# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **Form 10-Q**

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003

OR

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 0-26820

# **CRAY INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

### Washington

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

93-0962605

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

411 First Avenue South, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98104-2860 (206) 701- 2000

(Address of principal executive offices) (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [x] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [x] No []

As of August 11, 2003, 70,211,804 shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, were outstanding.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

# CRAY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2002 and June 30, 2003	3
Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2002 and 2003	4
Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the Three Month period Ended March 31, 2003 and	
June 30, 2003	5
Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2002 and 2003	6
Notes to Financial Statements	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	11
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	22
Item 4. Controls and Procedures.	22
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	22
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	22
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	23
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.	23

# **Available Information**

Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act are available free of charge at our web site at www.cray.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we file electronically such reports with the SEC.

Cray is a federally registered trademark of Cray Inc., and Cray SV1ex, Cray X1, Cray X1e, Cray SX-6, Cray T90, Cray MTA-2, Cray SV1 and Cray T3E are trademarks of Cray Inc.

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands) (unaudited)

		ember 31, 2002	 June 30, 2003
ASSETS			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	23,916	\$ 20,197
Short-term investments, available for sale			19,739
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$1,098 in 2002 and 2003		31,017	52,968
Inventory, net		24,033	48,382
Prepaid expenses and other assets		5,805	 8,182
Total current assets		84,771	149,468
Property and equipment, net		24,799	22,900
Service spares, net		9,279	6,669
Goodwill		22,680	22,680
Deferred tax asset		263	263
Other assets		3,453	 3,151
TOTAL	\$	145,245	\$ 205,131
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			 <del>.</del>
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$	13,173	\$ 17,084
Accrued payroll and related expenses		15,573	12,382
Other accrued liabilities		4,396	3,514
Deferred revenue		18,406	20,294
Notes payable		215	88
Current portion of warranty reserves		3,273	2,433
Current portion of obligations under capital leases		241	280
Current portion of term loan		2,143	 
Total current liabilities		57,420	56,075
Warranty reserves		2,326	625
Obligations under capital leases		152	
Term loan payable		1,786	
Shareholders' equity:			
Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, par \$.01 - Authorized,			
issued and outstanding, 3,125,000 and zero shares, respectively		24,946	
Common Stock, par \$.01 - Authorized, 120,000,000 shares; issued			
and outstanding, 56,039,016 and 69,310,188 shares, respectively		211,255	291,789
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(291)	(64)
Accumulated deficit	(	152,349)	(143,294)
		83,561	 148,431
TOTAL	\$	145,245	\$ 205,131

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share data) (unaudited)

		Six Mont June	
2002	2003	2002	2003
\$ 19.098	\$ 45,952	\$ 34.169	\$ 73,236
19,539	15.808	39,668	32,653
	61,760	73,837	105,889
12,247	24,325	16,875	42,000
9,767			21,143
8,588		,	17,838
,	6,185	9,777	11,706
1,893	2,664	3,933	4,538
•	ŕ	1,878	,
37,415	54,399	72,294	97,225
1,222	7,361	1,543	8,664
1,018	777	2,402	724
(463)	103	(1,034)	109
1,777	8,241	2,911	9,497
590	383	975	442
\$ 1,187	\$ 7,858	\$ 1,936	\$ 9,055
\$ 0.03	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.04	<u>\$ 0.14</u>
\$ 0.02	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.12
45,765	66,009	<u>44,696</u>	62,912
51,562	<u>78,776</u>	48,903	75,616
	\$ 19,098 19,539 38,637 12,247 9,767 8,588 4,920 1,893 37,415 1,222 1,018 (463) 1,777 590 \$ 1,187 \$ 0.03 \$ 0.02	\$ 19,098  \$ 45,952	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(in thousands) (unaudited)

-	Serie Preferre		Commo	n Stock		Accumulated	
	Number of Shares	Amount	Number of Shares	Amount	Accumulated Deficit	Other Comprehensive Loss	Total
BALANCE, January 1, 2003  Public sale of common stock, less issuance costs of \$3,517,000  Issuance of shares under Employee Stock Purchase Plan  Exercise of stock options  Exercise of warrants.  Other comprehensive income:	3,125	\$ 24,946	56,039 8,480 70 352 228	\$ 211,255 49,059 437 1,362 979	\$ (152,349)	\$ (291)	\$ 83,561 49,059 437 1,362 979
Unrealized gain on available for sale investments Cumulative currency translation adjustment Net income	3,125 (3,125)	\$ 24,946 (24,946)	65,169 3,269	\$ 263,092 24,946	1,197 \$ (151,152)	8 (43) \$ (326)	8 (43) 1,197 \$ 136,560
Issuance of shares under Employee Stock Purchase Plan			67 76 452 277	402 550 1,706 1,093			402 550 1,706 1,093
Unrealized gain on available for sale investments		<u>s —</u>	<u>69,310</u>	\$ 291,789	7,858 \$ (143,294)	24 238 \$ (64)	24 238 7,858 \$ 148,431

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands) (unaudited)

		Months Ended te 30.
	2002	2003
Operating activities Net income	\$ 1,936	\$ 9,055
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used by operating activities:	. ,	,
Depreciation and amortization	7,471	8,224
Gain on sale of assets	(38)	
Beneficial conversion feature of notes payable	199	
Non-cash warrant and option expense		
Cash provided (used) by changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(5,410)	(21,951)
Inventory	(3,141)	(25,258)
Other assets	(918)	(2,075)
Spares	()	(33)
Accounts payable	(1,702)	3,911
Other accrued liabilities		(882)
Accrued payroll and related expenses		(3,191)
Warranty reserve.	(6,154)	(2,541)
Deferred revenue	1,206	1,888
Net cash used by operating activities		(32,853)
Investing activities.	(.,,,,,,	(=,==)
Purchases of short-term investments		(19,739)
Purchases of spares	(860)	(1),(0))
Proceeds from sale of assets	` '	
Purchases of property and equipment		(2,773)
Net cash used by investing activities		(22,512)
Financing activities Restricted cash		(,)
Principal payments on term loan.		(3,929)
Proceeds from line of credit Sale of common stock		50,448
Proceeds from exercise of options and warrants		5,140
Principal payments on bank note		(113)
Principal payments on capital leases		(127)
Net cash provided by financing activities		51,419
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		227
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(3,719)
Cash and cash equivalents		(3,717)
Beginning of period	12,377	23,916
End of period	\$ 16,593	\$ 20,197
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:	Ψ 10,373	$\frac{\varphi - 20,177}{}$
Cash paid for interest	\$ 537	\$ 197
Non-cash investing and financing activities	φ 331	Ψ
Spares reclassed to inventory		29
Inventory reclassed to fixed assets	1,197	722
Unrealized gain on short term investments	,	32
Preferred stock converted into common stock		24,946

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

#### **Basis of Presentation**

In the opinion of management, the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets and related condensed consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. All adjustments considered necessary for fair presentation have been included. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

# **Principles of Consolidation**

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Cray Inc. and its whollyowned subsidiaries (the Company). All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

#### Short-term investments

The Company considers all liquid interest-earning investments with a maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Short-term investments generally mature between three months and two years from the purchase date. Investments with maturities beyond one year may be classified as short-term based on their highly liquid nature and because such marketable securities represent the investment of cash that is available for current operations. All short-term investments are classified as available for sale and are recorded at fair value; unrealized gains and losses are reflected in other comprehensive income.

