuticals ("ECR"). We made an initial payment of \$100.1 million cash to ECR upon the closing of the acquisition, \$0.9 million and \$99.2 million of which was capitalized as inventory and an intangible asset, respectively. ECR also could receive up to an additional \$255 million in milestone payments that are contingent on attainment of certain agreed-upon sales levels of AMRIX. Two dosage strengths of AMRIX (15 mg and 30 mg) were approved in February 2007 by the FDA for short-term use as an adjunct to rest and physical therapy for relief of muscle spasm associated with acute, painful musculoskeletal conditions. We made the product available in the United States in October 2007 and commenced a full U.S. launch in November 2007.

#### Co-Promotion Agreement with Takeda

Under our co-promotion agreement, Takeda sales representatives promote PROVIGIL to primary care physicians and other appropriate health care professionals in the United States. Effective in April 2008, Takeda sales representatives, 500 in total, will detail PROVIGIL in the first position. Together with our CNS field sales team, we will have approximately 900 persons focused on detailing PROVIGIL in the United States. We also have an option to utilize the Takeda sales force for the promotion of NUVIGIL. The parties have formed a joint commercialization committee to manage the promotion of PROVIGIL. We have retained all responsibility for the development, manufacture, distribution and sale of the product.

The co-promotion agreement expires in June 2009. In certain circumstances, the agreement may be terminated by either party in June 2008; if we terminate the agreement at that time, we will be obligated to make specified royalty payments to Takeda during the three years following termination. In addition, if we undergo a change of control prior to June 2009, we have the option to terminate the co-promotion agreement, subject to our obligation to make certain specified payments to Takeda. We pay Takeda a royalty based on certain sales criteria for PROVIGIL and NUVIGIL during the three-year term and, if specified sales levels are reached, during the three calendar years following the expiration of the co-promotion agreement.

## **Zeneus Holdings Limited**

On December 22, 2005, we completed our acquisition of all of the issued share capital of Zeneus. Total consideration paid in connection with the acquisition was \$365.8 million. Total purchase price after transaction costs and other working capital adjustments was \$385.6 million, which included payment for \$19.8 million of cash acquired. Zeneus has three key products that are currently marketed in major European countries: MYOCET® (liposomal doxorubicin), a cardio-protective chemotherapy agent used to treat metastatic breast cancer; ABELCET® (amphotericin B lipid complex), an anti-fungal product used by cancer patients; and TARGRETIN® (bexarotene), a treatment for cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Key customer targets are oncologists, hematologists and dermatologists.

The total purchase price of \$385.6 million consists of \$375.5 million for all the outstanding shares of Zeneus and \$10.1 million paid for transaction costs and the settlement of other seller related liabilities. The acquisition was funded from our existing cash and short-term investments.

91

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 2. ACQUISITIONS AND TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

	At D	ecember 22, 2005
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	19,792
Receivables	•	29,577
Inventory		12,085
Other current assets		6,316
Property, plant and equipment		1,319
Intangible assets		224,100
Acquired in-process research and development		71,200
Goodwill		91,003
Total assets acquired		455,392
	_	
Other liabilities		(27,588)
Deferred tax liability		(42,226)
Total liabilities assumed		(69,814)
		(57,011)
Net assets acquired	\$	385,578
rvet assets acquired	Φ	303,370

Of the \$224.1 million of acquired intangible assets, \$170.3 million was assigned to the MYOCET-related technology with an estimated useful life of 20 years, \$26.1 million was assigned to ABELCET-related technology with an estimated useful life of approximately 20 years, \$8.6 million was assigned to the TARGRETIN technology with an estimated useful life of 9 years and \$19.1 was assigned to non core products with an average estimated useful life of 20 years. All of the \$91.0 million of goodwill was assigned to our Europe segment and none of this goodwill is expected to be deductible for income tax purposes.

We allocated \$71.2 million of the purchase price to an IPR&D project related to MYOCET for use in the U.S. market. MYOCET has not been approved by the FDA in the U.S., thus the estimated value of \$71.2 million relating to the U.S. MYOCET technology is considered IPR&D. At the acquisition date, Zeneus had several early stage projects that were not assigned any value based on Management's view of the likelihood of positive outcomes. The estimated revenue for the in-process project is expected to be recognized from 2008 through 2025. A discount rate of 16 percent was used to value the project. We believe that this discount rate was commensurate with the project stage of development and the uncertainties in the economic estimates described above. See Note 1 herein for a description of our policy as it relates to IPR&D.

At the date of acquisition, the project had not yet reached commercialization and the development in progress had no alternative future uses. Accordingly, these costs in the amount of \$71.2 million were charged to expense in the fourth quarter of 2005. Our remaining costs to complete this project are expected to be minimal.

The purchase price allocation was finalized during the third quarter of 2006. During the second quarter of 2006, we finalized the Zeneus integration plan as it relates to employee severance costs and

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

### 2. ACQUISITIONS AND TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

recorded a reserve for employee termination benefits of \$3.4 million. This reserve is for employee severance costs related to 31 employees throughout the organization including finance, legal, clinical and regulatory, sales and marketing and general administration. The termination of these employees and related severance payments are complete.

During the second quarter of 2006, we finalized our valuation of the Zeneus intellectual property and, as a result of this review, we have reduced the net book value of the MYOCET intellectual property by \$12.2 million. Also during the second quarter of 2006, we reduced the net deferred tax liability by \$19.7 million. This adjustment was to record the deferred tax effect on deferred revenue and intellectual property adjustments described above as well as the finalization of our assessment of the deductibility of previously acquired intangible assets.

#### **Unaudited Pro Forma Information**

The following unaudited pro forma information shows the results of our operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 as though the acquisition of Zeneus had occurred as of the beginning of the period presented:

	nber 31, 2005
Total revenues	\$ 1,305,404
Net loss	\$ (195,601)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share:	,
Basic loss per common share	\$ (3.37)
Diluted loss per common share	\$ (3.37)

Vear Ended

The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the actual results of operations had the acquisition taken place as of the beginning of the periods presented, or the results that may occur in the future. Furthermore, the pro forma results do not give effect to all cost savings or incremental costs that may occur as a result of the integration and consolidation of the acquisition.

## TRISENOX

On July 18, 2005, we completed the acquisition of substantially all assets related to the TRISENOX injection business from CTI for \$69.7 million in cash, funded from our existing cash on hand. The acquisition agreement provides for contingent future cash payments to CTI, totaling up to \$100.0 million, upon the achievement of certain label expansion and sales milestones. TRISENOX is indicated as a single agent for the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory acute promyelocytic leukemia, a life-threatening hematologic cancer. The results of operations of TRISENOX have been included in the consolidated statements of operations since the acquisition date.

The fair value of acquired net assets exceeded the cost by \$42.4 million. Because the acquisition agreement provides for contingent consideration up to \$100 million, the \$42.4 million excess of fair value of acquired net assets over cost has been recognized as a liability at the date of acquisition in accordance with SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." When the contingencies are resolved, any

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 2. ACQUISITIONS AND TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

contingent consideration amounts paid will reduce this liability. Any excess of the contingent payments over this liability will be recognized as an additional cost of the TRISENOX acquisition. Any excess of this liability over the contingent payments will reduce the recognized value of the acquired net assets on a pro rata basis

All of the intangible assets acquired relate to the developed TRISENOX technology with estimated useful lives between eight and 13 years.

#### **VIVITROL License and Collaboration**

Under our license and collaboration agreement with Alkermes, we have agreed to pay Alkermes up to \$220 million in milestone payments that are contingent on attainment of certain agreed-upon sales levels of VIVITROL and in exchange have received a license to several U.S. patents and patent applications directed to VIVITROL that will expire between 2013 and 2024. Pre-tax profit, as adjusted for certain items, and losses incurred currently are split equally between the parties. We work together with Alkermes to develop the commercial strategy for VIVITROL. We have primary responsibility for all marketing and sale efforts and currently have approximately 50 persons focused on the marketing and sale of VIVITROL; Alkermes is augmenting this effort with a team of approximately 15 managers of market development.

We also have a supply agreement with Alkermes under which Alkermes provides us with finished commercial supplies of VIVITROL. We have agreed to purchase two VIVITROL manufacturing lines (and related equipment) from Alkermes and have granted Alkermes an option, exercisable after two years, to purchase these manufacturing lines at the then-current net book value of the assets. As of December 31, 2007, we have incurred \$33.2 million related to the construction of these two manufacturing lines. We expect to incur up to an additional \$37.8 million to complete these two manufacturing lines.

#### Salmedix, Inc.

On June 14, 2005, we completed our acquisition of Salmedix. Under the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated May 12, 2005, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Salmedix for \$160.9 million in cash and future payments totaling up to \$40 million upon achievement of certain regulatory milestones. The acquisition was funded from our existing cash on hand and was accounted for as an asset acquisition, as Salmedix is a development stage company. As a result of the acquisition, we obtained U.S. and Canadian rights to TREANDA® (bendamustine hydrochloride) for which we are seeking approval from the FDA for two new drug applications for the treatment of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia and patients with indolent B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma who have progressed during or following treatment with rituximab or a rituximab-containing regimen.

## 3. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

## **Equity Compensation Plans**

We have established equity compensation plans for our employees, directors and certain other individuals. The Stock Option and Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors approves all grants and the terms of such grants, subject to ratification by the Board of Directors. We may grant

94

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 3. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

non-qualified stock options under the Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan (the "2004 Plan") and the Cephalon, Inc. 2000 Equity Compensation Plan (the "2000 Plan"), and also may grant incentive stock options and restricted stock units under the 2004 Plan. Stock options and restricted stock units generally become exercisable or vest ratably over four years from the grant date, and stock options must be exercised within ten years of the grant date. There are currently 12.5 million and 4.3 million shares authorized for issuance under the 2004 Plan and the 2000 Plan, respectively. At December 31, 2007, the shares available for future grants of stock options or restricted stock units were 807,294, of which up to 75,150 may be issued as restricted stock units.

Prior to the January 1, 2006 adoption of SFAS 123(R), we accounted for stock option plans and restricted stock unit plans in accordance with APB 25. Accordingly, no compensation expense has been recognized for stock options since all stock options granted had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying stock on the grant date. Restricted stock units have been recorded as compensation cost over the requisite vesting periods based on the market value on the date of grant. As permitted by SFAS 123, stock-based compensation was presented as a pro forma disclosure in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123(R), using the modified-prospective transition method. Under this transition method, stock-based compensation is recognized in the consolidated financial statements for stock granted. Compensation expense recognized in the financial statements includes estimated expense for stock options granted after December 31, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R), and the estimated expense for the stock options granted prior to, but not vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123. SFAS 123(R) also requires us to estimate forfeitures in calculating the expense relating to stock-based compensation as opposed to only recognizing forfeitures and the corresponding reduction in expense as they occur. We recorded an adjustment for this cumulative effect for restricted stock units and recognized a reduction in stock-based compensation in the first quarter of 2006 consolidated statements of operations allocated equally between research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses based on the employees' compensation allocation between these line items. The adjustment was not significant to the consolidated statement of operations.

Total stock-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statement of operations for the years ended December 31:

	 2007		2006
Stock option expense Restricted stock unit expense	\$ 29,945 16,750	\$	31,370 11,437
Total stock-based compensation expense*	\$ 46,695	\$	42,807
Total stock-based compensation expense after-tax	\$ 29,558	\$	27,097

For each period presented, total stock-based compensation expense was recognized equally between research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses based on the employees' compensation allocation between these line items.

95

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 3. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

Compensation expense is recognized in the period the employee performs the service in accordance with SFAS 123(R). For the year ended December 31, 2006, the impact of the adoption of SFAS 123(R) on basic and diluted income per common share was \$0.32 and \$0.28, respectively. The impact of capitalizing stock-based compensation was not significant at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

During the second quarter of 2006, we elected to adopt the short-cut method of FASB Staff Position No. SFAS 123(R)-3 "The Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share Based Payment Awards" ("FSP SFAS 123(R)-3") to determine our pool of windfall tax benefits under SFAS 123(R). Under the short-cut method, our historical pool of windfall tax benefits was calculated as cumulative net increases to additional paid-in capital related to tax benefits from stock-based compensation after the election date of SFAS 123 less the product of cumulative SFAS 123 compensation cost, as adjusted, multiplied by the blended statutory tax rate at adoption of SFAS 123(R). Using this calculation, we determined our historical windfall tax pool was zero as of January 1, 2006. Following the guidance within FSP SFAS 123(R)-3, we retrospectively applied the short-cut method to our consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2006. Under the transition provisions of the short-cut method, for awards fully vested at the adoption date of SFAS 123(R) and subsequently settled, the pool of windfall tax benefits is equal to the total tax benefit recognized in additional paid-in capital upon settlement. Prior to the election of the short-cut method, we accounted for the on-going income tax effects for partially or fully vested awards as of the date of SFAS 123(R) adoption using the "as if" method of accounting required by the long-form method under SFAS 123(R). The retrospective application adjustments to our consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2006 had no impact on our financial position or results of operations. For the three months ended March 31, 2006 had no impact on our financial position or results of operations. For the three months ended March 31, 2006 had no impact on our financial position or results of operations. For the three months ended March 31, 2006 had no impact on our financial position or results of operations. For the three months ended Marc

Based on our historical experience of stock option and restricted stock unit pre-vesting forfeitures, we have assumed the following weighted average expected forfeiture rates over the four year life of the stock option and restricted stock unit for all new stock options and restricted stock units granted, excluding stock options and restricted stock units granted to the Chief Executive Officer and directors for which a zero forfeiture rate is assumed, for the years ended December 31:

	2007	2006	2005
Stock option expected forfeiture rate	12.7%	12.2%	%
Restricted stock unit expected forfeiture rate	14.4%	12.2%	%

Under the provisions of SFAS 123(R), we will record additional expense if the actual pre-vesting forfeiture rate is lower than we estimated and will record a recovery of prior expense if the actual forfeitures are higher than our estimate.

