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The Progressive Corporation
Letter to Shareholders
Second Quarter 2012

In short, the second quarter results were disappointing. We're very clear about our expectation, "to grow as fast as possible at a 96 combined ratio or better," and we did not achieve that for the quarter, posting a 97.6 combined ratio - perhaps acceptable by other measuring sticks - not by ours. The $8 \%$ premium growth is notable and positive, but less so at this profit margin. While the immediate condition is serious, the diagnosis and treatment plan is well within our control.

A quarter earlier in this letter I referenced an emerging view of accelerating claims severity, uncertain, but at that time favorable, frequency trends, weather events that while not dramatically different from the prior year have been materially greater than for non-Katrina years of the prior decade, and for our motorcycle product an increased exposure via favorable weather riding days - and labeled the environment a "dangerous combination." With the benefit of three more months, it is clearer that our concern was well placed, but even so our actions underestimated and lagged what we now know was necessary. Our rate revision infrastructure is in fully responsive mode and we expect to have prices inmarket that best reflect our current views of rate adequacy in early third quarter. That adequacy will take time to be fully reflected in our renewal book, but we appreciate the six month policy terms our auto book is written under for exactly this reason.

What changed? Favorable frequency in the first quarter did not persist in the second and, while frequency trends over many years have been favorable and remain low relative to the early 2000's, they are increasing relative to more recent pricing assumptions, notably in bodily injury. The larger story, however, is claims severity. Whether fixing cars or people, our costs have turned up rather significantly and quickly. There are many moving parts to explaining severity, and much remains more easily observed than explained. We have enough observations, have reacted, and will continue to do so. Our estimates of severity trend are now solidly more mid-single digit. Timing rate need is far from easy and always easier with hindsight. We clearly don't want to be too early and restrict available growth or too late for obvious reasons. We now know we were a few months late. Our required increases are, as we prefer, at levels that we believe will not derail our customer retention efforts and, in concert with our many consumer quoting options, should allow us to remain highly competitive. A welcome change from many of the years past is that we expect to end the year with higher written premium per policy, on most products.

Hail, floods, winds, and fires all factor into the results as loss cost events, and for us hail almost certainly has the highest per minute event cost. The bigger issue is overall rate level to reflect the more macro underlying changes in claims severity and, more so than in the past, frequency. While cost is one dimension of weather-related events, the other is the satisfaction our customers express with our claims response following any one of the numerous catastrophes.

As records for heat and drought seem to be re-established daily in many parts of the country, it's providing for a meaningful increase in riding days for our motorcycle customers and, while happy for them especially after the rains of last year, from an insurance perspective, the exposure is greater than normal and is being reflected in our loss costs.

Our Commercial Auto business has not been spared from these trend changes, especially in the trucking business, and while rate actions were taken last year and earlier this year, they are being evaluated for continued adequacy based on recent data. Our Commercial Auto policies are mostly annual terms, which best meet customer needs but reduces our ability to reflect rate in renewals as quickly as auto thus putting an even greater premium on timing.

While few issues compare to rate adequacy in our business, we did have two exciting announcements worth noting, both designed to increase consumers' interest and preference. The first was in our mobile offerings where we released a mobile application using the camera to capture driver's license and insurance ID card information as a substantial part of a fast auto insurance quote and we expanded our mobile quoting application from one driver/one vehicle to up to three of each.

The second was our expansion of usage-based segmentation to a broader audience of, as Flo might say, "Well everyone." Our understanding and comfort with the data resulting from our many years of research and more specifically since the reformulation into our Snapshot Discount ${ }^{\circledR}$ structure, tells us that we have what we consider to be the most powerful rate segmentation variable we have ever used. As such, we are reasonably sure that a large percentage of consumers are being charged premiums greater than the risk they present and a smaller percentage consume more of the cost than they pay for. While a clearly reasonable proposition, determining where an individual risk might fall based on individual driving behaviors has been outside the capability of auto insurance science to-date. That's changed and we are inviting people, at no cost, to see how they might fare by taking a thirty day free trial without disturbing their current insurance arrangements. We will have the opportunity to offer our rate, adjusted for driving behavior, after thirty days to anyone taking the trial. They can then compare our rate offer with what they are currently paying and make an informed decision going forward. We call it test-driving Snapshot; anyone who thinks they may have been paying more than their fair share just might be right and now they can find out.

For more information on how to take Snapshot ${ }^{\circledR}$ for a test drive and our supporting research visit www.progressive.com/snapshot.

As for our investment portfolio, our strategy remains largely unchanged. Within portfolio sectors, we continuously look for greater value without changing our investment style or duration. And while along with many others we are somewhat frustrated with sustained portfolio returns of low single digits, but are very comfortable with our course of action for now. Year-to-date, our total return was $3.6 \%$. Our comprehensive assessment of companywide risk, contingency considerations, and the strength of our capital position are all very strong.

Clearly the underwriting margin is the story of the quarter and may well be for some months, but we believe that actions taken or well underway will correct that trajectory and importantly not diminish any of the initiatives that hold so much promise for the future. I look forward to updating you next quarter.

Glenn M. Renwick
President and Chief Executive Officer

## Financial Policies

Progressive balances operating risk with risk of investing and financing activities in order to have sufficient capital to support all the insurance we can profitably underwrite and service. Risks arise in all operational and functional areas, and therefore must be assessed holistically, accounting for the offsetting and compounding effects of the separate sources of risk within Progressive.

We use risk management tools to quantify the amount of capital needed, in addition to surplus, to absorb consequences of events such as unfavorable loss reserve development, litigation, weather-related catastrophes, and investment-market corrections. Our financial policies define our allocation of risk and we measure our performance against them. If, in our view, future opportunities meet our financial objectives and policies, we will invest capital in expanding business operations. Underleveraged capital will be returned to investors. We expect to earn a return on equity greater than its cost. Presented is an overview of Progressive's Operating, Investing, and Financing policies.

Operating Monitor pricing and reserving discipline

- Manage profitability targets and operational performance at our lowest level of product definition
- Sustain premiums-to-surplus ratios at efficient levels, and at or below applicable state regulations, for each insurance subsidiary
- Ensure loss reserves are adequate and develop with minimal variance

Investing Maintain a liquid, diversified, high-quality investment portfolio

- Manage on a total return basis
- Manage interest rate, credit, prepayment, extension, and concentration risk
- Allocate portfolio between two groups:
- Group I - Target $0 \%$ to $25 \%$ (common equities; nonredeemable preferred stocks; redeemable preferred stocks, except for $50 \%$ of investment-grade redeemable preferred stocks with cumulative dividends; and all other non-investmentgrade fixed-maturity securities)
- Group II - Target $75 \%$ to $100 \%$ (short-term securities and all other fixed-maturity securities)

Financing Maintain sufficient capital to support insurance operations

- Maintain debt below $30 \%$ of total capital at book value
- Neutralize dilution from equity-based compensation in the year of issuance through share repurchases
- Return underleveraged capital through share repurchases, extraordinary dividends, and a variable dividend program based on annual underwriting results

| Financial Results | Target | Months Ended June 30, $\underline{2012}$ | $\underline{2011}$ | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2009}$ | 5 Years $^{1}$ | 10 Years $^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Underwriting margin: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Progressive | $4 \%$ | 4.1 \% | 7.0 \% | 7.6 \% | 8.4 \% | 7.2 \% | 9.6 \% |
| Industry ${ }^{2}$ | na |  |  | (.4)\% | (.8)\% | 1.4 \% | 1.2 \% |
| Net premiums written growth: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Progressive | (a) | 7 \% | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Industry ${ }^{2}$ | na |  |  | $1 \%$ | (1)\% | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Policies in force growth: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal auto | (a) | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Special lines | (a) | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | 6 \% | $11 \%$ |
| Commercial Auto | (a) | $3 \%$ | 0 \% | 0 \% | (5)\% | 0 \% | $9 \%$ |
| Companywide premiums-to-surplus ratio | (b) | na | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | na | na |
| Investment allocation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group I | (c) | 21 \% | 21 \% | 22 \% | 20 \% | na | na |
| Group II | (c) | 79 \% | 79 \% | 78 \% | 80 \% | na | na |
| Debt-to-total capital ratio | <30\% | 24.7 \% | 29.6 \% | 24.5 \% | 27.5 \% | na | na |
| Return on average shareholders' equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | (d) | 12.9 \% | 16.5 \% | 17.1 \% | 21.4 \% | 15.2 \% | 20.3 \% |
| Comprehensive income | (d) | 12.5 \% | 15.0\% | 22.3 \% | 35.5 \% | 16.2 \% | 21.8 \% |

(a) Grow as fast as possible, constrained only by our profitability objective and our ability to provide high-quality customer service.
(b) Determined separately for each insurance subsidiary.
(c) Allocate portfolio between two groups:

Group I - Target $0 \%$ to $25 \%$ (common equities; nonredeemable preferred stocks; redeemable preferred stocks, except for $50 \%$ of investment-grade redeemable preferred stocks with cumulative dividends; and all other non-investment-grade fixed-maturity securities) Group II - Target $75 \%$ to $100 \%$ (short-term securities and all other fixed-maturity securities)
Note: External data is not available to enable us to report 2009 under our current allocation strategy. For 2009, Group I included common equities, all preferred stocks, and below investment-grade fixed-maturity securities, while Group II included short-term securities and all other fixed-maturity securities.
(d) Progressive does not have a predetermined target for ROE.
na $=$ not applicable
${ }^{1}$ Represents results over the respective time period; growth represents average annual compounded rate of increase (decrease).
${ }^{2}$ Represents private passenger auto insurance market data as reported by A.M. Best Company, Inc. The industry underwriting margin excludes the effect of policyholder dividends. Final comparable industry data for 2011 underwriting margins and net premiums written will not be available until our third quarter report. The 5- and 10-year growth rates are presented on a one-year lag basis for the industry.

The Progressive Corporation and Subsidiaries
Operations Summary

## Personal Lines

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Change |  |
| Net premiums written (in billions) | $\$$ | 7.39 | $\$$ | 6.95 | $6 \%$ |
| Net premiums earned (in billions) | $\$$ | 7.06 | $\$$ | 6.66 | $6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio | 74.2 |  | 70.3 | 3.9 pts. |  |
| Underwriting expense ratio | 21.9 | 21.8 | .1 pts. |  |  |
| $\quad$ Combined ratio | 96.1 |  | 92.1 | 4.0 pts. |  |

Policies in force (in thousands) $\quad 12,892.7 \quad 12,176.3 \quad 6 \%$

## Commercial Auto

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Change |  |
| Net premiums written (in billions) | $\$$ | .90 | .79 | $14 \%$ |  |
| Net premiums earned (in billions) | $\$$ | .79 | $\$$ | .72 | $11 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio | 70.5 | 67.1 | 3.4 pts. |  |  |
| Underwriting expense ratio | 22.8 | 22.4 | .4 pts. |  |  |
| $\quad$ Combined ratio | 93.3 | 89.5 | 3.8 pts. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policies in force (in thousands) |  | 525.0 | 512.0 | $3 \%$ |  |

The Progressive Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

| (unaudited) | Three Months |  |  | Six Months |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  | \% |
| Periods Ended June 30, | 2012 | 2011 | Change | 2012 | 2011 | Change |

(millions - except per share amounts)

## Revenues

Net premiums earned
Investment income
Net realized gains (losses) on securities:
Other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses:
Total OTTI losses
Non-credit losses, net of credit losses recognized on previously recorded non-credit OTTI losses
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings
Net realized gains (losses) on securities
Total net realized gains (losses) on securities
Service revenues
Gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt
Total revenues

## Expenses

Losses and loss adjustment expenses
Policy acquisition costs
Other underwriting expenses
Investment expenses
Service expenses

## Interest expense

Total expenses

## Net Income

| Income before income taxes | 167.7 | 357.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Provision for income taxes | 49.1 | 112.5 |
| Net income | 118.6 | 245.2 |

(56)
(52)

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities:

| Net non-credit related OTTI losses, adjusted for valuation changes | $\begin{array}{r} .1 \\ (51.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (2.2) \\ 53.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other net unrealized gains (losses) on securities |  |  |  |  |
| Total net unrealized gains (losses) on securities |  | (50.9) |  | 51.3 |
| Net unrealized gains on forecasted transactions |  | (.6) |  | (.8) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment |  | (.6) |  | . 3 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) |  | (52.1) |  | 50.8 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ | 66.5 | \$ | 296.0 |
| Computation of Net Income Per Share |  |  |  |  |
| Average shares outstanding - Basic |  | 604.8 |  | 643.6 |
| Net effect of dilutive stock-based compensation |  | 4.1 |  | 4.3 |
| Total equivalent shares - Diluted |  | 608.9 |  | 647.9 |
| Basic: Net income per share | \$ | . 20 | \$ | . 38 |
| Diluted: Net income per share | \$ | . 19 | \$ | . 38 |
| $\underline{\text { Dividends declared per share }{ }^{1}}$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |

NM
NM
NM
$(25)$
NM
NM
$(78)$
(78)

NM = Not Meaningful
${ }^{1}$ Progressive maintains an annual dividend program. See Note 8 - Dividends for further discussion. See notes to consolidated financial statements.

| $\$$ | $7,857.6$ | $\$$ | $7,385.2$ | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 227.2 |  | 244.1 | $(7)$ |

(7)
(5.6)
(4.5) 24

| $(.7)$ | .9 | NM |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $(6.3)$ | $(3.6)$ |
| 79.1 | 75 |  |
|  | 129.3 | $(39)$ |
| 72.8 | 125.7 | $(42)$ |
| 18.4 | 11.2 | 64 |
| $(1.7)$ | 0 | NM |
| $8,174.3$ | $7,766.2$ | 5 |


|  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $5,806.1$ | $5,169.0$ | 12 |
| 724.1 | 695.0 | 4 |
| $1,003.6$ | 920.7 | 9 |
| 8.0 | 6.6 | 21 |
| 18.1 | 8.8 | 106 |
| 62.6 | 63.0 | $(1)$ |
| $7,622.5$ | $6,863.1$ | 11 |


| 551.8 | 903.1 | $(39)$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 175.6 | 295.0 |  |
| 376.2 | 608.1 |  |


| 3.1 | $(3.1)$ | NM |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 148.4 | 84.0 | 77 |
| 151.5 | 80.9 | 87 |
| $(1.2)$ | $(1.6)$ | $(25)$ |
|  | $(.1)$ | .5 |
|  | 150.2 | NM |
|  | $\$ 9.8$ | 88 |
|  | 526.4 | $\$$ |


|  | 605.5 | 647.6 | (7) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 4.0 | 4.1 |  |
|  | 609.5 |  | 651.7 |
| $\$$ | .62 | $\$$ | .94 |
| $\$$ | .62 | $\$$ | .93 |
|  |  | (6) |  |

The Progressive Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

(unaudited)

| (millions) | June 30, |  | December 31, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |  |

## Assets

| Investments - Available-for-sale, at fair value: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fixed maturities (amortized cost: \$11,723.6, \$11,499.5, and \$11,455.7) | \$ | 12,075.9 | \$ | 11,788.5 | \$ | 11,759.3 |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks (cost: \$425.4, \$495.5, and \$473.7) |  | 799.3 |  | 1,029.7 |  | 806.3 |
| Common equities (cost: $\$ 1,494.9, \$ 1,379.8$, and $\$ 1,431.0$ ) |  | 2,055.1 |  | 1,867.9 |  | 1,845.6 |
| Short-term investments (amortized cost: \$1,679.2, \$1,343.5, and \$1,551.8) |  | 1,679.2 |  | 1,343.5 |  | 1,551.8 |
| Total investments |  | 16,609.5 |  | 16,029.6 |  | 15,963.0 |
| Cash |  | 165.5 |  | 149.7 |  | 155.7 |
| Accrued investment income |  | 96.4 |  | 104.3 |  | 105.7 |
| Premiums receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reinsurance recoverables, including \$30.4, \$34.3, and \$32.3 on paid losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums |  | 71.1 |  | 86.7 |  | 69.8 |
| Deferred acquisition costs |  | 452.4 |  | 451.6 |  | 433.6 |
| Income taxes |  | 147.8 |  | 111.4 |  | 208.0 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$606.6, \$596.4, and \$573.8 |  | 914.3 |  | 917.1 |  | 911.3 |
| Other assets |  | 195.2 |  | 198.9 |  | 249.9 |
| Total assets | \$ | 22,719.0 | \$ | 21,807.6 | \$ | 21,844.8 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unearned premiums | \$ | 5,014.6 | \$ | 4,704.3 | \$ | 4,579.4 |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves |  | 7,573.2 |  | 7,142.6 |  | 7,245.8 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities |  | 1,781.8 |  | 1,652.1 |  | 1,770.8 |
| Debt ${ }^{1}$ |  | 2,062.8 |  | 1,959.1 |  | 2,442.1 |
| Total liabilities |  | 16,432.4 |  | 15,458.1 |  | 16,038.1 |
| Common Shares, $\$ 1.00$ par value (authorized 900.0; issued 797.7, <br> including treasury shares of $188.5,155.0$, and 184.7) 609.2642 .76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid-in capital |  | 1,040.4 |  | 1,007.7 |  | 1,006.2 |
| Retained earnings |  | 3,794.3 |  | 3,835.6 |  | 3,495.0 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net non-credit related OTTI losses, adjusted for valuation changes |  | (2.3) |  | (4.9) |  | (5.4) |
| Other net unrealized gains (losses) on securities |  | 836.6 |  | 853.1 |  | 688.2 |
| Total net unrealized gains (losses) on securities |  | 834.3 |  | 848.2 |  | 682.8 |
| Net unrealized gains on forecasted transactions |  | 6.7 |  | 13.1 |  | 7.9 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment |  | 1.7 |  | 2.2 |  | 1.8 |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income |  | 842.7 |  | 863.5 |  | 692.5 |
| Total shareholders' equity |  | 6,286.6 |  | 6,349.5 |  | 5,806.7 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ | 22,719.0 | \$ | 21,807.6 | \$ | 21,844.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Consists of long-term debt. See Note 4 - Debt.
See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## The Progressive Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

| x months ended June 30, | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 376.2 | \$ | 608.1 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation |  | 45.5 |  | 42.6 |
| Amortization of fixed-income securities |  | 98.3 |  | 116.4 |
| Amortization of stock-based compensation |  | 31.5 |  | 24.5 |
| Net realized (gains) losses on securities |  | (72.8) |  | (125.7) |
| Net (gains) losses on disposition of property and equipment |  | 3.5 |  | 7.3 |
| (Gains) losses on extinguishment of debt |  | 1.7 |  | 0 |
| Changes in: |  |  |  |  |
| Premiums receivable |  | (292.3) |  | (244.2) |
| Reinsurance recoverables |  | (26.7) |  | (34.2) |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums |  | (1.3) |  | 1.4 |
| Deferred acquisition costs |  | (18.8) |  | (34.4) |
| Income taxes |  | (21.1) |  | 34.1 |
| Unearned premiums |  | 435.2 |  | 350.4 |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves |  | 327.4 |  | 71.5 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities |  | 230.6 |  | 194.4 |
| Other, net |  | 18.2 |  | 18.9 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 1,135.1 |  | 1,031.1 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases: |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities |  | $(2,628.7)$ |  | $(4,265.9)$ |
| Equity securities |  | (79.4) |  | (397.5) |
| Sales: |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities |  | 1,689.4 |  | 3,570.6 |
| Equity securities |  | 101.6 |  | 240.9 |
| Maturities, paydowns, calls, and other: |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities |  | 616.6 |  | 735.4 |
| Equity securities |  | 3.9 |  | 0 |
| Net purchases of short-term investments - other |  | (128.0) |  | (252.3) |
| Net unsettled security transactions |  | 62.4 |  | 39.2 |
| Purchases of property and equipment |  | (53.5) |  | (35.6) |
| Sales of property and equipment |  | 1.5 |  | 1.2 |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | (414.2) |  | (364.0) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options |  | . 5 |  | 5.4 |
| Tax benefit from exercise/vesting of stock-based compensation |  | 4.1 |  | 2.4 |
| Payment of debt |  | (350.0) |  | 0 |
| Reacquisition of debt |  | (31.9) |  | 0 |
| Dividends paid to shareholders ${ }^{1}$ |  | (251.0) |  | (263.6) |
| Acquisition of treasury shares |  | (83.0) |  | (420.9) |
| Net cash used in financing activities |  | (711.3) |  | (676.7) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash |  | . 2 |  | 4 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash |  | 9.8 |  | (9.2) |
| Cash, January 1 |  | 155.7 |  | 158.9 |
| Cash, June 30 | \$ | 165.5 | \$ | 149.7 |

[^0] See notes to consolidated financial statements.

The Progressive Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation -- The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of The Progressive Corporation, its subsidiaries, and a mutual company affiliate. All of the subsidiaries and the mutual company affiliate are wholly owned or controlled. The consolidated financial statements reflect all normal recurring adjustments that, in the opinion of management, were necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for the period ended June 30, 2012, are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full year. These consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto should be read in conjunction with Progressive's audited financial statements and accompanying notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

On January 1, 2012, we adopted, on a prospective basis, the accounting standard update related to the accounting for the deferral of costs associated with the successful acquisition or renewal of insurance contracts. As a result, $\$ 23$ million of deferred acquisition costs that no longer met the criteria for deferral upon adoption were recognized as a reduction to income primarily over the first six months of 2012, consistent with our insurance policy terms.