# Inventory, net

Inventory consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2002	June 30, 2003
Components and subassemblies	\$ 15,653	\$ 21,232
Work in process	8,324	23,186
Finished goods	2,414	6,196
•	26,391	50,614
Allowance for excess and obsolete	(2,358)	(2,232)
Inventory, net	\$ 24,033	\$ 48,382

Revenue in the first quarter of 2002 included \$5.9 million from the sale of obsolete inventory recorded at a zero cost basis.

#### Goodwill

In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 ("SFAS 142") "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." As of January 1, 2002, the Company adopted SFAS 142 and no longer amortizes goodwill. Upon adoption of SFAS 142, the Company determined that there was no impairment of goodwill as of January 1, 2002. Additionally, SFAS 142 requires an annual impairment test or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. In the first quarter of fiscal 2003, the Company completed its annual impairment test in accordance with SFAS 142. Results of the impairment tests did not indicate any impairment loss.

# **Comprehensive Income**

The components of comprehensive income are as follows (in thousands):

	Three i		Six months ended June 30,			
	2002	2003	2002	2003		
Net income	\$ 1,187	\$ 7,858 24	\$ 1,936	\$ 9,055 32		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	794 \$ 1,981	238 \$ 8,120	292 \$ 2,228	195 \$ 9,282		

# **Segment Information**

Product and service revenue from U.S. government agencies and customers primarily serving the U.S. government totaled approximately \$57.2 million and \$95.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$19.5 million and \$26.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002.

The Company's significant operations outside North America include sales and service offices in Europe, the Middle East and Africa (EMEA) and Asia Pacific (Japan, Australia, Korea, China and Taiwan). Intercompany transfers between operating segments and geographic areas are primarily accounted for at prices that approximate arm's length transactions.

Geographic revenue and long-lived assets related to operations were as follows (in thousands):

	North America	EMEA	Asia Pacific	Total
Three months ended June 30, 2003:				
Product revenue	\$ 45,784	\$	\$ 168	\$ 45,952
Service revenue	\$ 10,361	\$ 3,919	\$ 1,528	\$ 15,808
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 9,437</u>	<u>\$ (766)</u>	<u>\$ (813)</u>	<u>\$ 7,858</u>
Six months ended June 30, 2003:				
Product revenue	\$ 71,748	\$ 1,060	\$ 428	\$ 73,236
Service revenue	\$ 21,308	\$ 8,172	\$ 3,173	\$ 32,653
Net income (loss)	\$ 11,584	<u>\$ (918)</u>	\$ (1,611)	\$ 9,055
As of June 30, 2003:				
Long-lived assets	\$ 52,628	\$ 1,640	\$ 1,395	\$ 55,663
Three months ended June 30, 2002:				
Product revenue	<u>\$ 15,198</u>	<u>\$ 2,944</u>	<u>\$ 956</u>	<u>\$ 19,098</u>
Service revenue	\$ 12,228	\$ 5,722	\$ 1,589	\$ 19,539
Net income (loss)	\$ (152)	\$ 1,445	\$ (106)	\$ 1,187
Six months ended June 30, 2002:				
Product revenue	<u>\$ 24,992</u>	\$ 5,593	<u>\$ 3,584</u>	\$ 34,169
Service revenue	\$ 25,184	\$ 11,039	\$ 3,445	\$ 39,668

Net income (loss)	\$ (1,677)	\$ 2,720	\$ 893	\$ 1,936
As of June 30, 2002:				
Long-lived assets	\$ 59,234	\$ 2,375	\$ 2,905	\$ 64,514

# **Earnings Per Share**

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the period, which includes the additional dilution related to conversion of stock options as computed under the treasury stock method and the conversion of preferred stock under the if-converted method.

The following data show the amounts used in computing the weighted average number of shares of dilutive potential common stock (in thousands):

		months June 30,	Six m ended J	onths June 30,
<u> </u>	2002	2003	2002	2003
Weighted average number of shares used in				
basic EPS	45,765	66,009	44,696	62,912
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock options	1,357	5,143	539	4,965
Warrants	1,315	4,968	543	4,864
Convertible preferred stock	3,125	2,656	3,125	2,875
Weighted average number of common shares				
and dilutive potential common stock used				
in diluted EPS	<u>51,562</u>	<u>78,776</u>	<u>48,903</u>	<u>75,616</u>

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, common stock equivalents of 22.0 million and 23.5 million shares, respectively, were antidilutive and not included in computing diluted EPS. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, common stock equivalents of 11.1 million and 11.4 million shares, respectively, were antidilutive and not included in computing diluted EPS.

### **Restructuring Charges**

As of June 30, 2003, a remaining accrued liability of \$563,000 was due to a March 2002 restructuring charge of \$1.9 million. Substantially all of the restructuring charge represents severance expenses for terminated employees. The restructuring liability is included within accrued payroll and related expenses on the balance sheets. The reserve activity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, and 2003 is as follows (in thousands):

	_	2002	_	2003
Liability balance, January 1	\$	1,700	\$	866
Additional restructuring charge		1,878		
Payments				(157)
Liability balance, March 31	\$	2,717	\$	709
Payments		(939)		(146)
Liability balance, June 30	\$	1,778	\$	563

#### **Warranty Reserve**

Certain components in the Cray T90 vector computers have an unusually high failure rate. The cost of servicing the Cray T90 computers exceeds the related service revenue. The Company recorded a warranty reserve to reflect its estimate of the amount by which the cost of servicing the T90 vector computers would exceed the revenue generated

from servicing them. The Company continually monitors the reasonableness of the estimate of the warranty reserve. In determining the appropriate reserve, the Company reduced the T90 reserve and recorded a corresponding reduction to the cost of maintenance revenue of \$200,000 and \$1.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, resulting in a remaining T90 warranty reserve of \$3.0 million at June 30, 2003. In determining the appropriate reserve at June 30, 2002, the Company reduced the T90 reserve and recorded a corresponding reduction to the cost of maintenance revenue of \$1.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002.

# **Public Offering**

In the first quarter of 2003, the Company completed a public offering of 8,480,000 shares of newly issued common stock, and an additional 145,000 shares of common stock from certain selling shareholders, at a public offering price of \$6.20 per share. The Company received from the offering, after underwriting discount and selling expenses, net proceeds of approximately \$49,059,000. The Company intends to use the net proceeds for general corporate purposes.

#### **NEC Preferred Stock Conversion**

On June 17, 2003, NEC Corporation converted all 3,125,000 shares of the Company's Series A Convertible Preferred Stock that it held and sold the underlying 3,269,154 shares of common stock. The Company has no shares of preferred stock outstanding as of June 30, 2003. The Company now has a total of 5,000,000 authorized but unissued shares of preferred stock subject to designation by the Board of Directors.