Beginning with our December 2007 stock option grant, our expected term of stock options granted was derived from our historical data as we have assumed that our historical stock option exercise

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 3. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

experience is a relevant indicator of future exercise patterns. Prior to the December 2007 stock option grant, our expected term of stock options granted was derived from the average midpoint between vesting and the contractual term, as described in SEC's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, "Share-Based Payment." Expected volatilities are based on a combination of implied volatilities from traded options on our stock and the historical volatility of our stock for the related vesting period. The risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with an equivalent term. We have not paid dividends in the past and do not plan to pay any dividends in the foreseeable future.

The following table illustrates the effect on pro forma net loss and earnings per share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123:

	Year Ended December 31, 2005			
Net loss, as reported	\$	(174,954)		
Add: Stock-based compensation expense included in net loss, net of related tax effects		6,826		
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects		(30,163)		
	_			
Pro forma net loss	\$	(198,291)		
Earnings per share:				
Basic loss per share, as reported	\$	(3.01)		
Basic loss per share, pro forma	\$	(3.42)		
Diluted loss per share, as reported	\$	(3.01)		
Diluted loss per share, pro forma	\$	(3.42)		

The fair value of each stock option grant at the grant date is calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for the years ended December 31:

	2007		2006		2005
Risk free interest rate	3.73%		4.62%		4.41%
Expected term (years)	5.64		6.18		6.50
Expected volatility	32.5%		41.0%		50.0%
Expected dividend yield	%		%		%
·					
Estimated fair value per stock option granted	\$ 28.64	\$	33.20	\$	27.52

On May 17, 2007, the 2004 Plan was amended, following approval by Cephalon stockholders, to increase by 1,000,000 shares the total number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 2004 Plan, from 11,450,000 shares to 12,450,000 shares. This amendment also provides that no

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 3. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

more than 400,000 shares of common stock may be issued pursuant to restricted stock unit awards granted under the 2004 Plan after May 16, 2007.

## **Stock Options**

The following tables summarize the aggregate stock option activity for the years ended December 31:

		2007						
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)	Aggı	regate Intrinsic Value		
Outstanding, January 1,	7,694,298	\$	54.90					
Granted	1,178,000		76.23					
Exercised	(1,853,152)		50.70					
Forfeited	(193,175)		57.87					
Expired	(20,074)		48.90					
Outstanding, December 31,	6,805,897	\$	59.70	6.6	\$	87,496		
Vested stock options at end of period	4,434,571	\$	55.04	5.3	\$	74,902		

		2006					
	Weighted Average Shares Exercise Price			Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)		gregate Intrinsic Value	
Outstanding, January 1,	9,955,904	\$	50.84				
Granted	1,116,800		69.85				
Exercised	(3,058,430)		47.46				
Forfeited	(305,475)		50.54				
Expired	(14,501)		50.62				
Outstanding, December 31,	7,694,298	\$	54.90	6.7	\$	121,836	
Vested stock options at end of period	5,143,900	\$	53.39	5.6	\$	89,430	

	2005		
	Weighted Average Shares Exercise Price		
Outstanding, January 1,	9,664,084	\$	50.34
Granted	1,022,100		50.24
Exercised	(346,730)		32.89
Cancelled	(383,550)		53.05
Outstanding, December 31,	9,955,904	\$	50.84
Vested stock options at end of period	6,733,471	\$	51.49
	98		_

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

## 3. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$47.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding stock options that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we received net proceeds of \$93.9 million, \$143.5 million and \$11.5 million, respectively, from the exercise of stock options.

The intrinsic value of stock options exercised for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$50.2 million, \$79.8 million and \$7.7 million, respectively. The estimated fair value of shares that vested for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$35.6 million, \$40.8 million and \$35.1 million, respectively.

## **Restricted Stock Units**

The following tables summarize the restricted stock units activity for the years ended December 31:

		2007					
		Shares			Weighted Average Fair Value		
Nonvested, January 1,			709,900	\$	59.49		
Granted			324,850		76.11		
Vested			(250,125)		55.92		
Forfeited			(37,575)		61.30		
Nonvested, December 31,			747,050	\$	67.82		
Intrinsic value as of December 31,		\$	53,608				
			200	16			
		Shares			Weighted Average Fair Value		
Nonvested, January 1,			624,575	\$	49.52		
Granted			325,900	Ψ	71.06		
Vested			(180,125)		49.23		
Forfeited			(60,450)		49.48		
Nonvested, December 31,			709,900	\$	59.49		
Intrinsic value as of December 31,		\$	49,984				
			2005				
Nonvested, January 1,			455,650				
Granted			298,500				
Vested			(125,625)				
Forfeited			(3,950)				
Nonvested, December 31,			624,575				
	00						
	99						

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 3. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$34.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock units that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.

#### **Qualified Savings and Investment Plan**

We have a profit sharing plan pursuant to section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. As of January 1, 2007, participants are permitted to contribute any whole percentage of their eligible annual pre-tax compensation up to established federal limits on aggregate participant contributions. For the year ended December 31, 2006, participants were permitted to contribute up to 20 percent of their eligible annual pre-tax compensation up to established federal limits on aggregate participant contributions. Our discretionary matching contribution is made solely in cash on 100 percent of the employee elected salary deferral up to six percent of eligible compensation. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, we contributed \$12.6 million, \$11.8 million and \$8.8 million to the plan, respectively.

# Pro forma Aggregate Conversions or Exercises

At December 31, 2007, the conversion or exercise of all outstanding stock options and restricted stock units would increase the outstanding number of shares of common stock by 7.6 million shares, or 11%. The conversion of our convertible subordinated notes and warrants into shares of Cephalon common stock in accordance with their terms is dependent upon actual stock price at the time of conversion.

#### **Preferred Share Purchase Rights**

In November 1993, our Board of Directors declared a dividend distribution of one right for each outstanding share of common stock. In addition, a right attaches to and trades with each new issue of our common stock. Each right entitles each registered holder, upon the occurrence of certain events, to purchase from us a unit consisting of one one-hundredth of a share of our Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, or a combination of securities and assets of equivalent value, at a purchase price of \$200.00 per unit, subject to adjustment.

100

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 4. CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS

At December 31, cash, cash equivalents and investments consisted of the following:

	2007			2006
Cash and cash equivalents:				
Demand deposits	\$	487,683	\$	158,821
Repurchase agreements		330,986		111,309
Commercial paper				226,382
		818,669		496,512
Short-term investments (at market value):				
U.S. government agency obligations		_		417
Asset-backed securities				11,282
Bonds		1,599		8,751
Commercial paper		5,997		4,762
		7,596		25,212
	\$	826,265	\$	521,724

The contractual maturities of our investments in cash, cash equivalents, and investments at December 31, 2007 are all less than one year.

# 5. RECEIVABLES, NET

At December 31, receivables, net consisted of the following:

	2007	2006		
Trade receivables	\$ 280,091	\$	258,298	
Receivables from collaborations	57		1,562	
Other receivables	8,984		15,764	
	289,132		275,624	
Less reserve for sales discounts and allowances	(12,356)		(5,579)	
	\$ 276,776	\$	270,045	

Trade receivables are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. Our allowance for doubtful accounts is our best estimate of probable credit losses in our existing accounts receivable. We determine the allowance based on a percentage of trade receivables past due, specific customer issues, and a reserve related to our specific historical write-off experience and general industry experience. We review and adjust our allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly. Receivable balances or specific customer issues are written off against the allowance when we feel that it is probable that the receivable amount will not be recovered. Certain European receivable balances with government operated hospitals are over 90 days past due but we believe are collectible and are therefore, not reserved. In the past, our historical write-off experience has not been significant. We do not have any off-balance sheet credit exposure related to our customers.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 6. INVENTORY, NET

At December 31, inventory, net consisted of the following:

			20	07	
	Со	mmercial	Pre-	approval	Total
Raw materials Work-in-process Finished goods	\$	28,395 24,053 46,283	\$	3 <del>67</del>	\$ 28,395 24,420 46,283
Total inventory, net	\$	98,731	\$	367	\$ 99,098
Inventory, net included in other assets	\$	119,978	\$	_	\$ 119,978

		2006			
	Commercial	Pre-approval	Total		
Raw materials Work-in-process Finished goods	\$ 23,761 15,915 45,563	\$ _ _ _	\$	23,761 15,915 45,563	
Total inventory, net	\$ 85,239	\$ _	\$	85,239	
Inventory, net included in other assets	\$ _	\$ 89,061	\$	89,061	

Our domestic inventories and certain of our foreign inventories are valued using the LIFO method. Inventories valued using the LIFO method were \$42.8 million and \$36.0 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The excess of LIFO inventory value over current or replacement cost was \$0.1 million at December 31, 2007 and the excess of current or replacement cost over LIFO inventory value was \$3.6 million at December 31, 2006.

The majority of our foreign inventories are valued using the FIFO method. Inventories valued using the FIFO method were \$56.3 million and \$49.2 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

We have capitalized inventory costs associated with marketed products and certain products prior to regulatory approval and product launch, based on management's judgment of probable future commercial use and net realizable value. At December 31, 2007, we had \$0.4 million of capitalized inventory costs related to TREANDA included in inventory. In June 2007, we secured final FDA approval of NUVIGIL. However, as we do not presently intend to launch NUVIGIL commercially until around 2010, we have included net NUVIGIL inventory balances of \$120.0 million and \$89.1 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, in other assets, rather than inventory.

In August 2006, we announced that we received a letter from the FDA stating that our supplemental new drug application ("sNDA") for SPARLON™ (modafinil) Tablets [C-IV], a proprietary dosage form of modafinil for the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents, was not approvable. In light of the FDA's decision, we currently are not pursuing development of SPARLON. Prior to the FDA's decision that the sNDA for SPARLON was not approvable, we had net capitalized inventory costs related to SPARLON of \$8.6 million. In consideration of the FDA's decision, we have fully reserved all of these capitalized inventory costs related to SPARLON at December 31, 2006.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 7. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

At December 31, property and equipment, net consisted of the following:

	Estimated Useful Lives	2007		2006
Land and improvements	_	\$ 9,094	\$	8,741
Buildings and improvements	3-40 years	277,666		227,408
Laboratory, machinery and other equipment	3-30 years	262,749		211,666
Construction in progress	_	98,157		108,816
		647,666		556,631
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		(147,270)		(103,621)
			_	
		\$ 500,396	\$	453,010

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property and equipment, excluding depreciation related to assets used in the production of inventory, was \$33.3 million, \$34.8 million and \$26.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We had \$50.0 million and \$32.9 million of capitalized computer software costs included in property and equipment, net, at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Depreciation and amortization expense related to capitalized software costs was \$11.0 million, \$11.2 million and \$6.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We had \$28.8 million and \$15.1 million of capitalized software costs included in construction in progress at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

# 8. GOODWILL

Goodwill consisted of the following:

	Un	ited States	Europe	Total
December 31, 2006	\$	267,904	\$ 199,263	\$ 467,167
Release of pre-acquisition tax valuation allowance		(1,511)		(1,511)
Foreign currency translation adjustment			10,859	10,859
December 31, 2007	\$	266,393	\$ 210,122	\$ 476,515

We completed our annual test of impairment of goodwill as of July 1, 2007 and concluded that goodwill was not impaired.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 9. INTANGIBLE ASSETS, NET AND OTHER ASSETS

At December 31, intangible assets, net consisted of the following:

December 31, 2007

December 31, 2006

							_		,			
	Estimated Useful Lives	Gross Carrying Amount		Accumulated Amortization		Net Carrying Amount		Gross Carrying Amount		Accumulated Amortization		let Carrying Amount
Modafinil developed technology	15 years	\$	99,000	\$ 39,600	\$	59,400	\$	99,000	\$	33,000	\$	66,000
DURASOLV technology	14 years		70,000	16,435		53,565		70,000		11,565		58,435
ACTIQ marketing rights	10-12 years		83,454	46,183		37,271		75,465		39,010		36,455
GABITRIL product rights	9-15 years		107,215	54,636		52,579		106,232		46,826		59,406
TRISENOX product rights	8-13 years		113,836	22,749		91,087		113,752		13,634		100,118
VIVITROL product rights	15 years		110,000	12,833		97,167		110,000		5,500		104,500
AMRIX product rights	5 years		99,257	6,204		93,053						_
MYOCET trademark	20 years		194,653	19,465		175,188		192,367		9,618		182,749
Other product rights	5-20 years		269,956	111,438		158,518		259,054		73,680		185,374
<u> </u>	,	\$	1,147,371	\$ 329,543	\$	817,828	\$	1,025,870	\$	232,833	\$	793,037

Intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful economic life using the straight line method. Amortization expense was \$90.5 million, \$81.7 million and \$57.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Estimated amortization expense of intangible assets for each of the next five years is \$104.5 million in 2008, \$104.3 million in 2009, \$94.9 million in 2010, \$87.3 million in 2011 and \$78.1 million in 2012. For further discussion of the status of the re-examination of our DURASOLV patents, see Note 13 herein.