Note 2 Investments -- The following tables present the composition of our investment portfolio by major security type, consistent with our internal classification of how we manage, monitor, and measure the portfolio:

| (\$ in millions) |  | Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains |  | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses |  | Net <br> Realized Gains $(\text { Losses })^{1}$ |  |  | Fair Value | \% of <br> Total <br> Fair <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government obligations | \$ | 2,833.0 | \$ | 105.6 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 2,938.6 | 17.7 \% |
| State and local government obligations |  | 1,926.9 |  | 51.2 |  | (.5) |  | 0 |  | 1,977.6 | 11.9 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 2,893.6 |  | 114.4 |  | (5.6) |  | 4.8 |  | 3,007.2 | 18.1 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 429.2 |  | 14.7 |  | (22.3) |  | 0 |  | 421.6 | 2.5 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 2,020.2 |  | 74.7 |  | (1.4) |  | 0 |  | 2,093.5 | 12.6 |
| Other asset-backed securities |  | 1,250.8 |  | 13.7 |  | (.2) |  | (.3) |  | 1,264.0 | 7.6 |
| Redeemable preferred stocks |  | 369.9 |  | 21.0 |  | (17.5) |  | 0 |  | 373.4 | 2.3 |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 11,723.6 |  | 395.3 |  | (47.5) |  | 4.5 |  | 12,075.9 | 72.7 |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 425.4 |  | 376.5 |  | (1.0) |  | (1.6) |  | 799.3 | 4.8 |
| Common equities |  | 1,494.9 |  | 591.1 |  | (30.9) |  | 0 |  | 2,055.1 | 12.4 |
| Short-term investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other short-term investments |  | 1,679.2 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1,679.2 | 10.1 |
| Total portfolio ${ }^{\text {2,3 }}$ | \$ | 15,323.1 | \$ | 1,362.9 | \$ | (79.4) | \$ | 2.9 | \$ | 16,609.5 | 100.0 \% |


| (\$ in millions) |  | Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses |  | Net <br> Realized Gains (Losses) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Fair <br> Value | \% of <br> Total <br> Fair <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government obligations | \$ | 2,881.1 | \$ | 80.3 | \$ | (.8) | \$ | 0 | \$ | 2,960.6 | 18.5 \% |
| State and local government obligations |  | 1,812.7 |  | 46.0 |  | (1.3) |  | 0 |  | 1,857.4 | 11.6 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 2,765.1 |  | 89.5 |  | (5.1) |  | 5.9 |  | 2,855.4 | 17.8 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 537.0 |  | 12.7 |  | (29.8) |  | 0 |  | 519.9 | 3.2 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 1,755.6 |  | 60.4 |  | (3.6) |  | 0 |  | 1,812.4 | 11.3 |
| Other asset-backed securities |  | 1,312.4 |  | 15.0 |  | (.8) |  | 1.1 |  | 1,327.7 | 8.3 |
| Redeemable preferred stocks |  | 435.6 |  | 28.0 |  | (8.5) |  | 0 |  | 455.1 | 2.8 |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 11,499.5 |  | 331.9 |  | (49.9) |  | 7.0 |  | 11,788.5 | 73.5 |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 495.5 |  | 534.8 |  | 0 |  | (.6) |  | 1,029.7 | 6.4 |
| Common equities |  | 1,379.8 |  | 494.7 |  | (6.6) |  | 0 |  | 1,867.9 | 11.7 |
| Short-term investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other short-term investments |  | 1,343.5 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1,343.5 | 8.4 |
| Total portfolio ${ }^{\text {2,3 }}$ | \$ | 14,718.3 | \$ | 1,361.4 | \$ | (56.5) | \$ | 6.4 | \$ | 16,029.6 | 100.0 \% |


| (\$ in millions) |  | Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Losses } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Net } \\ \text { Realized } \\ \text { Gains } \\ (\text { Losses })^{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ | \% of <br> Total <br> Fair <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government obligations | \$ | 2,842.7 | \$ | 120.3 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 2,963.0 | 18.6 \% |
| State and local government obligations |  | 1,938.6 |  | 64.1 |  | (.6) |  | 0 |  | 2,002.1 | 12.5 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 2,801.5 |  | 94.3 |  | (6.5) |  | 6.9 |  | 2,896.2 | 18.1 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 452.9 |  | 9.3 |  | (35.3) |  | 0 |  | 426.9 | 2.7 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 1,829.8 |  | 52.3 |  | (5.5) |  | 0 |  | 1,876.6 | 11.8 |
| Other asset-backed securities |  | 1,210.9 |  | 11.3 |  | (1.3) |  | (.3) |  | 1,220.6 | 7.6 |
| Redeemable preferred stocks |  | 379.3 |  | 18.6 |  | (24.0) |  | 0 |  | 373.9 | 2.3 |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 11,455.7 |  | 370.2 |  | (73.2) |  | 6.6 |  | 11,759.3 | 73.6 |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 473.7 |  | 342.6 |  | (3.7) |  | (6.3) |  | 806.3 | 5.1 |
| Common equities |  | 1,431.0 |  | 440.0 |  | (25.4) |  | 0 |  | 1,845.6 | 11.6 |
| Short-term investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other short-term investments |  | 1,551.8 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1,551.8 | 9.7 |
| Total portfolio ${ }^{\text {2,3 }}$ | \$ | 14,912.2 | \$ | 1,152.8 | \$ | (102.3) | \$ | . 3 | \$ | 15,963.0 | 100.0 \% |

[^1]Our other short-term investments include Eurodollar deposits, commercial paper, reverse repurchase transactions, and other investments that are expected to mature within one year.

Included in our fixed-maturity and equity securities are hybrid securities, which are reported at fair value:

|  | June 30, |  | December 31, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Corporate debt securities | $\$ 166.8$ | $\$ 230.5$ | $\$ 234.9$ |
| Other asset-backed securities | 15.9 | 16.5 | 15.5 |
| $\quad$ Total fixed maturities | 182.7 | 247.0 | 250.4 |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |
| $\quad$Nonredeemable preferred stocks 18.9 22.9 14.2 <br> $\quad$ Total hybrid securities $\$ 201.6$ $\$ 269.9$ $\$ 264.6$ |  |  |  |

Certain corporate debt securities are accounted for as hybrid securities since they were acquired at a substantial premium and contain a change-in-control put option (derivative) that permits the investor, at its sole option if and when a change in control is triggered, to put the security back to the issuer at a $1 \%$ premium to par. Due to this change-in-control put option and the substantial market premium paid to acquire these securities, there is the potential that the election to put, upon the change in control, could result in an acceleration of the remaining premium paid on these securities, which would result in a loss of $\$ 11.6$ million as of June 30 , 2012, if all of the bonds experienced a simultaneous change in control and we elected to exercise all of our put options. The put feature limits the potential loss in value that could be experienced in the event a corporate action occurs that results in a change in control which materially diminishes the credit quality of the issuer. We are under no obligation to exercise the put option we hold if a change in control occurs.

The other asset-backed security in the table above represents one hybrid security that was acquired at a deep discount to par due to a failing auction, and contains a put option that allows the investor to put that security back to the auction at par if the auction is restored. This embedded derivative has the potential to more than double our initial investment yield.

The hybrid securities in our nonredeemable preferred stock portfolio are perpetual preferred stocks that have call features with fixedrate coupons, whereby the change in value of the call features is a component of the overall change in value of the preferred stocks.

Our securities are reported at fair value, with the changes in fair value of these securities (other than hybrid securities and derivative instruments) reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of deferred income taxes. The changes in fair value of the hybrid securities and derivative instruments are recorded as a component of net realized gains (losses) on securities.

Fixed Maturities The composition of fixed maturities by maturity at June 30, 2012, was:

| (millions) | Cost |  | Fair Value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less than one year | $\$$ | $1,491.3$ | $\$$ | $1,514.0$ |
| One to five years |  | $8,722.4$ |  | $8,995.8$ |
| Five to ten years |  | $1,424.2$ |  | $1,479.3$ |
| Ten years or greater | 85.0 | 86.1 |  |  |
| Total $^{1}$ | $11,722.9$ | $\$$ | $12,075.2$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes $\$ 0.7$ million of gains on the open credit default swap position.

Asset-backed securities are classified in the maturity distribution table based upon their projected cash flows. All other securities which do not have a single maturity date are reported at expected average maturity. Contractual maturities may differ from expected maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to call or prepay obligations.

Gross Unrealized Losses As of June 30, 2012, we had $\$ 48.5$ million of gross unrealized losses in our fixed-income securities (i.e., fixed-maturity securities, nonredeemable preferred stocks, and short-term investments) and $\$ 30.9$ million in our common equities. We currently do not intend to sell the fixed-income securities and determined that it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell these securities during the periods of time necessary to recover their respective cost bases. A review of our fixed-income securities indicated that the issuers were current with respect to their interest obligations and that there was no evidence of any deterioration of the current cash flow projections that would indicate we would not receive the remaining principal at maturity. In addition, $94 \%$ of our common stock portfolio was indexed to the Russell 1000; as such, this portfolio may contain securities in a loss position for an extended period of time, subject to possible write-downs, as described below. We may retain these securities as long as the portfolio and index correlation remain similar. To the extent there is issuer specific deterioration, we may write-down the securities. The remaining $6 \%$ of our common stocks are part of a managed equity strategy selected and administered by an external investment advisor. If our strategy were to change and these securities were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired, we would recognize a write-down in accordance with our stated policy.

The following tables show the composition of gross unrealized losses by major security type and by the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

| (millions) | Total <br> Fair <br> Value |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Less than 12 Months |  |  |  | 12 Months or Greater |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |  | FairValue |  | Unrealized Losse |  |
| June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government obligations | \$ | 0 |  |  | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| State and local government obligations |  | 118.3 |  | (.5) |  | 95.3 |  | (.3) |  | 23.0 |  | (.2) |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 170.0 |  | (5.6) |  | 111.4 |  | (.4) |  | 58.6 |  | (5.2) |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 271.3 |  | (22.3) |  | 32.3 |  | (1.1) |  | 239.0 |  | (21.2) |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 73.5 |  | (1.4) |  | 39.9 |  | (.1) |  | 33.6 |  | (1.3) |
| Other asset-backed securities |  | 35.0 |  | (.2) |  | 20.0 |  | 0 |  | 15.0 |  | (.2) |
| Redeemable preferred stocks |  | 169.4 |  | (17.5) |  | 43.5 |  | (1.5) |  | 125.9 |  | (16.0) |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 837.5 |  | (47.5) |  | 342.4 |  | (3.4) |  | 495.1 |  | (44.1) |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 22.3 |  | (1.0) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 22.3 |  | (1.0) |
| Common equities |  | 229.0 |  | (30.9) |  | 173.4 |  | (17.8) |  | 55.6 |  | (13.1) |
| Total equity securities |  | 251.3 |  | (31.9) |  | 173.4 |  | (17.8) |  | 77.9 |  | (14.1) |
| Total portfolio | \$ | 1,088.8 | \$ | (79.4) | \$ | 515.8 | \$ | (21.2) | \$ | 573.0 | \$ | $\underline{\text { (58.2) }}$ |


|  | Total | Gross | Less than | 12 Months | 12 Month | or Greater |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (millions) | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses |

June 30, 2011
Fixed maturities:

| U.S. government obligations | $\$$ | 33.4 | $\$$ | $(.8)$ | $\$$ | 33.4 | $\$$ | $(.8)$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State and local government obligations |  | 163.3 |  | $(1.3)$ |  | 121.1 |  | $(.6)$ | 42.2 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 354.7 |  | $(5.1)$ |  | 330.3 |  | $(4.7)$ | 24.4 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 402.1 |  | $(29.8)$ |  | 185.4 |  | $(3.0)$ | 216.7 | $(.7)$ |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 220.9 |  | $(3.6)$ | 138.6 | $(26.8)$ | $(1.5)$ |  |  |  |
| Other asset-backed securities | 120.0 |  | $(.8)$ | 115.5 | $(.3)$ | 42.3 | $(1.5)$ |  |  |
| Redeemable preferred stocks | 161.7 | $(8.5)$ | 0 | 0 | $(.5)$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Total fixed maturities | $1,456.1$ | $(49.9)$ | 924.3 | $(11.5)$ | 531.8 | $(38.4)$ |  |  |  |

Equity securities:

| Nonredeemable preferred stocks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Common equities | 95.0 | $(6.6)$ | 94.7 | $(6.5)$ | .3 | $(.1)$ |  |
| Total equity securities | 95.0 | $(6.6)$ | 94.7 | $(6.5)$ | .3 | $(.1)$ |  |
| Total portfolio | $\$ 1,551.1$ | $\$$ | $(56.5)$ | $\$ 1,019.0$ | $\$$ | $(18.0)$ | $\$$ |


| (millions) | Total <br> Fair <br> Value |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Less than 12 Months |  |  |  | 12 Months or Greater |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  |
| December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government obligations | \$ | 0 |  |  | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| State and local government obligations |  | 93.6 |  | (.6) |  | 79.5 |  | (.5) |  | 14.1 |  | (.1) |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 262.7 |  | (6.5) |  | 137.3 |  | (4.6) |  | 125.4 |  | (1.9) |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 308.7 |  | (35.3) |  | 34.4 |  | (2.0) |  | 274.3 |  | (33.3) |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 203.7 |  | (5.5) |  | 161.4 |  | (3.5) |  | 42.3 |  | (2.0) |
| Other asset-backed securities |  | 284.2 |  | (1.3) |  | 259.7 |  | (1.0) |  | 24.5 |  | (.3) |
| Redeemable preferred stocks |  | 191.4 |  | (24.0) |  | 43.5 |  | (1.5) |  | 147.9 |  | (22.5) |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 1,344.3 |  | (73.2) |  | 715.8 |  | (13.1) |  | 628.5 |  | (60.1) |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 19.5 |  | (3.7) |  | 19.5 |  | (3.7) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Common equities |  | 214.6 |  | (25.4) |  | 196.7 |  | (23.1) |  | 17.9 |  | (2.3) |
| Total equity securities |  | 234.1 |  | (29.1) |  | 216.2 |  | (26.8) |  | 17.9 |  | (2.3) |
| Total portfolio | \$ | 1,578.4 | \$ | (102.3) | \$ | 932.0 | \$ | (39.9) | \$ | 646.4 | \$ | (62.4) |

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment (OTTI) The following table shows the total non-credit portion of the OTTI recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, reflecting the original non-credit loss at the time the credit impairment was determined:

|  | June 30, |  | December 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (millions) | 2012 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Residential mortgage-backed securities | $\$(44.2)$ | $\$(45.2)$ | $\$$ | $(44.8)$ |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | $(.9)$ | $(1.0)$ | $(1.0)$ |  |
| $\quad$ Total fixed maturities | $\$(45.1)$ | $\$(46.2)$ | $\$ \quad(45.8)$ |  |

The following tables provide rollforwards of the amounts related to credit losses recognized in earnings for the periods ended June 30 , 2012 and 2011, for which portions of the OTTI losses were also recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at the time the credit impairments were determined and recognized:

| (millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mortgage-Backed |  |  |  | Corporate |  |  |  |
|  | Residential Commercial |  |  |  | Debt |  | Total |  |
| Beginning balance at April 1, 2012 | \$ | 28.3 | \$ | . 8 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 29.1 |
| Credit losses for which an OTTI was previously recognized |  | . 2 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | . 2 |
| Credit losses for which an OTTI was not previously recognized |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Reductions for securities sold/matured |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Change in recoveries of future cash flows expected to be collected ${ }^{1}$ |  | (.4) |  | (.1) |  | 0 |  | (.5) |
| Reductions for previously recognized credit impairments written-down to fair value ${ }^{2}$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Ending balance at June 30, 2012 | \$ | 28.1 | \$ | . 7 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 28.8 |


| (millions) | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mortgage-Backed |  |  |  | Corporate |  |  |  |
|  | Residential Commercial |  |  |  | Debt |  | Total |  |
| Beginning balance at January 1, 2012 | \$ | 34.5 | \$ | 1.3 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 35.8 |
| Credit losses for which an OTTI was previously recognized |  | . 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | . 1 |
| Credit losses for which an OTTI was not previously recognized |  | . 2 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | . 2 |
| Reductions for securities sold/matured |  | 0 |  | (.2) |  | 0 |  | (.2) |
| Change in recoveries of future cash flows expected to be collected ${ }^{1}$ |  | (2.7) |  | (.1) |  | 0 |  | (2.8) |
| Reductions for previously recognized credit impairments written-down to fair value ${ }^{2}$ |  | (4.0) |  | (.3) |  | 0 |  | (4.3) |
| Ending balance at June 30, 2012 | \$ | 28.1 | \$ | . 7 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 28.8 |

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

| (millions) | Mortgage-Backed |  |  |  | Corporate <br> Debt |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance at April 1, 2011 | \$ | 34.5 | \$ | 1.0 | \$ | 6.5 | \$ | 42.0 |
| Credit losses for which an OTTI was previously recognized |  | 1.0 |  | . 2 |  | 0 |  | 1.2 |
| Credit losses for which an OTTI was not previously recognized |  | 1.0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1.0 |
| Reductions for securities sold/matured |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Change in recoveries of future cash flows expected to be collected ${ }^{1}$ |  | (.3) |  | (.3) |  | 0 |  | (.6) |
| Reductions for previously recognized credit impairments written-down to fair value ${ }^{2}$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Ending balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ | 36.2 | \$ | . 9 | \$ | 6.5 | \$ | 43.6 |

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

| (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mortgage-Backed |  |  |  | Corporate Debt |  | Total |  |
|  | Residential Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance at January 1, 2011 | \$ | 32.3 | \$ | 1.0 | \$ | 6.5 | \$ | 39.8 |
| Credit losses for which an OTTI was previously recognized |  | 1.0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1.0 |
| Credit losses for which an OTTI was not previously recognized |  | 1.1 |  | 4 |  | 0 |  | 1.5 |
| Reductions for securities sold/matured |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Change in recoveries of future cash flows expected to be collected ${ }^{1}$ |  | 2.9 |  | (.1) |  | 0 |  | 2.8 |
| Reductions for previously recognized credit impairments written-down to fair value ${ }^{2}$ |  | (1.1) |  | (.4) |  | 0 |  | (1.5) |
| Ending balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ | 36.2 | \$ | . 9 | \$ | 6.5 | \$ | 43.6 |

[^2]Although we determined that it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell the securities prior to the recovery of their respective cost bases (which could be maturity), we are required to measure the amount of credit losses on the securities that were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired. In that process, we considered a number of factors and inputs related to the individual securities. The methodology and significant inputs used to measure the amount of credit losses in our portfolio included: current performance indicators on the underlying assets (e.g., delinquency rates, foreclosure rates, and default rates), credit support (via current levels of subordination), and historical credit ratings. Updated cash flow expectations were also generated by our portfolio managers based upon these performance indicators. In order to determine the amount of credit loss, if any, the net present value of the cash flows expected (i.e., expected recovery value) was calculated using the current book yield for each security, and was compared to its current amortized value. In the event that the net present value was below the amortized value, a credit loss was deemed to exist, and the security was written down.

Trading Securities At June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, we did not hold any trading securities and did not have any net realized gains (losses) on trading securities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Derivative Instruments We have invested in the following derivative exposures at various times: interest rate swaps, asset-backed credit default swaps, U.S. corporate debt credit default swaps, cash flow hedges, and equity options.

For all derivative positions discussed below, realized holding period gains and losses are netted with any upfront cash that may be exchanged under the contract to determine if the net position should be classified either as an asset or liability. To be reported as an asset and a component of the available-for-sale portfolio, the inception-to-date realized gain on the derivative position at period end would have to exceed any upfront cash received (net derivative asset). On the other hand, a net derivative liability would include any inception-to-date realized loss plus the amount of upfront cash received (or netted, if upfront cash was paid) and would be reported as a component of other liabilities. These net derivative assets/liabilities are not separately disclosed on the balance sheet due to their immaterial effect on our financial condition, cash flows, and results of operations.

The following table shows the status of our derivative instruments at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; amounts are on a pretax basis:

| (millions) | Notional Value ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Purpose | Balance Sheet |  |  |  | Comprehensive Income Statement |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Assets (Liabilities) Fair Value | Net RealizedGains (Losses) on Securities |  |  |  |
|  | Jun | 30, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dec. } \\ \text { 31, } \end{array}$ |  |  | June 30, |  | Dec. 31, | Three months ended June 30, |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Six months } \\ \text { ended June 30, } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| designated as: | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 |  | Classification | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Hedging instruments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Closed: <br> Ineffective cash <br> flow hedge | \$30 | \$0 | \$15 |  | Manage interest rate risk | NA | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$. 3 | \$0 | \$. 6 | \$0 |
| Non-hedging instruments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets: <br> Corporate credit default swaps | 25 | 35 | 25 | Manage credit risk | Investments fixed maturities | 7 | . 9 | 7 | 1 | . 5 | (.3) | (.2) |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swaps | 1,263 | 1,013 | 1,263 | Manage portfolio duration | Other liabilities | (91.5) | (45.8) | (76.1) | (24.5) | (24.0) | (26.8) | (21.4) |
| Closed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swaps | 0 | 100 | 350 | Manage portfolio duration | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 5 |
| Total | NA | NA | NA |  |  | \$(90.8) | \$(44.9) | \$(75.4) | \$(24.1) | \$(23.5) | \$(26.5) | \$(21.1) |

NA= Not Applicable
${ }^{1}$ The amounts represent the value held at quarter and year end for open positions and the maximum amount held during the year for closed positions.

## CASH FLOW HEDGES

During the second quarter and first six months of 2012, and the second half of 2011, we repurchased, in the open market, $\$ 17.8$ million, $\$ 30.4$ million, and $\$ 15.0$ million, respectively, in aggregate principal amount of our $6.70 \%$ Fixed-to-Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2067 (the " $6.70 \%$ Debentures"). For the portion of the $6.70 \%$ Debentures we repurchased, we reclassified $\$ 0.3$ million, $\$ 0.6$ million, and $\$ 0.3$ million, in the respective periods, on a pretax basis, of the unrealized gain on forecasted transactions from accumulated other comprehensive income on the balance sheet to net realized gains on securities on the comprehensive income statement.

## INTEREST RATE SWAPS

During the periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, we invested in interest rate swap positions, primarily to manage the fixed-income portfolio duration. At June 30, 2012, we held a 9-year interest rate swap position (opened in 2009) and two 5 -year interest rate swap positions (opened in 2011); in each case, we are paying a fixed rate and receiving a variable rate, effectively shortening the duration of our fixed-income portfolio. We closed a portion of the 9 -year position during the first quarter 2011. The combined open positions have generated an aggregate realized loss, as interest rates have fallen since the inception of these positions. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, we delivered $\$ 101.3$ million, $\$ 63.4$ million, and $\$ 81.7$ million, respectively, in cash collateral to the applicable counterparty on these positions.

## CORPORATE CREDIT DEFAULT SWAPS

Financial Services Sector - During the periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, we held a position, which was opened during the third quarter 2008, on one corporate issuer within the financial services sector for which we bought credit default protection in the form of a credit default swap for a 5-year time horizon. We hold this protection to reduce some of our exposure to additional valuation declines on a preferred stock position of the same issuer. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we received $\$ 0.7$ million in cash collateral from the counterparty on this position; we received $\$ 0.2$ million in cash collateral as of June 30, 2011.

Automotive Sector - We held no credit default swaps in this sector at June 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011. During the period ended June 30, 2011, we held a position, which was opened during 2010 and closed during the third quarter 2011, where we sold credit protection in the form of a corporate credit default swap on one issuer in the automotive sector for a 5 -year time horizon. We would have been required to cover a $\$ 10$ million notional value if a credit event had been triggered, including failure to pay or bankruptcy by the issuer. We acquired an equal par value amount of U.S. Treasury Notes with a similar maturity to cover the credit default swap's notional exposure. As of June 30, 2011, the credit worthiness of the issuer was favorable and we received $\$ 1.0$ million in cash collateral from the counterparty on this position.