#### **Taxes**

The Company recorded a provision of \$383,000 and \$442,000 for income taxes in foreign countries, certain states and the alternative minimum tax for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$590,000 and \$975,000 for the respective 2002 periods. Due to utilization of tax losses from operations in prior years, there has been no provision for U.S. federal income (other than for alternative minimum taxes) taxes for any period.

# **Stock-Based Compensation**

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation plans under the intrinsic value method, which follows the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. In accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, the Company does not record any expense when stock options are granted that are priced at the fair market value of the Company's stock at the date of grant.

To estimate compensation expense which would be recognized under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-based Compensation*, the Company uses the modified Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for options granted:

_		nths Ended e 30,		hs Ended e 30,
<u>-</u>	2002	2003	2002	2003
Risk –free interest rate	4.93%	3.33%	4.93%	3.33%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%
Volatility	96%	95%	96%	95%
Expected life	7.12 years	7.65 years	7.12 years	7.65 years

Had compensation cost for the Company's stock option plans and its stock purchase plan been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under those plans consistent with the method of SFAS No. 123, the Company's net income and net income per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, and 2003 would have been the pro forma amounts indicated below (amounts in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2002 2003			2002	2003					
Net income, as reported  Total stock based compensation expense determined under fair value based method	\$	1,187	\$	7,858	\$	1,936	\$	9,055		
for all awards	\$	(2,105) (918)		(2,366) 5,492	\$	(4,210) (2,274)	\$	(4,732) 4,323		
Basic:										
As reported	\$	0.03	\$	0.12	\$	0.04	\$	0.14		
Pro forma Diluted:	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.08	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.07		
As reported	\$	0.02	\$	0.10	\$	0.04	\$	0.12		
Pro forma	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.07	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.06		

#### Reclassifications

Certain prior-year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current-year presentation.

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, *Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133. SFAS No. 149 is effective for certain contracts entered into or modified by the Company after June 30, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 149 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments With Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity*. SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how the Company would classify and measure financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity and requires the Company to classify a financial instrument that is within the scope of SFAS No. 150 as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). SFAS No. 150 also revises the definition of a liability to encompass obligations that the Company can or must settle by issuing equity shares, depending on the nature of the relationship established between the Company and a holder of its stock. SFAS No. 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 150 is not expected have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

# **Preliminary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

The information set forth in this Item 2 includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and is subject to the safe harbor created by those sections. Factors that realistically could cause results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements are set forth in the following discussion and

under "Factors That Could Affect Future Results" beginning on page 16. The following discussion should also be read in conjunction with the Financial Statements and Notes thereto.

#### Overview

We design, develop, market and service high performance computer systems, commonly known as supercomputers. These systems provide capability and capacity far beyond typical mainframe computer systems and address the world's most challenging computing problems for government, industry and academia. Our revenue, net income or loss and cash flow are likely to fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter due to the high average sales price of our principal products and our general policy of not recognizing product revenue until customer acceptance. We expect that most of our 2003 product revenue will come from sales of our Cray X1 system, the production version of which first shipped in December 2002. In mid-2002, we began a development project with Sandia National Laboratories to design and deliver a new, high bandwidth, massively parallel processing supercomputer system called Red Storm in 2004. We provide maintenance services to the worldwide installed base of Cray computers. We also offer professional services that leverage our industry technical knowledge.

We experienced net losses in each full year of our operations prior to 2002. We incurred net losses of approximately \$35.2 million in 2001, \$25.4 million in 2000, and \$34.5 million in 1999. For the year ended December 31, 2002, we had net income of \$5.4 million and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, we had net income of \$7.9 million and \$9.1 million, respectively.

We recognize product revenue from sales of our computer systems upon acceptance by the customer, although in limited circumstances, depending on sales contract terms, revenue may be recognized when title passes upon shipment or may be delayed until funding is certain or other contractual requirements are satisfied. We recognize product revenue from the Red Storm contract using the percentage-of-completion method. We recognize service revenue for the maintenance of our computer systems ratably over the term of each maintenance agreement. Funds from maintenance and product sales contracts that are paid in advance are recorded as deferred revenue. We recognize service revenue from our professional services activities as services are rendered.

Factors that should be considered in evaluating our business, operations and prospects and that could affect our future results and financial condition are set forth below under "Factors That Could Affect Future Results."

# **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

This discussion as well as disclosures included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q are based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingencies. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate the estimates used, including those related to estimates of warranty liabilities, valuation of inventory at the lower of cost or market, the percentage completed and estimated gross profit on the Red Storm contract, and impairment of goodwill. We base our estimates on historical experience, current conditions and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources as well as identifying and assessing our accounting treatment with respect to commitments and contingencies. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe the following critical accounting policies involve the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements.

#### T90 Reserve

We acquired service contracts in the acquisition of the Cray Research business unit in April 2000 for Cray T90 vector computers. Some of the components in the Cray T90 vector computers have an unusually high failure rate. As of April 1, 2000, the date of our acquisition of the Cray Research business unit from Silicon Graphics, Inc. ("SGI"),

we recorded a warranty reserve of \$47.5 million, of which \$46.3 million reflected our estimate of the amount by which the cost of servicing the T90 vector computers would exceed the revenue generated from servicing them until they were no longer in use by our customers. As we incur costs to service these computers in excess of the related service revenue, we reduce the amount of the T90 warranty reserve. As of June 30, 2003, our total warranty reserve balance was \$3.1 million, of which \$3.0 million related to the T90 vector computers. We continually monitor the reasonableness of our estimate of the warranty reserve. This involves analysis of our assumptions with regard to the length of time the T90 vector computers will be in use by our customers, the failure rate of modules in the computers considering actual historical failure rates, and personnel and resources, including service spares, that will be required to correct failures that occur in the future. In determining the appropriate reserve, we reduced the T90 reserve and recorded a corresponding reduction to the cost of maintenance revenue of \$200,000 and \$1.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003. We believe that the T90 warranty reserve balance at June 30, 2003, is a reasonable estimate of the extent to which our costs to service these computers will exceed the revenue generated from existing service contracts. It is possible, however, that our estimates may prove to be inaccurate and that our actual costs may differ materially from our estimates.

#### Red Storm Contract

Product revenue from the Red Storm contract is accounted for using the percentage-of-completion method. Revenue is recognized as costs are incurred, and margin is based on the total estimated cost to complete. The estimate to complete is based on several factors, including estimated labor hours to complete certain tasks and estimated cost of purchased components at future dates. Our estimates may need to be adjusted from quarter to quarter, which would impact our revenue and our margin on a cumulative basis.