# **Impairment Charges**

In June 2006, we announced that data from our Phase 3 clinical program evaluating GABITRIL® (tiagabine hydrochloride) for the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder ("GAD") did not reach statistical significance on the primary study endpoints. We have no further plans to continue studying GABITRIL for the treatment of GAD. As a result, we performed a test of impairment on the carrying value of our investment in GABITRIL product rights and recorded an impairment charge of \$12.4 million in the second quarter of 2006 related to our European rights.

During the second quarter of 2006, we finalized our valuation of the Zeneus intellectual property and, as a result of this review, we reduced the net book value of the MYOCET intellectual property by \$12.2 million.

As a result of the negotiations with Novartis Pharma AG in the fourth quarter of 2005 and the termination of the PROVIGIL distribution agreement in the United Kingdom in March 2006, we determined that the carrying value of our investment in Novartis CNS product rights was fully impaired and we recorded an impairment charge of \$20.8 million in our fourth quarter of 2005 results of operations.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 10. ACCRUED EXPENSES

At December 31, accrued expenses consisted of the following:

	2007	2006		
Accrued settlement reserve	\$ 425,000	\$		
Accrued compensation and benefits	52,749		49,046	
Accrued contractual sales allowances	51,400		50,559	
Accrued product sales returns allowances	25,335		28,843	
Accrued sales and marketing costs	24,412		32,619	
Accrued income taxes	18,063		8,924	
Accrued license fees and royalties	13,391		15,099	
Accrued product related costs	10,347		19,482	
Accrued clinical trial fees	5,069		5,380	
Accrued research and development	4,625		14,442	
Other accrued expenses	46,793		39,084	
	\$ 677,184	\$	263,478	

For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded a settlement reserve of \$425.0 million related to the terms of the agreement in principle reached with the U.S. Attorney's Office. See Note 13 herein.

# 11. LONG-TERM DEBT

At December 31, long-term debt consisted of the following:

	 2007	2006		
2.0% convertible senior subordinated notes due June 1, 2015	\$ 820,000	\$	820,000	
Zero Coupon convertible subordinated notes first putable June 2008	213,564		213,417	
Zero Coupon convertible subordinated notes first putable June 2010	199,806		199,716	
Mortgage and building improvement loans	2,165		8,291	
Capital lease obligations	2,841		3,787	
Other	2,581		3,093	
Total debt	1,240,957		1,248,304	
Less current portion	(1,237,169)		(1,023,312)	
Total long-term debt	\$ 3,788	\$	224,992	

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 11. LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Aggregate maturities of long-term debt at December 31, 2007 are as follows:

2008 2009 2010 2011	\$ 1,237,169
2009	2,588
2010	1,029
2011	171
2012	_
2013 and thereafter	_
	\$ 1,240,957

In 2006, our Zero Coupon convertible subordinated notes first putable June 2008 ("2008 Zero Coupon Notes") and Zero Coupon convertible subordinated notes first putable June 2010 ("2010 Zero Coupon Notes") (collectively, the "Zero Coupon Notes") became convertible and the related deferred debt issuance costs of \$13.1 million were written off. Our convertible notes will be classified as current liabilities and presented in current portion of long-term debt on our consolidated balance sheet if our stock price is above the restricted conversion prices of \$56.04, \$71.40 or \$67.80 with respect to the 2.0% convertible senior subordinated notes due June 1, 2015 (the "2.0% Notes"), the 2008 Zero Coupon Notes or the 2010 Zero Coupon Notes, respectively at the balance sheet date. At December 31, 2007, our stock price was \$71.76, and, therefore, all of our convertible notes are considered to be current liabilities and are presented in current portion of long-term debt on our consolidated balance sheet. In addition, our 2008 Zero Coupon Notes are considered to be current liabilities based on maturity. At December 31, 2006, our stock price was \$70.41, and, therefore, our 2.0% Notes and 2010 Zero Coupon Notes are considered to be current liabilities and are presented in current portion of long-term debt on our consolidated balance sheet.

In the event that a significant conversion did occur, we believe that we have the ability to fund the payment of principal amounts due through a combination of utilizing our existing cash on hand, raising money in the capital markets or selling our note hedge instruments for cash.

#### Gain (Charge) on Extinguishment of Debt

For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized a \$5.3 million gain on extinguishment of debt related to the Pennsylvania Industrial Development Board ("PIDA") loan forgiveness. See "Mortgage and Building Improvement Loans" below.

In December 2006, certain holders of our Zero Coupon Notes and 2.0% Notes approached us and we agreed to exchange \$436.9 million aggregate principal amount of our convertible notes for cash payments totaling \$175.3 million and the issuance of 6.2 million shares of our common stock. We recorded \$310.1 million in additional paid-in capital related to the shares issued. Concurrent with these exchanges, we amended our convertible note hedge agreements (described below) related to the Zero Coupon Notes and 2.0% Notes and amended the warrant agreements related to the Zero Coupon Notes. The effect of these amendments was to terminate the portion of the convertible note hedge and, with respect to the Zero Coupon Notes, the warrants agreements related to the \$436.9 million principal amount of notes exchanged. In settlement of these amendments, we received 1.8 million shares of our common stock from the counterparties to these agreements. We recorded \$129.5 million in additional

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 11. LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

paid-in capital and treasury stock related to the shares received. The warrants related to the 2.0% Notes exchanged in December 2006 remain outstanding.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, we recognized \$48.1 million of debt exchange expense in accordance with SFAS No. 84, "Induced Conversion of Convertible Debt" ("SFAS 84") as follows:

	and	value of cash I securities ansferred	un	value issuable der original onversion	Total debt exchange expense			
Zero Coupon Notes	\$	445,617	\$	412,646	\$	32,971		
2.0% Notes		167,335		152,184		15,151		
Total for 2006	\$	612,952	\$	564,830	\$	48,122		
					_			

For the year ended December 31, 2005, we recognized a \$2.1 million gain on extinguishment of debt as follows:

	Princ	ipal Amount	Discount	Write-off of namortized debt issuance costs	_	Professional Fees	Loss on ermination of erest rate swap	Total gain on early tinguishment of debt
2.5% convertible subordinated notes repurchased in July 2005	\$	512,000	\$ 12,794	\$ (5.326)	\$	(65)	\$ (5,318)	\$ 2,085

#### 2.0% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes

In June and July 2005, we issued through a public offering \$920 million of 2.0% Notes, of which \$820 million remains outstanding as of December 31, 2007. Interest on the 2.0% Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on June 1 and December 1 of each year, commencing December 1, 2005.

The 2.0% Notes are subordinated to our existing and future senior indebtedness and senior to our existing and future subordinated indebtedness. The 2.0% Notes are convertible prior to maturity, subject to certain conditions described below, into cash and shares of our common stock at an initial conversion price of \$46.70 per share, subject to adjustment (equivalent to a conversion rate of approximately 21.4133 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of 2.0% Notes).

The 2.0% Notes also contain a restricted convertibility feature that does not affect the conversion price of the 2.0% Notes but, instead, places restrictions on a holder's ability to convert their 2.0% Notes into shares of our common stock (the "conversion shares"). A holder may convert the 2.0% Notes prior to December 1, 2014 only if one or more of the following conditions are satisfied:

if, on the trading day prior to the date of surrender, the closing sale price of our common stock is more than 120% of the applicable conversion price per share (the "conversion price premium");

if the average of the trading prices of the 2.0% Notes for any five consecutive trading day period is less than 100% of the average of the conversion values of the 2.0% Notes during that period; or

107

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 11. LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

if we make certain significant distributions to our holders of common stock; we enter into specified corporate transactions; or our common stock ceases to be approved for listing on the NASDAQ Stock Market and is not listed for trading on a U.S. national securities exchange or any similar U.S. system of automated securities price dissemination.

Holders also may surrender their 2.0% Notes for conversion anytime after December 1, 2014 and on or prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the maturity date, regardless if any of the foregoing conditions have been satisfied. Upon the satisfaction of any of the foregoing conditions as of the last day of the reporting period, or during the twelve months prior to December 1, 2014, we would classify the then-aggregate principal balance of the 2.0% Notes as a current liability on our consolidated balance sheet.

Each \$1,000 principal amount of the 2.0% Notes is convertible into cash and shares of our common stock, if any, based on an amount (the "Daily Conversion Value"), calculated for each of the twenty trading days immediately following the conversion date (the "Conversion Period"). The Daily Conversion Value for each trading day during the Conversion Period for each \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of the 2.0% Notes is equal to one-twentieth of the product of the then applicable conversion rate multiplied by the volume weighted average price of our common stock on that day.

For each \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of the 2.0% Notes surrendered for conversion, we will deliver the aggregate of the following for each trading day during the Conversion Period:

- (1) if the Daily Conversion Value for each trading day for each \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of the 2.0% Notes exceeds \$50.00, (a) a cash payment of \$50.00 and (b) the remaining Daily Conversion Value in shares of our common stock; or
- if the Daily Conversion Value for each trading day for each \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of the 2.0% Notes is less than or equal to \$50.00, a cash payment equal to the Daily Conversion Value.

If the 2.0% Notes are converted in connection with certain fundamental changes that occur prior to June 2015, we may be obligated to pay an additional (or "make whole") premium with respect to the 2.0% Notes so converted.

Convertible Note Hedge and Warrant Agreements

Concurrent with the sale of the 2.0% Notes, we purchased convertible note hedges from Deutsche Bank AG ("DB") at a cost of \$382.3 million. We also sold to DB warrants to purchase an aggregate of 19,700,214 shares of our common stock and received net proceeds from the sale of these warrants of \$217.1 million. At issuance, the convertible note hedge and warrant agreements, taken together, have the effect of increasing the effective conversion price of the 2.0% Notes from our perspective to \$67.92 per share if held to maturity. At our option, the warrants may be settled in either net cash or net shares. The convertible note hedge must be settled using net shares. Under the convertible note hedge, DB will deliver to us the aggregate number of shares we are required to deliver to a holder of 2.0% Notes that presents such notes for conversion. If the market price per share of our common stock is above \$67.92 per share, we will be required to deliver either shares of our common stock or cash to DB representing the value of the warrants in excess of the strike price of the warrants. In accordance

108

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 11. LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

with EITF Issue No. 00-19, "Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled In, a Company's Own Stock" ("EITF 00-19") and SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity" ("SFAS 150"), we recorded the convertible note hedges and warrants in additional paid-in capital, and will not recognize subsequent changes in fair value. We also recognized a deferred tax asset of \$133.8 million for the effect of the future tax benefits related to the convertible note hedge.

The warrants have a strike price of \$67.92. The warrants are exercisable only on the respective expiration dates (European style). We issued and sold the warrants to DB in a transaction exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, because the offer and sale did not involve a public offering. There were no underwriting commissions or discounts in connection with the sale of the warrants.

#### 2.5% Convertible Subordinated Notes

In December 2001, we completed a private placement of \$600.0 million of 2.5% convertible subordinated notes due December 2006 (the "2.5% Notes"). Debt issuance costs of \$21.3 million were originally capitalized in other assets; \$7.6 million were written off in 2005 in conjunction with the debt exchange and the cash tender offer described below.

In July 2004, a holder of the 2.5% Notes approached us, and we agreed, to exchange \$78.3 million of these outstanding notes for 1,518,169 shares of our common stock. We recognized debt exchange expense of \$28.2 million in the third quarter of 2004 relating to these early exchanges in accordance with SFAS 84. We also recognized the tax effect of this exchange of \$10.1 million as a reduction of additional paid-in capital and as a tax benefit in our statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2004.

In July 2005, we completed a cash tender offer for our outstanding 2.5% Notes. As a result of the tender, we purchased approximately \$512 million of the 2.5% Notes at a price of \$975 for each \$1,000 of principal amount of 2.5% Notes tendered, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of payment of \$1.94 for each \$1,000 of principal amount of 2.5% Notes tendered. After completion of the tender offer, there remained outstanding approximately \$10 million of the 2.5% Notes, which we retired at maturity in December 2006. In July 2005, we also terminated the interest rate swap agreement associated with \$200 million notional amount of the 2.5% Notes. In the third quarter of 2005, we recognized a net gain of \$2.1 million consisting of a gain on extinguishment of the 2.5% Notes of \$7.4 million and a loss on the termination of the interest rate swap of \$5.3 million.

# **Zero Coupon Convertible Subordinated Notes**

In June 2003, we issued and sold in a private placement \$750.0 million of Zero Coupon Convertible Notes. The interest rate on the notes is zero and the notes do not accrete interest. The notes were issued in two tranches: \$375.0 million of Zero Coupon Convertible Subordinated Notes Due 2033, First Putable June 15, 2008 (the "Old 2008 Notes") and \$375.0 million of Zero Coupon Convertible Subordinated Notes Due 2033, First Putable June 15, 2010 (the "Old 2010 Notes" and, together with the Old 2008 Notes, the "Old Notes").

109

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 11. LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

In November 2004, we commenced an offer to exchange our Zero Coupon Convertible Subordinated Notes Due 2033, First Putable June 15, 2008 (the "New 2008 Notes"), and our Zero Coupon Convertible Subordinated Notes Due 2033, First Putable June 15, 2010 (the "New 2010 Notes" and, together with the New 2008 Notes, the "New Notes"), for any and all of our outstanding Old 2008 Notes and Old 2010 Notes. Upon expiration of the exchange offer, we issued \$374.7 million principal amount at maturity of New 2008 Notes in exchange for a like principal amount at maturity of our outstanding Old 2008 Notes and \$374.9 million principal amount at maturity of New 2010 Notes in exchange for a like principal amount at maturity of our outstanding Old 2010 Notes. Following our exchange of convertible debt for cash and stock in December 2006, there remains outstanding as of December 31, 2007, \$213.1 million and \$199.5 million aggregate principal amount of the New 2008 Notes and New 2010 Notes, respectively. There also remains outstanding \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million of the Old 2008 Notes and Old 2010 Notes, respectively, as of December 31, 2007.