Note 3 Fair Value -- We have categorized our financial instruments, based on the degree of subjectivity inherent in the method by which they are valued, into a fair value hierarchy of three levels, as follows:

- Level 1: Inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments at the measurement date (e.g., U.S. government obligations, active exchange-traded equity securities, and certain short-term securities).
- Level 2: Inputs (other than quoted prices included within Level 1) that are observable for the instrument either directly or indirectly (e.g., certain corporate and municipal bonds and certain preferred stocks). This includes: (i) quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, (ii) quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, (iii) inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the instruments, and (iv) inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.
- Level 3: Inputs that are unobservable. Unobservable inputs reflect our subjective evaluation about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the financial instrument (e.g., certain structured securities and privately held investments).

Determining the fair value of the investment portfolio is the responsibility of management. As part of our responsibility, we evaluate whether a market is distressed or inactive in determining the fair value of our portfolio. We review certain market level inputs to evaluate whether sufficient activity, volume, and new issuances exist to create an active market. Based on this evaluation, we concluded that there was sufficient activity related to the sectors and securities for which we obtained valuations.

The composition of the investment portfolio by major security type was:

| (millions) | Fair Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Total |  |  |  |
| June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government obligations | \$ | 2,938.6 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 2,938.6 | \$ | 2,833.0 |
| State and local government obligations |  | 0 |  | 1,977.6 |  | 0 |  | 1,977.6 |  | 1,926.9 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 0 |  | 3,007.2 |  | 0 |  | 3,007.2 |  | 2,893.6 |
| Subtotal |  | 2,938.6 |  | 4,984.8 |  | 0 |  | 7,923.4 |  | 7,653.5 |
| Asset-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgage-backed |  | 0 |  | 367.1 |  | 54.5 |  | 421.6 |  | 429.2 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed |  | 0 |  | 2,070.3 |  | 23.2 |  | 2,093.5 |  | 2,020.2 |
| Other asset-backed |  | 0 |  | 1,262.9 |  | 1.1 |  | 1,264.0 |  | 1,250.8 |
| Subtotal asset-backed securities |  | 0 |  | 3,700.3 |  | 78.8 |  | 3,779.1 |  | 3,700.2 |
| Redeemable preferred stocks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financials |  | 0 |  | 130.3 |  | 0 |  | 130.3 |  | 120.7 |
| Utilities |  | 0 |  | 64.9 |  | 0 |  | 64.9 |  | 65.9 |
| Industrials |  | 0 |  | 178.2 |  | 0 |  | 178.2 |  | 183.3 |
| Subtotal redeemable preferred stocks |  | 0 |  | 373.4 |  | 0 |  | 373.4 |  | 369.9 |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 2,938.6 |  | 9,058.5 |  | 78.8 |  | 12,075.9 |  | 11,723.6 |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financials |  | 259.8 |  | 498.4 |  | 0 |  | 758.2 |  | 394.0 |
| Utilities |  | 0 |  | 41.1 |  | 0 |  | 41.1 |  | 31.4 |
| Industrials |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Subtotal nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 259.8 |  | 539.5 |  | 0 |  | 799.3 |  | 425.4 |
| Common equities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stocks |  | 2,042.9 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2,042.9 |  | 1,491.5 |
| Other equity-like investments |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 12.2 |  | 12.2 |  | 3.4 |
| Subtotal common equities |  | 2,042.9 |  | 0 |  | 12.2 |  | 2,055.1 |  | 1,494.9 |
| Total fixed maturities and equity securities |  | 5,241.3 |  | 9,598.0 |  | 91.0 |  | 14,930.3 |  | 13,643.9 |
| Short-term investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other short-term investments |  | 1,330.3 |  | 348.9 |  | 0 |  | 1,679.2 |  | 1,679.2 |
| Total portfolio | \$ | 6,571.6 | \$ | 9,946.9 | \$ | 91.0 | \$ | 16,609.5 | \$ | 15,323.1 |
| Debt | \$ | 0 | \$ | 2,342.2 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 2,342.2 | \$ | 2,062.8 |

Fair Value

| (millions) | Fair Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Total |  |  |  |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government obligations | \$ | 2,960.6 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 2,960.6 | \$ | 2,881.1 |
| State and local government obligations |  | 0 |  | 1,857.4 |  | 0 |  | 1,857.4 |  | 1,812.7 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 0 |  | 2,825.6 |  | 29.8 |  | 2,855.4 |  | 2,765.1 |
| Subtotal |  | 2,960.6 |  | 4,683.0 |  | 29.8 |  | 7,673.4 |  | 7,458.9 |
| Asset-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgage-backed |  | 0 |  | 448.4 |  | 71.5 |  | 519.9 |  | 537.0 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed |  | 0 |  | 1,785.9 |  | 26.5 |  | 1,812.4 |  | 1,755.6 |
| Other asset-backed |  | 0 |  | 1,323.2 |  | 4.5 |  | 1,327.7 |  | 1,312.4 |
| Subtotal asset-backed securities |  | 0 |  | 3,557.5 |  | 102.5 |  | 3,660.0 |  | 3,605.0 |
| Redeemable preferred stocks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financials |  | 24.5 |  | 129.8 |  | 0 |  | 154.3 |  | 136.7 |
| Utilities |  | 0 |  | 71.9 |  | 0 |  | 71.9 |  | 70.6 |
| Industrials |  | 0 |  | 228.9 |  | 0 |  | 228.9 |  | 228.3 |
| Subtotal redeemable preferred stocks |  | 24.5 |  | 430.6 |  | 0 |  | 455.1 |  | 435.6 |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 2,985.1 |  | 8,671.1 |  | 132.3 |  | 11,788.5 |  | 11,499.5 |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financials |  | 430.8 |  | 541.9 |  | 0 |  | 972.7 |  | 451.8 |
| Utilities |  | 0 |  | 54.1 |  | 0 |  | 54.1 |  | 40.7 |
| Industrials |  | 0 |  | 2.9 |  | 0 |  | 2.9 |  | 3.0 |
| Subtotal nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 430.8 |  | 598.9 |  | 0 |  | 1,029.7 |  | 495.5 |
| Common equities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stocks |  | 1,856.0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1,856.0 |  | 1,375.7 |
| Other equity-like investments |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 11.9 |  | 11.9 |  | 4.1 |
| Subtotal common equities |  | 1,856.0 |  | 0 |  | 11.9 |  | 1,867.9 |  | 1,379.8 |
| Total fixed maturities and equity securities | \$ | 5,271.9 | \$ | 9,270.0 | \$ | 144.2 |  | 14,686.1 |  | 13,374.8 |
| Short-term investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other short-term investments ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,343.5 |  | 1,343.5 |
| Total portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 16,029.6 | \$ | 14,718.3 |
| Debt ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,129.9 | \$ | $\underline{1,959.1}$ |


| (millions) | Fair Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Total |  |  |  |
| December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government obligations | \$ | 2,963.0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 2,963.0 | \$ | 2,842.7 |
| State and local government obligations |  | 0 |  | 2,002.1 |  | 0 |  | 2,002.1 |  | 1,938.6 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 0 |  | 2,896.2 |  | 0 |  | 2,896.2 |  | 2,801.5 |
| Subtotal |  | 2,963.0 |  | 4,898.3 |  | 0 |  | 7,861.3 |  | 7,582.8 |
| Asset-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgage-backed |  | 0 |  | 364.6 |  | 62.3 |  | 426.9 |  | 452.9 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed |  | 0 |  | 1,855.3 |  | 21.3 |  | 1,876.6 |  | 1,829.8 |
| Other asset-backed |  | 0 |  | 1,218.0 |  | 2.6 |  | 1,220.6 |  | 1,210.9 |
| Subtotal asset-backed securities |  | 0 |  | 3,437.9 |  | 86.2 |  | 3,524.1 |  | 3,493.6 |
| Redeemable preferred stocks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financials |  | 24.1 |  | 107.2 |  | 0 |  | 131.3 |  | 124.3 |
| Utilities |  | 0 |  | 68.1 |  | 0 |  | 68.1 |  | 70.8 |
| Industrials |  | 0 |  | 174.5 |  | 0 |  | 174.5 |  | 184.2 |
| Subtotal redeemable preferred stocks |  | 24.1 |  | 349.8 |  | 0 |  | 373.9 |  | 379.3 |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 2,987.1 |  | 8,686.0 |  | 86.2 |  | 11,759.3 |  | 11,455.7 |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financials |  | 227.9 |  | 525.4 |  | 0 |  | 753.3 |  | 433.7 |
| Utilities |  | 0 |  | 53.0 |  | 0 |  | 53.0 |  | 40.0 |
| Industrials |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Subtotal nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 227.9 |  | 578.4 |  | 0 |  | 806.3 |  | 473.7 |
| Common equities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stocks |  | 1,834.1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1,834.1 |  | 1,427.3 |
| Other equity-like investments |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 11.5 |  | 11.5 |  | 3.7 |
| Subtotal common equities |  | 1,834.1 |  | 0 |  | 11.5 |  | 1,845.6 |  | 1,431.0 |
| Total fixed maturities and equity securities | \$ | 5,049.1 | \$ | 9,264.4 | \$ | 97.7 |  | 14,411.2 |  | 13,360.4 |
| Short-term investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other short-term investments ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,551.8 |  | 1,551.8 |
| Total portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 15,963.0 | \$ | 14,912.2 |
| Debt ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,664.7 | \$ | 2,442.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Under the prior accounting guidance, fair value hierarchies were not required.

Our portfolio valuations classified as either Level 1 or Level 2 in the above tables are priced exclusively by external sources, including: pricing vendors, dealers/market makers, and exchange-quoted prices. During the second quarter 2012, we had one redeemable preferred security with a value of $\$ 25.0$ million that was transferred from Level 1 to Level 2 as it is no longer traded on an exchange. During the second quarter 2011, we had two nonredeemable preferred securities with an aggregate value of $\$ 74.9$ million that were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2 due to inconsistent exchange-trading volume. At December 31, 2011, we had one nonredeemable preferred security with a value of $\$ 44.2$ million that was transferred from Level 1 to Level 2 due to the lack of an exchange-quoted price at year-end. The exchange price was not available due to illiquidity in the market place. A consistent exchangequoted price was previously available for this security, and we will continue to monitor the security for future exchange trading volume. We recognize transfers between levels at the end of the reporting period.

Our short-term security holdings classified as Level 1 are considered highly liquid, actively marketed, and have a very short duration, primarily seven days or less to redemption. These securities are held at their original cost, adjusted for any amortization of discount or premium, since that value very closely approximates what an active market participant would be willing to pay for such securities. The remainder of our short-term securities are classified as Level 2 and are not priced externally since these securities continually trade at par value. These securities are classified as Level 2 since they are primarily longer-dated auction securities issued by municipalities that contain a redemption put feature back to the auction pool with a redemption period of less than seven days. The auction pool is created by a liquidity provider and if the auction is not available at the end of the seven days, we are able to put the security back to the state of issuance at par.

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, vendor-quoted prices represented $56 \%$ of our Level 1 classifications (excluding short-term investments), compared to $59 \%$ at December 31, 2011. The securities quoted by vendors in Level 1 represent our holdings in U.S. Treasury Notes, which are frequently traded and the quotes are considered similar to exchange-traded quotes. The balance of our Level 1 pricing comes from quotes obtained directly from trades made on active exchanges.

At June 30, 2012, vendor-quoted prices comprised $97 \%$ of our Level 2 classifications (excluding short-term investments), while dealer-quoted prices represented $3 \%$, compared to $98 \%$ and $2 \%$ and $96 \%$ and $4 \%$, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011, respectively. In our process for selecting a source (e.g., dealer, pricing service) to provide pricing for securities in our portfolio, we reviewed documentation from the sources that detailed the pricing techniques and methodologies used by these sources and determined if their policies adequately considered market activity, either based on specific transactions for the particular security type or based on modeling of securities with similar credit quality, duration, yield, and structure that were recently transacted. Once a source is chosen, we continue to monitor any changes or modifications to their processes by reviewing their documentation on internal controls for pricing and market reviews.

As part of our pricing procedures, we obtain quotes from more than one source to help us fully evaluate the market price of securities. However, our internal pricing policy is to use a consistent source for individual securities in order to maintain the integrity of our valuation process. Quotes obtained from the sources are not considered binding offers to transact. Under our policy, when a review of the valuation received from our selected source appears outside what is considered market level activity (which is defined as trading at spreads or yields significantly different than comparable securities or outside the general sector level movement without a reasonable explanation), we may use an alternate source's price. To the extent we determine that it is prudent to substitute one source's price for another, we will contact the initial source to obtain an understanding of the factors that may be contributing to the significant price variance, which often leads the source to adjust their pricing input data for future pricing.

To allow us to determine if our initial source is providing a price that is outside of a reasonable range, we review our portfolio pricing on a weekly basis. We frequently challenge prices from our sources when a price provided does not match our expectations based on our evaluation of market trends/activity. Initially, we perform a global review of our portfolio by sector to identify securities whose prices appear outside of a reasonable range. We refine our review to analyze prices by specific criteria, such as whether the security is investment or non-investment-grade, prime or sub-prime, or a consumer product (e.g., auto, credit card). Through this review, we try to determine what contributed to the price variances among sources by analyzing spread movement, comparable security trades, if available, or industry or specific issuer fundamentals. We review quality control measures of our sources as they become available to determine if any significant changes have occurred from period to period that might indicate issues/concerns regarding their evaluation or market coverage. We also review data assumptions as supplied by our sources to determine if that data is relevant to current market conditions. In addition, we independently review each sector for transaction volumes, new issuances, and changes in spreads, as well as the overall movement of interest rates along the yield curve to determine if sufficient activity and liquidity exists to provide a credible source for our market valuations.

During each valuation period, we create internal estimations of portfolio valuation (performance returns), based on current marketrelated activity (i.e., interest rate and credit spread movements and other credit-related factors) within each major sector of our portfolio. We compare our internally generated portfolio results with those generated based on quotes we received externally and research material valuation differences. We compare our results to index returns for each major sector adjusting for duration and credit quality differences to better understand our portfolio's results. Additionally, we review on a monthly basis our external sales transactions and compare the actual final market sales price to a previous market valuation price. This review provides us further validation that our pricing sources are providing market level prices, since we are able to explain significant price changes (i.e., greater than $2 \%$ ) as known events occur in the market place and affect a particular security's price at sale.

This analysis provides us additional comfort regarding the source's process, the quality of its review, and its willingness to improve its analysis based on feedback from clients. We believe this effort helps ensure that we are reporting the most representative fair values of our securities.

With limited exceptions, our Level 3 securities are also priced externally; however, due to several factors (e.g., nature of the securities, level of activity, and lack of similar securities trading to obtain observable market level inputs), these valuations are more subjective in nature. Certain private equity investments and fixed-income investments included in the Level 3 category are valued using external pricing supplemented by internal review and analysis.

After all the valuations are received and our review is complete, if the inputs used by vendors are determined to not contain sufficient observable market information, we will reclassify the affected security valuations to Level 3. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, securities in our fixed-maturity portfolio listed as Level 3 were comprised substantially of securities that were either: (i) private placement deals, (ii) thinly held and/or traded securities, or (iii) non-investment-grade securities with little liquidity. Based on these factors, it was difficult to independently verify observable market inputs that were used to generate the external valuations we received. At June 30, 2012, we had one private common equity security with a value of $\$ 11.2$ million that was priced internally. The same security had a value of $\$ 10.2$ million at both June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012, we did not have any securities in our fixed-maturity portfolio that were priced internally. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011, we had two fixed-maturity securities with aggregate values of $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 0.5$ million, respectively, that were priced internally. Despite the lack of sufficient observable market information, we believe the valuations received in conjunction with our procedures for evaluating third-party prices support the fair values as reported in the financial statements.

We review the prices from our external sources for reasonableness using internally developed assumptions to derive a price for the security, which is then compared to the price we received. Based on our review, all of the prices received from external sources remained unadjusted.

The following tables provide a summary of changes in fair value associated with Level 3 assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| (millions) | Level 3 Fair Value <br> Three months ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value at March 31, 2012 |  | Calls/ <br> Maturities/ Paydowns |  | Purchases |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Net } \\ \text { Realized } \\ \text { (gain)/loss } \end{array}$ |  |  | Change in <br> Valuation |  | in (out) |  | Fair value at June 30, 2012 |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgage-backed | \$ | 58.7 | \$ | (4.1) | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | (.1) | \$ | 0 | \$ | 54.5 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed |  | 22.8 |  | (.1) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | . 5 |  | 0 |  | 23.2 |
| Other asset-backed |  | 1.8 |  | (.7) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1.1 |
| Total asset-backed securities |  | 83.3 |  | (4.9) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | . 4 |  | 0 |  | 78.8 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 83.3 |  | (4.9) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | . 4 |  | 0 |  | 78.8 |

Equity securities:
Common equities:

| Other equity-like investments |  | 11.1 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1.1 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Level 3 securities | $\$$ | 94.4 | $\$$ | $(4.9)$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 1.5 | $\$$ |
| $-\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Level 3 Fair Value
Six months ended June 30, 2012

| (millions) | Fair Value at Dec. 31, 2011 |  | Calls/ <br> Maturities/ <br> Paydowns |  | Purchases |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ded June 30, } \\ \begin{array}{r} \text { Nealized } \\ \text { (gain)/loss } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | , |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Net } \\ \text { Transfers } \\ \text { in (out) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Fair value at June 30, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Change in <br> Valuation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgage-backed | \$ | 62.3 |  |  | \$ | (7.8) | \$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 54.5 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed |  | 21.3 |  | (.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2.0 |  | 0 |  | 23.2 |
| Other asset-backed |  | 2.6 |  | (1.5) |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1.1 |
| Total asset-backed securities |  | 86.2 |  | (9.4) |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2.0 |  | 0 |  | 78.8 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 86.2 |  | (9.4) |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2.0 |  | 0 |  | 78.8 |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common equities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other equity-like investments |  | 11.5 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | . 7 |  | 0 |  | 12.2 |
| Total Level 3 securities | \$ | 97.7 | \$ | (9.4) | \$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 2.7 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 91.0 |

Level 3 Fair Value
Three months ended June 30, 2011


## Level 3 Fair Value

Six months ended June 30, 2011


[^3]The following table provides a summary of the quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements for our applicable securities at June 30, 2012:

| (millions) | Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value at June 30, 2012 | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input | Unobservable <br> Input <br> Assumption |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |
| Asset-backed securities: <br> Residential mortgage-backed | \$ . 2 | External vendor | Prepayment rate ${ }^{1}$ | 16 |
| Total fixed maturities | . 2 |  |  |  |
| Equity securities: <br> Common equities: |  |  |  |  |
| Other equity-like investments | 11.2 | Discounted consolidated equity | Discount for lack of marketability | 20\% |
| Total | \$ 11.4 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ The 16 constant prepayment rate (CPR) assumes that $16 \%$ of the principal amount of the underlying loans will be paid off prematurely in each year.

The residential mortgage-backed security in the table above was previously priced internally; however, as of June 30, 2012, we began using an external vendor to price the security. The unobservable input assumption above was obtained from the vendor and the price we received at June 30, 2012 was consistent with our expectations. The quantitative table does not include securities whose Level 3 fair values are obtained from non-binding external sources where unobservable inputs are not reasonably available to us. Due to the relative size of the securities' fair values compared to the total portfolio's fair value, any changes in pricing methodology would not have a significant change in valuation that would materially impact other comprehensive income.

Note 4 Debt -- Debt consisted of:

| (millions) | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Carrying <br> Value |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Carrying <br> Value |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |  | Carrying <br> Value |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |
| 6.375\% Senior Notes due 2012 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 349.8 | \$ | 360.9 | \$ | 350.0 | \$ | 350.5 |
| 7\% Notes due 2013 |  | 149.8 |  | 160.7 |  | 149.7 |  | 167.6 |  | 149.7 |  | 162.4 |
| 3.75\% Senior Notes due 2021 |  | 497.2 |  | 540.1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 497.0 |  | 525.3 |
| $65 / 8 \%$ Senior Notes due 2029 |  | 295.1 |  | 378.3 |  | 294.9 |  | 349.1 |  | 295.0 |  | 364.4 |
| 6.25\% Senior Notes due 2032 |  | 394.4 |  | 502.2 |  | 394.3 |  | 444.1 |  | 394.4 |  | 492.4 |
| 6.70\% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2067 |  | 726.3 |  | 760.9 |  | 770.4 |  | 808.2 |  | 756.0 |  | 769.7 |
| Total | \$ | 2,062.8 | \$ | 2,342.2 | \$ | 1,959.1 | \$ | 2,129.9 | \$ | 2,442.1 | \$ | 2,664.7 |

During the second quarter and first six months of 2012, and the second half of 2011, we repurchased, in the open market, $\$ 17.8$ million, $\$ 30.4$ million, and $\$ 15.0$ million, respectively, in aggregate principal amount of our $6.70 \%$ Fixed-to-Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2067 (the " $6.70 \%$ Debentures"). Since the amount paid exceeded the carrying value of the debt we repurchased, we recognized losses on these extinguishments of $\$ 1.0$ million, $\$ 1.7$ million, and $\$ 0.1$ million for the second quarter and first six months of 2012 and the second half of 2011, respectively. In addition, for the portion of the $6.70 \%$ Debentures we repurchased, we reclassified $\$ 0.3$ million, $\$ 0.6$ million, and $\$ 0.3$ million, in the respective periods, on a pretax basis, of the unrealized gain on forecasted transactions from accumulated other comprehensive income on the balance sheet to net realized gains on securities on the comprehensive income statement.

In January 2012, we retired all $\$ 350$ million of our $6.375 \%$ Senior Notes at maturity.
On December 31, 2011, we entered into an amendment to the 364-Day Secured Liquidity Credit Facility Agreement ("Credit Facility Agreement") with PNC Bank, National Association (PNC), which extended the expiration date of our outstanding credit facility agreement until December 31, 2012, unless earlier terminated pursuant to the terms of the agreement. Under this agreement, we may borrow up to $\$ 125$ million, which may be increased to $\$ 150$ million at our request but subject to PNC's discretion. The purpose of the credit facility is to provide liquidity in the event of disruptions in our cash management operations, such as disruptions in the financial markets or related facilities that affect our ability to transfer or receive funds. Under this credit facility, we may borrow funds, on a revolving basis, either in the form of Eurodollar Loans or Base Rate Loans. Eurodollar Loans will bear interest at one-, two-, three-, or
six-month LIBOR (as selected by us) plus 50 basis points for the selected period. Base Rate Loans will bear daily interest at the greater of (a) PNC's prime rate for such day, (b) the federal funds effective rate for such day plus $1 / 2 \%$ per annum, or (c) one-month LIBOR plus $2 \%$ per annum. Any borrowings under this agreement will be secured by a lien on certain marketable securities held in our investment portfolio. We had no borrowings under this arrangement in 2011 or through the first six months of 2012.