#### **Inventories**

We record our inventories at the lower of cost or market. We regularly evaluate the technological usefulness of various inventory components. When it is determined that previously inventoried components do not function as intended in a fully operational system, the costs associated with these components are expensed. Due to rapid changes in technology and the increasing demands of our customers, we are continually developing new products. As a result, it is possible that older products we have developed may become obsolete or we may sell these products below cost. When we determine that we will likely not recover the cost of inventory items through future sales, we write down the related inventory to our estimate of its market value. Because the products we sell have high average sales prices and because a high number of our prospective customers receive funding from U.S. or foreign governments, it is difficult to estimate future sales of our products and the timing of such sales. It also is difficult to determine whether the cost of our inventories will ultimately be recovered through future sales. While we believe our inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market

and that our estimates and assumptions to determine any adjustments to the cost of our inventories are reasonable, our estimates may prove to be inaccurate. We have sold inventory previously reduced in part or in whole to zero, including in the first quarter of 2002, and we may have future sales of previously written down inventory. We may also have additional expense to write down inventory to its estimated market value. Adjustments to these estimates in the future may materially impact our operating results.

## Goodwill

Approximately 11% of our assets as of June 30, 2003, consisted of goodwill resulting from our acquisition of the Cray Research business unit from SGI in 2000. We adopted SFAS No. 142 on January 1, 2002, and we no longer amortize goodwill associated with the acquisition, but we are required to conduct ongoing analyses of the recorded amount of goodwill in comparison to its estimated fair value. We performed an annual impairment test effective January 1, 2003, and determined that our recorded goodwill was not impaired. This analysis and ongoing analyses of whether the fair value of recorded goodwill is impaired involve a substantial amount of judgment. Future charges related to goodwill could be material depending on future developments and changes in technology and our business.

# **Results of Operations**

#### Product Revenue

We had product revenue of \$46.0 million and \$73.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$19.1 million and \$34.2 million for the respective 2002 periods. Product revenue represented 74% and 69% of total revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to 49% and 46% for the respective 2002 periods, and consisted in the three months ended June 30, 2003 of \$39.3 million for our Cray X1 product line, \$4.6 million for our Red Storm product line and \$2.1 million for our other products. Second quarter 2003 revenue was favorably impacted by nearly \$6.0 million of revenue for a Cray X1 system accepted in the first quarter but not recognized until the second quarter due to certain contractual provisions. Product revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2002 consisted of \$14.5 million for our Cray T3E product line and \$4.6 million for our other products.

With the delivery of the Cray X1 system to customers and the performance of the Red Storm project, we expect our product revenue in 2003 to increase and be a larger percentage of total revenue than in 2002 and earlier years. We expect our product revenue to vary quarterly.

#### Service Revenue

We had service revenue, which includes revenue from maintenance services and professional services, of \$15.8 million and \$32.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$19.5 million and \$39.7 million, for the respective 2002 periods. Service revenue represented 26% and 31% of total revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to 51% and 54% for the corresponding 2002 periods.

Maintenance services are provided under separate maintenance contracts with our customers. These contracts generally provide for maintenance services for one year, although some are for multi-year periods. Maintenance service revenue, which was \$14.9 million and \$30.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, respectively, has declined on an annual basis as older systems are withdrawn from service. We expect maintenance service revenue to continue to decline slowly over the next twelve months as our older systems continue to be withdrawn from service and then to stabilize as our new systems are placed in service. Professional services revenue was \$900,000 and \$2.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, and we expect this revenue to be flat for the second half of 2003.

#### **Operating Expenses**

Cost of Product Revenue. We had cost of product revenue of \$24.3 million and \$42.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$12.2 million and \$16.9 million for the respective 2002 periods. Our cost of product represented 53% and 57% of product revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to 64% and 49% for the corresponding 2002 periods. The lower cost of product revenue in the first half of 2002 was due in large part to the sale of \$5.9 million of inventory previously written down to zero cost in the first quarter of 2002.

Our cost of product revenue in the first half of 2003 was adversely affected by start-up costs incurred in the Cray X1 ramp-up. The improvement in product margins in the second quarter of 2003 was due primarily to increased factory efficiencies and lower cost of components. While these factors will modestly improve product margins further in the second half of 2003, product margins will be negatively impacted in future quarters by lower margins on the Red Storm project. In addition, we may grant favorable pricing for large multi-system contracts.

Cost of Service Revenue. We had cost of service revenue of \$10.9 million and \$21.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$9.8 million and \$20.7 million for the corresponding 2002 periods. Our

cost of service revenue represented 69% and 65% of service revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to 50% and 52% for the corresponding 2002 periods.

Several items impacted service costs in the first half of 2003. Margins from maintenance revenue were adversely affected due to the withdrawal of legacy Cray systems without a commensurate decrease in maintenance expenses and personnel. As we reduce maintenance service personnel, cost of service will be impacted adversely by associated severance expenses. In addition, the amortization of spare parts valued at the time of acquisition is an increasingly greater negative impact to service margins as the installed base of legacy products decline. This non-cash charge has been fixed at \$1.1 million per quarter since the date of acquisition, and will continue until the spare parts are fully written-off in the first quarter of 2004. Service margins in the second quarter were adversely affected by bid costs in excess of revenue of \$350,000 associated with our successful effort to participate in the second phase of the High Productivity Computing Systems Program of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, which we refer to as our Cascade project. Professional services margins were slightly negative in the first half of 2003. We expect a slightly positive contribution from professional services in the second half of 2003. Favorably impacting maintenance costs during the second quarter and first half of 2003 was the respective \$200,000 and \$1.3 million reduction of the Cray T90 warranty reserves reflecting our current estimate of costs associated with Cray T90 maintenance.

Maintenance revenue is expected to decline into 2004 before new shipments into the installed base offset retirements. Also, the legacy spares will be fully amortized and all of the installed base of Cray T90 computers will likely be retired in 2004. Maintenance costs should then stabilize between 60% to 65% of revenue. Until then, the factors experienced in the first half of 2003 will continue to impact service costs.

## Research and Development

Research and development expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, and 2002 reflect our costs associated with the development of the Cray X1 system, and to a lesser extent, the Cray MTA-2 in both the 2002 and 2003 periods, including related software development. Research and development expenses also include personnel expenses, allocated overhead and operating expenses, software, materials and engineering expenses, including payments to third parties. Gross research and development expenses in the first half of 2003 in the table below reflect all research and development expenditures, including expenses related to our research and development activities on the Red Storm project. The government funding reflects reimbursement by the government for development and services, including development of the Cray X1 systems, enhancements and successors to the Cray X1 system and other products, and our research and development personnel dedicated to the Red Storm project. The Red Storm research and development costs are reflected as cost of product revenue and the related reimbursements are recorded as product revenue. Research and development expenses for 2002 and 2003 were as follows (in thousands):

	For the thr ended J		For the six months ended June 30,			
	<u>2002</u> <u>2003</u>		2002	2003		
Gross research and development	\$ 12,567	\$ 16,235	\$ 25,812	\$ 31,279		
Governmental funding	(3,979)	(5,872)	<u>(6,673</u> )	<u>(13,441</u> )		
Net research and development	<u>\$ 8,588</u>	<u>\$ 10,363</u>	<u>\$ 19,139</u>	<u>\$ 17,838</u>		

Net research and development expenditures represented 17% of revenue for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, and 22% and 26% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002.