The New Notes were issued solely to our existing security holders pursuant to our offer to exchange, which was made in reliance upon the exemption from the registration requirement of the Securities Act afforded by Section 3(a)(9) thereof. We did not pay or give, directly or indirectly, any commission or other remuneration for solicitation of the exchange of the Old Notes for the New Notes.

The New Notes contain the following terms:

the New 2008 Notes are first putable on June 15, 2008 at a price of 100.25% of the face amount of the New 2008 Notes. The holders of the New 2008 Notes may also require us to repurchase all or a portion of the New 2008 Notes for cash on June 15, 2013, June 15, 2018, June 15, 2023 and June 15, 2028, in each case at a price equal to the face amount of the New 2008 Notes. The New 2008 Notes are convertible prior to maturity, subject to certain conditions described below, into cash and shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$59.50 per share (an equivalent conversion rate of approximately 16.8067 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes). We may redeem any outstanding New 2008 Notes for cash on June 15, 2008 at a price equal to 100.25% of the principal amount of such notes redeemed and after June 15, 2008 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of such notes redeemed; and

the New 2010 Notes are first putable for cash on June 15, 2010 at a price of 100.25% of the face amount of the New 2010 Notes. The holders of the New 2010 Notes may also require us to repurchase all or a portion of the New 2010 Notes for cash on June 15, 2015, June 15, 2020, June 15, 2025 and June 15, 2030, in each case at a price equal to the face amount of the New 2010 Notes. The New 2010 Notes are convertible prior to maturity, subject to certain conditions described below, into cash and shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$56.50 per share (an equivalent conversion rate of approximately 17.6991 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes). We may redeem any outstanding New 2010 Notes for cash on June 15, 2010 at a price equal to 100.25% of the principal amount of such notes redeemed and after June 15, 2010 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of such notes redeemed.

110

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 11. LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

The New Notes also contain restricted convertibility terms that do not affect the conversion price of the notes, but instead place restrictions on a holder's ability to convert their notes into a combination of cash and shares of our common stock, as described below. A holder may convert the New Notes only if one or more of the following conditions are satisfied:

- if, on the trading day prior to the date of surrender, the closing sale price of our common stock is more than 120% of the applicable conversion price per share;
- if we have called the New Notes for redemption;
- if the average of the trading prices of the applicable New Notes for a specified period is less than 100% of the average of the conversion values of the New Notes during that period; provided, however, that no New Notes may be converted based on the satisfaction of this condition during the six-month period immediately preceding each specified date on which the holders may require us to repurchase their notes (for example, with respect to the June 15, 2008 put date for the New 2008 Notes, the New 2008 Notes may not be converted from December 15, 2007 to June 15, 2008); or
- if we make certain significant distributions to holders of our common stock, if we enter into specified corporate transactions or if our common stock is neither listed for trading on a U.S. national securities exchange or any similar U.S. system of automated securities price dissemination (a "Fundamental Change").

Upon the satisfaction of any one of these conditions, we would classify the then-aggregate outstanding principal balance of New Notes as a current liability on our consolidated balance sheet.

Each \$1,000 principal amount of New Notes is convertible into cash and shares of our common stock, if any, based on an amount (the "Daily Conversion Value"), calculated for each of the ten trading days immediately following the conversion date (the "Conversion Period"). The Daily Conversion Value for each trading day during the Conversion Period for each \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of New Notes is equal to one-tenth of the product of the then applicable conversion rate multiplied by the volume weighted average price of our common stock on that day.

For each \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of New Notes surrendered for conversion, we will deliver the aggregate of the following for each trading day during the Conversion Period:

- if the Daily Conversion Value for each trading day for each \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of New Notes exceeds \$100.00, (a) a cash payment of \$100.00 and (b) the remaining Daily Conversion Value in shares of our common stock; or
- if the Daily Conversion Value for each trading day for each \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of New Notes is less than or equal to \$100.00, a cash payment equal to the Daily Conversion Value.

If the New Notes are converted in connection with a Fundamental Change that occurs prior to June 15, 2008, we may also be obligated to pay an additional premium with respect to the New Notes so converted.

111

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 11. LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Convertible Note Hedge

Concurrent with the private placement of the Old Notes, we purchased a convertible note hedge from Credit Suisse First Boston International ("CSFBI") at a cost of \$258.6 million. We also sold to CSFBI warrants to purchase an aggregate of 12,939,689 shares of our common stock and received net proceeds from the sale of \$178.3 million. Following the December 2006 amendment of the warrant agreements described above, there remain outstanding warrants to purchase 7,120,396 shares of our common stock. In connection with our exchange of Old Notes for New Notes, we amended the convertible note hedge to reflect the mandatory net share settlement feature of the New Notes. Taken together, the convertible note hedge and warrants have the effect of increasing the effective conversion price of the New Notes from our perspective to \$72.08 if held to maturity, a 50% premium to the last reported NASDAQ composite bid for our common stock on the day preceding the date of the original agreements. At our option, the warrants may be settled in either net cash or net shares; the convertible note hedge must be settled using net shares. Under the convertible note hedge, CSFBI will deliver to us the aggregate number of shares we are required to deliver to a holder of New Notes that presents such New Notes for conversion, provided, however, that if the market price per share of our common stock is above \$72.08, we will be required to deliver either shares of our common stock or cash to CSFBI representing the value of the warrants in excess of the strike price of the warrants. In accordance with EITF No. 00-19 and SFAS 150, we recorded the convertible note hedge and warrants in additional paid-in capital as of June 30, 2003, and do not recognize subsequent changes in fair value. We also recognized a deferred tax asset of \$90.5 million in the second quarter of 2003 for the effect of the future tax benefits related to the convertible note hedge.

The warrants have a strike price of \$72.08. Of the total warrants outstanding as of December 31, 2007, 3,586,995 warrants expire on June 15, 2008, with the remaining 3,533,401 warrants expiring on June 15, 2010. The warrants are exercisable only on the respective expiration dates (European style) or upon the conversion of the notes, if earlier.

# Mortgage and Building Improvement Loans

In March 1995, we purchased the buildings housing our administrative offices and research facilities in West Chester, Pennsylvania for \$11.0 million. We financed the purchase through the assumption of an existing \$6.9 million first mortgage and from \$11.6 million in state funding provided by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The first mortgage has a 15-year term with an annual interest rate of 9.625%. The state funding has a 15-year term with an annual interest rate of 2%. The loans require annual aggregate principal and interest payments of \$1.8 million. The loans are secured by the buildings and by all our equipment located in Pennsylvania that is otherwise unsecured.

In November 2002, in connection with our planned relocation to a new corporate headquarters, the PIDA Board authorized the forgiveness of the outstanding principal balance of \$5.3 million due on a loan granted by PIDA in 1995, contingent upon the commencement of construction of a new headquarters facility in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania no later than June 30, 2004 and our creation of a specified number of new jobs in the Commonwealth. At its meeting held June 8, 2004, the PIDA Board approved the extension of the construction deadline until December 31, 2005, subject to the requirement that, effective July 1, 2004, we must commence payment of interest only on the original loan. In January 2006, the PIDA Board voted to extend the deadline to December 31, 2007 for

112

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 11. LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

the job creation obligations, and eliminated the requirement to commence construction of a new headquarters facility by December 31, 2005. At a meeting held in September 2007, the PIDA Board determined to forgive the outstanding principal balance of the loan. As such, we recognized a \$5.3 million gain on extinguishment of debt in the third quarter of 2007.

# 12. EARNINGS PER SHARE ("EPS")

Basic income per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and, if there is net income during the period, the dilutive impact of common stock equivalents outstanding during the period. Common stock equivalents are measured under the treasury stock method or "if converted" method, as follows:

Treasury Stock Method:
Employee stock options
Restricted stock units
Zero Coupon Convertible Notes issued in December 2004 (the "New Zero Coupon Notes")
2.0% Notes
Warrants

"If-Converted" Method:

2.5% Notes (outstanding through December 2006)

Zero Coupon Convertible Notes issued in June 2003 (the "Old Zero Coupon Notes")

The 2.0% Notes and New Zero Coupon Notes each are considered to be Instrument C securities as defined by EITF 90-19, "Convertible Bonds with Issuer Option to Settle for Cash upon Conversion"; therefore, these notes are included in the dilutive earnings per share calculation using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, we must calculate the number of shares issuable under the terms of these notes based on the average market price of the stock during the period (assuming the average market price is above the applicable conversion prices of the 2.0% and New Zero Coupon Notes), and include that number in the total diluted shares figure for the period.

We have entered into convertible note hedge and warrant agreements that, in combination, have the economic effect of reducing the dilutive impact of the 2.0% Notes and the New Zero Coupon Notes. SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share" ("SFAS 128"), however, requires us to analyze separately the impact of the convertible note hedge and warrant agreements on diluted EPS. As a result, the purchases of the convertible note hedges are excluded because their impact will always be anti-dilutive. SFAS 128 further requires that the impact of the sale of the warrants be computed using the treasury stock method. For example, using the treasury stock method, if the average price of our stock during the period ended December 31, 2007 had been \$75.00, \$85.00 or \$95.00, the shares from the warrants to be included in diluted EPS would have been 2.1 million, 5.0 million and 7.3 million shares, respectively. The total number of shares that could potentially be included under the warrants is 26.8 million.

113

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 12. EARNINGS PER SHARE ("EPS") (Continued)

The number of shares included in the diluted EPS calculation for the convertible subordinated notes and warrants for the years ended December 31:

:	2007*		2006		2005*
\$	74.90	\$	66.05	\$	45.99
	_		5,755		_
			1,553		_
			<u>—</u> †		
	_		<u> </u> †		_
	_		7,308		_
	_		124		_
	_		7,432		_
		\$ 74.90 \$ 74.90 ————————————————————————————————————		\$ 74.90 \$ 66.05  - 5,755 - 1,553† - 7,308 - 724	\$ 74.90 \$ 66.05 \$  - 5,755 - 1,553 † †  - 7,308 - 124

Since there was a net loss for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2005, there is no impact from these notes or warrants on the number of diluted shares included in the diluted EPS calculation.

No shares are included because the average market price per share of our common stock did not exceed the warrant strike prices of the 2.0% and New Zero Coupon Notes.

As of the filing date of this report, if our stock price continues to exceed the 2.0% Notes and New Zero Coupon Notes effective conversion prices and we have net income at March 31, 2008, the impact of the convertible notes and warrants will be included in the fully diluted EPS calculation.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 12. EARNINGS PER SHARE ("EPS") (Continued)

The following is a reconciliation of net income (loss) and weighted average common shares outstanding for purposes of calculating basic and diluted income (loss) per common share for the years ended December 31:

(In thousands, except per share data)		2007		2006		2005	
Basic income (loss) per common share computation:							
Numerator:							
Net income (loss) used for basic income (loss) per common share	\$	(191,704)	\$	144,816	\$	(174,954)	
			_		_		
Denominator:							
Weighted average shares used for basic income (loss) per common							
share		66,597		60,507		58,051	
Basic income (loss) per common share	\$	(2.88)	\$	2.39	\$	(3.01)	
Diluted income (loss) per common share computation:							
Numerator:							
Net income (loss) used for basic income (loss) per common share	\$	(191,704)	\$	144,816	\$	(174,954)	
Interest on convertible notes, net of tax		· · · —		154		` —	
			_		_		
Net income (loss) used for diluted income (loss) per common share	\$	(191,704)	\$	144,970	\$	(174,954)	
1 ve meeme (1000) ubeu 101 unuteu meeme (1000) per common biure	Ψ	(1)1,701)	Ψ	111,570	Ψ	(17.1,50.1)	
Denominator:							
Weighted average shares used for basic income (loss) per common							
share		66,597		60,507		58,051	
Effect of dilutive securities:		00,577		00,507		30,031	
Convertible subordinated notes and warrants		_		7,432		_	
Employee stock options and restricted stock units		_		1,733		_	
I	_			,			
Weighted average shares used for diluted income (loss) per common		(( 505		(0.652		50.051	
share		66,597		69,672		58,051	
Diluted income (loss) per common share	•	(2.88)	•	2.08	s	(3.01)	
Direct income (1955) per common suare		(2.00)	Ψ	2.00	Ψ	(3.01)	

The following reconciliation shows the shares excluded from the calculation of diluted income (loss) per common share as the inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive for the years ended December 31:

(In thousands)	2007	2006	2005
Weighted average shares excluded:			
Convertible subordinated notes and warrants	35,042	26,821	3,454
Employee stock options and restricted stock units	2,888	2,693	8,827
	37,930	29,514	12,281
115			

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### Leases

We lease certain of our offices and automobiles under operating leases in the United States and Europe that expire at various times through 2022. Lease expense under all operating leases totaled \$22.7 million, \$20.0 million and \$16.0 million in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

Estimated lease expense for each of the next five years as of December 31, 2007 is as follows:

2008	\$ 22,398
2009	19,164
2010	14,202
2011	10,518
2012	9,286
2013 and thereafter	40,927
	\$ 116,495

# Cephalon Clinical Partners, L.P.

In August 1992, we exclusively licensed our rights to MYOTROPHIN® (mecasermin) Injection for human therapeutic use within the United States, Canada and Europe to Cephalon Clinical Partners, L.P. ("CCP"). Development and clinical testing of MYOTROPHIN is performed on behalf of CCP under a research and development agreement with CCP.