In September 2011, we entered into an agreement with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, modifying the terms of our $6.70 \%$ Debentures. Pursuant to that agreement, among other changes, we surrendered our right to temporarily defer the payment of interest on the $6.70 \%$ Debentures and terminated a related obligation to reserve 250 million of our unissued common shares as a source of potential funding to pay any such deferred interest. The changes were effective immediately upon execution of the agreement. Prior to September 2011, and subject to certain conditions, we had the right to defer the payment of interest on our $6.70 \%$ Debentures for one or more periods not exceeding ten consecutive years each.

In August 2011, we issued $\$ 500$ million of $3.75 \%$ Senior Notes due 2021 (the " $3.75 \%$ Senior Notes"). We received proceeds of $\$ 497$ million, after deducting underwriter's discounts and commissions, and incurred an additional $\$ 1.0$ million of expenses related to the issuance. In addition, upon issuance of the $3.75 \%$ Senior Notes, we closed a forecasted debt issuance hedge, which was entered into to hedge against a possible rise in interest rates, and recognized a $\$ 5.1$ million pretax loss as part of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss); the loss will be recognized as an increase to interest expense and amortized over the life of the $3.75 \%$ Senior Notes.

Note 5 Income Taxes -- At June 30, 2012 and 2011 and December 31, 2011, we determined that we did not need a valuation allowance on our deferred tax asset. Although realization of the deferred tax asset is not assured, management believes that it is more likely than not that the gross deferred tax asset will be realized based on our expectation that we will be able to fully utilize the deductions that are ultimately recognized for tax purposes. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, there have been no material changes in our uncertain tax positions.

Note 6 Supplemental Cash Flow Information -- Cash includes only bank demand deposits. We paid the following in the respective periods:

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |  |
| Income taxes, net of refunds | $\$$ | 192.0 | $\$$ | 258.0 |
| Interest | 73.5 |  | 64.9 |  |

Note 7 Segment Information -- Our Personal Lines segment writes insurance for personal autos and recreational vehicles. Our Commercial Auto segment writes primary liability and physical damage insurance for automobiles and trucks owned by small businesses in the business auto, contractor, tow, for-hire specialty, and for-hire transportation markets. Our other indemnity businesses manage our run-off businesses, including the run-off of our professional liability insurance program for community banks. Our service businesses provide insurance-related services, including processing Commercial Auto Insurance Procedures/Plans ("CAIP") business and serving as an agent for homeowners, general liability, and workers' compensation insurance through our programs with unaffiliated insurance companies. All revenues are generated from external customers.

Following are the operating results for the respective periods:

| (millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |
|  | Revenues |  | Pretax <br> Profit (Loss) |  | Revenues |  | Pretax <br> Profit (Loss) |  |  Pretax <br> Profit <br> Revenues (Loss) |  |  |  | Revenues |  | Pretax <br> Profit <br> (Loss) |  |
| Personal Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency | \$ | 2,024.0 | \$ | 46.4 | \$ | 1,905.9 | \$ | 119.4 | \$ | 3,984.6 | \$ | 185.6 | \$ | 3,793.7 | \$ | 329.5 |
| Direct |  | 1,564.2 |  | 26.0 |  | 1,451.0 |  | 91.2 |  | 3,077.4 |  | 87.3 |  | 2,871.0 |  | 196.4 |
| Total Personal Lines ${ }^{1}$ |  | 3,588.2 |  | 72.4 |  | 3,356.9 |  | 210.6 |  | 7,062.0 |  | 272.9 |  | 6,664.7 |  | 525.9 |
| Commercial Auto |  | 407.6 |  | 24.1 |  | 361.6 |  | 34.1 |  | 794.9 |  | 53.5 |  | 717.3 |  | 75.2 |
| Other indemnity |  | . 3 |  | (1.4) |  | 1.4 |  | 0 |  | . 7 |  | (2.6) |  | 3.2 |  | (.6) |
| Total underwriting operations |  | 3,996.1 |  | 95.1 |  | 3,719.9 |  | 244.7 |  | 7,857.6 |  | 323.8 |  | 7,385.2 |  | 600.5 |
| Service businesses |  | 10.2 |  | . 3 |  | 6.0 |  | 1.2 |  | 18.4 |  | . 3 |  | 11.2 |  | 2.4 |
| Investments ${ }^{2}$ |  | 107.8 |  | 104.0 |  | 146.8 |  | 143.3 |  | 300.0 |  | 292.0 |  | 369.8 |  | 363.2 |
| Gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt |  | (1.0) |  | (1.0) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | (1.7) |  | (1.7) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Interest expense |  | NA |  | (30.7) |  | NA |  | (31.5) |  | NA |  | (62.6) |  | NA |  | (63.0) |
| Consolidated total | \$ | 4,113.1 | \$ | 167.7 | \$ | 3,872.7 | \$ | 357.7 | \$ | 8,174.3 | \$ | 551.8 | \$ | 7,766.2 | \$ | 903.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Personal auto insurance accounted for $91 \%$ of the total Personal Lines segment net premiums earned in all periods; insurance for our special lines products (e.g., motorcycles, ATVs, RVs, mobile homes, watercraft, and snowmobiles) accounted for the balance of the Personal Lines net premiums earned.
${ }^{2}$ Revenues represent recurring investment income and total net realized gains (losses) on securities; pretax profit is net of investment expenses.
NA $=$ Not Applicable
Progressive's management uses underwriting margin and combined ratio as primary measures of underwriting profitability. The underwriting margin is the pretax underwriting profit (loss) expressed as a percentage of net premiums earned (i.e., revenues from insurance operations). Combined ratio is the complement of the underwriting margin. Following are the underwriting margins and combined ratios for our underwriting operations:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
|  | Underwriting <br> Margin | Combined Ratio | Underwriting <br> Margin | Combined <br> Ratio | Underwriting Margin | Combined Ratio | Underwriting Margin | Combined Ratio |
| Personal Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency | 2.3 \% | 97.7 | 6.3 \% | 93.7 | 4.7 \% | 95.3 | 8.7 \% | 91.3 |
| Direct | 1.7 | 98.3 | 6.3 | 93.7 | 2.8 | 97.2 | 6.8 | 93.2 |
| Total Personal Lines | 2.0 | 98.0 | 6.3 | 93.7 | 3.9 | 96.1 | 7.9 | 92.1 |
| Commercial Auto | 5.9 | 94.1 | 9.4 | 90.6 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 10.5 | 89.5 |
| Other indemnity ${ }^{1}$ | NM | NM | NM | NM | NM | NM | NM | NM |
| Total underwriting operations | 2.4 | 97.6 | 6.6 | 93.4 | 4.1 | 95.9 | 8.1 | 91.9 |

[^4]Note 8 Dividends -- Progressive maintains a policy of paying an annual variable dividend that, if declared, would be payable shortly after the close of the year. This annual variable dividend is based on a target percentage of after-tax underwriting income multiplied by a companywide performance factor ("Gainshare factor"), subject to the limitations discussed below. The target percentage is determined by our Board of Directors on an annual basis and announced to shareholders and the public. For 2012, the Board has determined the target percentage to be $33-1 / 3 \%$ of annual after-tax underwriting income.

The Gainshare factor can range from zero to two and is determined by comparing our operating performance for the year to certain predetermined profitability and growth objectives approved by the Compensation Committee of the Board. This Gainshare factor is also used in the variable cash incentive program currently in place for our employees (referred to as our "Gainsharing program"). Although recalibrated every year, the structure of the Gainsharing program generally remains the same. On a year-to-date basis, as of June 30, 2012, the Gainshare factor was 1.29. Since the final factor will be determined based on our results for the full year, the final factor may vary from the current factor.

Our annual variable dividend program is subject to certain limitations. If the Gainshare factor is zero or if our after-tax comprehensive income (net income plus the after-tax change in net unrealized gains (losses) on securities, among other factors) is less than after-tax underwriting income, no dividend will be paid. Nevertheless, the declaration and amount of the dividend remains within the Board's discretion. If a dividend for 2012 will be paid, the Board would likely declare the 2012 annual dividend in December 2012, with a record date in January 2013 and payment shortly thereafter. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, our after-tax comprehensive income was $\$ 526.4$ million, which is higher than the $\$ 210.5$ million of after-tax underwriting income for the same period.

Progressive paid dividends per common share of $\$ .4072$ and $\$ .3987$ in February 2012 and 2011, respectively, under our annual variable dividend policy. These dividends were paid pursuant to declarations made by the Board of Directors in December 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Note 9 Litigation -- The Progressive Corporation and/or its insurance subsidiaries are named as defendants in various lawsuits arising out of claims made under insurance policies in the ordinary course of our business. We consider all legal actions relating to such claims in establishing our loss and loss adjustment expense reserves.

In addition, The Progressive Corporation and/or its insurance subsidiaries are named as defendants in a number of class action or individual lawsuits arising out of the operations of the insurance subsidiaries. These cases include those alleging damages as a result of our practices in evaluating or paying medical or injury claims or benefits, including, but not limited to, personal injury protection, medical payments, and bodily injury benefits; the utilization, content, or appearance of policy documents; labor rates paid to auto body repair shops; and cases challenging other aspects of our claims or marketing practices or other business operations. Other insurance companies face many of these same issues.

We plan to contest the outstanding suits vigorously, but may pursue settlement negotiations in some cases, if appropriate. We establish accruals for lawsuits when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and we can reasonably estimate its potential exposure, which may include a range of loss. As to lawsuits in which the loss is not considered both probable and estimable, we have not established a liability at this time. In the event that any one or more of these cases results in a substantial judgment against, or settlement by, Progressive, the resulting liability could have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition, cash flows, and/or results of operations.

For a further discussion on our pending litigation, see Note 12 - Litigation in our Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## I. OVERVIEW

During the second quarter 2012, we continued to experience solid growth in both premiums and policies, but profitability was down from last year. The Progressive Corporation's insurance subsidiaries generated net premiums written and policies in force growth of $8 \%$ and $6 \%$, respectively, on a year-over-year basis. Our underwriting profitability of $2.4 \%$, or $\$ 95.1$ million, was lower than the second quarter last year, reflecting higher loss costs due to an increase in severity and, to a lesser extent, frequency. During the second quarter, our investment operations produced investment income of $\$ 112.5$ million and we also recognized $\$ 4.7$ million of net realized losses on securities. Overall, we reported net income of $\$ 118.6$ million, or $\$ .19$ per share, for the second quarter 2012. Our total capital position (debt plus equity) increased $\$ 19.0$ million during the quarter, to $\$ 8.3$ billion at June 30, 2012.

## A. Operations

During the second quarter 2012, we realized a year-over-year increase in net premiums written of $8 \%$ on a companywide basis. Our Agency and Direct Personal Lines businesses grew $6 \%$ and $7 \%$, respectively, and our Commercial Auto business grew $15 \%$. Premium growth reflects a combination of new business applications (i.e., issued policies), premium per policy (i.e., rates), and customer retention.

On a quarter-over-prior-year quarter basis, Personal Lines new applications increased 2\%, with 7\% growth in our Agency auto business and $1 \%$ growth in the Direct auto business, offset by a $4 \%$ decline in our special lines new applications. Rate competitiveness in several of our key Agency auto states has helped strengthen conversion in this channel. Despite slower new business growth in our Direct channel, we continue to view our advertising and media placement as a critical component of our new customer generation. We will continue to take advantage of the brand assets we have developed in both the "Superstore" and the "Messenger" campaigns, and intend to add fresh and appealing messages to present the consumer with compelling reasons to select and retain Progressive.

Both our Agency and Direct businesses contributed to the 5\% increase in our Personal Lines renewal applications. The Personal Lines increase in part reflects our retention efforts, which include further penetration into multi-product households.

In our Commercial Auto business, new applications increased 6\%, led by an increase in our for-hire transportation business market target. Our Commercial Auto renewal applications were flat, compared to the second quarter last year, partially reflecting the reduction in policies in force last year.

In addition to our efforts to further penetrate customer households through cross-selling products, we remain focused on several other programs/initiatives we have that are designed to help stimulate growth and provide consumers with distinctive insurance options.
These programs include:

- Snapshot ${ }^{\circledR}$, our usage-based insurance product - in addition to promoting Snapshot to our current customers, in July, we launched a national rollout of a program to offer all consumers the opportunity to test drive Snapshot and see the discount they would receive relative to Progressive's pre-Snapshot rate
- Name Your Price ${ }^{\circledR}$ - a tool that allows consumers to name or select the price they would like to pay for auto insurance and match it to a range of available coverage combinations
- New product models in both our Personal Lines and Commercial Auto businesses - these models are designed to improve competitiveness with advanced segmentation and product features, and
- Additional functionality in the mobile device space - our mobile functionality includes:
- a feature that enables customers in certain states to purchase insurance for up to three drivers and three vehicles directly from their mobile devices after receiving a quote
- the nationwide rollout of a mobile quoting application for our Commercial Auto business and special lines products
- an application available in certain states with the ability to use the camera in a mobile device to send a photo of a driver's license and/or insurance card, along with some additional information, to get an instantaneous quote, and
- expansion of our agent offerings on tablet computers, including full quote/buy capabilities.

After years of flat to slightly lower average personal auto premiums, we began to see written premium per policy increase during the second quarter. In light of the rising claims costs, we started increasing rates earlier this year and expect to continue to raise rates during the third quarter. On a year-over-year basis, for the second quarter 2012, written premium per policy increased $1 \%$ in both our Agency and Direct auto businesses. Commercial Auto saw premiums per policy increase about $10 \%$ for the second quarter 2012, primarily reflecting rate increases taken during both 2011 and the first half of 2012 and shifts in our mix of business to higher average premium policies. Written premium per policy for our special lines products was down $1 \%$, driven largely by older average model years of motorcycles insured. Adjusting rates is an ongoing process, and we will continue to evaluate future rate needs and react quickly as we recognize changing trends.

On a companywide basis, year-over-year, we grew policies in force $6 \%$, with Personal Lines growing $6 \%$ and Commercial Auto increasing 3\%. Our Agency auto business contributed to this increase with policies in force growth of $6 \%$, or 276,000 additional policies. In our Direct auto business, policies in force grew $7 \%$, or 265,200 policies, over last June. With a 5\% increase in our special lines policies over last year, we ended the second quarter with nearly 12.9 million Personal Lines policyholders.

To further grow policies in force, it is critical that we retain our customers for longer periods, which is why increasing retention continues to be one of our most important priorities and why our efforts to increase the number of multi-product households continues to be a key initiative. Policy life expectancy, which is our actuarial estimate of the average length of time that a policy will remain in force before cancellation or lapse in coverage, is one measure of customer retention. Policy life expectancy for our Agency auto business increased about 6\% over the same time last year. Our policy life expectancy for our Direct auto business, our Commercial Auto business, and our special lines products was relatively flat compared to last year.

Our $2.4 \%$ companywide underwriting profit margin for the second quarter 2012 was below our target of 4\%. On a quarter-over-prior-year-quarter basis, our margin decreased 4.2 percentage points, reflecting a higher loss ratio. During the second quarter 2012, we experienced $\$ 22.8$ million, or 0.6 points, of unfavorable prior accident year reserve development, compared to $\$ 52.0$ million, or 1.4 points, of favorable reserve development in the second quarter last year. Slightly more than half of the unfavorable reserve development reflected in our second quarter 2012 results was in our Personal Lines Agency business, with the balance primarily in Commercial Auto. On a year-over-year basis, for the second quarter 2012, our personal auto business experienced increases in incurred severity and, to a lesser extent, frequency, particularly in liability coverages. On a companywide basis, we have raised our auto rates an average of nearly $3 \%$ through June and expect to raise rates further during the third quarter to offset increasing claims costs as we focus on our 96 calendar year combined ratio target.

## B. Investments and Capital Management

The fair value of our investment portfolio was $\$ 16.6$ billion at June 30, 2012. Our asset allocation strategy is to maintain 0-25\% of our portfolio in Group I securities, with the balance $(75 \%-100 \%)$ of our portfolio in Group II securities. We define Group I securities to include:

- common equities
- nonredeemable preferred stocks
- redeemable preferred stocks, except for $50 \%$ of investment-grade redeemable preferred stocks with cumulative dividends, and
- all other non-investment-grade fixed-maturity securities

Group II securities include:

- short-term securities, and
- all other fixed-maturity securities

At June 30, 2012, $21 \%$ of our portfolio was allocated to Group I securities and $79 \%$ to Group II securities. We use the credit ratings from models provided by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) for classifying our residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities, while all other debt securities derive their credit ratings from external vendors in determining whether securities should be classified as Group I or Group II.

At June 30, 2012, we held no foreign sovereign debt. We held $\$ 686.9$ million of U.S. dollar-denominated corporate bonds, preferred stocks (redeemable and nonredeemable), and other asset-backed securities issued by companies that are domiciled, or whose parent companies are domiciled, in European countries. Of these securities, $\$ 558.5$ million are corporate bonds from U.K. and other European companies primarily in the consumer, industrial, energy, and communications industries; $\$ 6.0$ million are U.K.-domiciled other asset-backed securities; $\$ 99.2$ million are U.K.-domiciled financial institution preferred stocks (redeemable and nonredeemable); and $\$ 23.2$ million is a nonredeemable preferred stock in BBVA, a Spanish-domiciled financial institution. We also held $\$ 0.7$ million ( $\$ 25$ million notional value) of credit protection on BBVA. Our total direct exposure to Southern European-domiciled companies, including the BBVA nonredeemable preferred stock, was $\$ 64.0$ million at June 30, 2012. In total, our European-domiciled securities represent approximately 4\% of our portfolio at June 30, 2012.

Our investment portfolio produced a fully taxable equivalent (FTE) total return of $0.3 \%$ for the second quarter 2012. In our fixedincome and common stock portfolios, we experienced FTE total returns of $0.7 \%$ and (2.9)\%, respectively. At June 30, 2012, the fixedincome portfolio had a weighted average credit quality of AA-. We continue to maintain our fixed-income portfolio strategy of investing in high-quality securities. At June 30, 2012, our duration was 1.9 years to limit the potential loss of capital in the event of an increase in interest rates from their present low levels.

At June 30, 2012, our total capital (debt plus equity) was $\$ 8.3$ billion, compared to $\$ 8.2$ billion at December 31, 2011, and our debt-tototal capital ratio decreased to $24.7 \%$ from $29.6 \%$ at year-end 2011. During the first six months of 2012, we retired $\$ 350$ million of our $6.375 \%$ Senior Notes at maturity in January, repurchased $\$ 30.4$ million in principal amount of our $6.70 \%$ Fixed-to-Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2067 (the " $6.70 \%$ Debentures"), and repurchased 4.0 million of our common shares at a total cost of $\$ 83.0$ million (average cost of $\$ 20.74$ per share). We continue to manage our investing and financing activities in order to maintain sufficient capital to support all of the insurance we can profitably underwrite and service.

## II. FINANCIAL CONDITION

## A. Liquidity and Capital Resources

Progressive's insurance operations create liquidity by collecting and investing premiums from new and renewal business in advance of paying claims. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, operations generated positive cash flows of $\$ 1.1$ billion and $\$ 1.0$ billion, respectively.

We held total capital (debt plus equity) of $\$ 8.3$ billion, at book value, at both June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 8.2$ billion at December 31, 2011.

Based upon our capital planning and forecasting efforts, we believe that we have sufficient capital resources, cash flows from operations, and borrowing capacity to support our current and anticipated business, scheduled principal and interest payments on our debt, and expected capital requirements. The covenants on our existing debt securities do not include any rating or credit triggers that would require an adjustment of the interest rate or an acceleration of principal payments in the event our securities are downgraded by a rating agency.

We seek to deploy capital in a prudent manner and use multiple data sources and modeling tools to estimate the frequency, severity, and correlation of identified exposures, including, but not limited to, catastrophic losses, natural disasters, and other significant business interruptions to estimate our potential capital needs.

Management views our capital position as consisting of three layers, each with a specific size and purpose:

- The first layer of capital, which we refer to as "regulatory capital," is the amount of capital we need to satisfy state insurance regulatory requirements and support our objective of writing all the business we can write and service, consistent with our underwriting discipline of achieving a 96 combined ratio. This capital is held by our various insurance entities.
- The second layer of capital we call "extreme contingency." While our regulatory capital is, by definition, a cushion for absorbing financial consequences of adverse events, such as loss reserve development, litigation, weather catastrophes, or investment market corrections, we view that as a base and hold additional capital for even more extreme conditions. The modeling used to quantify capital needs for these conditions is quite extensive, including tens of thousands of simulations, representing our best estimates of such contingencies based on historical experience. This capital is held either at a noninsurance subsidiary of the holding company or in our insurance entities, where it is potentially eligible for a dividend up to the holding company. Regulatory restrictions on subsidiary dividends are discussed in Note 8 - Statutory Financial Information in our Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2011.
- The third layer of capital is capital in excess of the sum of the first two layers and provides maximum flexibility to repurchase stock or other securities, consider acquisitions, and pay dividends to shareholders, among other purposes. This capital is largely held at a non-insurance subsidiary of the holding company.

During the first six months of 2012 and at all times during 2011, our total capital exceeded the sum of our regulatory capital layer plus our self-constructed extreme contingency load.

The amount of capital in our third layer was at a level that allowed our Board of Directors to take several actions to return underleveraged capital to our investors, including:

- Repurchases of our outstanding debt securities. From time to time, we may elect to repurchase our outstanding debt securities in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, when management believes that such securities are attractively priced and capital is available for such a purpose. During the second quarter and first six months of 2012, and second half of 2011, we repurchased, in the open market, $\$ 17.8$ million, $\$ 30.4$ million, and $\$ 15.0$ million, respectively, in principal amount of our $6.70 \%$ Debentures.
- Repurchases of our common shares. In accordance with our financial policies, we continued our practice of repurchasing our common shares. In June 2011, the Board of Directors approved an authorization to repurchase up to 75 million common shares; we have 46.7 million shares remaining under this authorization. The following table shows our share repurchase activity during the respective periods:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (millions, except per share amounts) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 2.1 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| Total number of shares purchased | $\$ 45.3$ | $\$ 282.9$ |  | 4.0 | $\$ 83.0$ | $\$ 420.9$ |
| Total cost | $\$ 21.21$ | $\$ 21.26$ |  | $\$ 20.74$ | $\$ 20.93$ |  |

- Declaration of dividends. As part of our capital strategy, in December 2011, we declared a dividend of $\$ .4072$ per share under our annual variable dividend policy, which was paid in February 2012.