We expect that gross research and development expenses will increase in 2003 to reach or slightly exceed \$65 million as we ramp-up our work on the Red Storm and Cascade projects. However, we expect that net research and development expenses will remain flat or decline for the second half of 2003, primarily due to increased governmental funding, and to decline as a percentage of overall revenue as we increase our overall revenue.

Government funding levels will not be even throughout the year. In the first quarter of 2003 development funding for the Cray X1 system concluded. Although we have entered into agreements for additional funding for follow-on products, the transition of our engineers to these projects was not immediate, resulting in approximately \$1.4 million less government funding for the second quarter of 2003.

## Marketing and Sales

Marketing and sales expenses were \$6.2 million and \$11.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$4.9 million and \$9.8 million for the respective 2002 periods. The increase in these expenses from 2002 to 2003 was due to the roll out of the Cray X1 product line and increased sales commissions due to higher sales activity. We expect marketing and sales expenses to grow in line with product revenue in the second half of 2003.

#### General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses were \$2.7 million and \$4.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$1.9 million and \$3.9 million for the respective 2002 periods. We expect general and administrative expenses to grow in line with product revenue in the second half of 2003.

### Restructuring Charges

Restructuring charges were zero for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to zero and \$1.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, representing primarily severance expenses related to the termination of 20 employees in the first quarter of 2002.

#### Other Income (Expense), net

Other income was \$777,000 and \$724,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to other income of \$1.0 million and \$2.4 million for the respective 2002 periods and consisted primarily in 2003 of foreign currency gains. The decrease in other income from 2002 primarily resulted from a negotiated settlement of an accrued cancellation charge on a purchase commitment in the first quarter of 2002.

## Interest Income (Expense), net

Interest income was \$190,000 and \$315,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$8,000 and \$36,000 for the respective 2002 periods. The higher amount in 2003 reflects our increased average cash position due to the completion of our public offering of common stock in the first quarter of 2003.

Interest expense was \$87,000 and \$206,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$471,000 and \$1.0 million for the respective 2002 periods. The interest expense for 2002 was largely due to a non-cash charge of \$290,000 associated with the convertible debenture financing completed in November 2001, and \$600,000 of interest on our term loan, line of credit and capital leases. In April 2003 we paid off the term loan in full and we have not borrowed under our line of credit since May of 2002.

#### Taxes

We recorded a provision of \$59,000 and \$383,000 for income taxes in foreign countries and certain U.S. states for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$385,000 and \$590,000 for the respective 2002 periods. There has been no provision for U.S. federal income taxes, other than for alternative minimum taxes, for any period.

# **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and accounts receivable totaled \$92.9 million at June 30, 2003, compared to \$54.9 million at December 31, 2002. Over that period, cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments increased from \$23.9 million to

\$40.0 million. At June 30, 2003, we had working capital of \$93.4 million compared to \$27.4 million at December 31, 2002. In the first quarter of 2003, we realized \$49.1 million of net proceeds from the public sale of our common stock. Our accounts receivable balance at June 30, 2003 of \$53.0 million included the following second quarter non-revenue generating items: \$7.5 million of product prepayments and \$4.5 million of governmental funding.

Net cash used by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2003, was \$32.9 million compared to \$8.0 million for the respective 2002 period. For the six months ended June 30, 2003, net operating cash was used primarily by increases in accounts receivable and inventory and decreases in accrued payroll and warranty reserve offset in part by increases in accounts payable.

Net cash used by investing activities was \$22.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$3.3 million for the respective 2002 period. Net cash used by investing activities for 2003 consisted primarily of purchases of \$19.7 million of short-term investments following completion of our public offering of common stock and \$2.8 million of purchases of computers and electronic test equipment, computer software and furniture and fixtures. In 2002, the net cash used in investing activities was primarily for electronic test equipment and computer hardware purchases.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$51.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$15.2 million for the respective 2002 period. The 2003 net cash provided by financing activities was primarily from our public offering, in which we received net proceeds of \$49.1 million. We used \$3.9 million to retire our term loan debt. In the six months ended June 30, 2002, we raised \$5.8 million primarily through the sale of common stock and received another \$10.8 million through the exercise of warrants that otherwise would have expired. We also converted \$24.9 million of preferred stock into common stock during the second quarter of 2003.

Over the next twelve months, our significant cash requirements will relate to operational expenses, consisting primarily of personnel costs, costs of inventory and spare parts as we increase production of Cray X1 systems, third-party engineering expenses, and acquisition of property and equipment. Our remaining fiscal year 2003 capital expenditure budget for property and equipment is estimated currently at \$5.9 million. In addition, we lease certain equipment used in our operations under operating or capital leases in the normal course of business. We expect that operations over the next twelve months will generate positive cash flow. The following table is a summary of our contractual cash obligations as of June 30, 2003 (in thousands):

	Payments Due By Periods							
			Le	ss than		1-3		4-5
Contractual Obligations		Total	1	year		years	y	ears
Notes payable	\$	88	\$	88	\$		\$	
Capital lease obligations		280		280				
Operating leases		20,147		3,134		8,762		5,500
Total contractual cash obligations	\$	20,515	\$	3,502	\$	8,762	\$	5,500

At any particular time, given the high average selling price of our products, our cash position is affected by the timing of payment for product sales, receipt of prepaid maintenance revenue and receipt of government funding of research and development activities and the need to purchase inventory. We believe our current cash resources will be adequate for the next twelve months.

#### **Factors That Could Affect Future Results**

The following factors should be considered in evaluating our business, operations and prospects and may affect our future results and financial condition.

#### Factors Related To Our Business

If we were unable to turn the Cray X1 system into a stable production quality product, our revenue and profits would be reduced. We depend on our vendors to manufacture components for our systems. If our vendors were unable to manufacture components to our design specifications on a timely basis and with sufficient yields, increased repair charges would reduce margins and profits and any delayed deliveries of production quality Cray X1 systems to customers would adversely affect our revenue and profits. We previously have received Cray X1 system components with unacceptable yields. We are currently receiving acceptable production component yield levels, and we continue to work with our vendors to increase those yields. There is no assurance that these yields will continue. We have redesigned, and in the future we may have to redesign further, hardware components of the Cray X1 system because of previously unforeseen defects. Redesign work is costly and could cause delays in the production and sale of Cray X1 systems. We need to scale our system software to run satisfactorily on two, four and larger number of cabinet systems. We also need to improve the reliability of system software to sell Cray X1 systems to production environment governmental and industrial customers. We continue to fix reported software problems and anticipate additional software problems to be reported in the future.

If application programs were not successfully ported to the Cray X1 system, we would have difficulty selling these systems to some customers. To make sales of the Cray X1 system in the automotive, aerospace, chemistry and other engineering and technical markets, including certain governmental users, we must have application programs ported to the Cray X1 system and tuned so that they will achieve high performance. The Cray X1 system has a new architecture that may make porting and tuning of application programs difficult. These application programs are owned in some instances by independent software vendors and in others by potential customers. We must induce these vendors and customers to undertake this activity. The relatively low volume of supercomputer sales makes it difficult for us to attract independent software vendors. We also modify and rewrite thirty-party and customer specific application programs to run on the Cray X1 system. There can be no assurance that we will be able to induce the third-party vendors and customers to rewrite their applications or that we will rewrite successfully third-party and customer specific applications for use on the Cray X1 system.