CCP has granted us an exclusive license to manufacture and market MYOTROPHIN for human therapeutic use within the United States, Canada and Europe in return for royalty payments equal to a percentage of product sales and a milestone payment of approximately \$12.4 million that will be made if MYOTROPHIN receives regulatory approval.

We have a contractual option, but not an obligation, to purchase all of the limited partnership interests of CCP, which is exercisable upon the occurrence of certain events following the first commercial sale of MYOTROPHIN. If, and only if, we decide to exercise this purchase option, we would make an advance payment of approximately \$30.9 million in cash or, at our election, approximately \$32.5 million in shares of common stock or a combination thereof. Should we discontinue development of MYOTROPHIN, or if we do not exercise this purchase option, our license will terminate and all rights to manufacture or market MYOTROPHIN in the United States, Canada and Europe will revert to CCP, which may then commercialize MYOTROPHIN itself or license or assign its rights to a third party. In that event, we would not receive any benefits from such commercialization, license or assignment of rights.

# **Legal Proceedings**

PROVIGIL Patent Litigation and Settlements

In March 2003, we filed a patent infringement lawsuit against four companies—Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ranbaxy Laboratories Limited and Barr Laboratories, Inc.—based upon the abbreviated new drug applications ("ANDA") filed by each of these

116

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

firms with the FDA seeking approval to market a generic form of modafinil. The lawsuit claimed infringement of our U.S. Patent No. RE37,516 (the "'516 Patent") which covers the pharmaceutical compositions and methods of treatment with the form of modafinil contained in PROVIGIL and which expires on April 6, 2015. We believe that these four companies were the first to file ANDAs with Paragraph IV certifications and thus are eligible for the 180-day period of marketing exclusivity provided by the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. In early 2005, we also filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Carlsbad Technology, Inc. based upon the Paragraph IV ANDA related to modafinil that Carlsbad filed with the FDA.

In late 2005 and early 2006, we entered into settlement agreements with each of Teva, Mylan, Ranbaxy and Barr; in August 2006, we entered into a settlement agreement with Carlsbad and its development partner, Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which we understand has the right to commercialize the Carlsbad product if approved by the FDA. As part of these separate settlements, we agreed to grant to each of these parties a non-exclusive royalty-bearing license to market and sell a generic version of PROVIGIL in the United States, effective in April 2012, subject to applicable regulatory considerations. Under the agreements, the licenses could become effective prior to April 2012 only if a generic version of PROVIGIL is sold in the United States prior to this date.

We also received rights to certain modafinil-related intellectual property developed by each party and in exchange for these rights, we agreed to make payments to Barr, Ranbaxy and Teva collectively totaling up to \$136.0 million, consisting of upfront payments, milestones and royalties on net sales of our modafinil products. In order to maintain an adequate supply of the active drug substance modafinil, we entered into agreements with three modafinil suppliers whereby we will purchase an annual minimum amount of modafinil over a six year period that began in 2006, with the aggregate payments over this period totaling approximately \$82.6 million.

We filed each of the settlements with both the U.S. Federal Trade Commission (the "FTC") and the Antitrust Division of the DOJ as required by the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the "Medicare Modernization Act"). The FTC conducted an investigation of each of the PROVIGIL settlements and, in February 2008, filed suit against us in U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia challenging the validity of the settlements and related agreements entered into by us with each of Teva, Mylan, Ranbaxy and Barr. The complaint alleges a violation of Section 5(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act and seeks to permanently enjoin us from maintaining or enforcing these agreements. We believe the FTC complaint is without merit. While we intend to vigorously defend ourselves and the propriety of the settlement agreements, these efforts will be both expensive and time consuming and, ultimately, due to the nature of litigation, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be successful.

We also are aware of numerous private antitrust complaints filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, each naming Cephalon, Barr, Mylan, Teva and Ranbaxy as co-defendants and claiming, among other things, that the PROVIGIL settlements violate the antitrust laws of the United States and, in some cases, certain state laws. All but one of these actions have been consolidated into a complaint on behalf of a class of direct purchasers of PROVIGIL and a separate complaint on behalf of a class of consumers and other indirect purchasers of PROVIGIL. A separate complaint filed by an indirect purchaser of PROVIGIL was filed in September 2007. The plaintiffs in

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

all of these actions are seeking monetary damages and/or equitable relief. We moved to dismiss the class action complaints in November 2006.

Separately, in June 2006, Apotex, Inc., a subsequent ANDA filer seeking FDA approval of a generic form of modafinil, filed suit against us, also in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, alleging similar violations of antitrust laws and state law. Apotex asserts that the PROVIGIL settlement agreements improperly prevent it from obtaining FDA approval of its ANDA, and seeks monetary and equitable remedies. Apotex also seeks a declaratory judgment that the '516 Patent is invalid, unenforceable and/or not infringed by its proposed generic. In late 2006, we filed a motion to dismiss the Apotex case, which is pending. Separately, we are seeking a judicial order in Canada to prevent regulatory approval of Apotex's generic modafinil tablets in Canada. We expect a decision by the Federal Court of Canada in this matter in the third quarter of 2008. We believe that the private antitrust complaints described in the preceding paragraph and the Apotex antitrust complaint are without merit. While we intend to vigorously defend ourselves and the propriety of the settlement agreements, these efforts will be both expensive and time consuming and, ultimately, due to the nature of litigation, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be successful.

In November 2005 and March 2006, we received notice that Caraco Pharmaceutical Laboratories, Ltd. and Apotex, Inc., respectively, also filed Paragraph IV ANDAs with the FDA in which each firm is seeking to market a generic form of PROVIGIL. We have not filed a patent infringement lawsuit against either Caraco or Apotex as of the filing date of this report, although Apotex has filed suit against us, as described above.

ACTIO Patent Litigation and Settlement

In early 2006, we settled with Barr our pending patent infringement dispute in the United States related to Barr's ANDA filed with the FDA seeking to sell a generic OTFC. Under the settlement, we granted to Barr an exclusive royalty bearing right to market and sell generic OTFC in the United States. The settlement with Barr related to ACTIQ has been filed with both the FTC and the DOJ as required by the Medicare Modernization Act. The FTC has requested from us, and we have provided, certain information in connection with its review of this settlement. The FTC, the DOJ, or a private party could challenge in an administrative or judicial proceeding the settlement with Barr if they believe that the agreement violates the antitrust laws.

U.S. Attorney's Office and Connecticut Attorney General Investigations and Related Matters

In early November 2007, we announced that we had reached an agreement in principle with the U.S. Attorney's Office ("USAO") in Philadelphia and the DOJ with respect to the USAO investigation that began in September 2004. The investigation was focused on our sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, GABITRIL and PROVIGIL. Under this agreement, we expect to pay \$425.0 million as part of a comprehensive settlement of all Federal and related state Medicaid claims. In addition, we will agree to a single federal misdemeanor violation of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and will enter into a corporate integrity agreement with the Office of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The terms described above are subject to negotiation and the execution of the final settlement and corporate integrity agreements. There can be no assurance that the settlement will be finalized on the terms outlined above.

118

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

In September 2004, we announced that we had received a voluntary request for information from the Office of the Connecticut Attorney General that also appears to be focused on our sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, GABITRIL and PROVIGIL. We are cooperating with this Office, are providing documents and other information in response to these and additional requests and are engaged in ongoing discussions with them. In late October 2007, we also received a civil demand for information from the Office of the Massachusetts Attorney General that is focused on sales and promotional practices with respect to ACTIQ, FENTORA and certain of our other products. We intend to cooperate with this request as well. Both of these matters may involve civil penalties and/or fines. The payment of any settlement or judgment amount and/or fines could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity and results of operations. Furthermore, it is reasonably likely that we will face future additional requests for information from other state attorneys general focused on historical sales and promotional practices for our U.S. products. If civil penalties and/or fines were to result from such investigations, it could materially and adversely effect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

In late 2007, we were served with a series of putative class action complaints filed on behalf of entities that claim to have purchased ACTIQ for uses outside of the product's approved label in non-cancer patients. The complaints allege violations of various state consumer protection laws, as well as the violation of the common law of unjust enrichment, and seek an unspecified amount of money in actual, punitive and/or treble damages, with interest, and/or disgorgement of profits. We believe the allegations in the complaints are without merit, and we intend to vigorously defend ourselves in these matters and in any similar actions that may be filed in the future.

In March 2007, we received a letter requesting information related to ACTIQ and FENTORA from Congressman Henry A. Waxman in his capacity as Chairman of the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. The letter cites two articles concerning ACTIQ published in The Wall Street Journal in November 2006 and requests information concerning our sales and marketing practices for ACTIQ and FENTORA, among other things. We are cooperating with this request and are continuing to provide documents and other information to the Committee.

Derivative Suit

In January 2008, a purported stockholder of the company filed a derivative suit on behalf of Cephalon in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware naming each member of our Board of Directors as defendants. The suit alleges, among other things, that the defendants failed to exercise reasonable and prudent supervision over the management practices and controls of Cephalon, including with respect to the marketing and sale of ACTIQ, and in failing to do so, violated their fiduciary duties to the stockholders. The complaint seeks an unspecified amount of money damages, disgorgement of all compensation and other equitable relief. We believe the plaintiff's allegations in this matter are without merit and we intend to vigorously defend ourselves in this matter.

**DURASOLV** 

In the third quarter of 2007, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") notified us that, on re-examination, it has rejected the claims in the two U.S. patents for our DURASOLV ODT technology. We filed notices of appeal of the PTO's decisions in the fourth quarter of 2007. While we

119

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

intend to vigorously defend these patents, these efforts, ultimately, may not be successful. The invalidity of the DURASOLV patents could have a material adverse impact on revenues from our drug delivery business.

Other Matters

We are a party to certain other litigation in the ordinary course of our business, including, among others, European patent oppositions, patent infringement litigation and matters alleging employment discrimination, product liability and breach of commercial contract. We do not believe these matters, even if adversely adjudicated or settled, would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### **Other Commitments**

We have committed to make potential future "milestone" payments to third parties as part of our in-licensing and development programs primarily in the area of research and development agreements. Payments generally become due and payable only upon the achievement of certain developmental, regulatory and/or commercial milestones. Because the achievement of these milestones is neither probable nor reasonably estimable, we have not recorded a liability on our balance sheet for any such contingencies. As of December 31, 2007, the potential milestone and other contingency payments due under current contractual agreements are \$965.2 million.

We have committed to make future minimum payments to third parties for certain raw material inventories. The minimum purchase commitments total \$83.0 million as of December 31, 2007, the majority of which relate to modafinil and armodafinil.

# 14. INCOME TAXES

In July 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48 which addresses the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. Under FIN 48, a company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based solely on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures. We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. As a result of the adoption of FIN 48, we recognized a \$33.9 million increase in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits. This increase in liability resulted in a decrease to the January 1, 2007 retained earnings balance in the amount of \$7.2 million, a net reduction in deferred tax liabilities of \$18.5 million and a net increase in deferred tax assets of \$8.2 million. The amount of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2007 is \$79.6 million of which \$27.8 million would impact our effective tax rate, if recognized. Interest expense related to income taxes is included in interest expense. Income tax penalties are included in tax expense. Interest expense related to unrecognized tax benefits for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$2.5 million and accrued interest expense as of December 31, 2007 was \$3.9 million. Accrued tax penalties are not significant.

120

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 14. INCOME TAXES (Continued)

Unrecognized tax benefits for the year ended December 31:

20	07
\$	50,551
	15,890
	15,348
	(2,196)
	_
	_
\$	79,593

The company does not believe that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits will increase or decrease significantly over the next twelve months.

The Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") currently is examining Cephalon, Inc.'s 2003, 2004 and 2005 federal income tax returns and we have recently extended the statute of limitations related to these years to June 30, 2009. We also remain open for examination by the IRS for 2006 and 2007. Cephalon France and Zeneus Pharma S.a.r.l. are under examination by the French Tax Authorities for 2004 and 2005, and 2003 and 2004, respectively. Cephalon Pharma Gmbh, in Germany, is under examination for 2000 to 2004. Our filings in the United Kingdom remain open to examination for 2006 and 2007. In other significant foreign jurisdictions, the tax years that remain open for potential examination range from 2000 to 2007. We do not believe at this time that the results of these examinations will have a material impact on the financial statements.

In the regular course of business, various state and local tax authorities also conduct examinations of our state and local income tax returns. Depending on the state, state income tax returns are generally subject to examination for a period of three to five years after filing. The state impact of any federal changes from the 2003 to 2005 examinations remains subject to examination by various states for a period of up to one year after formal notification to the states. We currently have several state income tax returns in the process of examination.