In January 2012, we retired $\$ 350$ million of our $6.375 \%$ Senior Notes at maturity. Our next scheduled debt maturity is $\$ 150$ million of our 7\% Notes due October 2013.

During the third quarter 2011, we issued $\$ 500$ million of $3.75 \%$ Senior Notes due 2021 (the " $3.75 \%$ Senior Notes"). We received proceeds of $\$ 497$ million, after deducting underwriter's discounts and commissions, and incurred an additional $\$ 1.0$ million of expenses related to the issuance. In addition, upon issuance of the $3.75 \%$ Senior Notes, we closed a forecasted debt issuance hedge, which was entered into to hedge against a possible rise in interest rates, and recognized a $\$ 5.1$ million pretax loss as part of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss); the loss will be recognized as an increase to interest expense and amortized over the life of the $3.75 \%$ Senior Notes.

## Short-Term Borrowings

During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and throughout 2011, we did not engage in short-term borrowings to fund our operations. As discussed above, our insurance operations create liquidity by collecting and investing insurance premiums in advance of paying claims. Information concerning our insurance operations can be found below under Results of Operations - Underwriting, and details about our investment portfolio can be found below under Results of Operations - Investments. In addition, we have $\$ 125$ million available under a secured line of credit that is described in further detail in Note 4 - Debt. The line of credit is intended to provide liquidity in the event of disruptions in our cash management operations; we have never borrowed under this line of credit.

During 17 days in the second quarter 2012, we engaged in repurchase agreements under which we loaned U.S. Treasury securities to accredited brokerage firms in exchange for cash equal to the fair value of the securities, as described in more detail below under Results of Operations - Investments; Repurchase and Reverse Repurchase Transactions. These investment transactions were entered into to enhance the yield from our fixed-income portfolio and not as a source of liquidity or funding for our operations. We had no open repurchase commitments at June 30, 2012 or 2011, or December 31, 2011.

## B. Commitments and Contingencies

## Contractual Obligations

During the first six months of 2012, our contractual obligations have not changed materially from those discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements

Our off-balance-sheet leverage includes derivative positions, operating leases, and purchase obligations. See the "Derivative Instruments" section of Note 2-Investments and of this Management's Discussion and Analysis for a summary of our derivative activity since year-end 2011. There have been no material changes in the other off-balance-sheet items since the discussion in the notes to the financial statements in Progressive's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## III. RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - UNDERWRITING

## A. Growth

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$ in millions) | 2012 |  |  | 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 2012 |  | 2011 | \% Change |
| NET PREMIUMS WRITTEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency | \$ | 2,097.4 | \$ | 1,973.6 | 6 | \$ | 4,173.9 | \$ | 3,943.8 | 6 |
| Direct |  | 1,561.2 |  | 1,454.2 | 7 |  | 3,217.7 |  | 3,005.3 | 7 |
| Total Personal Lines |  | 3,658.6 |  | 3,427.8 | 7 |  | 7,391.6 |  | 6,949.1 | 6 |
| Commercial Auto |  | 470.5 |  | 409.2 | 15 |  | 900.0 |  | 787.9 | 14 |
| Other indemnity |  | 0 |  | 0 | NM |  | 0 |  | 0 | NM |
| Total underwriting operations | \$ | 4,129.1 | \$ | 3,837.0 | 8 | \$ | 8,291.6 | \$ | 7,737.0 | 7 |
| NET PREMIUMS EARNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency | \$ | 2,024.0 | \$ | 1,905.9 | 6 | \$ | 3,984.6 | \$ | 3,793.7 | 5 |
| Direct |  | 1,564.2 |  | 1,451.0 | 8 |  | 3,077.4 |  | 2,871.0 | 7 |
| Total Personal Lines |  | 3,588.2 |  | 3,356.9 | 7 |  | 7,062.0 |  | 6,664.7 | 6 |
| Commercial Auto |  | 407.6 |  | 361.6 | 13 |  | 794.9 |  | 717.3 | 11 |
| Other indemnity |  | . 3 |  | 1.4 | (79) |  | . 7 |  | 3.2 | (78) |
| Total underwriting operations | \$ | 3,996.1 | \$ | 3,719.9 | 7 | \$ | 7,857.6 | \$ | 7,385.2 | 6 |

## NM = Not Meaningful

Net premiums written represent the premiums generated from policies written during the period less any premiums ceded to reinsurers. Net premiums earned, which are a function of the premiums written in the current and prior periods, are earned as revenue over the life of the policy using a daily earnings convention.

Policies in force, our preferred measure of growth, represents all policies under which coverage was in effect as of the end of the period specified. As of June 30, our policies in force were:

| (thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | \% <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| POLICIES IN FORCE |  |  |  |
| Personal Lines: | $4,884.2$ | $4,608.2$ | 6 |
| Agency auto | $4,036.5$ | $3,771.3$ | 7 |
| Direct auto | $8,920.7$ | $8,379.5$ | 6 |
| Total auto | $3,972.0$ | $3,796.8$ | 5 |
| Special lines $^{1}$ | $12,892.7$ | $12,176.3$ | 6 |
| Total Personal Lines | 525.0 | 512.0 | 3 |
| Commercial Auto |  |  |  |

[^5]To analyze growth, we review new policies, rate levels, and the retention characteristics of our books of business. The following table shows our year-over-year changes in new and renewal applications (i.e., issued policies):

|  | Growth Over Prior Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarter |  |  | Year-to-date |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| APPLICATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Lines: | $2 \%$ | $(3) \%$ |  | $4 \%$ | $(2) \%$ |
| New | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Renewal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Auto: | $0 \%$ | $(4) \%$ |  | $7 \%$ | $(5) \%$ |
| New | $0 \%$ | $(1) \%$ |  | $(1) \%$ | $(1) \%$ |

Our Personal Lines business had an increase in new applications for both the second quarter and first six months of 2012, compared to last year, led by solid increases in our Agency auto business. These increases were primarily due to growth in several large states, reflecting previous actions to make our rates more competitive. Our Direct auto business had increases in new applications, but to a lesser extent than the Agency channel. Our Commercial Auto business also experienced a solid increase in new applications for both the second quarter and first six months of 2012, driven by an increase in new applications in our for-hire transportation business market target, and a significant increase in new applications in Florida, our second largest Commercial Auto state, compared to the same periods last year. We remain committed to our advertising campaigns, product enhancements, and brand-building efforts in order to stimulate new business in all channels.

We have several initiatives underway aimed at providing consumers with distinctive auto insurance options, including the rollout of personal auto product models, which began in 2010, and further refines our segmentation and incorporates the best design elements of the Agency and Direct auto products. As of June 30, 2012, these products have been rolled out to 45 jurisdictions, including two states added during the second quarter. We plan to extend the rollout to two additional states, which will substantially complete the rollout of these product models.

In the second quarter 2012, we continued the expansion of Snapshot ${ }^{\circledR}$, our usage-based insurance product. Snapshot was made available in two additional states in the second quarter, bringing the total number of Direct markets to 43. Agency auto customers have access to Snapshot in 36 of those 43 jurisdictions. We plan to expand Snapshot into additional states, depending on regulatory approval and business results. During July, we launched our national rollout of a program to offer all consumers the opportunity to test drive Snapshot to encourage many more people to consider Progressive for their auto insurance needs. We expect this option will encourage people to shop for auto insurance when they might not have otherwise done so.

We are also continuing with our efforts to further penetrate customer households through cross-selling auto policies with our special lines products and vice versa, as well as through Progressive Home Advantage ${ }^{\circledR}$. Progressive Home Advantage, the program in which we "bundle" our auto product with property insurance provided by one of six unaffiliated insurance carriers (including one carrier added in the second quarter 2012), is becoming an integral part of our consumer offerings. This program is currently available to Direct customers in 48 states, Agency customers in 36 states, and to both Direct and Agency customers in the District of Columbia. Progressive Home Advantage is not available to customers in Florida and Alaska. In the first quarter 2012, we scaled back the number of states in which we offer Progressive Home Advantage to new Agency customers until we determine which combination of these unaffiliated carriers are best suited to offer this program through independent agents. During the second quarter, we signed a letter of intent to acquire an indirect, non-controlling interest in American Strategic Insurance, our primary Progressive Home Advantage provider for Agency customers, subject to the completion of appropriate due diligence and the negotiation and execution of a binding purchase agreement. These multi-product customers are an important part of our strategic agenda, since they tend to stay with us longer, have better loss experience, and represent a sizable segment of the market.

Improving our offerings in the mobile space remains an important initiative. Consumers have the ability to obtain a quote and buy an auto insurance policy on our mobile website in 42 states and the District of Columbia. In April 2012, we began to offer the ability to quote up to three drivers and three vehicles on mobile devices in ten states. We plan to make this multi-driver, multi-vehicle feature available in all states in the near future. In the first quarter 2012, we also launched a feature in 28 states that allows consumers to use the camera in their mobile device to photograph their driver license, and/or current insurance card, to provide easy data fill for an instantaneous quote; we plan to rollout this feature in 14 additional states during the remainder of the year. In addition, policyholders are able to make payments and add certain endorsements from their mobile device, as well as receive identification cards and severe weather text alerts. Furthermore, much of our agency-dedicated website is now accessible to agents from most tablet computers, including quote/buy, servicing, and reporting capabilities. We expect to add new functionality to our mobile site and mobile applications over the remainder of the year.

We also continued the national rollout of a product model in our Commercial Auto business that began in 2011. This model, which expands our coverage offerings, simplifies the quoting and claims experience, and provides incentives for customers to stay with us longer, is available in 31 states, including 10 states added in the second quarter 2012. We plan to continue the rollout to our remaining 18 Commercial Auto business states over the rest of this year and into early next year. We also offer our Commercial Auto customers general liability coverage in 49 states and workers' compensation coverage in 14 states through our Progressive Commercial Advantage ${ }^{\text {SM }}$ program; these products are underwritten by four unaffiliated insurance companies.

We experienced the following changes in written premium per policy:

|  | Growth Over Prior Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarter |  | Year-to-date |  |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| WRITTEN PREMIUM PER POLICY |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Lines - auto | $1 \%$ | 0 \% | $1 \%$ | (1)\% |
| Commercial Auto | $10 \%$ | 4 \% | $10 \%$ | 2 \% |

During both the second quarter and first six months of 2012, written premium per policy for our personal auto business increased $1 \%$, while Commercial Auto was up significantly, compared to the same periods in 2011. As a result of rising claims costs driven primarily by increased severity, we started increasing rates earlier this year and expect to continue to raise rates during the third quarter. For our Commercial Auto business, rate increases taken since the beginning of 2011 and continuing throughout the first six months of 2012 , as well as shifts in our mix of business, contributed to the increase in written premium per policy. Adjusting rates is a continuous process and we will continue to evaluate future rate needs and react quickly as we recognize changing trends. See below for additional discussion on written premium per policy for our Agency and Direct auto channels and our Commercial Auto business.

Another important element affecting growth is customer retention. One measure of retention is policy life expectancy, which is our actuarial estimate of the average length of time that a policy (including any renewals) will remain in force before cancellation or lapse in coverage. The following table shows our year-over-year changes in policy life expectancy:

| Growth Over Prior Year |
| :---: |
|  |

POLICY LIFE EXPECTANCY
Personal Lines:

| Auto | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Special lines | $1 \%$ | $(1) \%$ |
| mmercial Auto | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

The lengthening policy life expectancies in our personal auto business in part reflect our state and payment mix, as well as rate level competitiveness in our Agency business. Policy life expectancy for our special lines products and our Commercial Auto business remained relatively flat, compared to last year. Realizing the importance that retention has on our ability to continue to grow profitably, we continue to emphasize competitive pricing, quality service, and other retention initiatives for our customers.

## B. Profitability

Profitability for our underwriting operations is defined by pretax underwriting profit, which is calculated as net premiums earned less losses and loss adjustment expenses, policy acquisition costs, and other underwriting expenses. We also use underwriting profit margin, which is underwriting profit expressed as a percentage of net premiums earned, to analyze our results. For the respective periods, our underwriting profitability results were as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
|  | Underwriting <br> Profit (Loss) |  |  | Underwriting Profit (Loss) |  |  | Underwriting Profit (Loss) |  |  | Underwriting Profit (Loss) |  |  |
| Personal Lines |  | \$ | Margin |  | \$ | Margin |  | \$ | Margin |  | \$ | Margin |
| Agency | \$ | 46.4 | 2.3 \% | \$ | 119.4 | 6.3 \% | \$ | 185.6 | 4.7 \% | \$ | 329.5 | 8.7 \% |
| Direct |  | 26.0 | 1.7 |  | 91.2 | 6.3 |  | 87.3 | 2.8 |  | 196.4 | 6.8 |
| Total Personal Lines |  | 72.4 | 2.0 |  | 210.6 | 6.3 |  | 272.9 | 3.9 |  | 525.9 | 7.9 |
| Commercial Auto |  | 24.1 | 5.9 |  | 34.1 | 9.4 |  | 53.5 | 6.7 |  | 75.2 | 10.5 |
| Other indemnity ${ }^{1}$ |  | (1.4) | NM |  | 0 | NM |  | (2.6) | NM |  | (.6) | NM |
| Total underwriting operations | \$ | 95.1 | 2.4 \% | \$ | 244.7 | 6.6 \% | \$ | 323.8 | 4.1 \% | \$ | 600.5 | 8.1 \% |

${ }^{1}$ Underwriting margins for our other indemnity businesses are not meaningful (NM) due to the low level of premiums earned by, and the variability of loss costs in, such businesses.

On a year-over-year basis, our underwriting margin decreased 4.2 and 4.0 percentage points for the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively. Unfavorable loss reserve development this year, compared to favorable development in 2011, contributed to almost half of the decrease in underwriting profitability for the second quarter and about $75 \%$ of the year-to-date variance. We also experienced a year-over-year increase in auto claims severity, which contributed to our lower underwriting margins in both periods.

Further underwriting results for our Personal Lines business, including its channel components, the Commercial Auto business, and our underwriting operations in total, were as follows:

| Underwriting Performance ${ }^{1}$ | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | Change | 2012 | 2011 | Change |
| Personal Lines - Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss \& loss adjustment expense ratio | 77.0 | 72.5 | 4.5 pts. | 74.5 | 70.2 | 4.3 pts. |
| Underwriting expense ratio | 20.7 | 21.2 | (.5) pts. | 20.8 | 21.1 | (.3) pts. |
| Combined ratio | 97.7 | 93.7 | 4.0 pts. | 95.3 | 91.3 | 4.0 pts. |
| Personal Lines - Direct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss \& loss adjustment expense ratio | 76.1 | 71.0 | 5.1 pts. | 73.9 | 70.4 | 3.5 pts. |
| Underwriting expense ratio | 22.2 | 22.7 | (.5) pts. | 23.3 | 22.8 | . 5 pts. |
| Combined ratio | 98.3 | 93.7 | 4.6 pts. | 97.2 | 93.2 | 4.0 pts. |
| Total Personal Lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss \& loss adjustment expense ratio | 76.6 | 71.8 | 4.8 pts . | 74.2 | 70.3 | 3.9 pts. |
| Underwriting expense ratio | 21.4 | 21.9 | (.5) pts. | 21.9 | 21.8 | . 1 pts. |
| Combined ratio | 98.0 | 93.7 | 4.3 pts . | 96.1 | 92.1 | 4.0 pts. |
| Commercial Auto |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss \& loss adjustment expense ratio | 71.8 | 68.6 | 3.2 pts. | 70.5 | 67.1 | 3.4 pts. |
| Underwriting expense ratio | 22.3 | 22.0 | . 3 pts. | 22.8 | 22.4 | . 4 pts. |
| Combined ratio | 94.1 | 90.6 | 3.5 pts. | 93.3 | 89.5 | 3.8 pts . |
| Total Underwriting Operations ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss \& loss adjustment expense ratio | 76.2 | 71.5 | 4.7 pts. | 73.9 | 70.0 | 3.9 pts. |
| Underwriting expense ratio | 21.4 | 21.9 | (.5) pts. | 22.0 | 21.9 | .1 pts. |
| Combined ratio | 97.6 | 93.4 | 4.2 pts. | 95.9 | 91.9 | 4.0 pts. |
| Accident year loss \& loss adjustment expense ratio ${ }^{3}$ | 75.6 | 72.9 | 2.7 pts. | 73.0 | 72.0 | 1.0 pts . |

${ }^{1}$ Ratios are expressed as a percentage of net premiums earned.
${ }^{2}$ Combined ratios for the other indemnity businesses are not presented separately due to the low level of premiums earned by, and the variability of loss costs in, such businesses. These businesses generated an underwriting loss of $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 0$ for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and $\$ 2.6$ million and $\$ 0.6$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively; see the "Other Indemnity" section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis for further discussion.
${ }^{3}$ The accident year ratio includes only the losses that occurred during the period noted. As a result, accident period results will change over time as our estimates of loss costs improve or deteriorate when payments are made or reserves for that accident period are reviewed.

## Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses (LAE)

| (millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |
| Change in net loss and LAE reserves | \$ | 198.4 | \$ | 60.0 | \$ | 298.8 | \$ | 34.3 |
| Paid losses and LAE |  | 2,845.3 |  | 2,600.9 |  | 5,507.3 |  | 5,134.7 |
| Total incurred losses and LAE | \$ | 3,043.7 | \$ | 2,660.9 | \$ | 5,806.1 | \$ | 5,169.0 |

Claims costs, our most significant expense, represent payments made, and estimated future payments to be made, to or on behalf of our policyholders, including expenses needed to adjust or settle claims. Claims costs are a function of loss severity and frequency and are influenced by inflation and driving patterns, among other factors. Accordingly, anticipated changes in these factors are taken into account when we establish premium rates and loss reserves. Our estimated needed reserves are adjusted as these underlying assumptions change.

Our total loss and loss adjustment expense ratio increased 4.7 points and 3.9 points, respectively, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same periods in 2011. Unfavorable reserve development in 2012, compared to favorable development in 2011, contributed to this increase. On an accident year basis, our companywide loss and loss adjustment expense ratio increased 2.7 points for the second quarter and 1.0 point year-to-date, compared to last year, primarily reflecting year-over-year increases in the severity of personal auto claims for all coverages except comprehensive.

The following discussion of our severity and frequency trends excludes comprehensive coverage because of its inherent volatility, as it is typically linked to catastrophic losses generally resulting from adverse weather. Comprehensive coverage insures against damage to a customer's vehicle due to various causes other than collision, such as windstorm, hail, theft, falling objects, and glass breakage.

Total personal auto incurred severity (i.e., average cost per claim, including both paid losses and the change in reserves) increased about $6 \%$ for both the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the prior year periods. Increases in severity in most of our auto coverages were in the $5 \%-7 \%$ range, including bodily injury, personal injury protection ("PIP"), property damage, and collision. In response to the rising severity trends we experienced in the first half of 2012, we have raised aggregate rates in our personal auto business nearly $3 \%$ year-to-date through June 2012, and implemented rate increases in about 20 states in July, with more planned throughout the remainder of the third quarter. It is a challenge to estimate future severity, especially for bodily injury and PIP claims, but we continue to monitor changes in the underlying costs, such as medical costs, health care reform, and jury verdicts, along with regulatory changes, and other factors that may affect severity.

Our incurred frequency of auto accidents, on a calendar year basis, increased for the second quarter 2012, but remained relatively flat for the first half of 2012, compared to the same periods last year. On a year-over-year basis, frequency for our collision coverage was up about $1 \%$ in the second quarter 2012, but was down about $5 \%$ for the first six months, which is related to the mild winter weather experienced in the northern states in first quarter 2012. Frequency in our property damage and PIP coverages increased in the $2 \%-3 \%$ range for the second quarter and about $0.5 \%$ year-to-date 2012 . Frequency for our bodily injury coverage was up about $5 \%-6 \%$ for both periods, compared to 2011. Despite the year-over-year increases in frequency, our frequency levels are equivalent to, or lower than, those experienced in the first half of 2010. We continue to closely monitor the increases in frequency in the second quarter 2012, compared to 2011, but the degree or direction of frequency change is not something that we are able to predict with any certainty. We will analyze trends to distinguish changes in our experience from external factors, such as changes in the number of vehicles per household, miles driven, gasoline prices, greater vehicle safety, and unemployment rates, versus those resulting from shifts in the mix of our business, to allow us to reserve more accurately for our loss exposure.

We experienced severe weather conditions in several areas of the country during both the second quarter 2012 and 2011. Despite the number of storms being consistent between the years, the amount of losses incurred was lower this year. The following table shows catastrophe losses incurred during the periods:

| (millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |
| Catastrophe losses incurred | \$ 107.4 | \$ | 124.9 | \$ | 123.4 | \$ | 135.0 |
| Increase to combined ratio | 2.7 pts. |  | 3.4 pts. |  | 1.6 pts . |  | 1.8 pts . |

We continue to respond promptly to catastrophic storms when they occur in order to provide exemplary claims service to our customers.

The table below presents the actuarial adjustments implemented and the loss reserve development experienced in the following periods:

| (millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
| ACTUARIAL ADJUSTMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve decrease/(increase) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior accident years | \$ | 7.8 | \$ | 46.4 |  | \$ | 34.8 |  | \$ | 92.5 |  |
| Current accident year |  | (10.3) |  | 22.0 |  |  | (3.2) |  |  | 24.8 |  |
| Calendar year actuarial adjustment | \$ | (2.5) | \$ | 68.4 |  | \$ | 31.6 |  | \$ | 117.3 |  |
| PRIOR ACCIDENT YEARS DEVELOPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Favorable/(Unfavorable) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuarial adjustment | \$ | 7.8 | \$ | 46.4 |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 92.5 |  |
| All other development |  | (30.6) |  | 5.6 |  |  | 101.9 |  |  | 58.5 |  |
| Total development | \$ | (22.8) | \$ | 52.0 |  | \$ | (67.1 |  | \$ | 151.0 |  |
| (Increase)/decrease to calendar year combined ratio |  | (.6) pts. |  | 1.4 | pts. |  |  | pts. |  | 2.0 | pts. |

Total development consists of both actuarial adjustments and "all other development." The actuarial adjustments represent the net changes made by our actuarial department to both current and prior accident year reserves based on regularly scheduled reviews. Through these reviews, our actuaries identify and measure variances in the projected frequency and severity trends, which allows them to adjust the reserves to reflect the current costs. We report these actuarial adjustments separately for the current and prior accident years to reflect these adjustments as part of the total prior accident years' development.
"All other development" represents claims settling for more or less than reserved, emergence of unrecorded claims at rates different than anticipated in our incurred but not recorded (IBNR) reserves, and changes in reserve estimates on specific claims. Although we believe that the development from both the actuarial adjustments and "all other development" generally results from the same factors, as discussed below, we are unable to quantify the portion of the reserve development that might be applicable to any one or more of those underlying factors.