We may not be successful in completing the Red Storm project on time and on budget, which would adversely affect our earnings. Our efforts to complete the development and delivery of the Red Storm project for Sandia National Laboratories in 2004 on time and on budget are subject to significant risks. Our work is pursuant to a fixed-price contract with payment against significant monthly milestones setting out a tight development schedule and technically challenging performance requirements. Our success depends on third-party software development, some of which is to be supplied by Sandia National Laboratories, and the timely availability of the Opteron integrated circuits from Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. The contract is incrementally funded and is subject to future federal government appropriations. This project is lengthy and technically challenging, and requires a significant investment of engineering and other resources. Falling behind schedule or incurring cost overruns would adversely affect our capital resources and earnings.

If the U.S. government purchases fewer supercomputers, our revenue would be reduced and our profitability would be adversely affected. Historically, sales to the U.S. government and customers primarily serving the U.S. government have represented a significant market for supercomputers. From January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2002, approximately 79% of our product revenue was derived from sales to various agencies of the U.S. government. Our initial sales of Cray X1 systems have been to government agencies in the United States and other countries, and we expect that will continue throughout 2003. Sales to government agencies may be affected by factors outside our control, such as changes in procurement policies, budget considerations and international political developments. If the United States or other governments were to stop, reduce or delay their use and purchases of supercomputers, our revenue would be reduced.

If we lose government support for supercomputer systems, our capital requirements would increase and our ability to conduct research and development would decrease. A few government agencies and research laboratories fund a significant portion of our development efforts. Agencies of the U.S. government historically have

facilitated the development of, and have constituted a market for, new and enhanced very high performance computer systems, including the current Cray X1 system and our planned Cray X1e, Black Widow, Cascade and Red Storm development projects. U.S. government agencies may delay or decrease funding of these development efforts due to change of priorities, international political developments or for any other reason. Any such decrease or delay may cause an increased need for capital and may adversely affect our research and development expenditures and our ability to implement our product roadmap.

Procurement proposals based on theoretical peak performance reduce our ability to market our systems. Our high performance computer systems are designed to provide high actual sustained performance on difficult computational problems. Some of our competitors offer systems with higher theoretical peak performance at lower comparable prices, although their actual sustained performance on real applications frequently is a small fraction of their theoretical peak performance. Nevertheless, a number of requests for proposals, primarily from governmental agencies in the United States and elsewhere, continue to have criteria based wholly or significantly on theoretical peak performance. Unless these criteria are changed, we are disadvantaged in these instances by being unable to submit competitive bids, which limits our revenue potential.

The change by NEC Corporation of our distribution rights for the Cray SX-6 system may decrease our revenue and increase competition. We market a rebranded product known as the Cray SX-6 system, which was developed and is built in Japan by NEC Corporation. This product first became available for delivery in North America in the first quarter of 2002, and we became the exclusive distributor of NEC vector supercomputer systems in North America and a non-exclusive distributor outside North America. In late April 2003 NEC notified us that, effective August 1, 2003, our North American distribution rights for this product will be non exclusive. NEC made no changes to our distribution rights in other areas. Supercomputer customers in the United States have been reluctant to purchase supercomputers from non-U.S. sources, and domestic demand for the Cray SX-6 systems has been far less than we anticipated. Loss of our exclusive distribution rights to NEC vector supercomputer systems may result in competition from NEC in North America, which could decrease our revenue. Outside of North America, NEC has competed aggressively based on price.

Lower than anticipated sales of new supercomputers would further reduce our service revenue from maintenance service contracts. High performance computer systems are typically sold with maintenance service contracts. These contracts generally are for annual periods, although some are for multi-year periods, and provide a predictable revenue base. Revenue from maintenance service contracts has declined from approximately \$125 million in 1999 to approximately \$68 million in 2002 and is expected to decline to approximately \$59 million in 2003 as our older systems are withdrawn from service. This revenue is expected to decline further until a sufficient number of our new computer systems are placed in service to balance the withdrawal of our older systems.

Our reliance on third-party suppliers poses significant risks to our business and prospects. We subcontract the manufacture of substantially all of our hardware components for all of our products, including integrated circuits, printed circuit boards, flex circuits and power supplies, on a sole or limited source basis to third-party suppliers. We use a contract manufacturer to assemble our components for the Cray X1 and other systems. We are subject to substantial risks because of our reliance on these and other limited or sole source suppliers. For example:

- if a supplier did not provide components that meet our specifications in sufficient quantities, then production and sale of our systems would be delayed;
- if a reduction or an interruption of supply of our components occurred, it could take us a considerable period of time to identify and qualify alternative suppliers to redesign our products as necessary and to begin manufacture of the redesigned components;
- if we were ever unable to locate a supplier for a key component, we would be unable to deliver our products;
- one or more suppliers could make strategic changes in their product lines, which might delay or suspend manufacture of our components or systems; and

some of our key suppliers are small companies with limited financial and other resources, and consequently
may be more likely to experience financial and operational difficulties than larger, well-established
companies.

From time to time we have experienced delays in obtaining manufactured components and completed assemblies on a timely basis and in sufficient quantities from our suppliers, which have resulted in delays in the development and production of our products.

Development of a new operating system for our Black Widow and other future products is a difficult process, and may delay the availability of our Black Widow System. The technology agreement through which we acquired and licensed patent, know-how and other intellectual property rights from SGI restricts our use of certain SGI technology. Most significantly, the technology agreement limits our use of SGI's IRIX operating system to the Cray X1 product family. We plan to develop our own UNIX-based operating system for successor systems to the Cray X1 product family, starting with the Black Widow system, based on Linux. Developing a new operating system is lengthy and difficult process, and might delay the availability of the Black Widow system.

The high failure rate in the Cray T90 installed base may reduce our earnings. Some of the components in the Cray T90 vector computers, a product we acquired through the Cray Research acquisition, have an unusually high failure rate. The cost of servicing the Cray T90 computers exceeds the related service revenue. In connection with our acquisition of the Cray Research business unit from SGI, we recorded a warranty reserve to provide for anticipated future losses on the Cray T90 maintenance service contracts. The balance of this reserve was \$3.0 million as of June 30, 2003. We anticipate that almost all of our Cray T90 systems will be deinstalled by the end of 2003. We believe that the warranty reserve balance at June 30, 2003, is a reasonable estimate of the extent to which our costs to service these computers will exceed the revenue generated from existing service contracts. Our estimates may prove to be inaccurate, and our actual costs may differ materially from our estimates. In addition, the Cray T90 failures have adversely affected our reputation for quality products with some customers and may adversely affect sales of our new systems.

We may infringe or be subject to claims that we infringe the intellectual property rights of others. Third parties may assert intellectual property infringement claims against us, and such claims, if proved, could require us to pay substantial damages or to

redesign our existing products. Regardless of the merits, any claim of infringement requires management attention and causes us to incur significant expense to defend.