The components of income (loss) before income taxes for the years ended December 31:

		2007	2006	2005
United States	\$	(33,098)	\$ 307,498	\$ (163,175)
Foreign		(35,321)	(69,244)	(81,943)
Total	\$	(68,419)	\$ 238,254	\$ (245,118)
	121			

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 14. INCOME TAXES (Continued)

The components of the provision (benefit) for income taxes for the years ended December 31:

2007		2006		2005
\$ 101,090	\$	61,182	\$	5,435
19,497		4,679		3,685
3,656		(487)		(1,943)
124,243		65,374		7,177
34,168		34,512		(23,287)
(95,299)		(13,052)		(9,096)
1,684		(8,092)		994
 (59,447)		13,368		(31,389)
58,489		14,696		(45,952)
(958)		28,064		(77,341)
\$ 123,285	\$	93,438	\$	(70,164)
_	\$ 101,090 19,497 3,656 124,243 34,168 (95,299) 1,684 (59,447) 58,489 (958)	\$ 101,090 \$ 19,497 3,656	\$ 101,090 \$ 61,182 19,497 4,679 3,656 (487) 124,243 65,374 34,168 34,512 (95,299) (13,052) 1,684 (8,092) (59,447) 13,368 58,489 14,696 (958) 28,064	\$ 101,090 \$ 61,182 \$ 19,497 4,679 3,656 (487)  124,243 65,374  34,168 34,512 (95,299) (13,052) 1,684 (8,092)  (59,447) 13,368 58,489 14,696  (958) 28,064

A reconciliation of the United States Federal statutory rate to our effective tax rate for the years ended December 31:

	2007	2006	2005
U.S. Federal statutory rate—expense (benefit)	(35.0)%	35.0%	(35.0)%
In-process research and development	_		18.6
Manufacturers' deduction	(9.3)	(0.8)	_
Meals and entertainment	4.2	1.0	0.6
Executive compensation	5.7	1.4	0.7
Other permanent book/tax differences	3.5	0.5	(0.3)
Revision of prior years' estimates	(15.9)	0.2	0.7
State income taxes, net of U.S. federal tax benefit	8.9	(2.2)	(0.7)
Tax rate differential & permanent items on foreign income	(93.2)	1.5	9.5
Change in valuation allowance	95.8	6.1	(18.8)
Research and development credit	(12.3)	(1.2)	(4.5)
Settlement reserve	217.6	_	<del></del>
Change in reserve for contingent liability	10.3	(2.6)	0.8
Other	(0.1)	0.3	(0.2)
Consolidated effective tax rate	180.2%	39.2%	(28.6)%

For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded reserves totaling \$425.0 million related to the resolution of the U.S. Attorney's investigation discussed in Note 13. At this time, we have not recognized a tax benefit for the settlement reserve due to the uncertainty associated with the tax treatment of the settlement.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 14. INCOME TAXES (Continued)

Deferred income taxes reflect the tax effects of temporary differences between the bases of assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and tax purposes, and net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Significant components of net deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31:

		2007		2006
Deferred tax assets:				
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$	158,384	\$	114,557
Original issue discount		109,970		128,006
Capitalized research and development expenditures		11,081		17,042
Unrealized profit in inventory		55,844		25,560
Research and development tax credits		6,208		13,641
Acquired product rights and intangible assets		90,998		66,409
Reserves and accrued expenses		30,005		29,393
Alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards		13		4,492
Deferred revenue		1,259		1,186
Deferred compensation		8,736		4,219
SFAS 123(R) stock-based compensation expense		15,883		11,348
Deferred charges on convertible debentures		9,431		11,959
Accounts receivable discounts and allowance		28,174		29,160
Other, net		3,867		3,049
Total deferred tax assets		529,853		460,021
Valuation allowance		(132,949)		(78,043)
THIRM OF MICHAEL		(132,717)		(70,015)
Net deferred tax assets	\$	396,904	\$	381,978
Not deferred the dissets	Ψ	370,704	Ψ	301,570
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Acquired intangible assets from Group Lafon acquisition	\$	30,319	\$	33,878
Acquired intangible assets from CIMA LABS acquisition		28,250		32,157
Acquired intangible assets from CTI acquisition		14,586		16,471
Acquired intangible assets from Zeneus acquisition		49,034		54,936
Deferred revenue		1,816		1,772
Fixed assets		10,704		4,458
Other comprehensive income		206		6,079
Other		158		2,008
			_	
Total deferred tax liabilities	\$	135,073	\$	151,759
Total deleted the interior	Ψ	155,075	Ψ	131,737
Net deferred tax assets	\$	261,831	\$	230,219
		,,,,,,	_	,

In accordance with SFAS 109, the above overall net deferred tax assets for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 are presented in the consolidated balance sheet as: current deferred tax assets, net; non-current deferred tax assets, net; and long-term deferred tax liabilities, net.

At December 31, 2007, we had gross operating loss carryforwards for U.S. federal income tax purposes of \$14.5 million and apportioned state gross operating losses of \$537.6 million that expire in

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 14. INCOME TAXES (Continued)

varying years starting in 2008. We also have foreign gross operating losses of \$443.9 million, of which \$87.7 million will begin to expire in 2008 and \$356.2 million may be carried forward with indefinite expiration dates. Federal and state research tax credits of \$6.2 million are available to offset future tax liabilities and expire starting in 2008. The amount of U.S. federal net operating loss carryforwards that can be utilized in any one period will be limited by federal income tax regulations since a change in ownership as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code occurred in the prior years. We do not believe that such limitation will have a material adverse impact on the utilization of the net operating loss carryforwards, but we do believe it will affect utilization of tax credit carryforwards.

We believe that all of our domestic federal net operating loss carryforwards, portions of foreign operating loss carryforwards, domestic tax credits and certain other deferred tax assets are more likely than not to be recovered. The remaining deferred tax assets are offset by a valuation allowance of \$132.9 million and \$78.0 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. This consists of certain state tax credits, existing and acquired state and foreign and state operating loss carryforwards that we believe are not more likely than not to be recovered. A portion of the remaining valuation allowance at December 31, 2007 in the amount of \$28.2 million relates to acquired foreign net operating losses for which a reduction in goodwill will be recorded upon release of the associated valuation allowance. The change in the valuation allowance for the year ended December 31, 2007 of \$54.9 million includes a \$7.0 million decrease upon adoption of FIN 48 and \$3.4 million increase due to currency translation adjustments.

The tax benefits associated with employee exercises of non-qualified stock options and disqualifying dispositions of stock acquired with incentive stock options reduce taxes payable. Tax benefits of \$13.6 million and \$27.2 million associated with the exercise of employee stock options and other equity compensation were recorded to additional paid-in capital for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Our foreign subsidiaries had no unremitted earnings at December 31, 2007 and \$1.8 million of unremitted earnings at December 31, 2006. To the extent a subsidiary has unremitted earnings, such amounts have been included in the consolidated financial statements without giving effect to deferred taxes since it is management's intent to reinvest such earnings in foreign operations.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 15. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

# 2007 Quarter Ended

	De	ecember 31,	Se	eptember 30,		June 30,	March 31,
Statement of Operations Data:							
Net sales	\$	439,497	\$	428,729	\$	435,194	\$ 423,879
Gross profit		349,600		346,471		352,028	337,333
Net income (loss)	\$	44,182	\$	(306,763)	\$	(4,308)	\$ 75,185
Basic income (loss) per common share	\$	0.66	\$	(4.58)	\$	(0.06)	\$ 1.14
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding		67,187		66,931		66,445	65,806
Diluted income (loss) per common share	\$	0.56	\$	(4.58)	\$	(0.06)	\$ 0.99
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding-assuming dilution		78,734		66,931		66,445	75,835

# 2006 Quarter Ended

	Do	ecember 31,	September 30,			June 30,	March 31,		
Statement of Operations Data:									
Net sales	\$	473,347	\$	470,513	\$	430,725	\$	345,587	
Gross profit		385,176		387,353		341,211		267,648	
Net income (loss)	\$	(4,909)	\$	95,741	\$	50,417	\$	3,567	
Basic income (loss) per common share	\$	(0.08)	\$	1.58	\$	0.83	\$	0.06	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding		61,783		60,762		60,738		59,734	
Diluted income (loss) per common share	\$	(0.08)	\$	1.43	\$	0.76	\$	0.05	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding—assuming dilution		61,783		67,072		66,654		73,508	

As part of our fiscal 2007 annual financial closing process, management determined that certain deferred tax assets were understated by \$6.4 million, related to activity in 2006 and prior, and we recorded the additional deferred tax assets in the fourth quarter of 2007.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

# 16. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Revenues by segment for the years ended December 31:

			2007			2006						2005													
	Un	nited States	Europe		Total	_	United States		Europe		Total		Total		Total		Total		Total		Inited States	Europe			Total
Sales:					_												_								
PROVIGIL	\$	801,639	\$ 50,408	\$	852,047	\$	691,779	\$	43,052	\$	734,831	\$	475,557	\$	37,248	\$	512,805								
GABITRIL		50,642	6,668		57,310		54,971		4,316		59,287		66,517		5,741		72,258								
				_		-		_		_		-		_											
CNS		852,281	57,076		909,357		746,750		47,368		794,118		542,074		42,989		585,063								
ACTIQ		199,407	40,665		240,072		550,390		27,252		577,642		394,676		17,102		411,778								
Generic OTFC		129,033			129,033		54,801		_		54,801														
FENTORA		135,136	_		135,136		29,250		_		29,250		_		_		_								
AMRIX		8,401			8,401		_		_																
						_		_		_		_		_											
Pain		471,977	40,665		512,642		634,441		27,252		661,693		394,676		17,102		411,778								
Other		69,263	236,037		305,300		56,084		208,277		264,361		49,695		109,982		159,677								
Total Sales		1,393,521	333,778		1,727,299	Ξ	1,437,275	Ξ	282,897		1,720,172	Ξ	986,445	Ξ	170,073		1,156,518								
Other Revenues		40,149	5,190		45,339		35,399		8,498		43,897		47,587		7,787		55,374								
Other Revenues		40,149	3,190	_	45,559	_	33,399	_	0,490		45,097		47,367		7,767		33,374								
Total External																									
Revenues		1,433,670	338,968		1,772,638		1,472,674		291,395		1,764,069		1,034,032		177,860		1,211,892								
		• • • • • •	400.000	_	405.004	_	44.006				400.600		40.050		0.5.50.5										
Inter-Segment Revenues Elimination of		26,092	100,992		127,084		14,806		88,879		103,685		12,372		85,705		98,077								
Inter-Segment Revenues		(26,092)	(100,992)		(127,084)		(14,806)		(88,879)		(103,685)		(12,372)		(85,705)		(98,077)								
beginene recondues		(20,072)	(100,772)		(127,001)		(1.,500)		(00,077)		(105,005)		(12,572)		(00,700)		(>0,011)								
Total Revenues	\$	1,433,670	\$ 338,968	\$	1,772,638	\$	1,472,674	\$	291,395	\$	1,764,069	\$	1,034,032	\$	177,860	\$	1,211,892								

Income (loss) before income taxes by segment for the years ended December 31:

	2007	2006	2005
United States Europe	\$ (54,657) (13,762)	\$ 286,136 (47,882)	\$ (163,175) (81,943)
Total	\$ (68,419)	\$ 238,254	\$ (245,118)

Long-lived assets by segment at December 31:

	2007	2006	
United States Europe	\$ 1,364,098 720,146	\$	1,261,132 675,561
Total	\$ 2,084,244	\$	1,936,693

Total assets by segment at December 31:

		2007	2006
United States Europe		\$ 2,541,211 965,058	\$ 2,075,530 969,967
Total		\$ 3,506,269	\$ 3,045,497
	126	 	 _

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### 16. SEGMENT INFORMATION (Continued)

Revenues and income (loss) before income taxes are attributed to geographic areas based on customer location. Income (loss) before income taxes exclude inter-segment transactions.

# 17. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On January 15, 2008, we announced a restructuring plan under which we intend to (i) transition manufacturing activities at our CIMA LABS INC. facility in Eden Prairie, Minnesota, to our recently expanded manufacturing facility in Salt Lake City, Utah, and (ii) consolidate at CIMA LABS's Brooklyn Park, Minnesota, facility certain drug delivery research and development activities currently performed in Salt Lake City. The transition of manufacturing activities and the closure of the Eden Prairie facility is expected to be completed within two to three years. The consolidation of drug delivery research and development activities at Brooklyn Park is expected to be completed in 2008. The plan is intended to increase efficiencies in manufacturing and research and development activities, reduce our cost structure and enhance competitiveness.

As a result of this plan, we will incur certain costs associated with exit or disposal activities. As part of the plan, we estimate that approximately 90 jobs will be eliminated in total, with approximately 170 net jobs eliminated at CIMA LABS and approximately 80 net jobs added in Salt Lake City.

The estimated pre-tax costs of the plan are expected to be approximately \$34 million to \$47 million in total, which will be recognized in 2008 through 2010. Approximately 60% of the total pre-tax costs are expected to be non-cash charges associated with accelerated depreciation of plant and equipment at the Eden Prairie facility. We estimate that the remaining 40% of the cumulative pre-tax costs will result in future cash outlays primarily related to severance costs and costs associated with the transfer of manufacturing technology.

127

### ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

#### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K have been designed and are functioning effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. We believe that a controls system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the controls system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected.

# (b) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting is included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated into this Item 9A by reference.

# (c) Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated into this Item 9A by reference.

### (d) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

128

# PART III

# ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

#### **Directors**

The information required by Item 10 is incorporated herein by reference to the information contained under the caption "Proposal 1—Election of Directors" in our definitive proxy statement related to the 2008 annual meeting of stockholders.

#### **Executive Officers**

The information concerning our executive officers required by this Item 10 is provided under the caption "Executive Officers of the Registrant" in Part I hereof.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

The information concerning Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance by our directors and executive officers is incorporated by reference to the information contained under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in our definitive proxy statement related to the 2008 annual meeting of stockholders.

#### Code of Ethics

The information concerning our Code of Ethics is incorporated by reference to the information contained under the caption "Governance of the Company—Does the Company have a "Code of Ethics'?" in our definitive proxy statement related to the 2008 annual meeting of stockholders.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item 11 is incorporated by reference to the information contained in our definitive proxy statement related to the 2008 annual meeting of stockholders.

# ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated by reference to the information contained in our definitive proxy statement related to the 2008 annual meeting of stockholders.

# ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated by reference to the information contained in our definitive proxy statement related to the 2008 annual meeting of stockholders.

# ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated by reference to the information contained in our definitive proxy statement related to the 2008 annual meeting of stockholders.

129

# PART IV

# ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

# (a) DOCUMENTS FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT

The following is a list of our consolidated financial statements and our subsidiaries and supplementary data included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K under Item 8 of Part II hereof:

# I. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Report of Management.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006.

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

# 2. FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

Schedule II-Valuation and Qualifying Accounts.

Schedules, other than those listed above, are omitted because they are not applicable or are not required, or because the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

130

# (b) EXHIBITS

The following is a list of exhibits filed as part of this annual report on Form 10-K. Where so indicated by footnote, exhibits that were previously filed are incorporated by reference. For exhibits incorporated by reference, the location of the exhibit in the previous filing is indicated.

Exhibit No.	Description					
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Cephalon, Inc., Cepsal Acquisition Corp., Salmedix, Inc., David S. Kabakoff, Arnold L. Oronsky, and Paul Klingenstein dated May 12, 2005, filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2005.					
2.2	Share Purchase Agreement dated as of December 5, 2005 between Cephalon, Inc., Cephalon International Holdings, Inc. and certain shareholders of Zeneus Holdings Limited, filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2005.					
3.1(a)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996.					
3.1(b)	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2002.					
3.1(e)	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 17, 2007.					
3.2	Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended and restated, filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2005.					
4.1	Specimen copy of stock certificate for shares of Common Stock of the Registrant, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993.					
4.2(a)	Second Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, dated October 27, 2003 between Cephalon, Inc. and StockTrans, Inc. as Rights Agent, filed as Exhibit 1 to the Company's Form 8-A/12G on October 27, 2003.					
4.2(b)	Agreement of Appointment and Joinder and Amendment No. 1 to the Second Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, dated as of February 9, 2007, by and between Cephalon, Inc. and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Rights Agent, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 13, 2007.					
4.3(a)	Indenture dated as of June 11, 2003 between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2003.					
4.3(b)	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of June 11, 2003, between Cephalon, Inc. and Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, CIBC World Markets Corp., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, SG Cowen Securities Corporation, ABN AMRO Rothschild LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Lehman Brothers Inc., as Initial Purchasers, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2003.					
4.4(a)	Indenture dated as of December 20, 2004 between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2004.					

4.4(b) Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 20, 2004, between Cephalon, Inc. and U.S. Bank, National Association, filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2004. 4.5(a) Indenture, dated June 7, 2005, between Cephalon, Inc. and U.S. Bank, National Association, as trustee, previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 8, 2005. 4.5(b)Form of 2.00% convertible senior subordinated notes due 2015, previously filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 8, 2005. †10.1(a) Executive Severance Agreement between Frank Baldino, Jr. and Cephalon, Inc. dated July 25, 2002, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2002. Form of Executive Severance Agreement between Certain Executives and Cephalon, Inc. dated July 25, 2002, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the †10.1(b) Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2002. List of Executive Officers subject to the Form of Severance Agreement between Certain Executive Officers and the Company (see \*†10.1(c) Exhibit 10.1(b) above). †10.1(d) Separation Agreement by and between Cephalon, Inc. and Paul Blake dated as of August 23, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006. Cephalon, Inc. 2005 Management Incentive Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K †10.2(a) filed on February 8, 2005. †10.2(b) Cephalon, Inc. 2006 Management Incentive Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 2, 2006. †10.2(c) Cephalon, Inc. 2007 Management Incentive Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 13, 2007. Cephalon, Inc. 2008 Management Incentive Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K †10.2(d) filed on February 1, 2008. Cephalon, Inc. Amended and Restated 1987 Stock Option Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Transition Report on Form 10-K for transition †10.3(a) period January 1, 1991 to December 31, 1991, as amended by Amendment No. 1 filed on September 4, 1992. †10.3(b) Cephalon, Inc. 2000 Equity Compensation Plan for Employees and Key Advisors, as amended and restated, effective as of May 15, 2002, filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-106115) filed on June 13, 2003. †10.3(c) Cephalon, Inc. 2000 Equity Compensation Plan-Form of Employee Non-Qualified Stock Option, filed as Exhibit 10.3(a) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004. Cephalon, Inc. 2000 Equity Compensation Plan-Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for Employees (For Grants Made On †10.3(d) or After October 17, 2005), filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2005. †10.3(e) Amendment 2007-1 to the Cephalon, Inc. 2000 Equity Compensation Plan for Employees and Key Advisors, effective as of February 8, 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2007. 132

†10.3(f) Cephalon, Inc. 1995 Equity Compensation Plan, as amended and restated, effective as of May 15, 2002, filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-106112) filed on June 13, 2003. †10.3(g) Amendment No. 2004-1 to the Cephalon, Inc. 1995 Equity Compensation Plan, effective as of May 13, 2004, filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-118611) filed on August 27, 2004. †10.3(h) Amendment No. 2006-1 to the Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan, effective as of May 17, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 17, 2006. †10.3(i) Amendment 2007-1 to the Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan, effective as of February 8, 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2007. Amendment 2007-2 to the Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan, effective as of May 17, 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the †10.3(j) Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 17, 2007. Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan-Employee Restricted Stock Grant Term Sheet, filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's †10.3(k) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 17, 2004. †10.3(1) Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan—Form of Non-Employee Director Non-Qualified Stock Option, filed as Exhibit 10.3(c) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004. Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan-Form of Employee Non-Qualified Stock Option, filed as Exhibit 10.3(d) to the †10.3(m) Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004. Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan-Form of Employee Incentive Stock Option, filed as Exhibit 10.3(e) to the Company's †10.3(n) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004. †10.3(o) Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan-Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement for Employees (For Grants Made On or After October 17, 2005), filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2005. Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan-Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for Employees (For Grants Made On †10.3(p) or After October 17, 2005), filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2005. Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan-Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for Non-Employee Directors (For †10.3(q) Grants Made On or After October 17, 2005) (Initial Grants Upon Joining Board), filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2005. †10.3(r) Cephalon, Inc. 2004 Equity Compensation Plan—Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for Non-Employee Directors (For Grants Made On or After October 17, 2005) (Annual Grants to Non-Employee Directors) filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2005.

Cephalon, Inc. Amended and Restated Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current

†10.3(s)

Report on Form 8-K filed on December 7, 2005.

Summary of Agreement for Payment of Services between Cephalon, Inc. and its Board of Directors, dated May 18, 2005, filed as †10.4(a) Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2005. †10.4(b) Summary of Oral Agreement for Payment of Services between Cephalon, Inc. and its Board of Directors, dated August 2, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 2, 2006. 10.5(a) License Agreement, dated May 15, 1992 between Cephalon, Inc. and Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd., filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Transition Report on Form 10-K for transition period January 1, 1991 to December 31, 1991, as amended by Amendment No. 1 filed on September 4, 1992 on Form 8. 10.5(b)Letter agreement, dated March 6, 1995 amending the License Agreement between Cephalon, Inc. and Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd., filed as Exhibit 10.4(b) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994. Letter agreement, dated May 11, 1999 amending the License Agreement between Cephalon, Inc. and Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd., 10.5(c)filed as Exhibit 10.4(c) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999.(1) 10.6 Supply, Distribution and License Agreement, dated as of July 27, 1993 between Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd. and Cephalon, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-73896) filed on January 10, 1994.(1) License and Supply Agreement dated July 7, 2004 between Barr Laboratories, Inc. and Cephalon, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the 10.7(a)Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004(1) 10.7(b)Amendment No. 1 to the License and Supply Agreement between Barr Laboratories, Inc. and Cephalon, Inc. dated July 9, 2004, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004. 10.8 Decision and Order of the Federal Trade Commission in the matter of Cephalon, Inc. and CIMA LABS INC. dated August 9, 2004, filed as Exhibit 10.1(c) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004. 10.9 Acquisition Agreement by and among Cell Therapeutics, Inc., CTI Technologies, Inc. and Cephalon, Inc. dated June 10, 2005, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to Cell Therapeutics' Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 14, 2005. License and Collaboration Agreement between Alkermes, Inc. and Cephalon, Inc. dated as of June 23, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.5(a) to 10.10(a) the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2005.(1) 10.10(b)Supply Agreement between Alkermes, Inc. and Cephalon, Inc. dated as of June 23, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.5(b) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2005.(1) 10.10(c)Amendment to the Supply Agreement between Alkermes, Inc. and Cephalon, Inc. dated as of December 21, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.13(c) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.(1) 134

10.10(d)	Amendment to the License and Collaboration Agreement between Alkermes, Inc. and Cephalon, Inc. dated as of December 21, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.13(d) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.(1)
10.11(a)	Office Lease between The Multi-Employer Property Trust and Cephalon, Inc. dated January 14, 2004, filed as Exhibit 10.20(a) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.(1)
10.11(b)	First Amendment to Lease, entered into as of May 11, 2006, by and between the NewTower Trust Company Multi-Employer Property Trust (f/k/a the Multi-Employer Property Trust), and Cephalon, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2006.(2)
10.11(c)	Consent to Sublease between The Multi-Employer Property Trust, Systems & Computer Technology Corporation and Cephalon, Inc. dated April 2, 2004, filed as Exhibit 10.20(b) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.(1)
10.12(a)	Wiley Post Plaza Lease, dated December 7, 1994 between Anesta Corp. and Asset Management Services, filed as Exhibit 10.13 to Anesta Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 0-23160) for the year ended December 31, 1994.
10.12(b)	Amendment No. 1 to Wiley Post Plaza Lease between Anesta Corp. and Asset Management Services dated October 26, 1996, filed as Exhibit 10.11(b) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
10.12(c)	Amendment No. 2 to Wiley Post Plaza Lease between Anesta Corp. and Asset Management Services dated January 7, 1997, filed as Exhibit 10.11(c) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
10.12(d)	Amendment No. 3 to Wiley Post Plaza Lease between Anesta Corp. and Asset Management Services dated September 30, 1998, filed as Exhibit 10.11(d) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
10.12(e)	Amendment No. 4 to Wiley Post Plaza Lease between Anesta Corp. and Asset Management Services dated February 29, 2000, filed as Exhibit 10.11(e) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
10.12(f)	Amendment No. 5 to Wiley Post Plaza Lease between Anesta Corp. and Asset Management Services dated July 20, 2001, filed as Exhibit 10.11(f) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
10.12(g)	Amendment No. 6 to Wiley Post Plaza Lease between Anesta Corp. and Asset Management Services dated July 20, 2001, filed as Exhibit 10.11(g) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
10.12(h)	Amendment No. 7 to Wiley Post Plaza Lease between Anesta Corp. and Asset Management Services dated July 20, 2001, filed as Exhibit 10.11(h) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
10.12(i)	Amendment No. 8 to Wiley Post Plaza Lease between Anesta Corp. and Asset Management Services dated October 14, 2002, filed as Exhibit 10.11(i) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

10.12(j)Amendment No. 9 to Wiley Post Plaza Lease between Anesta Corp. and Asset Management Services dated May 15, 2003, filed as Exhibit 10.11(j) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.(1) 10.12(k) Amendment No. 10 to Wiley Post Plaza Lease between Anesta Corp. and Wiley Post Plaza, L.C. dated June 24, 2004, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.(1) 10.13(a) Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, dated as of June 22, 1992 by and among Cephalon Development Corporation, as general partner, and each of the limited partners of Cephalon Clinical Partners, L.P., filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-56816) filed on January 7, 1993. 10.13(b) Amended and Restated Product Development Agreement, dated as of August 11, 1992 between Cephalon, Inc. and Cephalon Clinical Partners, L.P., filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-56816) filed on January 7, 1993. Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 11, 1992 by and between Cephalon, Inc. and each of the limited partners of Cephalon Clinical 10.13(c) Partners, L.P., filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-56816) filed on January 7, 1993. 10.13(d)Pledge Agreement, dated as of August 11, 1992 by and between Cephalon, Inc. and Cephalon Clinical Partners, L.P., filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-56816) filed on January 7, 1993. Promissory Note, dated as of August 11, 1992 issued by Cephalon Clinical Partners, L.P. to Cephalon, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the 10.13(e) Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-56816) filed on January 7, 1993. 10.13(f)Form of Promissory Note, issued by each of the limited partners of Cephalon Clinical partners, L.P. to Cephalon Clinical Partners, L.P., filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-56816) filed on January 7, 1993. 10.14(a) ISDA Master Agreement dated January 22, 2003, between Credit Suisse First Boston International and Cephalon, Inc., including Schedule to the Master Agreement dated as of January 22, 2003, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2003. 10.14(b) ISDA Credit Support Annex to the Schedule to the ISDA Master Agreement dated as of January 22, 2003 between Credit Suisse First Boston International and Cephalon, Inc., including the Elections and Variables to the ISDA Credit Support Annex dated as of January 22, 2003, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2003. Letter Agreement Confirmation dated January 22, 2003, between Credit Suisse First Boston International and Cephalon, Inc, filed as 10.14(c) Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2003. Termination of Letter Agreement dated July 22, 2005, between Credit Suisse First Boston International and Cephalon, Inc., filed as 10.14(d)Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005. 136