Our objective is to establish case and IBNR reserves that are adequate to cover all loss costs, while incurring minimal variation from the date that the reserves are initially established until losses are fully developed. As reflected in the table above, we experienced unfavorable development in the first six months of 2012, compared to favorable development for the same period in 2011.

Year-to-date 2012

- The majority of the unfavorable reserve development was attributable to accident year 2011; the aggregate reserve development for accident years 2009 and prior was favorable.
- Nearly $80 \%$ of our unfavorable reserve development was in our Personal Lines Agency business, with the remainder primarily in our Commercial Auto business.
- The Personal Lines development reflected unfavorable reserve development in our personal auto business, including IBNR reserves in the bodily injury and property damage coverages, reflecting more late emerging claims than expected, as well as higher severity on those late emerging claims in the property damage coverage. We also had unfavorable reserve development in Florida in our PIP coverage. In addition, our estimates of bodily injury severity for accident years 2011 and 2010 increased over $2 \%$ and about $0.5 \%$, respectively, contributing to the total unfavorable development for 2012.

Year-to-date 2011

- Nearly half of the favorable prior year reserve development was attributable to accident year 2010, while the remainder was primarily related to accident years 2008 and prior.
- Approximately $70 \%$ of our favorable reserve development was in our Personal Lines business, with our Agency and Direct channels contributing about $25 \%$ and $75 \%$, respectively.
- The reserve development reflected favorable settlement of claims with high limits (e.g., claims settling for less than reserved) and favorable development of our defense and cost containment expense reserves, primarily reflecting a greater percentage of litigated claims being handled in a more cost-effective manner by our in-house counsel. We also experienced favorable development in our collision reserves, due to an increase in the amount of salvage recovered, and in our PIP reserves, reflecting a reduction in severity costs, due to changes in our mix of business by policy limits and coverages. In contrast, our IBNR reserves developed unfavorably due to an increase in the number of late emerging claims.

We continue to focus on our loss reserve analysis, attempting to enhance accuracy and to further our understanding of our loss costs. A detailed discussion of our loss reserving practices can be found in our Report on Loss Reserving Practices, which was filed in a Form 8-K on June 30, 2011.

## Underwriting Expenses

Progressive's other underwriting expenses and policy acquisition costs as a percentage of premiums earned decreased 0.5 points for the second quarter 2012 and remained relatively unchanged for the first six months of 2012, compared to the same periods last year.

## C. Personal Lines

Net premiums written

| Growth over prior year |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Quarter | Year-to-date |
| $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
|  | $6 \%$ |

Policies in force (at June 30)
Progressive's Personal Lines business writes insurance for personal autos and recreational vehicles and represented $89 \%$ of our total net premiums written in both the second quarter and first six months of 2012 and in the second quarter 2011, compared to $90 \%$ for the first six months of 2011. We currently write our Personal Lines products in all 50 states. We also offer our personal auto product (not special lines products) in the District of Columbia and on an Internet-only basis in Australia.

Personal auto represented $86 \%$ and $90 \%$ of our total Personal Lines net premiums written in the second quarter and first six months of 2012 , respectively, compared to $86 \%$ and $89 \%$, respectively, for the corresponding periods in 2011 . These auto policies are primarily written for 6 -month terms. The remaining Personal Lines business is comprised of special lines products (e.g., motorcycles, watercraft, and RVs), which are written for 12-month terms. Compared to June 30, 2011, policies in force grew $6 \%$ for auto and $5 \%$ for special lines products. In addition, on a year-over-year basis, for the second quarter and first six months of 2012, net premiums written increased $8 \%$ and $7 \%$, respectively, for auto, and net premiums written for our special lines products increased $2 \%$ in both periods.

Our total Personal Lines business generated combined ratios of 98.0 and 96.1 for the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, compared to 93.7 and 92.1 , respectively, last year. In the second quarter 2012, 37 states and the District of Columbia were profitable, including 8 of our 10 largest states; 45 states and the District of Columbia were profitable year-to-date 2012, including 9 of 10 of our largest states. The special lines products had an unfavorable impact on the total Personal Lines combined ratio for the second quarter 2012 and 2011 of 0.3 points and 0.5 points, respectively, compared to a favorable effect of 1.2 points and 1.3 points for the first six months of 2012 and 2011, respectively. The special lines products are typically used more during the warmer weather months and, therefore, historically our Personal Lines combined ratio is higher during the second and third quarters.

The Personal Lines business is comprised of the Agency business and the Direct business.

## The Agency Business

Net premiums written
Net premiums earned
Auto: policies in force (at June 30)
new applications
renewal applications
written premium per policy
policy life expectancy (at June 30)

| Growth over prior year |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | Year-to-date |
| $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
|  | $6 \%$ |
| $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
|  | $6 \%$ |

The Agency business includes business written by more than 35,000 independent insurance agencies that represent Progressive, as well as brokerages in New York and California. On a year-over-year basis, for both the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, we generated new Agency auto application growth in 26 states and the District of Columbia; 5 of our top 10 Agency auto states experienced an increase for the quarter and 4 of our top 10 experienced an increase year to date. For both the second quarter and first six months of 2012, as compared to the same periods last year, written premium per policy for Agency auto increased $1 \%-2 \%$ for both new and renewal business. The increase in retention in our Agency auto business (measured by policy life expectancy) in part reflects changes in our state mix, payment mix, and rate level competitiveness.

On a year-over-year basis, we saw an increase in Agency auto quotes in both the second quarter and first six months of 2012, reflecting solid increases in quoting on third-party comparative rating systems. We strive to continually improve our presentation on these systems and identify opportunities to ensure our prices are available for our agents, including efforts to make agent quoting and servicing available on tablet computers. Our Agency auto rate of conversion (i.e., converting a quote to a sale) increased modestly for both the second quarter and first six months of 2012 over the same periods last year.

## The Direct Business

Net premiums written
Net premiums earned
Auto: policies in force (at June 30)
new applications
renewal applications
written premium per policy
policy life expectancy (at June 30)

| Growth over prior year |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Quarter | Year-to-date |
| $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $8 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
|  | $7 \%$ |
| $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
|  | $0 \%$ |

The Direct business includes business written directly by Progressive online and over the phone. For the second quarter 2012, we experienced a year-over-year increase in new Direct auto applications in 24 states; 4 of our top 10 Direct auto states experienced an increase. On a year-to-date basis, the Direct auto business had new application growth in 25 states, including 6 of our top 10 states.

Written premium per policy for total Direct auto increased about $1 \%$ for both the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same periods last year, driven by an increase of about $4 \%$ for new business in both periods; written premium per policy was relatively flat for renewal business.

Direct business quotes decreased $3 \%$ and $4 \%$ for the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, driven by decreases in Internet quotes. Quotes generated via the phone slightly increased for both the second quarter and first six months of 2012. Quotes that initially begin on a mobile device may be completed on the phone, which partially explains the decrease in Internet quotes and the increase in phone quotes. The overall Direct business conversion rate increased modestly for the second quarter 2012 and had a solid increase for the first six months of 2012, compared to the same periods last year, reflecting increases in conversion rates for both Internet-initiated business and business initiated over the phone.

We remain focused on establishing a well-respected brand and added to our inventory of television commercials and Internet advertising. We continue to use "Flo" and the "Superstore" to provide fresh and engaging messages, and have used a complementary campaign with the "Messenger" to supplement our advertising initiatives. In addition, during 2012, we have continued to invest in the infrastructure of both our mobile capabilities and our usage-based insurance products.

## D. Commercial Auto

|  | Growth over prior year |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | Quarter | Year-to-date |
| Net premiums written | $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Net premiums earned | $13 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Policies in force (at June 30) |  | $3 \%$ |
| New applications | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Renewal applications | $0 \%$ | $(1) \%$ |
| Written premium per policy | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Policy life expectancy (at June 30) |  | $1 \%$ |

Progressive's Commercial Auto business writes primary liability, physical damage, and other auto-related insurance for automobiles and trucks owned by small businesses, with the majority of our customers insuring three or fewer vehicles. For both the second quarter and first six months of 2012, our Commercial Auto business represented $11 \%$ of our total net premiums, compared to $11 \%$ and $10 \%$, respectively, for the same periods last year. This business is primarily distributed through independent agents and operates in the following business market targets:

- Business auto - autos, vans, and pick-up trucks used by small businesses, such as retailing, farming, services, and private trucking
- For-hire transportation - tractors, trailers, and straight trucks primarily used by regional general freight and expeditor-type businesses and non-fleet long-haul operators
- Contractor - vans, pick-up trucks, and dump trucks used by small businesses, such as artisan contractors, heavy construction, and landscapers/snowplowers
- For-hire specialty - dump trucks, log trucks, and garbage trucks used by dirt, sand and gravel, logging, and coal-type businesses, and
- Tow - tow trucks and wreckers used in towing services and gas/service station businesses.

Business auto and for-hire transportation are the two largest Commercial Auto business market targets measured by premium volume, and together account for approximately $60 \%$ of our total Commercial Auto premiums and approximately $55 \%$ of the vehicles we insure in this business. We currently write our Commercial Auto business in 49 states; we do not write Commercial Auto in Hawaii or the District of Columbia. The majority of our policies in this business are written for 12-month terms.

Our Commercial Auto business generated double digit growth in net premiums written for both the second quarter and first six months of 2012, compared to last year, continuing the growth we began to see in the second half of 2011 and showing encouraging signs of possible recovery from the economic downturn that has significantly impacted this business over the last several years. The growth in net premiums written for the second quarter and year-to-date 2012 was driven by $6 \%-7 \%$ increases in new applications and $10 \%$ increases in written premium per policy. We have been increasing rates in our Commercial Auto business since the beginning of 2011 and into 2012 and continued to see shifts in our mix of business toward business market targets with higher average premium.

## E. Other Indemnity

Our other indemnity businesses consist of managing our run-off businesses, which include the run-off of our professional liability businesses. As of April 30, 2012, we are no longer writing any new professional liability business; the business written for these products during 2012 and 2011 was $100 \%$ reinsured.

## F. Service Businesses

Our service businesses, which represent less than $1 \%$ of our total revenues and do not have a material effect on our overall operations, primarily include:

- Commercial Auto Insurance Procedures/Plans (CAIP) - We are the only servicing carrier on a nationwide basis for CAIP, which are state-supervised plans servicing the involuntary market. As a service provider, we provide policy issuance and claims adjusting services and collect fee revenue that is earned on a pro rata basis over the terms of the related policies.
- Progressive Home Advantage ${ }^{\circledR}$ - Through Progressive Home Advantage, we offer, either directly or through our network of independent agents, new and existing Agency and Direct customers home, condominium, and renters insurance underwritten by unaffiliated homeowner's insurance companies. Progressive Home Advantage is not available to customers in Florida and Alaska. For the policies written under this program in our Direct business, we receive commissions, all of which are used to offset the expenses associated with maintaining this program.
- Progressive Commercial Advantage $e^{S M}$ - We currently offer our Commercial Auto customers the ability to package their auto coverage with other commercial coverages that are underwritten by four unaffiliated insurance companies or placed with other companies through an unaffiliated agency. This program offers general liability coverage in 49 states and workers’ compensation coverage in 14 states as of June 30, 2012. We receive commissions for the policies written under this program, all of which are used to offset the expenses associated with maintaining the program.

Our service businesses generated an operating profit of $\$ 0.3$ million for both the second quarter and first six months of 2012, compared to an operating profit of $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 2.4$ million for the same periods last year.

## G. Income Taxes

As reported in the balance sheets, income taxes are comprised of net current income taxes payable/recoverable and net deferred tax assets and liabilities. A deferred tax asset/liability is a tax benefit/expense that is expected to be realized in a future tax return. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, and at December 31, 2011, our income taxes were in a net asset position.

Our net deferred tax asset was $\$ 127.0$ million at June 30, 2012, compared to $\$ 98.9$ million at June 30, 2011, and $\$ 196.0$ million at December 31, 2011. The increase in our net deferred tax asset since June 30, 2011, is primarily due to the increase in our unearned premium reserve, a portion of which is not currently deductible for tax purposes, while the decrease since December 31, 2011 is mostly attributable to the increase in unrealized gains that occurred in our investment portfolio. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, and at December 31, 2011, we determined that we did not need a valuation allowance on our deferred tax asset. Although realization of the deferred tax asset is not assured, management believes it is more likely than not that the gross deferred tax asset will be realized based on our expectation that we will be able to fully utilize the deductions that are ultimately recognized for tax purposes.

There were no material changes in our uncertain tax positions during the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

## IV. RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - INVESTMENTS

## A. Portfolio Allocation

The composition of the investment portfolio was:

| (\$ in millions) |  | Fair <br> Value | $\%$ of <br> Total <br> Portfolio | Duration (years) | Rating ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities | \$ | 12,075.9 | 72.7 \% | 2.2 | AA- |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 799.3 | 4.8 | 1.0 | BBB- |
| Short-term investments - other |  | 1,679.2 | 10.1 | <1 | AA+ |
| Total fixed-income securities |  | 14,554.4 | 87.6 | 1.9 | AA- |
| Common equities |  | 2,055.1 | 12.4 | na | na |
| Total portfolio ${ }^{2,3}$ | \$ | 16,609.5 | 100.0 \% | 1.9 | AA- |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities | \$ | 11,788.5 | 73.5 \% | 2.2 | AA |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 1,029.7 | 6.4 | 1.0 | BBB- |
| Short-term investments - other |  | 1,343.5 | 8.4 | <1 | AAA- |
| Total fixed-income securities |  | 14,161.7 | 88.3 | 2.0 | AA- |
| Common equities |  | 1,867.9 | 11.7 | na | na |
| Total portfolio ${ }^{2,3}$ | \$ | 16,029.6 | 100.0 \% | 2.0 | AA- |
| December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities | \$ | 11,759.3 | 73.6 \% | 2.1 | AA- |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 806.3 | 5.1 | 1.1 | BBB- |
| Short-term investments - other |  | 1,551.8 | 9.7 | <1 | AA+ |
| Total fixed-income securities |  | 14,117.4 | 88.4 | 1.9 | AA- |
| Common equities |  | 1,845.6 | 11.6 | na | na |
| Total portfolio ${ }^{2,3}$ | \$ | 15,963.0 | 100.0 \% | 1.9 | AA- |

na $=$ not applicable

[^6]The following tables show the composition of our Group I and Group II securities at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011:

| (\$ in millions) | Fair <br> Value | \% of <br> Total <br> Portfolio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| June 30, 2012 |  |  |
| Group I securities: |  |  |
| $\quad$ Non-investment-grade fixed maturities | $\$$ | 391.0 |
| $\quad 290.8$ | $2.3 \%$ |  |
| $\quad$ Redeemable preferred stocks ${ }^{1}$ | 799.3 | 4.8 |
| $\quad$ Nonredeemable preferred stocks | $2,055.1$ | 12.4 |
| $\quad$ Common equities | $3,536.2$ | 21.3 |
| $\quad$ Total Group I securities | $11,394.1$ | 68.6 |
| Group II securities: | $1,679.2$ | 10.1 |
| $\quad$ Other fixed maturities ${ }^{2}$ | $13,073.3$ | 78.7 |
| Short-term investments - other | $\$ 16,609.5$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Total Group II securities |  |  |

June 30, 2011
Group I securities:

| Non-investment-grade fixed maturities | $\$$ | 454.6 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Redeemable preferred stocks $^{1}$ | 335.6 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks | $1,029.7$ | 6.4 |
| Common equities | $1,867.9$ | 11.7 |
| Total Group I securities | $3,687.8$ | 23.0 |
| Group II securities: |  |  |
| Other fixed maturities ${ }^{2}$ | $10,998.3$ | 68.6 |
| Short-term investments - other $_{\text {Total Group II securities }} \quad 1,343.5$ | 8.4 |  |
| Total portfolio | $12,341.8$ | 77.0 |
|  | $\$$ | $16,029.6$ |

December 31, 2011

| Group I securities: |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Non-investment-grade fixed maturities | $\$$ | 384.4 |
| Redeemable preferred stocks $^{1}$ | 287.8 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 1.8 |
| Common equities | $1,845.3$ | 5.1 |
| Total Group I securities | $3,324.1$ | 11.6 |
| Group II securities: |  | 20.9 |
| Other fixed maturities ${ }^{2}$ | $11,087.1$ | 69.4 |
| Short-term investments - other | $1,551.8$ | 9.7 |
| Total Group II securities | $12,638.9$ | 79.1 |
| Total portfolio | $\$$ | $15,963.0$ |

[^7]
## Unrealized Gains and Losses

As of June 30, 2012, our portfolio had pretax net unrealized gains, recorded as part of accumulated other comprehensive income, of $\$ 1,283.5$ million, compared to $\$ 1,304.9$ million and $\$ 1,050.5$ million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Since December 31, 2011, the net unrealized gains in our fixed-income and common stock portfolios increased $\$ 87.4$ million and $\$ 145.6$ million, respectively, primarily due to spread tightening (i.e., a decrease in the risk premium paid above the comparable Treasury rate) in some fixed-income sectors and positive returns in the equity market. Since June 30, 2011, our fixed-income portfolio's net unrealized gains decreased $\$ 93.5$ million, reflecting sales of our fixed-income securities over the last 12 months, primarily in our nonredeemable preferred stocks. The net unrealized gains in our common stock portfolio increased $\$ 72.1$ million over the same time period, reflecting positive returns in the broad equity market.

See Note 2 - Investments for a further break-out of our gross unrealized gains and losses.

## Fixed-Income Securities

The fixed-income portfolio is managed internally and includes fixed-maturity securities, short-term investments, and nonredeemable preferred stocks.

The fixed-maturity securities (including redeemable preferred stocks) and short-term securities, as reported on the balance sheets, were comprised of the following:

| (\$ in millions) | June 30, 2012 |  |  | June 30, 2011 |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fair Value | \% of <br> Total |  | Fair Value | \% of Total |  | Fair Value | \% of Total |
| Investment-grade fixed maturities: ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short/intermediate term | \$ | 12,957.7 | 94.2 \% | \$ | 12,238.6 | 93.2 \% | \$ | 12,539.3 | 94.2 \% |
| Long term |  | 47.2 | . 3 |  | 54.7 | . 4 |  | 55.7 | . 4 |
| Non-investment-grade fixed maturities ${ }^{2}$ |  | 750.2 | 5.5 |  | 838.7 | 6.4 |  | 716.1 | 5.4 |
| Total | \$ | 13,755.1 | 100.0 \% | \$ | 13,132.0 | 100.0 \% | \$ | 13,311.1 | 100.0 \% |

${ }^{1}$ Long term includes securities with expected liquidation dates of 10 years or greater. Asset-backed securities are reported at their weighted average maturity based upon their projected cash flows. All other securities that do not have a single expected maturity date are reported at average maturity.
${ }^{2}$ Non-investment-grade fixed-maturity securities are non-rated or have a quality rating of an equivalent BB+ or lower, classified by the lowest rating from a nationally recognized rating agency.

A primary exposure for the fixed-income portfolio is interest rate risk, which is managed by maintaining the portfolio's duration between 1.5 and 5 years. Interest rate risk includes the change in value resulting from movements in the underlying market rates of debt securities held. The duration of the fixed-income portfolio was 1.9 years at June 30, 2012, compared to 2.0 years at June 30, 2011 and 1.9 years at December 31, 2011. The distribution of duration and convexity (i.e., a measure of the speed at which the duration of a security is expected to change based on a rise or fall in interest rates) is monitored on a regular basis.

The duration distribution of our fixed-income portfolio, represented by the interest rate sensitivity of the comparable benchmark U.S. Treasury Notes, was:

| Duration Distribution | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 year | $27.4 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ |
| 2 years | 21.2 | 19.5 | 22.3 |
| 3 years | 27.9 | 31.7 | 31.5 |
| 5 years | 19.1 | 26.7 | 20.8 |
| 10 years | 4.4 | 1.7 | 2.8 |
| Total fixed-income portfolio | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Another primary exposure related to the fixed-income portfolio is credit risk. This risk is managed by maintaining an A+ minimum average portfolio credit quality rating, as defined by nationally recognized rating agencies.

The credit quality distribution of the fixed-income portfolio was:

| Rating | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAA | $53.0 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ |
| AA | 14.4 | 10.8 | 13.4 |
| A | 5.6 | 8.1 | 5.1 |
| BBB | 19.8 | 18.0 | 21.3 |
| Non-rated/other | 7.2 | 8.3 | 6.6 |
| Total fixed-income portfolio | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Our portfolio is also exposed to concentration risk. Our investment constraints limit investment in a single issuer, other than U.S. Treasury Notes or a state's general obligation bonds, to $2.5 \%$ of shareholders' equity, while the single issuer guideline on preferred stocks and/or non-investment-grade debt is $1.25 \%$ of shareholders' equity. Additionally, the guideline applicable to any state's general obligation bonds is $6 \%$ of shareholders' equity. As of June 30, 2012, the investment portfolio slightly exceeded the $1.25 \%$ limitation on a preferred stock position due to an increase in fair value. We determined that no corrective action was required at this time, but that we should continue to monitor closely the performance of this preferred stock position. Our credit risk guidelines limit single issuer exposure; however, we also consider sector concentration a risk, and we frequently evaluate the portfolio's sector allocation with regard to internal requirements and external market factors. We consider concentration risk in the context of asset classes, including but not limited to common equities, residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities, municipal bonds, and highyield bonds.

We monitor prepayment and extension risk, especially in our structured product and preferred stock portfolios. Prepayment risk includes the risk of early redemption of security principal that may need to be reinvested at less attractive rates. Extension risk includes the risk that a security will not be redeemed when anticipated, and that the security that is extended has a lower yield than a security we might be able to obtain by reinvesting the expected redemption principal. Our holdings of different types of structured debt and preferred securities, which are discussed in more detail below, help minimize this risk. During the first six months of 2012, we did not experience significant prepayment or extension of principal relative to our cash flow expectations in the portfolio.