If we cannot attract, retain and motivate key personnel, we may be unable to implement effectively our business plan. Our success also depends in large part upon our ability to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled management, technical and marketing and sales personnel. Competition for highly skilled management, technical, marketing and sales personnel is intense, and we may not be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel.

We may not be able to protect our proprietary information and rights adequately. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright and trade secret protection, nondisclosure agreements and licensing arrangements to establish, protect and enforce our proprietary information and rights. We have a number of patents and have additional applications pending. There can be no assurance, however, that patents will be issued from the pending applications or that any issued patents will protect adequately those aspects of our technology to which such patents will relate. Despite our efforts to safeguard and maintain our proprietary rights, we cannot be certain that we will succeed in doing so or that our competitors will not independently develop or patent technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to our technologies. The laws of some countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent or in the same manner as do the laws of the United States. Although we continue to implement protective measures and intend to defend our proprietary rights vigorously, these efforts may not be successful.

U.S. export controls could hinder our ability to make sales to foreign customers and our future prospects. The U.S. government regulates the export of high performance computer systems such as our products. Occasionally we have experienced delays in receiving appropriate approvals necessary for certain sales, which have delayed the shipment of our products. Delay or denial in the granting of any required licenses could make it more difficult to make sales to foreign customers, eliminating an important source of potential revenue.

## Factors Related To Our Industry

If we are unable to compete successfully against larger, more established companies in the high performance computer market, our revenue will decline. The performance of our products may not be competitive with the computer systems offered by our competitors. Many of our competitors are established companies that are well known in the high performance computer market, including IBM, SGI, Hewlett-Packard, NEC and Sun Microsystems. Each of these competitors has broader product lines and substantially greater research, engineering, manufacturing, marketing and financial resources than we do. Periodic announcements by our competitors of new high performance computer systems (or plans for future systems) and price adjustments may reduce customer demand for our products. Most of our potential customers already own or lease very high performance computer systems. Some of our competitors offer trade-in allowances or substantial discounts to potential customers, and engage in other aggressive pricing tactics, and we have not always been able to match these sales incentives. We may be required to provide discounts to make sales or to provide lease financing for our products, which would result in a deferral of our receipt of cash for these systems. These developments would limit our revenue and resources and would reduce our ability to be profitable.

We may not compete successfully against innovative competitors or new entrants. Our market is characterized by rapidly changing technology, accelerated product obsolescence and continuously evolving industry standards. Our success will depend upon our ability to sell our current products, and to develop successor systems. We will need to introduce new products and features in a timely manner to meet evolving customer requirements. We may not succeed in these efforts. Even if we succeed, products or technologies developed by others may render our products or technologies noncompetitive or obsolete. New companies have capitalized on developments in parallel processing and increased computer performance through networking and cluster systems. Currently, these products are limited in applicability and scalability and can be difficult to program. A breakthrough in architecture or software technology could make cluster systems more attractive to our potential customers. Such a breakthrough would impair our ability to sell our products and reduce our revenue.

General economic and market conditions could decrease our revenue, increase our need for cash and adversely affect our profitability. While much of our business is related to the government sector, which is less affected by short-term economic cycles, a slow-down in the overall U.S. and global economy and resultant decreases in capital expenditures have affected sales to our industrial customers and may continue to do so. Cancellations or delays in purchases would decrease our revenue, increase our need for working capital and adversely affect our profitability.

# Factors Related To An Investment In Our Company

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate significantly. Our operating results are subject to significant fluctuations due to many factors. One or a few system sales may account for a substantial percentage of our quarterly and annual revenue, and thus revenue, net income or loss and cash flow are likely to fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter. This is due to the high average sales price of our products, the timing of purchase orders and product delivery, and our general policy of not recognizing product revenue until our customers accept our products. While the shortage of parts that affected the timing of product acceptances in the second quarter of 2003 has eased significantly, the acceptances of several large installations of Cray X1 systems are scheduled for the end of the third quarter and largely depend on the availability of system software operating satisfactorily on two full cabinet systems. If these systems were not accepted in the third quarter, revenue would move to the fourth quarter or subsequent quarters and adversely affect third quarter operating results Red Storm revenue and margin may fluctuate from quarter due to the level of contract activity, including purchases of materials and changes in the

estimates of the cost to complete. Because a number of our prospective customers receive funding from the U.S. or foreign governments, the timing of orders from our customers may be subject to the appropriation and funding schedules of the relevant government agencies. The timing of orders and shipments also could be affected by other events outside our control, such as:

- the timely availability of acceptable components in sufficient quantities to meet customer delivery schedules;
- changes in levels of customer capital spending;
- the introduction or announcement of competitive products;
- timing of the receipt of necessary export licenses; or
- currency fluctuations and international conflicts or economic crises.

We have experienced annual losses from operations prior to 2002, and we may not achieve net income on a consistent basis. We experienced net losses in each full year of our operations prior to 2002. We incurred net losses of approximately \$35.2 million in 2001, \$25.4 million in 2000, and \$34.5 million in 1999. For the year ended December 31, 2002, we had net income of \$5.4 million. Whether we will achieve net income on a consistent basis will depend on a number of factors, including:

- our ability to market and sell the Cray X1 system and other products and maintain the Red Storm project on schedule;
- the level of revenue in any given period;
- our expense levels, particularly for research and development and manufacturing and service costs;
- · the terms and conditions of sale or lease for our products; and
- the cost of servicing the Cray T90 installed base.

Because of the numerous factors affecting our results of operations, there can be no assurance that we will have consistent net income in the future.

Our stock price may be volatile. The stock market has been and is subject to price and volume fluctuations that particularly affect the market prices for small capitalization, high technology companies like us. The trading price of our common stock is subject to significant fluctuations in response to many factors, including our quarterly operating results, changes in analysts' estimates, our future capital raising activities, announcements of technological innovations by us or our competitors and general conditions in our industry.

A substantial number of our shares are eligible for future sale and may depress the market price of our common stock and may hinder our ability to obtain additional financing. As of June 30, 2003, we had outstanding:

- 69,310,188 shares of common stock;
- warrants to purchase 8,459,971 shares of common stock; and
- stock options to purchase an aggregate of 12,790,688 shares of common stock, of which 7,328,299 options
  were then exercisable.

Almost all of our outstanding shares of common stock may be sold without substantial restrictions. All of the shares purchased under the warrants and exercisable options are available for sale in the public market, subject in some cases to volume and other limitations. At June 30, 2003, warrants to purchase 3,296,851 shares of common stock, with exercise prices ranging from \$3.00 to \$6.00 per share, expire between January 20, 2004, and November 2, 2004; warrants to purchase 300,442 shares of common stock, with exercise prices ranging from \$4.50 to \$6.00 per share, expire between November 7, 2005, and September 3, 2006; and the remaining warrants to purchase 5,139,408 shares of common stock, with an exercise price of \$2.53 per share, expire on June 21, 2009. Sales in the public market of substantial amounts of our common stock, including sales of common stock issuable upon the exercise of the warrants and options, may depress prevailing market prices for the common stock. Even the perception that sales could occur may impact market prices adversely. The existence of outstanding warrants and options may prove to be a hindrance to our future equity financings. Further, the holders of the warrants and options may exercise them for shares of common stock, at a time when we would otherwise be able to obtain additional equity capital on terms more favorable to us. Such factors could impair our ability to meet our capital needs.