10.15(a)Five Year Warrant, dated June 6, 2003, between the Company and Credit Suisse First Boston International filed as Exhibit 99.d(3) to the Company's Schedule TO-I dated November 16, 2004. 10.15(b) Seven Year Warrant, dated June 6, 2003, between the Company and Credit Suisse First Boston International filed as Exhibit 99.d(4) to the Company's Schedule TO-I dated November 16, 2004. Five Year Convertible Note Hedge, dated December 3, 2004, between the Company and Credit Suisse First Boston International, filed as 10.15(c)Exhibit 99.d(5) to the Company's Schedule TO-I/A dated December 14, 2004. 10.15(d)Seven Year Convertible Note Hedge, dated December 3, 2004, between the Company and Credit Suisse First Boston International, filed as Exhibit 99.d(6) to the Company's Schedule TO-I/A dated December 14, 2004. Amendment to Five Year Warrant, dated December 13, 2006, between the Company and Credit Suisse International (f/k/a Credit Suisse 10.15(e) First Boston International) filed as Exhibit 10.19(e) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 10.15(f)Amendment to Seven Year Warrant, dated December 13, 2006, between the Company and Credit Suisse International (f/k/a Credit Suisse First Boston International) filed as Exhibit 10.19(f) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. 10.15(g)Form of Five Year Convertible Note Hedge Amendment, dated December 13, 2006, between the Company and Credit Suisse International (f/k/a Credit Suisse First Boston International) filed as Exhibit 10.19(g) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. 10.15(h) Form of Seven Year Convertible Note Hedge Amendment, dated December 13, 2006, between the Company and Credit Suisse International (f/k/a Credit Suisse First Boston International) filed as Exhibit 10.19(h) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. 10.16(a) Convertible Note Hedge Confirmation, dated as of June 2, 2005, between the Company and Deutsche Bank AG, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 8, 2005. Warrant Confirmation, dated as of June 2, 2005, between the Company and Deutsche Bank AG, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's 10.16(b) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 8, 2005. 10.16(c) Amendment to Hedge Confirmation dated as of June 2, 2005 by and among the Company, Deutsche Bank AG, New York and Deutsche Bank AG, London, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 7, 2005. 10.16(d)Hedge Confirmation dated as of June 28, 2005 by and among the Company, Deutsche Bank AG, New York and Deutsche Bank AG, London, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 7, 2005.

137

Deutsche Bank AG, London, filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 7, 2005.

Amendment to Warrant Confirmation dated as of June 2, 2005 by and among the Company, Deutsche Bank AG, New York and

10.16(e)

10.16(f)	Termination and Assignment Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2006, between Deutsche Bank AG and Cephalon, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.20(f) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
10.17(a)	Agreement dated as of December 8, 2005 by and between Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.(1)
10.17(b)	Settlement Agreement dated as of December 22, 2005 by and between Cephalon, Inc. and Ranbaxy Laboratories Limited., filed as Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.(1)
10.17(c)	Settlement Agreement dated January 9, 2006 by and between the Company and Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2006.(1)
10.17(d)	Provigil Settlement Agreement dated February 1, 2006 by and between the Company and Barr Laboratories, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2006.(1)
10.17(e)	Modafinil License and Supply Agreement dated as of February 1, 2006 by and between the Company and Barr Laboratories, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2006.(1)
10.17(f)	Actiq Settlement Agreement dated February 1, 2006 by and among the Company, the University of Utah Research Foundation and Barr Laboratories, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company' Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2006.(1)
10.18(g)	Actiq Supplemental License and Supply Agreement dated as of February 1, 2006 by and between the Company and Barr Laboratories, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2006.(1)
10.18(h)	Settlement and License Agreement dated August 2, 2006 by and between the Company, Carlsbad Technology, Inc. and Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006.(1)
10.19(a)	Form of Aircraft Time Share Agreement between Cephalon, Inc. and certain executive officers, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 6, 2006.
10.19(b)	Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Timesharing Agreement between Cephalon, Inc. and Frank Baldino, Jr., Ph.D. dated April 4, 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2007.
10.20	Co-Promotion Agreement dated as of June 12, 2006 by and between the Company and Takeda Pharmaceuticals North America, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2006.(1)
10.21	Asset Purchase Agreement by and between Anesta AG and E. Claiborne Robins Company, Inc., dated as of August 23, 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2007.(2)
*12.1	Statement Regarding Computation of Ratios
*21	List of Subsidiaries 138

*23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
*31.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Filed herewith.

Compensation plans and arrangements for executives and others.

- (1)
  Portions of the Exhibit have been omitted and have been filed separately pursuant to an application for confidential treatment granted by the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- (2)
  Portions of the Exhibit have been omitted and have been filed separately pursuant to an application for confidential treatment filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

ABELCET, ACTIQ, AMRIX, DURASOLV, FENTORA, FONZYLANE, GABITRIL, LOPERAMIDE LYOC, MODIODAL, MYOCET, MYOTROPHIN, NUVIGIL, ORASOLV, ORAVESCENT, PARALYOC, PROVIGIL, PROXALYOC, SPARLON, SPASFON, SPASFON LYOC, TREANDA, TRISENOX and VIGIL are trademarks or registered trademarks of Cephalon, Inc. or its subsidiaries. All other brands and names used herein are trademarks of their respective owners.

139

## CEPHALON, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## SCHEDULE II—VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

## (In thousands)

Year Ended December 31,		alance at nning of the Year	Additions (Deductions)(1)		Other Additions (Deductions)(2)	Balance at End of the Year	
Reserve for sales discounts, returns and allowances:							
2007	\$	84,980	\$ 214,30	2 \$	(210,191) \$	89,091	
2006	\$	72,935	\$ 171,29	3 \$	(159,248) \$	84,980	
2005	\$	42,092	\$ 179,09	9 \$	(148,256) \$	72,935	
Reserve for inventories:					, , ,		
2007	\$	13,100	\$ 13,21	3 \$	(17,963) \$	8,350	
2006	\$	2,265	\$ 20,85	5 \$	(10,020) \$	13,100	
2005	\$	1,909	\$ 75	8 \$	(402) \$	2,265	
Reserve for income tax valuation allowance:							
2007	\$	78,043	\$ 58,48	9 \$	(3,583) \$	132,949	
2006	\$	76,840	\$ 14,69	6 \$	(13,493) \$	78,043	
2005	\$	49,895	\$ (45,95	2) \$	72,897 \$	76,840	

(1) Amounts represent charges and reductions to expenses and revenue.

(2) Amounts represent utilization and adjustments of balance sheet reserve accounts.

140

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 28, 2008

## CEPHALON, INC.

By: /s/ FRANK BALDINO, JR.

Frank Baldino, Jr., Ph.D. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date			
/s/ FRANK BALDINO, JR.					
Frank Baldino, Jr., Ph.D.	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (Principal executive officer)	February 28, 2008			
/s/ J. KEVIN BUCHI  J. Kevin Buchi	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal financial and accounting officer)	February 28, 2008			
/s/ WILLIAM P. EGAN William P. Egan	Director	February 28, 2008			
/s/ MARTYN D. GREENACRE  Martyn D. Greenacre	— Director	February 28, 2008			
/s/ VAUGHN M. KAILIAN	<b>—</b> Director	February 28, 2008			
Vaughn M. Kailian /s/ KEVIN E. MOLEY	— Director	February 28, 2008			
Kevin E. Moley /s/ CHARLES A. SANDERS, M.D.	Director	1 coruary 26, 2006			
Charles A. Sanders, M.D.	Director	February 28, 2008			
Gail R. Wilensky, Ph.D.	Director	February 28, 2008			
/s/ DENNIS L. WINGER  Dennis L. Winger	— Director	February 28, 2008			
	141				

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES** 

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS** 

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY

**SECURITIES** 

**Equity Compensation Plan Information** 

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

CEPHALON, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except share data)

CEPHALON, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per share data)

CEPHALON, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (In thousands, except share data)

CEPHALON, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands)

CEPHALON, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (In thousands, except share and per share data)

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

ITEM 13, CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

CEPHALON, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES SCHEDULE II—VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS (In thousands)

**SIGNATURES** 

# LIST OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS SUBJECT TO THE FORM OF EXECUTIVE SEVERANCE AGREEMENT BETWEEN CERTAIN EXECUTIVE OFFICERSAND CEPHALON, INC.

Name	Title	Title					
Valli F. Baldassano	Exec. Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer						
	·						
J. Kevin Buchi	Exec. Vice President and Chief Financial Officer						
Peter E. Grebow, Ph.D.	Exec. Vice President, Worldwide Technical Operations						
John E. Osborn, Esq.	Exec. Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary						
Robert P. Roche, Jr.	Exec. Vice President, Worldwide Pharmaceutical Operations						
Lesley Russell	Exec. Vice President, Worldwide Medical & Regulatory Operations						
Carl A. Savini	Exec. Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer						
Jeffry L. Vaught, Ph.D.	Exec. Vice President and President, Research & Development						

## Cephalon, Inc. Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges

(In thousands)

#### Year Ended December 31,

	2003	2004		2005	2006		2007
Determination of earnings: Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 130,314	\$ (28,184)	\$	(245,118)	\$ 238,254	\$	(68,419)
Add: Amortization of interest capitalized in current or prior periods	<u>_</u>	<u>_</u>		_	52		98
Fixed charges	31,191	25,623		30,985	28,171		28,960
Total earnings	\$ 161,505	\$ (2,561)	\$	(214,133)	\$ 266,477	\$	(39,361)
Fixed charges:							
Interest expense and amortization of debt discount and premium on all indebtedness  Appropriate portion of rentals	28,905 2,286	22,186 3,437		25,235 5,750	18,922 9,249		19,833 9,127
Fixed charges	31,191	25,623	_	30,985	28,171	_	28,960
Capitalized interest	_	_		1,044	1,766		768
Total fixed charges	\$ 31,191	\$ 25,623	\$	32,029	\$ 29,937	\$	29,728
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges(1)	5.18	_		_	8.90		_
Deficiency of earnings to fixed charges	_	28,184		246,162	_		69,089

(1) For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2007, no ratios are provided because earnings were insufficient to cover fixed charges.

Exhibit 12.1

Cephalon, Inc. Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges (In thousands)

## Cephalon, Inc.

## Subsidiaries

Name	Jurisdiction of Incorporation
Anesta Corp.	Delaware
Anesta AG	Switzerland
Cell Therapeutics (UK) Limited	United Kingdom
Cephalon (Bermuda) Limited	Bermuda
Cephalon Borinquen, Inc.	Puerto Rico
Cephalon B.V.	The Netherlands
Cephalon Development Corporation	Delaware
Cephalon France SAS	France
Cephalon Europe SAS	France
Cephalon GmbH	Germany
Cephalon Holdings Limited	United Kingdom
Cephalon International Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
Cephalon Investments, Inc.	Delaware
Cephalon Italia S.p.A.	Italy
Cephalon Limited	United Kingdom
Cephalon Luxembourg S.a.r.l	Luxembourg
Cephalon Pharma ApS	Denmark
Cephalon Pharma GmbH	Germany
Cephalon Pharma (Ireland) Limited	Ireland
Cephalon Pharma SL	Spain
Cephalon Sp.z.o.o.	Poland
Cephalon Technologies Partners, Inc.	Delaware
Cephalon Technology, Inc.	Delaware
Cephalon Titrisation	France
Cephalon (UK) Limited	United Kingdom
Cephalon Ventures Puerto Rico, Inc.	Delaware
CIMA LABS INC.	Delaware
East End Insurance Ltd.	Bermuda
PolaRx Biopharmaceuticals, Inc.	Delaware
Societe Civile Immobiliere Martigny	France
Zeneus Pharma S.a.r.l.	France

Exhibit 21 Cephalon, Inc. Subsidiaries

**EXHIBIT 23.1** 

#### CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Forms S-3 (Nos. 333-108320, 333-112541, 333-122418 and 333-134464) and Forms S-8 (Nos. 33-43716, 33-71920, 333-02888, 333-69591, 333-89909, 333-87421, 333-52640, 333-43104, 333-89228, 333-89230, 333-106112, 333-106115, 333-118611, 333-134462, 333-134463 and 333-147374) of Cephalon, Inc. of our report dated February 28, 2008, relating to the financial statements, financial statements schedule and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Form 10-K.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania February 28, 2008

EXHIBIT 23.1
CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

**EXHIBIT 31.1** 

#### CERTIFICATIONS

I.	Frank	Balo	lino.	Jr	certify	that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Cephalon, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f)) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b)

    Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c)

    Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
  - The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
    - (a)
      All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
    - (b)

      Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 28, 2008

5.

/s/ FRANK BALDINO, JR.

Frank Baldino, Jr., Ph.D. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (Principal executive officer)

EXHIBIT 31.1 CERTIFICATIONS

#### CERTIFICATIONS

#### I, J. Kevin Buchi, certify that:

- I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Cephalon, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f)) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b)

    Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c)

    Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d)
    Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a)
    All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b)
    Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 28, 2008

5.

#### /s/ J. KEVIN BUCHI

J. Kevin Buchi Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal financial officer)

EXHIBIT 31.2 CERTIFICATIONS

Exhibit 32.1

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Cephalon, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Frank Baldino, Jr., Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, based on my knowledge, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ FRANK BALDINO, JR.

Frank Baldino, Jr., Ph.D. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

February 28, 2008

Exhibit 32.1
CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Exhibit 32.2

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Cephalon, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, J. Kevin Buchi, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, based on my knowledge, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

#### /s/ J. KEVIN BUCHI

J. Kevin Buchi

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

February 28, 2008

Exhibit 32.2 CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Created by 10KWizard <u>www.10KWizard.com</u>