The pricing on the majority of our preferred stocks continues to reflect expectations that many issuers will not call such securities on the first call date, and hence reflects an assumption that the securities will remain outstanding for a period of time beyond such initial call date (extension risk). Post the call date, the coupons on these securities convert from a fixed-rate coupon to a variable-rate coupon. The variable-rate coupon is determined by adding a benchmark interest rate, which is reset quarterly to a credit spread premium that was fixed when the security was first issued. Extension risk on holding this security is limited to the credit risk premium being below that of a new similar security since the benchmark variable rate portion of the security's coupon adjusts for movements in interest rates. Reinvestment risk is similarly limited to receiving a below market level coupon for the credit risk premium portion of a similar security as the benchmark variable interest rate adjusts for changes in short-term interest rate levels. One nonredeemable preferred stock had its first call date during the second quarter of 2012; this security was not called.

We also face the risk that our preferred stock dividend payments could be deferred for one or more periods. As of June 30, 2012, all of our preferred securities continued to pay their dividends in full and on time.

Liquidity risk is another risk factor we monitor. Our overall portfolio remains very liquid and is sufficient to meet expected liquidity requirements. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011 and December 31, 2011, we held $\$ 4.6$ billion, $\$ 4.3$ billion, and $\$ 4.5$ billion, respectively, of U.S. Treasury and short-term securities. The short-to-intermediate duration of our portfolio provides an additional source of liquidity, as we expect approximately $\$ 0.9$ billion, or $9 \%$, of our fixed-income portfolio, excluding U.S. Treasury Notes and shortterm investments, to repay principal during the remainder of 2012. Cash from interest and dividend payments provides an additional source of recurring liquidity.

Included in the fixed-income portfolio are U.S. government obligations, which include U.S. Treasury Notes and interest rate swaps. Although the interest rate swaps are not obligations of the U.S. government, they are recorded in this portfolio as the change in fair value is correlated to movements in the U.S. Treasury market. The duration of these securities was comprised of the following at June 30, 2012:

| (\$ in millions) | Fair <br> Value | Duration <br> (years) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | $\$$ | 861.5 | 1.7 |
| Less than two years | $2,077.1$ | 3.5 |  |
| Two to five years | $2,938.6$ | 3.0 |  |
| Total U.S. Treasury Notes |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | $(4.2)$ |
| Interest Rate Swaps | 0 | $(6.8)$ |  |
| Two to five years (\$900 notional value) | 0 | $(5.0)$ |  |
| Five to nine years (\$363 notional value) |  | .9 |  |

The interest rate swap positions show a fair value of zero as they are in an overall liability position of $\$ 91.5$ million, which is fully funded through collateral payments to the counterparty; the liability is reported in the "other liabilities" section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The negative duration of the interest rate swaps is due to the positions being short interest-rate exposure (i.e., receiving a variable-rate coupon). In determining duration, we add the interest rate sensitivity of our interest rate swap positions to that of our Treasury holdings, but do not add the notional value of the swaps to our Treasury holdings in order to calculate an unlevered duration for the portfolio.

## ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES

Included in the fixed-income portfolio are asset-backed securities, which were comprised of the following at the balance sheet dates listed:


| (\$ in millions) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |  | Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) |  | Asset-Backed Securities | Duration (years) | Rating <br> (at period end) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime collateralized mortgage obligations | \$ | 300.7 | \$ | (7.4) | 8.2 \% | 1.5 | A- |
| Alt-A collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 46.5 |  | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | A- |
| Subtotal collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 347.2 |  | (5.7) | 9.5 | 1.5 | A- |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 1,505.4 |  | 45.3 | 41.1 | 2.4 | AA+ |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities: interest-only |  | 307.0 |  | 11.5 | 8.4 | 1.1 | AAA- |
| Subtotal commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 1,812.4 |  | 56.8 | 49.5 | 2.2 | AA+ |
| Other asset-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile |  | 787.0 |  | 8.3 | 21.5 | 1.3 | AAA |
| Credit card |  | 157.2 |  | 3.8 | 4.3 | 1.7 | AAA |
| Home equity (sub-prime bonds) |  | 172.7 |  | (11.4) | 4.7 | . 3 | $\mathrm{BBB}+$ |
| Other ${ }^{1}$ |  | 383.5 |  | 2.1 | 10.5 | 1.3 | AAA- |
| Subtotal other asset-backed securities |  | 1,500.4 |  | 2.8 | 41.0 | 1.2 | AAA- |
| Total asset-backed securities | \$ | 3,660.0 | \$ | 53.9 | 100.0 \% | 1.7 | AA+ |


|  | Fair <br> (\$ in millions) | Net Unrealized <br> Gains (Losses) | Asset-Backed <br> Securities | Duration <br> (years) | Rating <br> (at period end) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime collateralized mortgage obligations | $\$$ | 228.6 | $\$$ | $(9.6)$ | $6.5 \%$ | 1.2 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes equipment leases, manufactured housing, and other types of structured debt.

Substantially all of the asset-backed securities have widely available market quotes. As of June 30, 2012, approximately $6 \%$ of our asset-backed securities are exposed to non-prime mortgage loans (home equity and Alt-A). Consistent with our plan to add highquality, short-maturity, fixed-income securities, we continue to purchase investment-grade structured securities, primarily in the commercial mortgage-backed and consumer asset-backed markets. These investments typically have a maturity profile of five years or less, and have substantial structural credit support (i.e., the amount of underlying principal balance that is available to absorb losses before our position begins to recognize losses due to further defaults). We reviewed all of our asset-backed securities for other-thantemporary impairment (OTTI) and yield or asset valuation adjustments under current accounting guidance, and we realized $\$ 0.5$ million and $\$ 1.1$ million of OTTI losses during the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, compared to $\$ 2.2$ million and $\$ 3.2$ million during the second quarter and first six months of 2011, respectively.

Collateralized Mortgage Obligations At June 30, 2012, 6.4\% of our asset-backed securities were collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO), which are a component of our residential mortgage-backed securities. During the second quarter and first six months of 2012, we recorded $\$ 0.4$ million and $\$ 0.8$ million, respectively, of credit loss write-downs on our CMO portfolio due to estimated principal losses in our most recent cash flow projections, including $\$ 0.1$ million of Alt-A securities during the second quarter of 2012. During the second quarter and first six months of 2011 , we recorded $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 2.6$ million, respectively, of write-downs. We did not have any write-downs on Alt-A securities during 2011. The following table details the credit quality rating and fair value of our collateralized mortgage obligations, along with the loan classification and comparison of the fair value at June 30, 2012 to our original investment value (adjusted for returns of principal, amortization, and write-downs):

Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (at June 30, 2012)

| (\$ in millions) Category | AAA |  | AA |  | A |  | BBB | Non-Investment Grade |  |  | Total | Collatera Mortgage Obligations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-agency prime | \$ | 68.5 |  |  | \$ | 7.9 \$ | 16.2 | \$ | 11.7 | \$ | 80.6 | \$ | 184.9 | 76.6 \% |
| Alt-A |  | . 7 |  | 24.1 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 17.4 |  | 42.2 | 17.5 |
| Government/GSE ${ }^{1}$ |  | 9.3 |  | 2.1 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2.8 |  | 14.2 | 5.9 |
| Total fair value | \$ | 78.5 | \$ | 34.1 \$ | 16.2 | \$ | 11.7 | \$ | 100.8 | \$ | 241.3 | 100.0 \% |
| Increase (decrease) in value |  | 1.0 \% |  | $1.6 \%$ | (3.2)\% |  | (2.2)\% |  | 2.2 \% |  | 1.2 \% |  |

${ }^{1}$ The securities in this category are insured by a Government Sponsored Entity (GSE) and/or collateralized by mortgage loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or the U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs (VA).

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities At June 30, 2012, $49.1 \%$ of our asset-backed securities were commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) and $6.3 \%$ were CMBS interest-only securities (IO). During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded $\$ 0.1$ million in write-downs on our IO portfolio, compared to $\$ 0.2$ million and $\$ 0.6$ million during the three and six months ended June 30 , 2011, respectively. No write-downs were recorded on our CMBS portfolio during the same periods. The following table details the credit quality rating and fair value of our CMBS and IO portfolios:

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities (at June 30, 2012)


The CMBS portfolio contained $9.4 \%$ of securities that are rated BBB or lower, with a net unrealized gain of $\$ 10.6$ million at June 30 , 2012, and an average duration of 1.2 years, compared to 2.4 years for the entire CMBS portfolio. The BBB and non-investment-grade exposure includes $\$ 81.6$ million of cell tower securitizations; all of these bonds have a single borrower, are backed by a crosscollateralized pool of cell towers throughout the U.S., and have significant net cash flow relative to their interest payments. Approximately $22.2 \%$ of our CMBS portfolio was originated from 2005 to 2007, a period in which the CMBS market saw more aggressive underwriting as reflected in the table below:

CMBS Portfolio (at June 30, 2012)

| (\$ in millions) <br> Vintage | Agency |  | Cell Tower | Multi-Borrower | Single-Borrower | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1997 | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 23.5 | $\$$ | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 5.3 |  | $\$$ | 23.5 |  |  |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 89.7 | 0 | 5.3 |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 309.2 | 0 | 89.7 |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 277.0 | 0 | 309.2 |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 247.4 | 10.3 | 277.0 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 257.7 |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 0 | 81.6 | 0 | 49.4 | 22.3 |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.0 | 131.0 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 12.6 | 0 | 32.3 | 44.1 | 29.0 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 350.9 | 87.1 | 89.0 |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 79.1 |  | 104.5 | 438.0 |  |  |
| Total | $\$$ | 12.6 | $\$$ | 81.6 | $\$$ | $1,425.0$ | $\$$ | 336.1 |

Planned amortization class IOs comprised $48.0 \%$ of our $\$ 238.2$ million IO portfolio. This is a class that is structured to provide bondholders with greater protection against loan prepayment, default, or extension risk. The bonds are at the top of the payment order for interest distributions and benefit from increased structural support over time as they repay. With the exception of $\$ 104.8$ million in Freddie Mac senior multi-family IOs, we have no multi-borrower deal IOs originated after 2006.

Home-Equity Securities At June 30, 2012, 4.8\% of our asset-backed securities were home-equity securities, which are a component of our residential mortgage-backed securities. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 0.2$ million, respectively, in write-downs; no write-downs were recorded during the same periods last year. The following table shows the credit quality rating of our home-equity securities, by deal origination year, along with a comparison of the fair value at June 30, 2012, to our original investment value (adjusted for returns of principal, amortization, and write-downs):

| Home-Equity Securities (at June 30, 2012) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$ in millions) <br> Rating (date acquired) | Deal Origination Year |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | \% of Home- <br> Equity Securities |
|  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |  |  |
| AAA (January 2008-February 2011) | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 33.4 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 33.4 | 18.5 \% |
| AA (February 2008-April 2010) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 8.7 |  | 3.2 |  | 11.9 | 6.6 |
| A (March 2008- December 2011) | 0 |  | 8.2 |  | 18.9 |  | 0 |  | 27.1 | 15.0 |
| BBB (January 2012-May 2012) | 0 |  | 12.7 |  | 0 |  | 11.4 |  | 24.1 | 13.4 |
| Non-investment grade (March 2007-March 2012) | ) 2 |  | 33.8 |  | 39.9 |  | 9.9 |  | 83.8 | 46.5 |
| Total | \$ . 2 | \$ | 54.7 | \$ | 100.9 | \$ | 24.5 | \$ | 180.3 | 100.0 \% |
| Increase (decrease) in value | (14.9) \% |  | 3.4 \% |  | (10.9) \% |  | $1.0 \%$ |  | (5.4) \% |  |

## MUNICIPAL SECURITIES

Included in the fixed-income portfolio at June 30, 2012 and 2011 and December 31, 2011, were $\$ 1,977.6$ million, $\$ 1,857.4$ million, and $\$ 2,002.1$ million, respectively, of state and local government obligations. These securities had a duration of 2.9 years and an overall credit quality rating of AA+ (excluding the benefit of credit support from bond insurance) at June 30, 2012, compared to 2.3 years and AA+ at June 30, 2011, and 2.6 years and AA+ at December 31, 2011. These securities had a net unrealized gain of $\$ 50.7$ million at June 30, 2012, compared to $\$ 44.7$ million and $\$ 63.5$ million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we did not record any write-downs on our municipal portfolio. The following table details the credit quality rating of our municipal securities at June 30, 2012, without the benefit of credit or bond insurance:

| Municipal Securities (at June 30, 2012) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (millions) | General <br> Obligations | Revenue <br> Bonds |  | Total |  |
| Rating | $\$$ | 240.6 | $\$$ | 634.7 | $\$$ |
| AAA |  | 475.5 |  | 541.6 |  |
| AA | 33.5 |  | 11.1 |  | 44.017 .1 |
| A | 1.5 |  | 33.8 |  | 35.3 |
| BBB |  | 0 |  | 5.3 |  |
| Other $^{1}$ |  | 751.1 | $\$$ | $1,226.5$ | $\$$ |
| Total | $\$$ | $1,977.6$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Includes non-investment-grade and non-rated securities.
Included in revenue bonds were $\$ 905.3$ million of single family housing revenue bonds issued by state housing finance agencies, of which $\$ 425.1$ million were supported by individual mortgages held by the state housing finance agencies and $\$ 480.2$ million were supported by mortgage-backed securities. Of the programs supported by mortgage-backed securities, approximately $30 \%$ were collateralized by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac mortgages; the remaining 70\% were collateralized by Ginnie Mae loans, which are fully guaranteed by the U.S. Government. Of the programs supported by individual mortgages held by the state housing finance agencies, the overall credit quality rating was AA+. Most of these mortgages were supported by FHA, VA, or private mortgage insurance providers.

Approximately $9 \%$, or $\$ 178.4$ million, of our total municipal securities were insured general obligation ( $\$ 138.5$ million) or revenue bonds ( $\$ 39.9$ million), with an overall credit quality rating of AA- at June 30, 2012, excluding the benefit of credit insurance provided by municipal bond insurers. These securities had a net unrealized gain of $\$ 7.5$ million at June 30,2012 , compared to $\$ 10.4$ million and $\$ 9.2$ million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011, respectively. We buy and hold these securities based on our evaluation of the underlying credit without reliance on the municipal bond insurance. Our investment policy does not require us to liquidate securities should the insurance provided by the municipal bond insurers cease to exist.

## CORPORATE SECURITIES

Included in our fixed-income securities at June 30, 2012 and 2011 and December 31, 2011, were $\$ 3,007.2$ million, $\$ 2,855.4$ million, and $\$ 2,896.2$ million, respectively, of fixed-rate corporate securities. These securities had a duration of 3.4 years at June 30, 2012, compared to 3.3 years at June 30, 2011 and 3.2 years at December 31, 2011; the overall credit quality rating was BBB for all three periods. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, these securities had net unrealized gains of $\$ 108.8$ million, $\$ 84.4$ million, and $\$ 87.8$ million, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we did not record any writedowns on our corporate debt portfolio. The table below shows the exposure break-down by rating and sector:

Corporate Securities (at June 30, 2012)

|  | AAA | AA | A | BBB | Non- <br> Investment <br> Grade | \% of <br> Corporate <br> Securities |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sector | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ |
| Consumer | 0 | 0 | 3.4 | 14.3 | 4.9 | 22.6 |
| Industrial | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 13.6 | .6 | 16.1 |
| Communications | 0 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 6.6 | .8 | 17.7 |
| Financial Services | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | .3 | 0 | 2.6 |
| Technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.8 | .8 | 4.6 |
| Basic Materials | 1.3 | 0 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 0 | 6.7 |
| Energy | Total | $1.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |

PREFERRED STOCKS - REDEEMABLE AND NONREDEEMABLE
We hold both redeemable (i.e., mandatory redemption dates) and nonredeemable (i.e., perpetual with call dates) preferred stocks. At June 30, 2012, we held $\$ 373.4$ million in redeemable preferred stocks and $\$ 799.3$ million in nonredeemable preferred stocks, compared to $\$ 455.1$ million and $\$ 1,029.7$ million, respectively, at June 30, 2011 and $\$ 373.9$ million and $\$ 806.3$ million, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, our preferred stock portfolio had net unrealized gains of $\$ 379.0$ million, $\$ 554.3$ million, and $\$ 333.5$ million, respectively. We did not have any write-downs during the six months ended June 30, 2012 or 2011.

Our preferred stock portfolio had a duration of 1.5 years, which reflects the portfolio's exposure to changes in interest rates, at June 30, 2012 and 2011, compared to 1.6 years at December 31, 2011. The overall credit quality rating was BB+ at June 30, 2012, compared to BBB- at both June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011. Approximately $40 \%$ of our preferred stock securities are fixed-rate securities and $60 \%$ are floating-rate securities. All of our preferred securities have call or mandatory redemption features. Most of the securities are structured to provide some protection against extension risk in the event the issuer elects not to call such securities at their initial call date, by either paying a higher dividend amount or by paying floating-rate coupons. Of our fixed-rate securities, approximately $90 \%$ will convert to floating-rate dividend payments if not called at their initial call date. The interest rate duration of our preferred securities is calculated to reflect both the call and floating rate features. Although a preferred security may remain outstanding if not called, its interest rate duration will reflect the floating rate dividend structure of the security. The table below shows the exposure break-down by sector and rating, reflecting any changes in ratings since acquisition:

Preferred Stocks (at June 30, 2012)

| Sector | BBB | Non-Investment <br> Grade | \% of Preferred <br> Stock Portfolio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial Services |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ U.S. banks | $37.6 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ |
| Foreign banks | 0 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Insurance holdings | 8.0 | 7.6 | 15.6 |
| Other financial institutions | 0 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Total financial services | 45.6 | 30.2 | 75.8 |
| Industrials | 3.0 | 12.2 | 15.2 |
| Utilities | 9.0 | 0 | 9.0 |
| Total | $57.6 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Approximately $60 \%$ of our preferred stock securities pay dividends that have tax preferential characteristics, while the balance pay dividends that are fully taxable. In addition, the issuers of all our non-investment-grade preferred stock holdings maintain investmentgrade senior debt ratings.

## Common Equities

Common equities were comprised of the following:

| (\$ in millions) | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  | June 30, 2011 |  | December 31, 2011 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common stocks | $\$ 2,042.9$ | $99.4 \%$ | $\$$ | $1,856.0$ | $99.4 \%$ | $\$ 1,834.1$ | $99.4 \%$ |  |
| Other equity-like investments | 12.2 | .6 |  | 11.9 | .6 |  | 11.5 | .6 |
| Total common equities | $\$ 2,055.1$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 1,867.9$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 1,845.6$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |

At June 30, 2012, 12.4\% of the total investment portfolio was in common equities, compared to $11.7 \%$ at June 30, 2011 and $11.6 \%$ at December 31, 2011. Our indexed common stock portfolio, which makes up $94 \%$ of our June 30, 2012 common stock holdings, is managed externally to track the Russell 1000 Index with an anticipated annual tracking error of $+/-50$ basis points. Our individual holdings are selected based on their contribution to the correlation with the index. For all three periods reported in the table above, the GAAP basis total return was within the desired tracking error when compared to the Russell 1000 Index. We held 745 out of 991 , or $75 \%$, of the common stocks comprising the Russell 1000 Index at June 30, 2012, which made up $93 \%$ of the total market capitalization of the index.

The remaining $6 \%$ reflects our decision to invest in common stocks outside of the index. During the fourth quarter 2011, we selected an external investment manager to invest up to $\$ 150$ million in such common stocks; at June 30, 2012, $\$ 116.4$ million of the $\$ 150$ million was invested in such securities with a fair value of $\$ 122.9$ million.

We recorded $\$ 5.2$ million in write-downs on our common equities for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to $\$ 0.4$ million for the same period in 2011; we had $\$ 4.9$ million in write-downs during the second quarter 2012, compared to no write-downs for the second quarter 2011.

Other equity-like investments include private equity investments and limited partnership interests in private equity and mezzanine investment funds, which have no off-balance-sheet exposure or contingent obligations.

## Derivative Instruments

We have invested in the following derivative exposures at various times: interest rate swaps, asset-backed credit default swaps, U.S. corporate debt credit default swaps, cash flow hedges, and equity options. See Note 2 -Investments for further discussion of our derivative positions.

## INTEREST RATE SWAPS

We invest in interest rate swaps primarily to manage the fixed-income portfolio duration. The following table summarizes our interest rate swap activity:

| (millions) <br> Term | Date |  | Coupon | Notional ValueJune 30, |  |  |  | Net Realized Gains (Losses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
|  | Effective | Maturity |  |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |
| Open: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-year | 05/2011 | 05/2016 |  | Receive variable | \$ | 400 | \$ | 400 | \$ | (5.2) | \$ | (1.8) | \$ | (6.6) | \$ | (1.8) |
| 5-year | 08/2011 | 08/2016 | Receive variable |  | 500 |  | 0 |  | (7.0) |  | 0 |  | (8.6) |  | 0 |
| 9-year | 12/2009 | 01/2019 | Receive variable |  | 363 |  | 613 |  | (12.3) |  | (22.2) |  | (11.6) |  | (19.6) |
| Total open positions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (24.5) | \$ | (24.0) | \$ | (26.8) | \$ | (21.4) |
| Closed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-year | NA | NA | Receive variable | \$ | 0 | \$ | 100 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | . 5 |
| Total interest rate swaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (24.5) | \$ | (24.0) | \$ | (26.8) | \$ | (20.9) |

NA $=$ Not Applicable

## CORPORATE CREDIT DEFAULT SWAPS

We invest in corporate credit default swaps primarily to manage the fixed-income portfolio credit risk. The following table summarizes our corporate credit default swap activity:

| (millions) |  |  | Bought or Sold Protection | Notional ValueJune 30, |  |  |  | Net Realized <br> Gains (Losses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date |  |  |  |  |  |  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| Term | Effective | Maturity |  |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 11 |  | 012 |  | 2011 |
| Open: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-year ${ }^{1}$ | 09/2008 | 09/2013 | Bought | \$ | 25 | \$ | 25 | \$ | . 1 | \$ | 0 | \$ | (.3) | \$ | (.6) |
| Corporate swap | NA | NA | Sold |  | 0 |  | 10 |  | 0 |  | . 3 |  | 0 |  | . 3 |
| Treasury Note ${ }^{2}$ | NA | NA |  |  | 0 |  | 10 |  | 0 |  | . 2 |  | 0 |  | . 1 |
| Total corporate swaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | . 1 | \$ | . 5 | \$ | (.3) | \$ | (.2) |

[^8]
## CASH FLOW HEDGES

During the second quarter and first six months of 2012, and the second half of 2011, we repurchased, in the open market, $\$ 17.8$ million, $\$ 30.4$ million, and $\$ 15.0$ million, respectively, in aggregate principal amount of our $6.70 \%$ Fixed-to-Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2067 (the " $6.70 \%$ Debentures"). For the portion of the $6.70 \%$ Debentures we repurchased, we reclassified $\$ 0.3$ million, $\$ 0.6$ million, and $\$ 0.3$ million, in the respective periods, on a pretax basis, of the unrealized gain on forecasted transactions from accumulated other comprehensive income on the balance sheet to net realized gains on securities on the comprehensive income statement.