Provisions of our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws could make a proposed acquisition that is not approved by our Board of Directors more difficult. Provisions of our Restated Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us. These provisions could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for our common stock. For example, our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws provide for:

- a staggered Board of Directors, so that only two or three of our eight directors are elected each year;
- removal of a director only in limited circumstances and only upon the affirmative vote of not less than twothirds of the shares entitled to vote to elect directors;
- the ability of our board of directors to issue preferred stock, without shareholder approval, with rights senior to those of the common stock;
- no cumulative voting of shares;
- calling a special meeting of the shareholders only upon demand by the holders of not less than 30% of the shares entitled to vote at such a meeting;
- amendments to our restated articles of incorporation require the affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds of the outstanding shares entitled to vote on the amendment, unless the amendment was approved by a majority of our continuing directors, who are defined as directors who have either served as a director since August 31, 1995, or were nominated to be a director by the continuing directors;
- special voting requirements for mergers and other business combinations, unless the proposed transaction
  was approved by a majority of continuing directors;
- special procedures must be followed to bring matters before our shareholders at our annual shareholders' meeting; and
- special procedures must be followed to nominate members for election to our board of directors.

These provisions could delay, defer or prevent a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business transaction between us and a third party.

Additional financings may be dilutive to our shareholders. We may need to raise additional equity or debt capital if we experience lower than anticipated product sales due to delays in availability of Cray X1 systems for delivery to customers or general economic conditions, or if we fail to receive sufficient governmental support for

our products and research activities. Financings may not be available to us when needed or, if available, may not be available on satisfactory terms and may be dilutive to our shareholders.

We do not anticipate declaring any cash dividends on our common stock. We have never paid any dividends on our common stock, and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. In addition, our credit facilities prohibit us from paying cash dividends without the consent of our lenders.

# Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates and equity price fluctuations.

Interest Rate Risk: We invest our available cash in investment-grade debt instruments of corporate issuers and in debt instruments of the U.S. government and its agencies. We do not have any derivative instruments in our investment portfolio. We protect and preserve invested funds by limiting default, market and reinvestment risk. Investments in both fixed-rate and floating-rate interest earning instruments carry a degree of interest rate risk. Fixed-rate securities may have their fair market value adversely impacted due to a rise in interest rates, while floating-rate securities may produce less income than expected if interest rates fall. Due in part to these factors, our future investment income may fall short of expectations due to changes in interest rates or we may suffer losses in principal if forced to sell securities, which have declined in market value due to changes in interest rates. At June 30, 2003, our short-term investment balance was \$19.7 million.

Foreign Currency Risk: We sell our products primarily in North America, Asia and Europe. As a result, our financial results could be affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets. Our products are generally priced in U.S. dollars, and a strengthening of the dollar could make our products less competitive in foreign markets. While we commonly sell products with payments in U.S. dollars, our product sales contracts occasionally call for payment in foreign currencies and to the extent we do so, we are subject to foreign currency exchange risks. We may use forward currency contracts to minimize these risks. Our foreign maintenance contracts are paid in local currencies and provide a natural hedge against local expenses. To the extent that we wish to repatriate any of these funds to the United States, however, we are subject to foreign exchange risks.

#### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

We evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Our principal executive and financial officers supervised and participated in the evaluation. Based on the evaluation, our principal executive and financial officers each concluded that, as of the date of the evaluation, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in providing reasonable assurance that material information relating to Cray and our consolidated subsidiaries is made known to management, including during the period when we prepare our periodic SEC reports. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote.

## Part II. Other Information

#### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

In our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003, the Company reported on a patent and trade secret litigation matter with Isothermal Systems Research, Inc. ("ISR"). The Company and ISR have entered into an agreement settling that litigation which will not have a material effect on the Company.

## Item 2. Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds

On June 17, 2003, NEC Corporation converted all 3,125,000 shares of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock that it held and sold the underlying 3,269,154 shares of common stock. We have no shares of preferred stock outstanding. We have withdrawn the designation of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and restored the number of former shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock to the status of authorized but unissued shares. We now have a total of 5,000,000 authorized but unissued shares of preferred stock subject to designation by the Board of Directors.

# Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Our annual meeting of shareholders was held on May 21, 2003. At the annual meeting, the following actions occurred:

1. The following individuals were re-elected as directors for three-year terms expiring in 2006:

Name	Votes For	% For	Withheld	% Withheld	
Stephen C. Kiely	57,382,140	93.0%	4,340,878	7.0%	
William A. Owens	56,843,481	92.1%	4,859,537	7.9%	
Burton J. Smith	42,538,072	68.9%	19,184,946	31.1%	

David N. Cutler, Daniel J. Evans, Kenneth W. Kennedy, Jr., James E. Rottsolk and Daniel C. Regis continue to serve as directors.

- 2. A proposal to approve an amendment to our Restated Articles of Incorporation increasing the number of authorized shares of common stock from 100,000,000 to 120,000,000 was approved by the shareholders, with 60,405,025 shares voting in favor (98.0%), 1,237,967 shares voting against (2.0 %), 80,025 shares abstaining and 3,599,233 shares not voting.
- 3. A proposal to approve the 2003 Stock Option Plan was approved by the shareholders, with 31,487,329 shares voting in favor (75.3%), 10,319,410 shares voting against (24.7%), 1,280,877 shares abstaining and 22,294,624 shares not voting.

#### Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

## (a) Exhibits

- Amendment dated June 9, 2003, to Maintenance Agreement between NEC Corporation and the Company dated as of February 28, 2001\*
- Letter dated April 24, 2003 from NEC Corporation notifying the Company that its distribution rights in North America will be non-exclusive.
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of Mr. Rottsolk, President and Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of Mr. Poteracki, Chief Financial and Accounting Officer
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 by the President and Chief Executive Officer
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 by the Chief Financial and Accounting Officer

#### (b) Reports on Form 8-K

A report on Form 8-K for an event of April 30, 2003, was filed on May 2, 2003, reporting the issuance of a press release for the Company's first quarter 2003 results under Item 5, "Other Events."

<sup>\*</sup> Portions of the exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment The omitted information has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

A report on Form 8-K for an event of June 17, 2003, was filed on June 17, 2003, reporting the conversion of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock under Item 5, "Other Events."

Items 3 and 5 of Part II are not applicable and have been omitted.

# **SIGNATURES**

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

# **CRAY INC.**

August 14, 2003 By: <u>/s/ JAMES E. ROTTSOLK</u>

James E. Rottsolk

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ SCOTT J. POTERACKI

Scott J. Poteracki

Chief Financial and Accounting Officer