## B. Investment Results

We reported the following investment results for the periods ended June 30:

|  | Three Months |  |  | Six Months |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| Pretax recurring investment book yield | $3.0 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |  | $3.1 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Weighted average FTE book yield | $3.3 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |  | $3.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| FTE total return: |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Fixed-income securities | $.7 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |  | $2.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Common stocks | $(2.9) \%$ | $.3 \%$ |  | $9.1 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Total portfolio | $.3 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |  | $3.6 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |

Recurring investment income (interest and dividends, before investment and interest expenses) decreased $7 \%$ for both the second quarter and the first six months of 2012, compared to the same periods last year. The reduction is primarily the result of a decrease in investment yields, partially offset by an increase in average assets.

We report total return to reflect more accurately the management philosophy governing the portfolio and our evaluation of investment results. The fully taxable equivalent (FTE) total return includes recurring investment income, net realized gains (losses) on securities, and changes in unrealized gains (losses) on investments.

A further break-down of our FTE total returns for our fixed-income securities, excluding short-term investments but including the net gains (losses) on our derivative positions, for the periods ended June 30, follows:

|  | Three Months |  | Six Months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | 0 \% | 2.0 \% | (.3)\% | 2.5 \% |
| Municipal bonds | $1.4 \%$ | 2.3 \% | 2.3 \% | 3.4 \% |
| Corporate bonds | $1.3 \%$ | 2.1 \% | 3.7 \% | 3.2 \% |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | $1.4 \%$ | 1.4 \% | 3.7 \% | 2.0 \% |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | 1.2 \% | (.6)\% | 6.3 \% | 0 \% |
| Asset-backed securities | . 6 \% | . 6 \% | 1.7 \% | . 9 \% |
| Preferred stocks | 0 \% | 2.1 \% | 11.2 \% | 8.9 \% |

Investment expenses were $\$ 8.0$ million for the first six months of 2012, compared to $\$ 6.6$ million for the same period last year. The increase partially reflects fees associated with our external investment manager who was selected during the fourth quarter 2011.

Interest expense for the first six months of 2012 was $\$ 62.6$ million, compared to $\$ 63.0$ million for the same period last year.

## Realized Gains/Losses

The components of net realized gains (losses) for the three and six months ended June 30, were:

| (millions) | Three Months |  |  |  | Six Months |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |
| Gross realized gains on security sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government obligations | \$ | 2.5 | \$ | 3.6 | \$ | 9.6 | \$ | 34.9 |
| State and local government obligations |  | 0 |  | . 4 |  | 12.1 |  | 3.5 |
| Corporate and other debt securities |  | 3.9 |  | 8.5 |  | 26.1 |  | 17.4 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 0 |  | . 4 |  | 0 |  | . 4 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 3.5 |  | 0 |  | 4.5 |  | 0 |
| Other asset-backed securities |  | 0 |  | 1.0 |  | 0 |  | 1.0 |
| Redeemable preferred stocks |  | . 4 |  | 2.8 |  | . 4 |  | 3.4 |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 10.3 |  | 16.7 |  | 52.7 |  | 60.6 |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 6.3 |  | 37.7 |  | 36.9 |  | 94.9 |
| Common equities |  | 8.8 |  | 6.8 |  | 10.0 |  | 7.9 |
| Subtotal gross realized gains on security sales |  | 25.4 |  | 61.2 |  | 99.6 |  | 163.4 |
| Gross realized losses on security sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government obligations |  | (.4) |  | (6.0) |  | (.9) |  | (9.3) |
| Corporate and other debt securities |  | 0 |  | (.6) |  | 0 |  | (3.4) |
| Redeemable preferred stocks |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | (2.2) |
| Total fixed maturities |  | (.4) |  | (6.6) |  | (.9) |  | (14.9) |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common equities |  | 0 |  | (5.8) |  | 0 |  | (6.1) |
| Subtotal gross realized losses on security sales |  | (.4) |  | (12.4) |  | (.9) |  | (21.0) |
| Net realized gains (losses) on security sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government obligations |  | 2.1 |  | (2.4) |  | 8.7 |  | 25.6 |
| State and local government obligations |  | 0 |  | . 4 |  | 12.1 |  | 3.5 |
| Corporate and other debt securities |  | 3.9 |  | 7.9 |  | 26.1 |  | 14.0 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 0 |  | . 4 |  | 0 |  | . 4 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 3.5 |  | 0 |  | 4.5 |  | 0 |
| Other asset-backed securities |  | 0 |  | 1.0 |  | 0 |  | 1.0 |
| Redeemable preferred stocks |  | . 4 |  | 2.8 |  | . 4 |  | 1.2 |
| Total fixed maturities |  | 9.9 |  | 10.1 |  | 51.8 |  | 45.7 |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks |  | 6.3 |  | 37.7 |  | 36.9 |  | 94.9 |
| Common equities |  | 8.8 |  | 1.0 |  | 10.0 |  | 1.8 |
| Subtotal net realized gains (losses) on security sales |  | 25.0 |  | 48.8 |  | 98.7 |  | 142.4 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | (.5) |  | (2.0) |  | (1.0) |  | (2.6) |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 0 |  | (.2) |  | (.1) |  | (.6) |
| Total fixed maturities |  | (.5) |  | (2.2) |  | (1.1) |  | (3.2) |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common equities |  | (4.9) |  | 0 |  | (5.2) |  | (.1) |
| Subtotal other-than-temporary impairment losses |  | (5.4) |  | (2.2) |  | (6.3) |  | (3.3) |
| Net holding period gains (losses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hybrid securities |  | (.2) |  | 2.9 |  | 6.9 |  | 7.7 |
| Derivative instruments |  | (24.1) |  | (23.5) |  | (26.5) |  | (21.1) |
| Subtotal net holding period gains (losses) |  | (24.3) |  | (20.6) |  | (19.6) |  | (13.4) |
| Total net realized gains (losses) on securities | \$ | (4.7) | \$ | 26.0 | \$ | 72.8 | \$ | 125.7 |

Gross realized gains and losses were the result of customary investment sales transactions in our fixed-income portfolio, affected by movements in credit spreads and interest rates, sales of common stocks, and holding period valuation changes on derivatives and hybrid securities. From time to time, gross realized losses also include write-downs for securities in our fixed-income and/or equity portfolios, which are determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

## Other-Than-Temporary Impairment (OTTI)

Realized losses may include write-downs of securities determined to have had other-than-temporary declines in fair value. We routinely monitor our portfolio for pricing changes that might indicate potential impairments and perform detailed reviews of securities with unrealized losses. In such cases, changes in fair value are evaluated to determine the extent to which such changes are attributable to (i) fundamental factors specific to the issuer, such as financial conditions, business prospects, or other factors, (ii) market-related factors, such as interest rates or equity market declines (e.g., negative return at either a sector index level or at the broader market level), or (iii) credit-related losses where the present value of cash flows expected to be collected is lower than the amortized cost basis of the security.

Fixed-income securities and common equities with declines attributable to issuer-specific fundamentals are reviewed to identify all available evidence, circumstances, and influences to estimate the potential for, and timing of, recovery of the investment's impairment. An other-than-temporary impairment loss is deemed to have occurred when the potential for recovery does not satisfy the criteria set forth in the current accounting guidance.

For fixed-income investments with unrealized losses due to market- or sector-related declines, the losses are not deemed to qualify as other-than-temporary where we do not have the intent to sell the investments, and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell the investments, prior to the periods of time that we anticipate to be necessary for the investments to recover their cost bases. In general, our policy for common equity securities with market- or sector-related declines is to recognize impairment losses on individual securities with losses we cannot reasonably conclude will recover in the near term under historical conditions by the earlier of (i) when we are able to objectively determine that the loss is other-than-temporary, or (ii) when the security has been in such a loss position for three consecutive quarters.

When a security in our fixed-maturity portfolio has an unrealized loss and we intend to sell the security, or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security, we write-down the security to its current fair value and recognize the entire unrealized loss through the comprehensive income statement as a realized loss. If a fixed-maturity security has an unrealized loss and it is more likely than not that we will hold the debt security until recovery (which could be maturity), then we determine if any of the decline in value is due to a credit loss (i.e., where the present value of cash flows expected to be collected is lower than the amortized cost basis of the security) and, if so, we recognize that portion of the impairment in the comprehensive income statement as a realized loss; any remaining unrealized loss on the security is considered to be due to other factors (e.g., interest rate and credit spread movements) and is reflected in shareholders' equity, along with unrealized gains or losses on securities that are not deemed to be other-thantemporarily impaired. The write-down activity recorded in the comprehensive income statement was as follows:

| (millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Write-downs |  | Write-downs on Securities Sold |  | Write-downs on Securities Held at Period End |  | Total <br> Write-downs |  | Write-downs on Securities Sold |  | Write-downs on Securities Held at Period End |  |
| $\underline{2012}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ | \$ . 5 | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ | . 5 |  | \$ 1.0 | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ | 1.0 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | . 1 |  | 0 |  | . 1 |
| Total fixed income |  | . 5 |  | 0 |  | . 5 |  | 1.1 |  | 0 |  | 1.1 |
| Common equities |  | 4.9 |  | 0 |  | 4.9 |  | 5.2 |  | 0 |  | 5.2 |
| Total portfolio | \$ | \$ 5.4 | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ | 5.4 | \$ | \$ 6.3 | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ | 6.3 |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ | \$ 2.0 | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ | 2.0 |  | \$ 2.6 | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ | 2.6 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | . 2 |  | 0 |  | . 2 |  | . 6 |  | 0 |  | . 6 |
| Total fixed income |  | 2.2 |  | 0 |  | 2.2 |  | 3.2 |  | 0 |  | 3.2 |
| Common equities |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | . 4 |  | (.3) |  | . 1 |
| Total portfolio | \$ | \$ 2.2 | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ | 2.2 | \$ | \$ 3.6 | \$ | \$ (.3) | \$ | 3.3 |

The following table stratifies the gross unrealized losses in our fixed-income and common equity portfolios at June 30, 2012, by duration in a loss position and magnitude of the loss as a percentage of the cost of the security:

| (millions) | FairValue |  |  |  | Decline of Investment Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unrealized Losses |  | >15\% |  | >25\% |  | >35\% |  | >45\% |  |
| Fixed Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized loss for less than 12 months | \$ | 342.4 | \$ | 3.4 | \$ | . 4 | \$ | . 4 | \$ | . 4 | \$ | 0 |
| Unrealized loss for 12 months or greater |  | 517.4 |  | 45.1 |  | 20.8 |  | 13.2 |  | 9.1 |  | 4.2 |
| Total | \$ | 859.8 | \$ | 48.5 | \$ | 21.2 | \$ | 13.6 | \$ | 9.5 | \$ | 4.2 |
| Common Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized loss for less than 12 months | \$ | 173.4 | \$ | 17.8 | \$ | 4.1 | \$ | 2.2 | \$ | 1.3 | \$ | 1.0 |
| Unrealized loss for 12 months or greater |  | 55.6 |  | 13.1 |  | 10.8 |  | 7.6 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total | \$ | 229.0 | \$ | 30.9 | \$ | 14.9 | \$ | 9.8 | \$ | 1.3 | \$ | 1.0 |

We completed a thorough review of the existing securities in these loss categories and determined that, applying the procedures and criteria discussed above, these securities were not other-than-temporarily impaired. We do not intend to sell these securities. We also determined that it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell these securities for the periods of time necessary to recover their respective cost bases, and that there are no additional credit-related impairments on our debt securities.

Since total unrealized losses are already a component of other comprehensive income and included in shareholders' equity, any recognition of these losses as additional OTTI losses would have no effect on our comprehensive income, book value, or reported investment total return.

## C. Repurchase and Reverse Repurchase Transactions

From time to time we enter into reverse repurchase commitment transactions. In these transactions, we loan cash to internally approved counterparties and receive U.S. Treasury Notes pledged as collateral against the cash borrowed. We choose to enter into these transactions as rates and credit quality are more attractive than other short-term rates available in the market. Our exposure to credit risk is limited due to the nature of the collateral (i.e., U.S. Treasury Notes) received. The income generated on these transactions is calculated at the then applicable general collateral rates on the value of U.S. Treasury securities received. We have counterparty exposure on reverse repurchase agreements in the event of a counterparty default to the extent the general collateral security's value is below the amount of cash we delivered to acquire the collateral. The short-term duration of the transactions (primarily overnight investing) reduces that exposure.

We earned income of $\$ 0.2$ million and $\$ 0.3$ million on these reverse repurchase transactions for the three and six months ended June 30,2012 , respectively, compared to $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 0.3$ million for the same periods in 2011. We had $\$ 400.6$ million of open reverse repurchase commitments with two counterparties at June 30 , 2012, compared to $\$ 384.2$ million with two counterparties at December 31, 2011. We had no open reverse repurchase commitments at June 30, 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, our largest outstanding balance of reverse repurchase commitments was $\$ 968.1$ million, which was open for one day; the average daily balance of reverse repurchase commitments was $\$ 754.1$ million.

Additionally, during the first six months of 2012 and 2011, we entered into repurchase commitment transactions for periods of 25 days and 10 days, respectively. In these transactions, we loan U.S. Treasury securities to internally approved counterparties in exchange for cash equal to the fair value of the securities. The cash proceeds were invested in unsecured commercial paper with a maturity matching the repurchase transaction issued by large, high-quality institutions. These transactions were entered into as overnight arrangements, and we had no open repurchase commitments at June 30, 2012 and 2011 or December 31, 2011. During the first half of 2012, the largest outstanding balance was $\$ 145.1$ million, which was open for one day; the average daily balance of these repurchase commitments was $\$ 144.2$ million. We earned income of less than $\$ 0.1$ million on these transactions during the period they were open in 2012 and 2011.

Safe Harbor Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995: Statements in this report that are not historical fact are forward-looking statements that are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events and results to differ materially from those discussed herein. These risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, uncertainties related to estimates, assumptions, and projections generally; inflation and changes in economic conditions (including changes in interest rates and financial markets); the possible failure of one or more governmental entities to make scheduled debt payments or satisfy other obligations; the potential or actual downgrading of governmental, corporate, or other securities by a rating agency; the financial condition of, and other issues relating to the strength of and liquidity available to, issuers of securities held in our investment portfolios and other companies with which we have ongoing business relationships, including counterparties to certain financial transactions; the accuracy and adequacy of our pricing and loss reserving methodologies; the competitiveness of our pricing and the effectiveness of our initiatives to retain more customers; initiatives by competitors and the effectiveness of our response; our ability to obtain regulatory approval for requested rate changes and the timing thereof; the effectiveness of our brand strategy and advertising campaigns relative to those of competitors; legislative and regulatory developments, including, but not limited to, health care reform and tax law changes; disputes relating to intellectual property rights; the outcome of litigation pending or that may be filed against us; weather conditions (including the severity and frequency of storms, hurricanes, snowfalls, hail, and winter conditions); changes in driving patterns and loss trends; acts of war and terrorist activities; our ability to maintain the uninterrupted operation of our facilities, systems (including information technology systems), and business functions; court decisions and trends in litigation and health care and auto repair costs; and other matters described from time to time in our releases and publications, and in our periodic reports and other documents filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition, investors should be aware that generally accepted accounting principles prescribe when a company may reserve for particular risks, including litigation exposures. Accordingly, results for a given reporting period could be significantly affected if and when a reserve is established for one or more contingencies. Also, our regular reserve reviews may result in adjustments of varying magnitude as additional information regarding claims activity becomes known. Reported results, therefore, may be volatile in certain accounting periods.

## Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The duration of the financial instruments held in our portfolio that are subject to interest rate risk was 1.9 years at both June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The weighted average beta of the equity portfolio was 1.01 at both June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Although components of the portfolio have changed, no material changes have occurred in the total interest rate or market risk since that which was reported in the tabular presentations of our interest rate and market risk sensitive instruments in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Controls and Procedures.

Progressive, under the direction of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, has established disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. The disclosure controls and procedures are also intended to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

The Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer reviewed and evaluated Progressive's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that review and evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that Progressive's disclosure controls and procedures are effectively serving the stated purposes as of the end of the period covered by this report.

There has been no change in Progressive's internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## The Progressive Corporation and Subsidiaries

Quarterly Financial and Common Share Data
(unaudited)
(millions - except per share amounts)

| Quarter | Total Revenues |  | Net Income |  |  |  | Stock Price ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dividends <br> Declared <br> Per Share ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { hare }{ }^{2} \end{array}$ |  | High |  | Low |  | Close | Rate of Return ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | \$ | 4,061.2 | \$ | 257.6 | \$ | . 42 | \$ | 23.37 | \$ | 19.01 | \$ | 23.18 |  | \$ | 0 |
| 2 |  | 4,113.1 |  | 118.6 |  | . 19 |  | 23.41 |  | 20.22 |  | 20.83 |  |  | 0 |
|  | \$ | 8,174.3 | \$ | 376.2 | \$ | . 62 | \$ | 23.41 | \$ | 19.01 | \$ | 20.83 |  | \$ | 0 |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | \$ | 3,893.5 | \$ | 362.9 | \$ | . 55 | \$ | 21.24 | \$ | 19.12 | \$ | 21.13 |  | \$ | 0 |
| 2 |  | 3,872.7 |  | 245.2 |  | . 38 |  | 22.08 |  | 19.79 |  | 21.38 |  |  | 0 |
| 3 |  | 3,811.0 |  | 150.7 |  | . 24 |  | 21.66 |  | 16.88 |  | 17.76 |  |  | 0 |
| 4 |  | 3,930.9 |  | 256.7 |  | . 42 |  | 19.74 |  | 16.97 |  | 19.51 |  |  | . 4072 |
|  | \$ | 15,508.1 | \$ | 1,015.5 | \$ | 1.59 | \$ | 22.08 | \$ | 16.88 | \$ | 19.51 | . $2 \%$ | \$ | .4072 |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | \$ | 3,665.9 | \$ | 295.6 | \$ | . 44 | \$ | 19.69 | \$ | 16.18 | \$ | 19.09 |  | \$ | 0 |
| 2 |  | 3,686.3 |  | 211.9 |  | . 32 |  | 20.94 |  | 18.67 |  | 18.72 |  |  | 0 |
| 3 |  | 3,769.5 |  | 261.6 |  | . 40 |  | 21.59 |  | 18.41 |  | 20.87 |  |  | 0 |
| 4 |  | 3,841.6 |  | 299.2 |  | . 45 |  | 22.13 |  | 19.54 |  | 19.87 |  |  | 1.3987 |
|  | \$ | 14,963.3 | \$ | 1,068.3 | \$ | 1.61 | \$ | 22.13 | \$ | 16.18 | \$ | 19.87 | 16.9 \% | \$ | 1.3987 |

[^9]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Progressive maintains an annual dividend program. See Note 8 - Dividends for further discussion.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Represents net holding period gains (losses) on certain hybrid securities (discussed below).
    ${ }^{2}$ At June 30, 2012, we had $\$ 15.5$ million of net unsettled security transactions included in other liabilities, compared to $\$ 7.1$ million and $\$ 46.9$ million included in other assets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
    ${ }^{3}$ The total fair value of the portfolio at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011 included $\$ 1.4$ billion, $\$ 1.6$ billion, and $\$ 2.0$ billion, respectively, of securities held in a consolidated, non-insurance subsidiary of the holding company, net of any unsettled security transactions.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Reflects expected recovery of prior period impairments that will be accreted into income over the remaining life of the security, net of any current quarter decreases in expected cash flows on previously recorded reductions.
    ${ }^{2}$ Reflects reductions of prior credit impairments where the current credit impairment requires writing securities down to fair value (i.e., no remaining non-credit loss).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $\$(15.4)$ million was transferred out of Level 3 into Level 2 due to the availability of vendor pricing on a residential mortgage-backed security.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Underwriting margins and combined ratios are not meaningful (NM) for our other indemnity businesses due to the low level of premiums earned by, and the variability of loss costs in, such businesses.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes insurance for motorcycles, ATVs, RVs, mobile homes, watercraft, snowmobiles, and similar items, as well as a personal umbrella product.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Represents ratings at period end. Credit quality ratings are assigned by nationally recognized securities rating organizations. To calculate the weighted average credit quality ratings, we weight individual securities based on fair value and assign a numeric score of $0-5$, with non-investmentgrade and non-rated securities assigned a score of $0-1$. To the extent the weighted average of the ratings falls between AAA and AA+, we assign an internal rating of AAA-
    ${ }^{2}$ At June 30, 2012, we had $\$ 15.5$ million of net unsettled security transactions included in other liabilities, compared to $\$ 7.1$ million and $\$ 46.9$ million included in other assets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
    ${ }^{3}$ The total fair value of the portfolio at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011 included $\$ 1.4$ billion, $\$ 1.6$ billion, and $\$ 2.0$ billion, respectively, of securities held in a consolidated, non-insurance subsidiary of the holding company, net of any unsettled security transactions.

    Our asset allocation strategy is to maintain $0-25 \%$ of our portfolio in Group I securities, with the balance ( $75 \%-100 \%$ ) of our portfolio in Group II securities, as defined in the Overview - Investments and Capital Management section and as reflected in the following tables. We believe this asset allocation strategy allows us to accurately assess the risks associated with these securities for capital purposes and is in line with the treatment by our regulators.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes non-investment-grade redeemable preferred stocks of $\$ 208.2$ million, $\$ 216.1$ million, and $\$ 201.7$ million at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, respectively.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes investment-grade redeemable preferred stocks, with cumulative dividends of $\$ 82.6$ million, $\$ 119.5$ million, and $\$ 86.1$ million at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

[^8]:    NA = Not Applicable
    ${ }^{1}$ Financial services sector, see Note 2 -Investments for details.
    ${ }^{2}$ Used to replicate a long corporate bond position.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prices are as reported on the consolidated transaction reporting system. Progressive's common shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol PGR.
    ${ }^{2}$ The sum may not equal the total because the average equivalent shares differ in the quarterly and annual periods.
    ${ }^{3}$ Represents annual rate of return, assuming dividend reinvestment.
    ${ }^{4}$ Progressive maintains an annual variable dividend policy which is typically declared each December and paid early the following year. In addition to the annual variable dividend, in October 2010, Progressive's Board declared an extraordinary cash dividend of $\$ 1.00$ per common share that was paid in December 2010.

